

# Surveymetodik med uppsats: Kompletteringsuppgift

Måns Magnusson

## Uppgift

Kompletteringsuppgiften består av att antingen läsa en av artiklarna i nedanstående referenslista alternativt att i samråd med läraren själv välja ut ett kapitel i någon av böckerna.

Du ska sedan författa ett kort papper som sammanfattar artikeln eller kapitlet samt göra en egen analys av hur du kan ha nytta av detta i ditt eget projektarbete. Pappret ska bestå av **2-3 A4-sidor**.

## References

- [1] William G Axinn, Dirgha Ghimire, and Nathalie E Williams. Collecting survey data during armed conflict. *Journal of official statistics*, 28(2):153–171, 2012.
- [2] M.D. Bankier. Power allocations: determining sample sizes for subnational areas. *The American Statistician*, 42(3):174–177, 1988.
- [3] J Michael Brick. Unit nonresponse and weighting adjustments: A critical review. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 29(3):329–353, 2013.
- [4] D.A. Dillman, A. Gertseva, and T. Mahon-Haft. Achieving usability in establishment surveys through the application of visual design principles. *JOURNAL OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS-STOCKHOLM*, 21(2):183, 2005.
- [5] R.M. Groves, E. Singer, and A. Corning. Leverage-saliency theory of survey participation: Description and an illustration. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, pages 299–308, 2000.
- [6] Pierre Lavallée and Louis-Paul Rivest. Capture-recapture sampling and indirect sampling. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 28(1):1, 2012.
- [7] Roderick J Little. Calibrated bayes, an alternative inferential paradigm for official statistics. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 28(3):309, 2012.
- [8] Peter Lundquist and Carl-Erik Särndal. Aspects of responsive design with applications to the swedish living conditions survey. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 29(4):557–582, 2013.
- [9] S. Lundström and CE Särndal. Estimation in the presence of non-response error and frame imperfections. *Statistics Sweden, SCB-Tryck, Örebro, Örebro*, 2001.
- [10] Jeroen Pannekoek, Sander Scholtus, and Mark Van der Loo. Automated and manual data editing: a view on process design and methodology. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 29(4):511–537, 2013.
- [11] Andy Peytchev. Breakoff and unit nonresponse across web surveys. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27(1):33, 2011.
- [12] Eleanor Singer. Toward a benefit-cost theory of survey participation: Evidence, further tests, and implications. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27(2):379, 2011.
- [13] S. Sudman, N.M. Bradburn, and N. Schwarz. *Thinking about answers: The application of cognitive processes to survey methodology*. Jossey-Bass, 1996.

- [14] Kea Tijdens. Dropout rates and response times of an occupation search tree in a web survey. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 30(1):23–43, 2014.
- [15] R. Tourangeau, L.J. Rips, and K. Rasinski. *The psychology of survey response*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [16] Marika Wenemark, Andreas Persson, Helle Noorlind Brage, Tommy Svensson, and Margareta Kristenson. Applying motivation theory to achieve increased respondent satisfaction, response rate and data quality in a self-administered survey. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 27(2):393–414, 2011.
- [17] G.B. Willis. *Cognitive interviewing: A tool for improving questionnaire design*. Sage Publications, Incorporated, 2004.
- [18] Ting Yan, Frauke Kreuter, and Roger Tourangeau. Evaluating survey questions: A comparison of methods. *Journal of Official Statistics (JOS)*, 28(4), 2012.