Text Mining
Statistical Modeling of Textual Data
Lecture 1

Mattias Villani

Division of Statistics
Dept. of Computer and Information Science
Linköping University

Overview 'Statistics for Textual Data'

- Lecture 1
  - Language models and n-grams
  - Smoothing
  - Part-of-speech tagging
- Lecture 2
  - Text classification
- Lecture 3
  - Topic models

LANGUAGE MODELS - PREDICT THE NEXT WORD



Language models

- Let w_i denote the ith word in a sentence. Let $w_1^k = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_k$ denote a sentence of k words.
- The probability of a sentence

$$p(w_1^n) = p(w_1) \cdot p(w_2|w_1)p(w_3|w_1^2) \cdots p(w_n|w_1^{n-1})$$

- Probability distribution over the next word in a sentence:

$$p(w_k|w_1^{k-1})$$

- Example:

$$p(\text{mall}|\text{I like to go to the}) = 0.2$$

$$p(\text{school}|\text{I like to go to the}) = 0.001$$

- Add beginning of sentence tags <s>.

Unigram models

- **Bag-or-words model** (**unigram**) ignores the previous words:

$$p(w_n|w_1, ..., w_{n-1}) = p(w_n)$$

- $p(w_n)$ can be estimated by the relative frequency of the word w_n among all N words in the training corpus (**maximum likelihood**, **ML**).

$$\hat{p}_{ML}(w_n) = \frac{C(w_n)}{N}$$

- Simulating a text from a bag-of-words model gives rubbish.

Language models - nGrams

- The **bigram** model

$$p(w_n|w_1, ..., w_{n-1}) = p(w_n|w_{n-1})$$

- ML estimate:

$$\hat{p}(w_n|w_{n-1}) = \frac{\text{Number of times word } w_n \text{ follows directly after } w_{n-1}}{\text{Number of times } w_{n-1} \text{appears in the text}}$$

- Alternative formulation

$$\hat{p}(w_n|w_{n-1}) = \frac{C(w_{n-1}, w_n)}{C(w_{n-1})}$$

- The bigram language model can therefore be estimated from unigram and bigram counts.
- **Trigram model**: $p(w_n|w_{n-1}, w_{n-2})$ and so on.

nGram models in NLTK

- `nltk.bigrams()`
- `nltk.trigrams()`
- `nGramModel = nltk.NgramModel(2,text7)` # Training a bigram model from text7
- `nGramModel.generate(num_words=50)` # Simulate a text with 50 words from the model.

The sparsity problem - unigram case

- Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) for unigram model:

$$\hat{p}_{ML}(w_n) = \frac{C(w_1)}{N}$$

  where N is the number of words in training corpus.
- Problem with MLE: words not in training corpus are deemed impossible!

$$C(w_1) = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \hat{p}_{ML}(w_n) = 0$$

- Fixing the MLE: **add-one smoothing** (**Laplace smoothing**)

$$Pr_{Lap}(w_1) = \frac{C(w_1) + 1}{N + V},$$

  where V is the number of words in vocabulary.
- Evaluating language models by **Perplexity** (**PP**)

$$\text{PP} = \sqrt[N]{\frac{1}{P(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_N)}} = \sqrt[N]{\prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{P(w_i | w_1 \cdots w_{i-1})}}$$

The sparsity problem - nGrams

- **Bigrams** looks for pairs of consequtive words $w_1 w_2$. The number of possible outcomes is now $B = V^2$.
- n-grams can have a **huge outcome space** $B = V^n$. Lots of n-grams are unseen in training corpus. **Sparsity** problems!
- **Add-one smoothing for n-grams**

$$Pr_{Lap}(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n) = \frac{C(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n) + 1}{N + B},$$

where $C(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n)$ is the number of n-grams $w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$ in the training corpus.

- But who put the 1 in add-one smoothing?

LIKELIHOOD INFERENCE FOR MULTINOMIAL DATA

- **Data**: $y = (n_1, ...n_B)$, where n_b counts the number of observations in the bth category. $\sum_{j=1}^{B} n_j = N$.
- Example: A recent survey among consumer smartphones owners in the U.S. showed that among the N =513 respondents:
  - $n_1 = 180$ owned an iPhone
  - $n_2 = 230$ owned an Android phone
  - $n_3 = 62$ owned a Blackberry phone
  - $n_4 = 41$ owned some other mobile phone.
- Let $\theta_1 = Pr(\text{owns iPhone})$, $\theta_2 = Pr(\text{owns Android})$ etc
- **Likelihood**

$$p(n_1, n_2, ..., n_B | \theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_B) = const \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{B} \theta_j^{n_j}$$

- **Maximum likelihood** (ML) estimator

$$\hat{\theta}_b = \frac{n_b}{N}$$

Bayesian smoothing for multinomial data

- **Maximum likelihood** (ML) estimator

$$\hat{\theta}_b = \frac{n_b}{N}$$

- **ML problematic when data is sparse**. $n_b = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_b = 0$.
- **Smoothing** using a **Bayesian prior**.
- Prior: $\theta \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_B)$ with density

$$p(\theta_1, \theta_2, ..., \theta_B) \propto \prod_{j=1}^{B} \theta_j^{\alpha_j - 1}.$$

- **Expected value** and **variance** of the *Dirichlet*$(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_B)$ distribution

$$\text{E}(\theta_b) = \frac{\alpha_b}{\sum_{j=1}^{B} \alpha_j} \qquad \text{V}(\theta_b) = \frac{\text{E}(\theta_b)\left[1 - \text{E}(\theta_b)\right]}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{B} \alpha_j}$$

- Note that $\sum_{j=1}^{B} \alpha_j$ is a **precision** parameter.

Bayesian smoothing for multinomial data

- **Posterior** distribution (Likelihood $\times$Prior)

$$Posterior: \quad \theta|n_1, ..., n_B \sim \text{Dirichlet}(n_1 + \alpha_1, ..., n_B + \alpha_B)$$

- **Posterior expected value**

$$E(\theta_b|n_1, ..., n_B) = \frac{n_b + \alpha_b}{N + \sum_{j=1}^{B} \alpha_j}$$

- **Add-one (Laplace) smoothing** obtained with uniform prior $\alpha_1 = ... = \alpha_B = 1$

$$E(\theta_b|n_1, ..., n_B) = \frac{n_b + 1}{N + B}$$

where $B = V^n$.

- Not a great solution when $B >> N$. Too much probability mass on unseen words.
- Uniform prior distribution over all n-grams is stupid.

Other smoothing methods

- **Linear interpolation** combines trigram, bigram and unigrams:

$$\hat{p}_{LI}(w_n|w_{n-1}, w_{n-2}) = \lambda_1 \hat{p}(w_n|w_{n-1}, w_{n-2}) + \lambda_2 \hat{p}(w_n|w_{n-1}) + \lambda_3 \hat{p}(w_n)$$

- The parameters λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 can be chosen by cross-validation.
- **Katz back-off** N-gram model: use N-gram if available, otherwise back-off to $N-1$ gram:

$$\hat{p}_{katz}(w_n|w_{n-N+1}^{n-1}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \hat{p}(w_n|w_{n-N+1}^{n-1}) & \texttt{if } C(w_{n-N+1}^{n}) > 0 \\ \alpha(w_{n-N+1}^{n-1}) \cdot \hat{p}_{katz}(w_n|w_{n-N+2}^{n-1}) & \texttt{otherwise} \end{array} \right\}$$

- Class-based N-grams: use word classes to better distribute probability mass to unseen trigrams. Verb-Verb-Verb is not a likely sequence.

Part-of-speech tagging

- **Part-of-Speech** (**POS**) or **word classes** - verb, noun, adjective, preposition etc:
- Examples from 45-tag Penn Treebank:
  - JJ - **Adjective**. JJR - comparative. JJS - superlative
  - NN - **Noun**, singular or mass, NNS - plural NNP - Proper noun, singular NNPS - Proper noun, plural
  - VB - **Verb**, base form. VBD - past tense.
- Brown corpus in NLTK: **The**/at **Fulton**/np-tl **County**/**nn-tl** **Grand**/jj-tl **Jury**/nn-tl **said**/vbd **Friday**/nr ...
- nltk.corpus.brown.tagged_words(simplify_tags=True): [('**The**', 'DET'), ('**Fulton**', 'N'), ('**County**', 'N'), ...]
- `nltk.pos_tag(myText)` [first `nltk.word_tokenize(myText)`]

A PROBABILISTIC MODEL FOR POS TAGGING

- **POS tagging**: determine the sequence of POS tags

$$t_1^n = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$$

for the words in the sentence

$$w_1^n = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$$

- Note: each word gets a POS tag

$$\begin{array}{cccc} w_1 & w_2 & \cdots & w_n \\ t_1 & t_2 & \cdots & t_n \end{array}$$

- Aim: posterior distribution of the tags

$$p(t_1^n | w_1^n)$$

- Or perhaps sufficient with posterior mode

$$\underset{t_1^n}{\operatorname{argmax}}\, p(t_1^n | w_1^n)$$

A probabilistic model for POS tagging, cont.

- Bayes theorem:

$$p(t_1^n|w_1^n) = \frac{p(w_1^n|t_1^n)p(t_1^n)}{p(w_1^n)}$$

- Since $p(w_1^n)$ does not depend on t_1^n, we can use

$$p(t_1^n|w_1^n) \propto p(w_1^n|t_1^n)p(t_1^n)$$

- Problem: outcome space of t_1^n is enormous. Example: $n = 5$ with 45-tag set: $45^5 = 184528125$.
- Example

	I	am	great	at	grammar	$p(t_1^n\|w_1^n)$
	t_1	t_2	t_3	t_4	t_5	0.001
1	*JJ*	*VB*	*JJ*	*VB*	*VBD*	0.002
2	*VB*	*VB*	*JJ*	*JJ*	*VBD*	0.002
3	*NN*	*JJ*	*NNP*	*VB*	*JJ*	0.005
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
45^5	*JJ*	*VB*	*DT*	*VB*	*NN*	0.003

A probabilistic model for POS tagging, cont.

- Two simplifying assumptions makes the problem manageable.
- **Assumption 1**: **each word depends only on its tag**:

$$p(w_1^n|t_1^n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(w_i|t_i)$$

- **Assumption 2**: **Bigram assumption** for the **tags**:

$$p(t_1^n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(t_i|t_{i-1})$$

- **Hidden Markov model (HMM)**.

MARKOV MODEL FOR POS TAGS - HMM MODEL



OBSERVATION LIKELIHOODS - HMM MODEL



Part-of-speech tagging, cont.

- The POS prior

$$p(t_1^n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(t_i|t_{i-1})$$

  can be estimated as a bigram model from a tagged corpus.
- The word distribution $p(w_i|t_i)$ can be estimated by

$$\hat{p}(w_i|t_i) = \frac{C(t_i, w_i)}{C(t_i)}$$

- The solution to the prediction (parsing) problem

$$\text{argmax}_{t_1^n} p(t_1^n|w_1^n)$$

  can be found by the **Viterbi** algorithm.
- NLTK: `nltk.parse.viterbi(myText)`
- Gibbs sampling can be used to draw samples from the posterior

$$p(t_1^n|w_1^n)$$