Report on excess all-cause Mortality estimation in India during COVID-19

Mansi Sanchaniya

April 2024

1 Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has brought mortality statistics to the forefront, necessitating a deeper understanding of its impact. While official COVID-19 death counts provide valuable information, they may not capture the full extent of the pandemic's consequences. Excess mortality, the difference between observed and expected deaths, offers a more comprehensive measure. This report delves into the systematic review of excess all-cause mortality estimation studies in India during the COVID-19 pandemic, shedding light on the methodologies used, the challenges faced, and the implications for public health policy.

2 Context and Importance

Mortality statistics are fundamental for assessing the magnitude of the COVID-19 pandemic. However, variations in reporting standards, testing capabilities, and definitions of COVID-19-related deaths have created challenges in accurately quantifying its impact. Excess mortality estimation provides a more objective and comparative measure, accounting for all-cause deaths irrespective of COVID-19 status. In India, where data availability and reporting mechanisms vary widely across states, understanding excess mortality is crucial for devising targeted interventions and allocating resources effectively.

3 Methodology

The systematic review followed established guidelines, including PRISMA and SWiM, to identify and evaluate studies estimating excess mortality in India during the pandemic. A two-step search strategy encompassed both database searches and manual screening. Thirteen studies meeting predefined criteria were selected, and data extraction was conducted independently by two investigators. A quality assessment scale was employed to evaluate the rigor of each study, considering factors such as data sources, modeling techniques, and validation methods.

4 Findings and Analysis

The review revealed significant heterogeneity in the methodologies employed by the selected studies. While most models were based on Poisson regression, variations existed in data sources, population coverage, and modeling strategies. Predicted excess mortality ranged widely, from 1.1 to 9.5 million, reflecting the inherent uncertainties and assumptions involved in such estimations. Quality assessment highlighted common shortcomings, including inadequate validation, data inconsistencies, and reliance on limited data sources.

5 Discussion

The discrepancies in excess mortality estimates underscore the complexities of modeling mortality during a pandemic, especially in a diverse country like India. Challenges such as data availability, reporting delays, and the evolving nature of the pandemic pose significant obstacles to accurate estimation. Moreover, the lack of standardized methodologies and quality control measures across studies hampers comparability and undermines the reliability of estimates.

6 Implications and Recommendations

Addressing the challenges of excess mortality estimation requires a concerted effort from policymakers, researchers, and public health authorities. Improving data collection and reporting mechanisms, enhancing collaboration between states, and implementing standardized methodologies are essential steps toward obtaining reliable estimates. Additionally, investing in real-time mortality surveillance systems and promoting transparency in modeling practices can enhance the credibility and utility of excess mortality estimates for guiding public health interventions.

7 Conclusion

The systematic review provides valuable insights into the complexities of estimating excess mortality during the COVID-19 pandemic in India. While the diversity of methodologies reflects the evolving nature of research in this field, it also highlights the need for standardized approaches and rigorous quality control measures. By addressing the identified challenges and implementing the recommended strategies, India can better assess the true impact of the pandemic and formulate evidence-based responses to mitigate its effects on public health and well-being.

8 Summary

To summarize the paper in short we can say that:
This paper presents a systematic review of excess all-cause mortality estimation studies conducted in India during the COVID-19 pandemic. With mortality statistics being fundamental for understanding the pandemic's magnitude, researchers have turned to excess mortality estimation, which considers all deaths regardless of COVID-19 status. The review, encompassing 13 selected studies, reveals significant variations in methodologies, data sources, and predicted excess mortality figures. While most models relied on Poisson regression, estimates ranged widely from 1.1 to 9.5 million, highlighting the uncertainties inherent in such estimations. The quality assessment identified common shortcomings, including inadequate validation and data inconsistencies, underscoring the challenges of accurately quantifying excess mortality during a pandemic.

Despite the heterogeneity in methodologies, the review underscores the importance of excess mortality estimation for informing public health policy and resource allocation in India. The discrepancies in estimates reflect the complexities of modeling mortality amidst evolving pandemic dynamics and data limitations. To address these challenges, the paper recommends improving data collection and reporting mechanisms, enhancing collaboration between states, and implementing standardized methodologies. By investing in real-time mortality surveillance systems and promoting transparency in modeling practices, India can better assess the true impact of the pandemic and formulate evidence-based responses to mitigate its effects on public health and well-being.