

A Generalization of the Cauchy–Davenport theorem

Mantas Bakšys
University of Cambridge
`mb2412@cam.ac.uk`

November 1, 2024

Abstract

The Cauchy–Davenport theorem is a fundamental result in additive combinatorics. It was originally independently discovered by Cauchy [2] and Davenport [3] and has been formalized in the AFP entry [1] as a corollary of Kneser’s theorem. More recently, many generalizations of this theorem have been found. In this entry, we formalise a generalization due to DeVos [4], which proves the theorem in a non-abelian setting.

Contents

1	Preliminaries on well-orderings, groups, and sumsets	3
1.1	Well-ordering lemmas	3
1.2	Pointwise set multiplication in a monoid: definition and key lemmas	4
1.3	Exponentiation in a monoid: definitions and lemmas	6
1.4	Definition of the order of an element in a monoid	11
1.5	Sumset scalar multiplication cardinality lemmas	11
1.6	Pointwise set multiplication in a group: auxiliary lemmas	13
2	Generalized Cauchy–Davenport Theorem: main proof	16
2.1	The counterexample pair relation in [4]	16
2.2	$p(G)$ – the order of the smallest nontrivial finite subgroup of a group : definition and lemmas	17
2.3	Proof of the Generalized Cauchy–Davenport Theorem for (non-abelian) groups	18

1 Preliminaries on well-orderings, groups, and sum-sets

theory *Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-preliminaries*

imports

Complex-Main

Jacobson-Basic-Algebra.Group-Theory

begin

1.1 Well-ordering lemmas

lemma *wf-prod-lex-fibers-inter:*

fixes $r :: ('a \times 'a) \text{ set}$ **and** $s :: ('b \times 'b) \text{ set}$ **and** $f :: 'c \Rightarrow 'a$ **and** $g :: 'c \Rightarrow 'b$

and

$t :: ('c \times 'c) \text{ set}$

assumes $h1: wf ((inv\text{-}image\ r\ f) \cap t)$ **and**

$h2: \bigwedge a. a \in range\ f \implies wf (\{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap (inv\text{-}image\ s\ g)) \cap t)$ **and**

$h3: trans\ t$

shows $wf ((inv\text{-}image\ (r <*\text{lex}*> s) (\lambda c. (f\ c, g\ c))) \cap t)$

proof –

have $h4: (\bigcup a \in range\ f. (\{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap (inv\text{-}image\ s\ g)) \cap t)$

$=$

$(\bigcup a \in range\ f. (\{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap (inv\text{-}image\ s\ g))) \cap t$ **by** *blast*

have $(inv\text{-}image\ (r <*\text{lex}*> s) (\lambda c. (f\ c, g\ c))) \cap t = (inv\text{-}image\ r\ f \cap t) \cup$

$(\bigcup a \in range\ f. \{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap (inv\text{-}image\ s\ g) \cap t)$

proof

show $inv\text{-}image\ (r <*\text{lex}*> s) (\lambda c. (f\ c, g\ c)) \cap t$

$\subseteq inv\text{-}image\ r\ f \cap t \cup (\bigcup a \in range\ f. \{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap inv\text{-}image\ s\ g \cap t)$

proof

fix y **assume** $hy: y \in inv\text{-}image\ (r <*\text{lex}*> s) (\lambda c. (f\ c, g\ c)) \cap t$

then obtain $a\ b$ **where** $hx: y = (a, b)$ **and** $(f\ a, f\ b) \in r \vee (f\ a = f\ b \wedge (g\ a, g\ b) \in s)$

using *in-inv-image in-lex-prod Int-iff SigmaE UNIV-Times-UNIV inf-top-right* **by** *(smt (z3))*

then show $y \in inv\text{-}image\ r\ f \cap t \cup (\bigcup a \in range\ f. \{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap inv\text{-}image\ s\ g \cap t)$

using hy **by** *auto*

qed

show $inv\text{-}image\ r\ f \cap t \cup (\bigcup a \in range\ f. \{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap inv\text{-}image\ s\ g \cap t) \subseteq$

$inv\text{-}image\ (r <*\text{lex}*> s) (\lambda c. (f\ c, g\ c)) \cap t$ **using** *Int-iff SUP-le-iff SigmaD1 SigmaD2*

in-inv-image in-lex-prod mem-Collect-eq subrelI **by** *force*

qed

moreover have $((inv\text{-}image\ r\ f) \cap t) \cap$

$(\bigcup a \in range\ f. (\{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap (inv\text{-}image\ s\ g)) \cap t) \subseteq$

```

(inv-image r f)  $\cap$  t
  using h3 trans-O-subset by fastforce
  moreover have wf ( $\bigcup a \in \text{range } f. \{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap (\text{inv-image } s\ g) \cap t$ )
  apply(rule wf-UN, auto simp add: h2)
  done
  ultimately show wf (inv-image (r <*<lex*> s) ( $\lambda\ c. (f\ c, g\ c)$ )  $\cap$  t)
  using wf-union-compatible[OF h1] by fastforce
qed

```

lemma wf-prod-lex-fibers:

```

fixes r :: ('a  $\times$  'a) set and s :: ('b  $\times$  'b) set and f :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'a and g :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'b
assumes h1: wf (inv-image r f) and
h2:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{range } f \implies wf (\{x. f\ x = a\} \times \{x. f\ x = a\} \cap (\text{inv-image } s\ g))$ 
shows wf (inv-image (r <*<lex*> s) ( $\lambda\ c. (f\ c, g\ c)$ ))
using assms trans-def wf-prod-lex-fibers-inter[of r f UNIV s g] inf-top-right
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) iso-tuple-UNIV-I)

```

context monoid

begin

1.2 Pointwise set multiplication in a monoid: definition and key lemmas

inductive-set smul :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set **for** A B

where

```

smul[intro]:  $\llbracket a \in A; a \in M; b \in B; b \in M \rrbracket \implies a \cdot b \in \text{smul } A\ B$ 

```

syntax smul :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set ((- \cdots -))

lemma smul-eq: $\text{smul } A\ B = \{c. \exists a \in A \cap M. \exists b \in B \cap M. c = a \cdot b\}$
by (auto simp: smul.simps elim!: smul.cases)

lemma smul: $\text{smul } A\ B = (\bigcup a \in A \cap M. \bigcup b \in B \cap M. \{a \cdot b\})$
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-subset-carrier: $\text{smul } A\ B \subseteq M$
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-Int-carrier [simp]: $\text{smul } A\ B \cap M = \text{smul } A\ B$
by (simp add: Int-absorb2 smul-subset-carrier)

lemma smul-mono: $\llbracket A' \subseteq A; B' \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies \text{smul } A'\ B' \subseteq \text{smul } A\ B$
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-insert1: NO-MATCH $\{x\}\ A \implies \text{smul } (\text{insert } x\ A)\ B = \text{smul } \{x\}\ B \cup \text{smul } A\ B$
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma *smul-insert2: NO-MATCH* $\{\} B \implies \text{smul } A (\text{insert } x B) = \text{smul } A \{x\} \cup \text{smul } A B$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-subset-Un1*: $\text{smul } (A \cup A') B = \text{smul } A B \cup \text{smul } A' B$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-subset-Un2*: $\text{smul } A (B \cup B') = \text{smul } A B \cup \text{smul } A B'$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-subset-Union1*: $\text{smul } (\bigcup A) B = (\bigcup a \in A. \text{smul } a B)$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-subset-Union2*: $\text{smul } A (\bigcup B) = (\bigcup b \in B. \text{smul } A b)$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-subset-insert*: $\text{smul } A B \subseteq \text{smul } A (\text{insert } x B) \text{ smul } A B \subseteq \text{smul } (\text{insert } x A) B$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-subset-Un*: $\text{smul } A B \subseteq \text{smul } A (B \cup C) \text{ smul } A B \subseteq \text{smul } (A \cup C) B$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-empty [simp]*: $\text{smul } A \{\} = \{\} \text{ smul } \{\} A = \{\}$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-empty'*:
assumes $A \cap M = \{\}$
shows $\text{smul } B A = \{\} \text{ smul } A B = \{\}$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-is-empty-iff [simp]*: $\text{smul } A B = \{\} \longleftrightarrow A \cap M = \{\} \vee B \cap M = \{\}$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-D [simp]*: $\text{smul } A \{\mathbf{1}\} = A \cap M \text{ smul } \{\mathbf{1}\} A = A \cap M$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-Int-carrier-eq [simp]*: $\text{smul } A (B \cap M) = \text{smul } A B \text{ smul } (A \cap M) B = \text{smul } A B$
by (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *smul-assoc*:
shows $\text{smul } (\text{smul } A B) C = \text{smul } A (\text{smul } B C)$
by (*fastforce simp add: smul-eq associative Bex-def*)

lemma *finite-smul*:
assumes *finite* A *finite* B **shows** *finite* $(\text{smul } A B)$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

lemma *finite-smul'*:

assumes *finite* ($A \cap M$) *finite* ($B \cap M$)

shows *finite* (*smul* $A B$)

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: smul-eq*)

1.3 Exponentiation in a monoid: definitions and lemmas

primrec *power* :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a (**infix** \wedge 100)

where

power0: *power* $g\ 0 = 1$

| *power-suc*: *power* $g\ (Suc\ n) = power\ g\ n \cdot g$

lemma *power-one*:

assumes $g \in M$

shows *power* $g\ 1 = g$ **using** *power-def power0 assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *power-mem-carrier*:

fixes n

assumes $g \in M$

shows $g \wedge n \in M$

apply (*induction n, auto simp add: assms power-def*)

done

lemma *power-mult*:

assumes $g \in M$

shows $g \wedge n \cdot g \wedge m = g \wedge (n + m)$

proof(*induction m*)

case 0

then show ?*case* **using** *assms power0 right-unit power-mem-carrier* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Suc m*)

assume $g \wedge n \cdot g \wedge m = g \wedge (n + m)$

then show ?*case* **using** *power-def* **by** (*smt (verit) add-Suc-right assms associative*

power-mem-carrier power-suc)

qed

lemma *mult-inverse-power*:

assumes $g \in M$ **and** *invertible* g

shows $g \wedge n \cdot ((inverse\ g) \wedge n) = 1$

proof(*induction n*)

case 0

then show ?*case* **using** *power-0* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc n*)

assume *hind*: $g \wedge n \cdot local.inverse\ g \wedge n = 1$

then have $g \wedge Suc\ n \cdot inverse\ g \wedge Suc\ n = (g \cdot g \wedge n) \cdot (inverse\ g \wedge n \cdot inverse$

g)
using *power-def power-mult assms* **by** (*smt (z3) add commute invertible-inverse-closed*
invertible-right-inverse left-unit monoid.associative monoid-axioms power-mem-carrier
power-suc)
then show *?case* **using** *associative power-mem-carrier assms hind* **by** (*smt*
(verit, del-insts)
composition-closed invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse right-unit)
qed

lemma *inverse-mult-power*:
assumes $g \in M$ **and** *invertible* g
shows $((\text{inverse } g) \wedge^n) \cdot g \wedge^n = \mathbf{1}$ **using** *assms* **by** (*metis invertible-inverse-closed*
invertible-inverse-inverse invertible-inverse-invertible mult-inverse-power)

lemma *inverse-mult-power-eq*:
assumes $g \in M$ **and** *invertible* g
shows $\text{inverse } (g \wedge^n) = (\text{inverse } g) \wedge^n$
using *assms inverse-equality* **by** (*simp add: inverse-mult-power mult-inverse-power*
power-mem-carrier)

definition *power-int* :: $'a \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixr** *powi* 80) **where**
 $\text{power-int } g \ n = (\text{if } n \geq 0 \text{ then } g \wedge (\text{nat } n) \text{ else } (\text{inverse } g) \wedge (\text{nat } (-n)))$

definition *nat-powers* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where** $\text{nat-powers } g = ((\lambda n. g \wedge^n) \text{ ` UNIV})$

lemma *nat-powers-eq-Union*: $\text{nat-powers } g = (\bigcup n. \{g \wedge n\})$ **using** *nat-powers-def*
by *auto*

definition *powers* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where** $\text{powers } g = ((\lambda n. g \text{ powi } n) \text{ ` UNIV})$

lemma *nat-powers-subset*:
 $\text{nat-powers } g \subseteq \text{powers } g$
proof
fix x **assume** $x \in \text{nat-powers } g$
then obtain n **where** $x = g \wedge n$ **and** $\text{nat } n = n$ **using** *nat-powers-def* **by** *auto*
then show $x \in \text{powers } g$ **using** *powers-def power-int-def*
by (*metis UNIV-I image-iff of-nat-0-le-iff*)
qed

lemma *inverse-nat-powers-subset*:
 $\text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g) \subseteq \text{powers } g$
proof
fix x **assume** $x \in \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g)$
then obtain n **where** $x = (\text{inverse } g) \wedge n$ **using** *nat-powers-def* **by** *blast*
then show $x \in \text{powers } g$
proof (*cases* $n = 0$)
case *True*

```

    then show ?thesis using hx power0 powers-def
    by (metis nat-powers-def nat-powers-subset rangeI subsetD)
next
  case False
  then have hpos:  $\neg (- \text{int } n) \geq 0$  by auto
  then have  $x = g \text{ powi } (- \text{int } n)$  using hx hpos power-int-def by simp
  then show ?thesis using powers-def by auto
qed
qed

lemma powers-eq-union-nat-powers:
  powers  $g = \text{nat-powers } g \cup \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g)$ 
proof
  show powers  $g \subseteq \text{nat-powers } g \cup \text{nat-powers } (\text{local.inverse } g)$ 
  using powers-def nat-powers-def power-int-def by auto
next
  show nat-powers  $g \cup \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g) \subseteq \text{powers } g$ 
  by (simp add: inverse-nat-powers-subset nat-powers-subset)
qed

lemma one-mem-nat-powers:  $1 \in \text{nat-powers } g$ 
  using rangeI power0 nat-powers-def by metis

lemma nat-powers-subset-carrier:
  assumes  $g \in M$ 
  shows nat-powers  $g \subseteq M$ 
  using nat-powers-def power-mem-carrier assms by auto

lemma nat-powers-mult-closed:
  assumes  $g \in M$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{nat-powers } g \implies y \in \text{nat-powers } g \implies x \cdot y \in \text{nat-powers } g$ 
  using nat-powers-def power-mult assms by auto

lemma nat-powers-inv-mult:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{nat-powers } g \implies y \in \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g) \implies x \cdot y \in \text{powers } g$ 
proof-
  fix  $x y$  assume  $x \in \text{nat-powers } g$  and  $y \in \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g)$ 
  then obtain  $n m$  where  $hx: x = g \wedge^n$  and  $hy: y = (\text{inverse } g) \wedge^m$  using
  nat-powers-def by blast
  show  $x \cdot y \in \text{powers } g$ 
  proof(cases  $n \geq m$ )
    case True
    then obtain  $k$  where  $n = k + m$  using add.commute le-Suc-ex by blast
    then have  $g \wedge^n \cdot (\text{inverse } g) \wedge^m = g \wedge^k$  using mult-inverse-power assms
    associative
    by (smt (verit) invertible-inverse-closed local.power-mult power-mem-carrier
    right-unit)
  qed

```



```

    then show ?thesis using hx hy powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-def by
auto
  next
    case False
    then obtain k where m = n + k by (metis leI less-imp-add-positive)
    then have  $g^{\wedge n} \cdot (\text{inverse } g)^{\wedge m} = (\text{inverse } g)^{\wedge k}$  using inverse-mult-power
assms associative
    by (smt (verit) left-unit local.power-mult monoid.invertible-inverse-closed
monoid-axioms
mult-inverse-power power-mem-carrier)
    then show ?thesis using hx hy powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-def by
auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma inv-nat-powers-mult:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible g
  shows  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g) \implies y \in \text{nat-powers } g \implies x \cdot y \in$ 
powers g
  by (metis assms invertible-inverse-closed invertible-inverse-inverse invertible-inverse-invertible
nat-powers-inv-mult powers-eq-union-nat-powers sup-commute)

```

```

lemma powers-mult-closed:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible g
  shows  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{powers } g \implies y \in \text{powers } g \implies x \cdot y \in \text{powers } g$ 
using powers-eq-union-nat-powers assms
nat-powers-mult-closed nat-powers-inv-mult inv-nat-powers-mult by fastforce

```

```

lemma nat-powers-submonoid:
  assumes  $g \in M$ 
  shows submonoid (nat-powers g) M ( $\cdot$ ) 1
  apply(unfold-locales)
  apply(auto simp add: assms nat-powers-mult-closed one-mem-nat-powers nat-powers-subset-carrier)
  done

```

```

lemma nat-powers-monoid:
  assumes  $g \in M$ 
  shows monoid (nat-powers g) ( $\cdot$ ) 1
  using nat-powers-submonoid assms by (smt (verit) monoid.intro associative
left-unit
one-mem-nat-powers nat-powers-mult-closed right-unit submonoid.sub)

```

```

lemma powers-submonoid:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible g
  shows submonoid (powers g) M ( $\cdot$ ) 1
proof
  show  $\text{powers } g \subseteq M$  using powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-subset-carrier
assms by auto
next

```

```

  show  $\bigwedge a \ b. a \in \text{powers } g \implies b \in \text{powers } g \implies a \cdot b \in \text{powers } g$ 
    using powers-mult-closed assms by auto
next
  show  $1 \in \text{powers } g$  using powers-eq-union-nat-powers one-mem-nat-powers by
auto
qed

lemma powers-monoid:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$ 
  shows monoid (powers  $g$ ) ( $\cdot$ )  $1$ 
  by (smt (verit) monoid.intro Un-iff assms associative in-mono invertible-inverse-closed

    monoid.left-unit monoid.right-unit nat-powers-monoid powers-eq-union-nat-powers

    powers-mult-closed powers-submonoid submonoid.sub-unit-closed submonoid.subset)

lemma mem-nat-powers-invertible:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$  and  $u \in \text{nat-powers } g$ 
  shows monoid.invertible (powers  $g$ ) ( $\cdot$ )  $1$   $u$ 
  proof-
    obtain  $n$  where  $hu: u = g \wedge n$  using assms nat-powers-def by blast
    then have inverse  $u \in \text{powers } g$  using assms inverse-mult-power-eq
      powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-def by auto
    then show ?thesis using hu assms by (metis in-mono inverse-mult-power in-
      verse-mult-power-eq
        monoid.invertibleI monoid.nat-powers-subset monoid.powers-monoid monoid-axioms
        mult-inverse-power)
  qed

lemma mem-nat-inv-powers-invertible:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$  and  $u \in \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g)$ 
  shows monoid.invertible (powers  $g$ ) ( $\cdot$ )  $1$   $u$ 
  using assms by (metis inf-sup-aci(5) invertible-inverse-closed invertible-inverse-inverse

    invertible-inverse-invertible mem-nat-powers-invertible powers-eq-union-nat-powers)

lemma powers-group:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$ 
  shows group (powers  $g$ ) ( $\cdot$ )  $1$ 
  proof(auto simp add: group-def Group-Theory.group-axioms-def assms powers-monoid)
    show  $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{powers } g \implies \text{monoid.invertible } (\text{powers } g) (\cdot) 1 u$  using assms
      mem-nat-inv-powers-invertible mem-nat-powers-invertible powers-eq-union-nat-powers
  by auto
qed

lemma nat-powers-ne-one:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and  $g \neq 1$ 
  shows  $\text{nat-powers } g \neq \{1\}$ 
  proof-

```

```

    have  $g \in \text{nat-powers } g$  using  $\text{power-one nat-powers-def assms rangeI}$  by  $\text{metis}$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $assms$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
qed

lemma powers-ne-one:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and  $g \neq 1$ 
  shows  $\text{powers } g \neq \{1\}$  using  $assms \text{ nat-powers-ne-one}$ 
  by ( $\text{metis all-not-in-conv nat-powers-subset one-mem-nat-powers subset-singleton-iff}$ )

end

context group

begin

lemma powers-subgroup:
  assumes  $g \in G$ 
  shows subgroup ( $\text{powers } g$ )  $G$  ( $\cdot$ )  $1$ 
  by ( $\text{simp add: assms powers-group powers-submonoid subgroup.intro}$ )

end

context monoid

begin

```

1.4 Definition of the order of an element in a monoid

```

definition order
  where  $\text{order } g = (\text{if } (\exists n. n > 0 \wedge g \wedge^n = 1) \text{ then } \text{Min } \{n. g \wedge^n = 1 \wedge n > 0\} \text{ else } 0)$ 

definition min-order where  $\text{min-order} = \text{Min } ((\text{order } ` M) - \{0\})$ 

end

```

1.5 Sumset scalar multiplication cardinality lemmas

```

context group

begin

lemma card-smul-singleton-right-eq:
  assumes finite  $A$  shows  $\text{card } (\text{smul } A \ \{a\}) = (\text{if } a \in G \text{ then } \text{card } (A \cap G) \text{ else } 0)$ 
proof (cases  $a \in G$ )
  case True
  then have  $\text{smul } A \ \{a\} = (\lambda x. x \cdot a) ` (A \cap G)$ 
    by ( $\text{auto simp: smul-eq}$ )
  moreover have inj-on  $(\lambda x. x \cdot a) (A \cap G)$ 

```

```

    by (auto simp: inj-on-def True)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis True card-image)
qed (auto simp: smul-eq)

```

```

lemma card-smul-singleton-left-eq:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul {a} A) = (if a ∈ G then card (A ∩ G) else
  0)
proof (cases a ∈ G)
  case True
  then have smul {a} A = (λx. a · x) ` (A ∩ G)
    by (auto simp: smul-eq)
  moreover have inj-on (λx. a · x) (A ∩ G)
    by (auto simp: inj-on-def True)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis True card-image)
qed (auto simp: smul-eq)

```

```

lemma card-smul-sing-right-le:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul A {a}) ≤ card A
  by (simp add: assms card-mono card-smul-singleton-right-eq)

```

```

lemma card-smul-sing-left-le:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul {a} A) ≤ card A
  by (simp add: assms card-mono card-smul-singleton-left-eq)

```

```

lemma card-le-smul-right:
  assumes A: finite A a ∈ A a ∈ G
    and B: finite B B ⊆ G
  shows card B ≤ card (smul A B)
proof -
  have B ⊆ (λ x. (inverse a) · x) ` smul A B
    using A B
    apply (clarsimp simp: smul image-iff)
    using Int-absorb2 Int-iff invertible invertible-left-inverse2 by metis
  with A B show ?thesis
    by (meson finite-smul surj-card-le)
qed

```

```

lemma card-le-smul-left:
  assumes A: finite A b ∈ B b ∈ G
    and B: finite B A ⊆ G
  shows card A ≤ card (smul A B)
proof -
  have A ⊆ (λ x. x · (inverse b)) ` smul A B
    using A B
    apply (clarsimp simp: smul image-iff associative)
    using Int-absorb2 Int-iff invertible invertible-right-inverse assms(5) by (metis
  right-unit)

```

with $A \ B$ show *?thesis*
 by (meson finite-smul surj-card-le)
 qed

lemma *infinite-smul-right*:
 assumes $A \cap G \neq \{\}$ and *infinite* ($B \cap G$)
 shows *infinite* ($A \cdots B$)
 proof
 assume *hfin*: *finite* (*smul* $A \ B$)
 obtain a where ha : $a \in A \cap G$ using *assms* by *auto*
 then have *finite* (*smul* $\{a\} \ B$) using *hfin* by (metis *Int-Un-eq(1)* *finite-subset* *insert-is-Un* *mk-disjoint-insert smul-subset-Un(2)*)
 moreover have $B \cap G \subseteq (\lambda x. \text{inverse } a \cdot x) \text{ ` } \text{smul } \{a\} \ B$
 proof
 fix b assume hb : $b \in B \cap G$
 then have $b = \text{inverse } a \cdot (a \cdot b)$ using *associative* ha by (simp add: *invertible-left-inverse2*)
 then show $b \in (\lambda x. \text{inverse } a \cdot x) \text{ ` } \text{smul } \{a\} \ B$ using *smul.simps* hb ha by *blast*
 qed
 ultimately show *False* using *assms* using *finite-surj* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *infinite-smul-left*:
 assumes $B \cap G \neq \{\}$ and *infinite* ($A \cap G$)
 shows *infinite* ($A \cdots B$)
 proof
 assume *hfin*: *finite* (*smul* $A \ B$)
 obtain b where hb : $b \in B \cap G$ using *assms* by *auto*
 then have *finite* (*smul* $A \ \{b\}$) using *hfin* by (simp add: *rev-finite-subset smul-mono*)
 moreover have $A \cap G \subseteq (\lambda x. x \cdot \text{inverse } b) \text{ ` } \text{smul } A \ \{b\}$
 proof
 fix a assume ha : $a \in A \cap G$
 then have $a = (a \cdot b) \cdot \text{inverse } b$ using *associative* hb
 by (metis *IntD2* *invertible* *invertible-inverse-closed* *invertible-right-inverse* *right-unit*)
 then show $a \in (\lambda x. x \cdot \text{inverse } b) \text{ ` } \text{smul } A \ \{b\}$ using *smul.simps* hb ha by *blast*
 qed
 ultimately show *False* using *assms* using *finite-surj* by *blast*
 qed

1.6 Pointwise set multiplication in a group: auxiliary lemmas

lemma *set-inverse-composition-commute*:
 assumes $X \subseteq G$ and $Y \subseteq G$
 shows *inverse* ` ($X \cdots Y$) = (*inverse* ` Y) \cdots (*inverse* ` X)

```

proof
  show  $\text{inverse} \, ' (X \cdots Y) \subseteq (\text{inverse} \, ' Y) \cdots (\text{inverse} \, ' X)$ 
proof
  fix  $z$  assume  $z \in \text{inverse} \, ' (X \cdots Y)$ 
  then obtain  $x \, y$  where  $z = \text{inverse} \, (x \cdot y)$  and  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ 
    by (smt (verit) image-iff smul.cases)
  then show  $z \in (\text{inverse} \, ' Y) \cdots (\text{inverse} \, ' X)$ 
    using inverse-composition-commute assms
    by (smt (verit) image-eqI in-mono inverse-equality invertible invertibleE
smul.simps)
qed
show  $(\text{inverse} \, ' Y) \cdots (\text{inverse} \, ' X) \subseteq \text{inverse} \, ' (X \cdots Y)$ 
proof
  fix  $z$  assume  $z \in (\text{inverse} \, ' Y) \cdots (\text{inverse} \, ' X)$ 
  then obtain  $x \, y$  where  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  and  $z = \text{inverse} \, y \cdot \text{inverse} \, x$ 
    using smul.cases image-iff by blast
  then show  $z \in \text{inverse} \, ' (X \cdots Y)$  using inverse-composition-commute assms
smul.simps
    by (smt (verit) image-iff in-mono invertible)
qed
qed

lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-nat-powers:
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $X \subseteq G$  and  $g \in G$  and  $X \cdots \{g\} = X$ 
  shows  $X \cdots \{g^{\wedge n}\} = X$ 
proof(induction n)
  case 0
    then show ?case using power-def assms by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
    assume  $hXn: X \cdots \{g^{\wedge n}\} = X$ 
    moreover have  $X \cdots \{g^{\wedge \text{Suc } n}\} = (X \cdots \{g^{\wedge n}\}) \cdots \{g\}$ 
    proof
      show  $X \cdots \{g^{\wedge \text{Suc } n}\} \subseteq (X \cdots \{g^{\wedge n}\}) \cdots \{g\}$ 
      proof
        fix  $z$  assume  $z \in X \cdots \{g^{\wedge \text{Suc } n}\}$ 
        then obtain  $x$  where  $z = x \cdot (g^{\wedge \text{Suc } n})$  and  $hx: x \in X$  using smul.simps
by auto
        then have  $z = (x \cdot g^{\wedge n}) \cdot g$  using assms associative by (simp add: in-mono
power-mem-carrier)
        then show  $z \in (X \cdots \{g^{\wedge n}\}) \cdots \{g\}$  using hx assms
          by (simp add: power-mem-carrier smul.smulI subsetD)
      qed
    next
    show  $(X \cdots \{g^{\wedge n}\}) \cdots \{g\} \subseteq X \cdots \{g^{\wedge \text{Suc } n}\}$ 
    proof
      fix  $z$  assume  $z \in (X \cdots \{g^{\wedge n}\}) \cdots \{g\}$ 
      then obtain  $x$  where  $z = (x \cdot g^{\wedge n}) \cdot g$  and  $hx: x \in X$  using smul.simps

```

```

by auto
  then have  $z = x \cdot g^{\wedge \text{Suc } n}$ 
  using power-def associative power-mem-carrier assms by (simp add: in-mono)
  then show  $z \in X \cdots \{g^{\wedge \text{Suc } n}\}$  using hx assms
  by (simp add: power-mem-carrier smul.smulI subsetD)
qed
qed
ultimately show ?case using assms by simp
qed

lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-inverse-nat-powers:
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $X \subseteq G$  and  $g \in G$  and  $X \cdots \{g\} = X$ 
  shows  $X \cdots \{(inverse\ g)^{\wedge n}\} = X$ 
proof -
  have  $(X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse\ g\} = X$ 
  proof
    show  $(X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse\ g\} \subseteq X$ 
    proof
      fix  $z$  assume  $z \in (X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse\ g\}$ 
      then obtain  $y\ x$  where  $y \in X \cdots \{g\}$  and  $z = y \cdot inverse\ g$  and  $x \in X$ 
    and  $y = x \cdot g$ 
      using assms smul.simps by (metis empty-iff insert-iff)
      then show  $z \in X$  using assms by (simp add: associative subset-eq)
    qed
  next
    show  $X \subseteq (X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse\ g\}$ 
    proof
      fix  $x$  assume hx:  $x \in X$ 
      then have  $x = x \cdot g \cdot inverse\ g$  using assms by (simp add: associative
subset-iff)
      then show  $x \in (X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse\ g\}$  using assms smul.simps hx by
auto
    qed
  qed
  then have  $X \cdots \{inverse\ g\} = X$  using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis using assms by (simp add: smul-singleton-eq-contains-nat-powers)
qed

lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-powers:
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $X \subseteq G$  and  $g \in G$  and  $X \cdots \{g\} = X$ 
  shows  $X \cdots (\text{powers } g) = X$  using powers-eq-union-nat-powers smul-subset-Union2

  nat-powers-eq-Union smul-singleton-eq-contains-nat-powers
  smul-singleton-eq-contains-inverse-nat-powers assms smul-subset-Un2 by auto

end

```

end

2 Generalized Cauchy–Davenport Theorem: main proof

theory *Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-main-proof*

imports

Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-preliminaries

begin

context *group*

begin

2.1 The counterexample pair relation in [4]

definition *devos-rel* **where**

$devos-rel = (\lambda (A, B). card(A \cdots B)) < *mlex* > (inv-image (\{(n, m). n > m\} < *lex* >$

$measure (\lambda (A, B). card A))) (\lambda (A, B). (card A + card B, (A, B)))$

lemma *devos-rel-iff*:

$((A, B), (C, D)) \in devos-rel \longleftrightarrow card(A \cdots B) < card(C \cdots D) \vee$

$(card(A \cdots B) = card(C \cdots D) \wedge card A + card B > card C + card D) \vee$

$(card(A \cdots B) = card(C \cdots D) \wedge card A + card B = card C + card D \wedge card A < card C)$

using *devos-rel-def mlex-iff* [of - - $\lambda (A, B). card(A \cdots B)$] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *devos-rel-le-smul*:

$((A, B), (C, D)) \in devos-rel \implies card(A \cdots B) \leq card(C \cdots D)$

using *devos-rel-iff* **by** *fastforce*

Lemma stating that the above relation due to DeVos is well-founded

lemma *devos-rel-wf* : *wf (Restr devos-rel*

$\{(A, B). finite A \wedge A \neq \{\} \wedge A \subseteq G \wedge finite B \wedge B \neq \{\} \wedge B \subseteq G\}$ **(is** *wf*

(Restr devos-rel ?fin))

proof–

define *f* **where** $f = (\lambda (A, B). card(A \cdots B))$

define *g* **where** $g = (\lambda (A :: 'a set, B :: 'a set). (card A + card B, (A, B)))$

define *h* **where** $h = (\lambda (A :: 'a set, B :: 'a set). card A + card B)$

define *s* **where** $s = (\{(n :: nat, m :: nat). n > m\} < *lex* > measure (\lambda (A :: 'a set, B :: 'a set). card A))$

have *hle2f*: $\bigwedge x. x \in ?fin \implies h x \leq 2 * f x$

proof–

fix *x* **assume** *hx*: $x \in ?fin$

then obtain *A B* **where** *hxAB*: $x = (A, B)$ **by** *blast*

then have $card A \leq card (A \cdots B)$ **and** $card B \leq card (A \cdots B)$

using *card-le-smul-left card-le-smul-right hx* **by** *auto*


```

    then show  $h\ x \leq 2 * f\ x$  using  $hxAB\ h\text{-def}\ f\text{-def}$  by force
  qed
  have  $wf\ (Restr\ (measure\ f)\ ?fin)$  by (simp add:  $wf\text{-Int1}$ )
  moreover have  $\bigwedge a. a \in range\ f \implies wf\ (Restr\ (Restr\ (inv\text{-image}\ s\ g)\ \{x. f\ x = a\})\ ?fin)$ 
  proof-
    fix  $y$  assume  $y \in range\ f$ 
    then show  $wf\ (Restr\ (Restr\ (inv\text{-image}\ s\ g)\ \{x. f\ x = y\})\ ?fin)$ 
    proof-
      have  $Restr\ (\{x. f\ x = y\} \times \{x. f\ x = y\} \cap (inv\text{-image}\ s\ g))\ ?fin \subseteq$ 
         $Restr\ (((\lambda x. 2 * f\ x - h\ x) <*mlex*> measure\ (\lambda (A :: 'a\ set), B :: 'a\ set).$ 
 $card\ A)) \cap$ 
         $\{x. f\ x = y\} \times \{x. f\ x = y\}\ ?fin$ 
      proof
        fix  $z$  assume  $hz: z \in Restr\ (\{x. f\ x = y\} \times \{x. f\ x = y\} \cap (inv\text{-image}\ s\ g))$ 
 $?fin$ 
        then obtain  $a\ b$  where  $hzab: z = (a, b)$  and  $f\ a = y$  and  $f\ b = y$  and
           $h\ a > h\ b \vee h\ a = h\ b \wedge (a, b) \in measure\ (\lambda (A, B). card\ A)$  and
           $a \in ?fin$  and  $b \in ?fin$ 
        using  $s\text{-def}\ g\text{-def}\ h\text{-def}$  by force
        then obtain  $2 * f\ a - h\ a < 2 * f\ b - h\ b \vee$ 
           $2 * f\ a - h\ a = 2 * f\ b - h\ b \wedge (a, b) \in measure\ (\lambda (A, B). card\ A)$ 
        using  $hle2f$  by (smt (verit)  $diff\text{-less-mono2}\ le\text{-antisym}\ nat\text{-less-le}$ )
        then show  $z \in Restr\ (((\lambda x. 2 * f\ x - h\ x) <*mlex*> measure\ (\lambda (A, B).$ 
 $card\ A)) \cap$ 
           $\{x. f\ x = y\} \times \{x. f\ x = y\})\ ?fin$  using  $hzab\ hz$  by (simp add:  $mlex\text{-iff}$ )
        qed
        moreover have  $wf\ ((\lambda x. 2 * f\ x - h\ x) <*mlex*> measure\ (\lambda (A, B). card\ A))$ 
          by (simp add:  $wf\text{-mlex}$ )
        ultimately show  $?thesis$  by (simp add:  $Int\text{-commute}\ wf\text{-Int1}\ wf\text{-subset}$ )
      qed
    qed
  moreover have  $trans\ (?fin \times ?fin)$  using  $trans\text{-def}$  by fast
  ultimately have  $wf\ (Restr\ (inv\text{-image}\ (less\text{-than}\ <*lex*> s)\ (\lambda c. (f\ c, g\ c)))\ ?fin)$ 
    using  $wf\text{-prod-lex-fibers-inter}[of\ less\text{-than}\ f\ ?fin \times ?fin\ s\ g]\ measure\text{-def}$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $inf\text{-sup-aci}(1)$ )
  moreover have  $(inv\text{-image}\ (less\text{-than}\ <*lex*> s)\ (\lambda c. (f\ c, g\ c))) = devos\text{-rel}$ 
    using  $s\text{-def}\ f\text{-def}\ g\text{-def}\ devos\text{-rel-def}\ mlex\text{-prod-def}$  by fastforce
  ultimately show  $?thesis$  by simp
qed

```

2.2 $p(G)$ – the order of the smallest nontrivial finite subgroup of a group : definition and lemmas

definition p where $p = Inf\ (card\ ' \{H. subgroup\ H\ G\ (\cdot)\ 1 \wedge finite\ H \wedge H \neq \{1\}\})$

```

lemma subgroup-finite-ge:
  assumes subgroup  $H \leq G$   $(\cdot)$  1 and  $H \neq \{1\}$  and finite  $H$ 
  shows  $\text{card } H \geq p$ 
  using p-def assms by (simp add: wellorder-Inf-le1)

lemma subgroup-infinite-or-ge:
  assumes subgroup  $H \leq G$   $(\cdot)$  1 and  $H \neq \{1\}$ 
  shows infinite  $H \vee \text{card } H \geq p$  using subgroup-finite-ge assms by auto

end

```

2.3 Proof of the Generalized Cauchy–Davenport Theorem for (non-abelian) groups

Generalized Cauchy–Davenport Theorem for (non-abelian) groups due to Matt DeVos [4]

```

theorem (in group) Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport:
  assumes hAne:  $A \neq \{\}$  and hBne:  $B \neq \{\}$  and hAG:  $A \subseteq G$  and hBG:  $B \subseteq G$  and
  hAfin: finite  $A$  and hBfin: finite  $B$  and
  hsub:  $\{H. \text{subgroup-of-group } H \leq G \ (\cdot) \ \mathbf{1} \wedge \text{finite } H \wedge H \neq \{1\}\} \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{card } (A \cdots B) \geq \min p \ (\text{card } A + \text{card } B - 1)$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume hcontr:  $\neg \min p \ (\text{card } A + \text{card } B - 1) \leq \text{card } (A \cdots B)$ 
  let ?fin =  $\{(A, B). \text{finite } A \wedge A \neq \{\} \wedge A \subseteq G \wedge \text{finite } B \wedge B \neq \{\} \wedge B \subseteq G\}$ 
  define  $M$  where  $M = \{(A, B). \text{card } (A \cdots B) < \min p \ (\text{card } A + \text{card } B - 1)\} \cap ?fin$ 
  have hmemM:  $(A, B) \in M$  using assms hcontr M-def by auto
  then obtain  $X \ Y$  where hXYM:  $(X, Y) \in M$  and hmin:  $\bigwedge Z. Z \in M \implies (Z, (X, Y)) \notin \text{Restr } \text{devos-rel } ?fin$ 
  using devos-rel-wf wfE-min by (smt (verit, del-insts) old.prod.exhaust)
  have hXG:  $X \subseteq G$  and hYG:  $Y \subseteq G$  and hXfin: finite  $X$  and hYfin: finite  $Y$  and
  hXYlt:  $\text{card } (X \cdots Y) < \min p \ (\text{card } X + \text{card } Y - 1)$  using hXYM M-def by auto
  have hXY:  $\text{card } X \leq \text{card } Y$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
  assume hcontr:  $\neg \text{card } X \leq \text{card } Y$ 
  have hinvinj: inj-on inverse  $G$  using inj-onI invertible invertible-inverse-inverse by metis
  let ?M = inverse ‘  $X$ 
  let ?N = inverse ‘  $Y$ 
  have ?N  $\cdots$  ?M = inverse ‘  $(X \cdots Y)$  using set-inverse-composition-commute hXYM M-def by auto
  then have hNM:  $\text{card } (?N \cdots ?M) = \text{card } (X \cdots Y)$ 
  using hinvinj card-image subset-inj-on smul-subset-carrier by metis
  moreover have hM:  $\text{card } ?M = \text{card } X$ 
  using hinvinj hXG hYG card-image subset-inj-on by metis
  moreover have hN:  $\text{card } ?N = \text{card } Y$ 

```

using *hinvinj hYG card-image subset-inj-on by metis*
 moreover have *hNplusM: card ?N + card ?M = card X + card Y using hM*
hN by auto
 ultimately have *card (?N ... ?M) < min p (card ?N + card ?M - 1)*
 using *hXYM M-def by auto*
 then have *(?N, ?M) ∈ M using M-def hXYM by blast*
 then have *((?N, ?M), (X, Y)) ∉ devos-rel using hmin hXYM M-def by blast*
 then have *¬ card Y < card X using hN hNM hNplusM devos-rel-iff by simp*
 then show *False using hcontr by linarith*
 qed
 have *hX2: 2 ≤ card X*
 proof(*rule ccontr*)
 assume *¬ 2 ≤ card X*
 moreover have *card X > 0 using hXYM M-def card-gt-0-iff by blast*
 ultimately have *hX1: card X = 1 by auto*
 then obtain *x where X = {x} and x ∈ G using hXG by (metis card-1-singletonE insert-subset)*
 then have *card (X ... Y) = card X + card Y - 1 using card-smul-singleton-left-eq hYG hXYM M-def*
 by (*simp add: Int-absorb2*)
 then show *False using hXYlt by linarith*
 qed
 then obtain *a b where habX: {a, b} ⊆ X and habne: a ≠ b by (metis card-2-iff obtain-subset-with-card-n)*
 moreover have *b ∈ X ... {inverse a · b} by (smt (verit) habX composition-closed hXG insert-subset*
invertible invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse2 singletonI smul.smulI subsetD)
 then obtain *g where hgG: g ∈ G and hg1: g ≠ 1 and hXgne: (X ... {g}) ∩ X ≠ {}*
 using *habne habX hXG by (metis composition-closed insert-disjoint(2) insert-subset invertible*
invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse2 mk-disjoint-insert right-unit)
 have *hpsubX: (X ... {g}) ∩ X ⊂ X*
 proof(*rule ccontr*)
 assume *¬ (X ... {g}) ∩ X ⊂ X*
 then have *hXsub: X ⊆ X ... {g} by auto*
 then have *card X ... {g} = card X using card-smul-sing-right-le hXYM M-def*
 by (*metis Int-absorb2 ⟨g ∈ G⟩ card.infinite card-smul-singleton-right-eq finite-Int hXG*)
 moreover have *hXfin: finite X using hXYM M-def by auto*
 ultimately have *X ... {g} = X using hXsub*
 by (*metis card-subset-eq finite.emptyI finite.insertI finite-smul*)
 then have *hXpow: X ... (powers g) = X by (simp add: hXG hgG smul-singleton-eq-contains-powers)*
 moreover have *hfinpowers: finite (powers g)*
 proof(*rule ccontr*)
 assume *infinite (powers g)*
 then have *infinite X using hXG hX2 hXpow by (metis Int-absorb1 hXgne hXsub hgG*

```

      infinite-smul-right invertible le-iff-inf powers-submonoid submonoid.subset)
    then show False using hXYM M-def by auto
  qed
  ultimately have card (powers g) ≤ card X using card-le-smul-right
    powers-submonoid submonoid.subset hXYM M-def habX hXG
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) hXfin hgG insert-subset invertible subsetD)
  then have p ≤ card X
    by (meson hfinpowers hg1 hgG le-trans powers-ne-one powers-subgroup sub-
group-infinite-or-ge)
  then have p ≤ card (X ⋯ Y) using card-le-smul-left hXYM M-def
  by (metis (full-types) ⟨b ∈ smul X {inverse a · b}⟩ bot-nat-0.extremum-uniqueI
card.infinite
card-0-eq card-le-smul-right empty-iff hXY hXfin hYG le-trans smul.cases)
  then show False using hXYlt by auto
  qed
  let ?X1 = (X ⋯ {g}) ∩ X
  let ?X2 = (X ⋯ {g}) ∪ X
  let ?Y1 = ({inverse g} ⋯ Y) ∪ Y
  let ?Y2 = ({inverse g} ⋯ Y) ∩ Y
  have hY1G: ?Y1 ⊆ G and hY1fin: finite ?Y1 and hX2G: ?X2 ⊆ G and hX2fin:
finite ?X2
    using hYfin hYG hXG finite-smul hXfin smul-subset-carrier by auto
  have hXY1: ?X1 ⋯ ?Y1 ⊆ X ⋯ Y
  proof
    fix z assume z ∈ ?X1 ⋯ ?Y1
    then obtain x y where hz: z = x · y and hx: x ∈ ?X1 and hy: y ∈ ?Y1 by
(meson smul.cases)
    show z ∈ X ⋯ Y
    proof(cases y ∈ Y)
      case True
        then show ?thesis using hz hx smulI hXG hYG by auto
      next
        case False
          then obtain w where y = inverse g · w and w ∈ Y using hy smul.cases
by (metis UnE singletonD)
          moreover obtain v where x = v · g and v ∈ X using hx smul.cases by
blast
          ultimately show ?thesis using hz hXG hYG hgG associative invertible-right-inverse2
            by (simp add: smul.smulI subsetD)
    qed
  qed
  have hXY2: ?X2 ⋯ ?Y2 ⊆ X ⋯ Y
  proof
    fix z assume z ∈ ?X2 ⋯ ?Y2
    then obtain x y where hz: z = x · y and hx: x ∈ ?X2 and hy: y ∈ ?Y2 by
(meson smul.cases)
    show z ∈ X ⋯ Y
    proof(cases x ∈ X)
      case True

```

```

    then show ?thesis using hz hy smulI hXG hYG by auto
  next
    case False
    then obtain v where x = v · g and v ∈ X using hx smul.cases by (metis
UnE singletonD)
    moreover obtain w where y = inverse g · w and w ∈ Y using hy smul.cases
  by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis using hz hXG hYG hgG associative invertible-right-inverse2
    by (simp add: smul.smulI subsetD)
  qed
  qed
  have hY2ne: ?Y2 ≠ {}
  proof
    assume hY2: ?Y2 = {}
    have card X + card Y ≤ card Y + card Y by (simp add: hXY)
    also have ... = card ?Y1 using card-Un-disjoint hYfin hYG hgG finite-smul
inf.orderE invertible
    by (metis hY2 card-smul-singleton-left-eq finite.emptyI finite.insertI invert-
ible-inverse-closed)
    also have ... ≤ card (?X1 ··· ?Y1) using card-le-smul-right[OF - - hY1fin
hY1G]
    hXgne hXG Int-assoc Int-commute ex-in-conv finite-Int hXfin smul.simps
smul-D(2)
    smul-Int-carrier unit-closed by auto
    also have ... ≤ card (X ··· Y) using hXY1 finite-smul card-mono by (metis
hXfin hYfin)
    finally show False using hXYlt by linarith
  qed
  have hXadd: card ?X1 + card ?X2 = 2 * card X
    using card-smul-singleton-right-eq hgG hXfin hXG card-Un-Int
    by (metis Un-Int-eq(3) add commute finite.emptyI finite.insertI finite-smul
mult-2 subset-Un-eq)
  have hYadd: card ?Y1 + card ?Y2 = 2 * card Y
    using card-smul-singleton-left-eq hgG hYfin hYG card-Un-Int finite-smul
    by (metis Int-lower1 Un-Int-eq(3) card-0-eq card-Un-le card-seteq finite.emptyI
finite.insertI
    hY2ne le-add-same-cancel1 mult-2 subset-Un-eq)
  show False
  proof (cases card ?X2 + card ?Y2 > card X + card Y)
    case h: True
    have hXY2le: card (?X2 ··· ?Y2) ≤ card (X ··· Y) using hXY2 finite-smul
card-mono by (metis hXfin hYfin)
    also have ... < min p (card X + card Y - 1) using hXYlt by auto
    also have ... ≤ min p (card ?X2 + card ?Y2 - 1) using h by simp
    finally have hXY1M: (?X2, ?Y2) ∈ M using M-def hY2ne hX2fin hX2G
hXYM by auto
    moreover have ((?X2, ?Y2), (X, Y)) ∈ Restr devos-rel ?fin using hXYM
M-def hXY1M h hXY2le
    devos-rel-iff by auto

```

```

    ultimately show False using hmin by blast
  next
  case False
  then have h:  $\text{card } ?X1 + \text{card } ?Y1 \geq \text{card } X + \text{card } Y$  using hXadd hYadd
by linarith
  have hX1lt:  $\text{card } ?X1 < \text{card } X$  using hXfin by (simp add: hpsubX psub-
set-card-mono)
  have hXY1le:  $\text{card } (?X1 \cdots ?Y1) \leq \text{card } (X \cdots Y)$  using hXY1 finite-smul
card-mono hYfin hXfin by metis
  also have  $\dots < \min p (\text{card } X + \text{card } Y - 1)$  using hXYlt by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq \min p (\text{card } ?X1 + \text{card } ?Y1 - 1)$  using h by simp
  finally have hXY1M:  $(?X1, ?Y1) \in M$  using M-def hXgne hY1fin hY1G
hXYM by auto
  moreover have  $((?X1, ?Y1), (X, Y)) \in \text{Restr devos-rel } ?fin$  using hXYM
M-def hXY1M h hXY1le
    devos-rel-iff hX1lt hXY1le h by force
  ultimately show ?thesis using hmin by blast
qed
qed

end

```

References

- [1] M. Bakšys and A. Koutsoukou-Argyaki. Kneser’s theorem and the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, November 2022. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Kneser_Cauchy_Davenport.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] A. L. B. Cauchy. Recherches sur les nombres. *J. École Polytech.*, 9:99–116, 1813.
- [3] H. Davenport. On the Addition of Residue Classes. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-10(1):30–32, 01 1935.
- [4] M. DeVos. On a Generalization of the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem. *Integers*, 16:A7, 2016.