A generalization of the Cauchy-Davenport theorem

Mantas Bakšys University of Cambridge mb2412@cam.ac.uk

October 28, 2024

Abstract

Cauchy-Davenport theorem is a famous theorem, which is known as one of the founding results in additive combinatorics. It was originally independently discovered by Cauchy [2] and Davenport [3] and has been formalized in the AFP entry [1] as a corollary of Kneser's theorem. More recently, many generalizations of this theorem have been found. In this entry, we formalise a generalization due to DeVos [4], which proves the theorem in a non-abelian setting.

Contents

1	Ger	neralized Cauchy–Davenport Theorem: preliminaries	3
	1.1	Well-ordering lemmas	3
	1.2	Pointwise set multiplication in monoid: definition and key-	
		lemmas	4
	1.3	Exponentiation in a monoid: definitions and lemmas	6
	1.4	Definition of the order of an element in a group	11
	1.5	Sumset scalar multiplication cardinality lemmas	11
	1.6	Pointwise set multiplication in group: auxiliary lemmas	13
2	Generalized Cauchy–Davenport Theorem: main proof		15
	2.1	Definition of the counterexample pair relation in [4]	16
	2.2	Lemmas about the counterexample pair relation in [4]	16
	2.3	Definition of $p(G)$ in [4] with associated lemmas	17
	2.4	Proof of the Generalized Cauchy-Davenport for (non-abelian)	
		groups	18

1 Generalized Cauchy–Davenport Theorem: preliminaries

```
theory Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-preliminaries imports
Complex-Main
Jacobson-Basic-Algebra. Group-Theory
```

begin

1.1 Well-ordering lemmas

```
lemma wf-prod-lex-fibers-inter:
  fixes r :: ('a \times 'a) set and s :: ('b \times 'b) set and f :: 'c \Rightarrow 'a and q :: 'c \Rightarrow 'b
  t :: ('c \times 'c) \ set
  assumes h1: wf ((inv-image r f) \cap t) and
  \textit{h2:} \; \bigwedge \; \textit{a.} \; \textit{a} \in \textit{range} \; \textit{f} \Longrightarrow \textit{wf} \; ((\{\textit{x.} \; \textit{f} \; \textit{x} = \textit{a}\} \; \times \; \{\textit{x.} \; \textit{f} \; \textit{x} = \textit{a}\} \; \cap \; (\textit{inv-image} \; \textit{s} \; \textit{g}))
\cap t) and
  h3: trans t
  shows wf ((inv\text{-}image\ (r <*lex*> s)\ (\lambda\ c.\ (f\ c,\ g\ c)))\cap t)
  have h4: (\bigcup a \in range\ f.\ (\{x.\ f\ x=a\} \times \{x.\ f\ x=a\} \cap (inv\text{-}image\ s\ g)) \cap t)
    ([] a \in range\ f.\ (\{x.\ f\ x=a\} \times \{x.\ f\ x=a\} \cap (inv-image\ s\ g))) \cap t\ \mathbf{by}\ blast
  have (inv-image (r < *lex* > s) (\lambda c. (f c, g c))) \cap t = (inv-image r f \cap t) \cup
    (\bigcup a \in range f. \{x. f x = a\} \times \{x. f x = a\} \cap (inv-image s g) \cap t)
  proof
    show inv-image (r < *lex* > s) (\lambda c. (f c, g c)) \cap t
    \subseteq inv\text{-}image\ r\ f\cap t\cup (\bigcup a\in range\ f.\ \{x.\ f\ x=a\}\times \{x.\ f\ x=a\}\cap inv\text{-}image
s g \cap t
    proof
      fix y assume hy: y \in inv\text{-}image\ (r < *lex*> s)\ (\lambda c.\ (f\ c,\ g\ c)) \cap t
      then obtain a b where hx: y = (a, b) and (f a, f b) \in r \vee (f a = f b \wedge (g a + f b))
a, g b \in s
      using in-inv-image in-lex-prod Int-iff SigmaE UNIV-Times-UNIV inf-top-right
by (smt (z3))
      then show y \in inv\text{-}image\ r\ f \cap t \cup (\bigcup a \in range\ f.\ \{x.\ f\ x=a\} \times \{x.\ f\ x=a\}
a \} \cap inv\text{-}image \ s \ g \cap t)
         using hy by auto
    qed
   show inv-image rf \cap t \cup (\bigcup a \in range f. \{x. fx = a\} \times \{x. fx = a\} \cap inv-image
      inv-image (r < *lex* > s) (\lambda c. (f c, g c)) \cap t using Int-iff SUP-le-iff SigmaD1
SigmaD2
      in-inv-image in-lex-prod mem-Collect-eq subrelI by force
  moreover have ((inv\text{-}image\ r\ f)\cap t)\ O
     (\bigcup a \in range\ f.\ (\{x.\ f\ x=a\} \times \{x.\ f\ x=a\} \cap (inv-image\ s\ g)) \cap t) \subseteq
```

```
(inv\text{-}image\ r\ f)\cap t
  using h3 trans-O-subset by fastforce
  moreover have wf (\bigcup a \in range f. \{x. f x = a\} \times \{x. f x = a\} \cap (inv-image)
   apply(rule wf-UN, auto simp add: h2)
   done
  ultimately show wf (inv-image (r < *lex* > s) (\lambda c. (f c, g c)) \cap t)
    using wf-union-compatible [OF h1] by fastforce
qed
lemma wf-prod-lex-fibers:
 fixes r :: ('a \times 'a) set and s :: ('b \times 'b) set and f :: 'c \Rightarrow 'a and g :: 'c \Rightarrow 'b
 assumes h1: wf (inv-image \ r \ f) and
 h2: \bigwedge a. \ a \in range \ f \Longrightarrow wf \ (\{x. \ f \ x = a\} \times \{x. \ f \ x = a\} \cap (inv-image \ s \ g))
 shows wf (inv-image (r < *lex* > s) (\lambda \ c. \ (f \ c, \ g \ c)))
 using assms trans-def wf-prod-lex-fibers-inter[of r f UNIV s g] inf-top-right
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) iso-tuple-UNIV-I)
context monoid
begin
1.2
       Pointwise set multiplication in monoid: definition and
        kev-lemmas
inductive-set smul :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set for A \ B
   smull[intro]: [a \in A; a \in M; b \in B; b \in M] \implies a \cdot b \in smul A B
syntax smul :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ ((- \cdots -))
lemma smul-eq: smul A B = \{c. \exists a \in A \cap M. \exists b \in B \cap M. c = a \cdot b\}
 by (auto simp: smul.simps elim!: smul.cases)
lemma smul: smul A B = (\bigcup a \in A \cap M. \bigcup b \in B \cap M. \{a \cdot b\})
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-subset-carrier: smul A B \subseteq M
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-Int-carrier [simp]: smul A B \cap M = smul A B
 by (simp add: Int-absorb2 smul-subset-carrier)
lemma smul-mono: [A' \subseteq A; B' \subseteq B] \implies smul A' B' \subseteq smul A B
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-insert1: NO-MATCH \{\} A \Longrightarrow smul (insert x A) B = smul \{x\} B
```

 \cup smul A B

by (auto simp: smul-eq)

```
lemma smul-insert2: NO-MATCH \{\}\ B \Longrightarrow smul\ A\ (insert\ x\ B) = smul\ A\ \{x\}
\cup \ smul \ A \ B
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-subset-Un1: smul (A \cup A') B = smul A B \cup smul A' B
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-subset-Un2: smul A (B \cup B') = smul A B \cup smul A B'
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-subset-Union1: smul (\bigcup A) B = (\bigcup a \in A. smul a B)
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-subset-Union2: smul A (\bigcup B) = (\bigcup b \in B. smul A b)
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-subset-insert: smul A B \subseteq smul A (insert x B) smul A B \subseteq smul
(insert \ x \ A) \ B
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-subset-Un: smul A \ B \subseteq smul \ A \ (B \cup C) \ smul \ A \ B \subseteq smul \ (A \cup C) \ B
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul\text{-}empty [simp]: smul A \{\} = \{\} smul \{\} A = \{\}
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-empty':
  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{assumes} \ A \cap \overline{M} = \{\} \\ \textbf{shows} \ smul \ B \ A = \{\} \ smul \ A \ B = \{\} \\ \end{array} 
 using assms by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-is-empty-iff [simp]: smul A B = \{\} \longleftrightarrow A \cap M = \{\} \lor B \cap M = \{\}
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-D [simp]: smul A \{1\} = A \cap M smul \{1\} A = A \cap M
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-Int-carrier-eq [simp]: smul A (B \cap M) = \text{smul } A B \text{ smul } (A \cap M) B
= smul A B
 by (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma smul-assoc:
 shows smul (smul\ A\ B) C = smul\ A\ (smul\ B\ C)
 by (fastforce simp add: smul-eq associative Bex-def)
lemma finite-smul:
 assumes finite A finite B shows finite (smul A B)
```

```
using assms by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma finite-smul':
assumes finite (A \cap M) finite (B \cap M)
shows finite (smul A \mid B)
using assms by (auto simp: smul-eq)
```

1.3 Exponentiation in a monoid: definitions and lemmas

```
primrec power :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \text{ (infix } ^100)
 where
 power\theta: power g \theta = 1
| power-suc: power g (Suc n) = power g n \cdot g
lemma power-one:
 assumes q \in M
 shows power g 1 = g using power-def power0 assms by simp
lemma power-mem-carrier:
 fixes n
 assumes g \in M
 shows g \cap n \in M
 apply (induction n, auto simp add: assms power-def)
 done
lemma power-mult:
 assumes g \in M
 shows g \cap n \cdot g \cap m = g \cap (n + m)
proof(induction m)
 case \theta
 then show ?case using assms power0 right-unit power-mem-carrier by simp
next
 case (Suc\ m)
 \mathbf{assume}\ g\ \widehat{\ } n\cdot g\ \widehat{\ } m=g\ \widehat{\ } (n+m)
 then show ?case using power-def by (smt (verit) add-Suc-right assms associa-
   power-mem-carrier power-suc)
qed
lemma mult-inverse-power:
 assumes g \in M and invertible g
 shows g \cap n \cdot ((inverse \ g) \cap n) = 1
proof(induction n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case using power-0 by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case (Suc \ n)
 assume hind: g \cap n \cdot local.inverse g \cap n = 1
 then have g \ \widehat{\ } Suc \ n \ \cdot \ inverse \ g \ \widehat{\ } Suc \ n = (g \cdot g \ \widehat{\ } n) \cdot (inverse \ g \ \widehat{\ } n \cdot inverse
```

```
g)
  using power-def power-mult assms by (smt (z3) add.commute invertible-inverse-closed
  invertible-right-inverse left-unit monoid.associative monoid-axioms power-mem-carrier
power-suc)
  then show ?case using associative power-mem-carrier assms hind by (smt
(verit, del-insts)
   composition-closed invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse right-unit)
qed
lemma inverse-mult-power:
 assumes g \in M and invertible g
 shows ((inverse\ g)\ \hat{}\ n) \cdot g\ \hat{}\ n = 1 using assms by (metis\ invertible\ inverse\ closed
   invertible-inverse-inverse invertible-inverse-invertible mult-inverse-power)
lemma inverse-mult-power-eq:
 assumes g \in M and invertible g
 shows inverse (g \cap n) = (inverse \ g) \cap n
 using assms inverse-equality by (simp add: inverse-mult-power mult-inverse-power
power-mem-carrier)
definition power-int :: 'a \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow 'a \text{ (infixr } powi \ 80) \text{ where}
 power-int g n = (if n \ge 0 then g (nat n) else (inverse <math>g) (nat (-n))
definition nat-powers :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set where nat-powers g = ((\lambda \ n. \ g \ \hat{} \ n) \ 'UNIV)
lemma nat-powers-eq-Union: nat-powers g = (\bigcup n. \{g \cap n\}) using nat-powers-def
by auto
definition powers :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set where powers g = ((\lambda \ n. \ g \ powi \ n) \ 'UNIV)
lemma nat-powers-subset:
 nat-powers g \subseteq powers g
proof
 fix x assume x \in nat-powers q
 then obtain n where x = g \cap n and nat n = n using nat-powers-def by auto
 then show x \in powers g using powers-def power-int-def
   by (metis UNIV-I image-iff of-nat-0-le-iff)
qed
lemma inverse-nat-powers-subset:
 nat-powers (inverse\ g) \subseteq powers\ g
proof
 fix x assume x \in nat-powers (inverse g)
  then obtain n where hx: x = (inverse \ g) \ \hat{} \ n \ using \ nat-powers-def \ by \ blast
  then show x \in powers g
 \mathbf{proof}(cases \ n = \theta)
   case True
```

```
then show ?thesis using hx power0 powers-def
              by (metis nat-powers-def nat-powers-subset rangeI subsetD)
     \mathbf{next}
         {f case} False
         then have hpos: \neg (-int \ n) \ge 0 by auto
         then have x = g powi (-int n) using hx hpos power-int-def by simp
         then show ?thesis using powers-def by auto
     qed
qed
lemma powers-eq-union-nat-powers:
    powers \ g = nat\text{-}powers \ g \cup nat\text{-}powers \ (inverse \ g)
proof
     show powers g \subseteq nat-powers g \cup nat-powers (local.inverse g)
         using powers-def nat-powers-def power-int-def by auto
    show nat-powers g \cup nat-powers (inverse g) \subseteq powers g
         by (simp add: inverse-nat-powers-subset nat-powers-subset)
lemma one-mem-nat-powers: 1 \in nat-powers g
     using rangeI power0 nat-powers-def by metis
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{nat-powers-subset-carrier} \colon
     assumes g \in M
    shows nat-powers g \subseteq M
     using nat-powers-def power-mem-carrier assms by auto
{\bf lemma}\ \textit{nat-powers-mult-closed}:
     assumes g \in M
    shows \bigwedge x y. x \in nat-powers g \Longrightarrow y \in nat-powers g \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat-powers g
     using nat-powers-def power-mult assms by auto
lemma nat-powers-inv-mult:
    assumes g \in M and invertible g
     shows \bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in nat\text{-powers} \ g \Longrightarrow y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} \ (inverse \ g) \Longrightarrow x \cdot y
powers g
proof-
     fix x y assume x \in nat-powers g and y \in nat-powers (inverse g)
     then obtain n m where hx: x = g \cap n and hy: y = (inverse g) \cap m using
nat-powers-def by blast
     show x \cdot y \in powers g
     \mathbf{proof}(cases \ n \geq m)
         case True
         then obtain k where n = k + m using add.commute le-Suc-ex by blast
          then have g \cap n \cdot (inverse \ g) \cap m = g \cap k  using mult-inverse-power assms
               by (smt (verit) invertible-inverse-closed local.power-mult power-mem-carrier
right-unit)
```

```
then show ?thesis using hx hy powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-def by
auto
     next
          {f case} False
          then obtain k where m = n + k by (metis leI less-imp-add-positive)
          then have g \cap n \cdot (inverse \ g) \cap m = (inverse \ g) \cap k  using inverse-mult-power
assms\ associative
                      by (smt (verit) left-unit local.power-mult monoid.invertible-inverse-closed
monoid-axioms
                     mult-inverse-power power-mem-carrier)
          then show ?thesis using hx hy powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-def by
auto
     qed
qed
lemma inv-nat-powers-mult:
     assumes g \in M and invertible g
      shows \bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in nat\text{-powers (inverse } g) \Longrightarrow y \in nat\text{-powers } g \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-powers} g \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in nat\text{-power
   by (metis assms invertible-inverse-closed invertible-inverse-inverse invertible-inverse-invertible
           nat-powers-inv-mult powers-eq-union-nat-powers sup-commute)
lemma powers-mult-closed:
      assumes g \in M and invertible g
     shows \bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in powers \ g \Longrightarrow y \in powers \ g \Longrightarrow x \cdot y \in powers \ g
     using powers-eq-union-nat-powers assms
           nat-powers-mult-closed nat-powers-inv-mult inv-nat-powers-mult by fastforce
lemma nat-powers-submonoid:
     assumes g \in M
     shows submonoid (nat-powers g) M(\cdot) 1
     apply(unfold-locales)
    apply(auto simp add: assms nat-powers-mult-closed one-mem-nat-powers nat-powers-subset-carrier)
     done
lemma nat-powers-monoid:
     assumes g \in M
     shows monoid (nat-powers g) (\cdot) 1
        using nat-powers-submonoid assms by (smt (verit) monoid.intro associative
left-unit
                one-mem-nat-powers nat-powers-mult-closed right-unit submonoid.sub)
lemma powers-submonoid:
     assumes g \in M and invertible g
     shows submonoid (powers g) M(\cdot) 1
    show powers q \subseteq M using powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-subset-carrier
assms by auto
next
```

```
show \bigwedge a b. a \in powers g \Longrightarrow b \in powers g \Longrightarrow a \cdot b \in powers g
   using powers-mult-closed assms by auto
next
 show 1 \in powers \ q \ using \ powers-eq-union-nat-powers \ one-mem-nat-powers \ by
auto
qed
lemma powers-monoid:
 assumes g \in M and invertible g
 shows monoid (powers g) (\cdot) 1
 \mathbf{by}\ (smt\ (verit)\ monoid.intro\ Un-iff\ assms\ associative\ in-mono\ invertible-inverse-closed
   monoid.left-unit monoid.right-unit nat-powers-monoid powers-eq-union-nat-powers
   powers-mult-closed\ powers-submonoid\ submonoid\ sub-unit-closed\ submonoid\ subset)
lemma mem-nat-powers-invertible:
 assumes g \in M and invertible g and u \in nat-powers g
 shows monoid.invertible (powers g) (·) 1 u
proof-
 obtain n where hu: u = g \cap n using assms nat-powers-def by blast
 then have inverse u \in powers g using assms inverse-mult-power-eq
     powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-def by auto
 then show ?thesis using hu assms by (metis in-mono inverse-mult-power in-
verse-mult-power-eq
  monoid.invertible I\ monoid.nat-powers-subset\ monoid.powers-monoid\ monoid-axioms
mult-inverse-power)
ged
lemma mem-nat-inv-powers-invertible:
 assumes g \in M and invertible g and u \in nat-powers (inverse g)
 shows monoid.invertible (powers g) (·) 1 u
 using assms by (metis inf-sup-aci(5) invertible-inverse-closed invertible-inverse-inverse
  invertible-inverse-invertible mem-nat-powers-invertible powers-eq-union-nat-powers)
lemma powers-group:
 assumes q \in M and invertible q
 shows group (powers g) (\cdot) 1
\mathbf{proof}(\textit{auto simp add: group-def Group-Theory.group-axioms-def assms powers-monoid})
 show \bigwedge u.\ u \in powers\ g \Longrightarrow monoid.invertible\ (powers\ g)\ (\cdot)\ 1\ u\ using\ assms
  mem-nat-inv-powers-invertible\ mem-nat-powers-invertible\ powers-eq-union-nat-powers
by auto
qed
lemma nat-powers-ne-one:
 assumes g \in M and g \neq 1
 shows nat-powers g \neq \{1\}
proof-
```

```
have g \in nat-powers g using power-one nat-powers-def assms range I by metis
 then show ?thesis using assms by blast
qed
lemma powers-ne-one:
 assumes g \in M and g \neq 1
 shows powers g \neq \{1\} using assms nat-powers-ne-one
 by (metis all-not-in-conv nat-powers-subset one-mem-nat-powers subset-singleton-iff)
1.4
       Definition of the order of an element in a group
definition order
 where order g = (if (\exists n. n > 0 \land g \cap n = 1) then Min \{n. g \cap n = 1 \land n > 0 \land g \cap n = 1\}
\theta} else \theta)
definition min\text{-}order where min\text{-}order = Min ((order 'M) - \{0\})
end
1.5
       Sumset scalar multiplication cardinality lemmas
context group
begin
lemma card-smul-singleton-right-eq:
 assumes finite A shows card (smul A \{a\}) = (if a \in G then card (A \cap G) else
\theta)
proof (cases a \in G)
 case True
 then have smul A \{a\} = (\lambda x. \ x \cdot a) \cdot (A \cap G)
   by (auto simp: smul-eq)
 moreover have inj-on (\lambda x. \ x \cdot a) \ (A \cap G)
   by (auto simp: inj-on-def True)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (metis True card-image)
qed (auto simp: smul-eq)
lemma card-smul-singleton-left-eq:
 assumes finite A shows card (smul \{a\} A) = (if a \in G then card (A \cap G) else
\theta)
proof (cases a \in G)
 case True
 then have smul \{a\} A = (\lambda x. \ a \cdot x) \cdot (A \cap G)
   by (auto simp: smul-eq)
 moreover have inj-on (\lambda x. \ a \cdot x) \ (A \cap G)
   by (auto simp: inj-on-def True)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (metis True card-image)
```

qed (auto simp: smul-eq)

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{card}\text{-}\mathit{smul}\text{-}\mathit{sing}\text{-}\mathit{right}\text{-}\mathit{le}\text{:}
 assumes finite A shows card (smul A \{a\}) \leq card A
 by (simp add: assms card-mono card-smul-singleton-right-eq)
lemma card-smul-sing-left-le:
 assumes finite A shows card (smul \{a\}\ A) \leq card A
 by (simp add: assms card-mono card-smul-singleton-left-eq)
lemma card-le-smul-right:
 assumes A: finite A a \in A a \in G
   and B: finite B B \subseteq G
 shows card B \leq card (smul A B)
proof -
 have B \subseteq (\lambda \ x. \ (inverse \ a) \cdot x) \cdot smul \ A \ B
   using A B
   apply (clarsimp simp: smul image-iff)
   using Int-absorb2 Int-iff invertible invertible-left-inverse2 by metis
  with A B show ?thesis
   by (meson finite-smul surj-card-le)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma card-le-smul-left:
 assumes A: finite A \ b \in B \ b \in G
   and B: finite B A \subseteq G
 shows card A \leq card (smul A B)
proof -
 have A \subseteq (\lambda \ x. \ x \cdot (inverse \ b)) 'smul A \ B
   using A B
   apply (clarsimp simp: smul image-iff associative)
   using Int-absorb2 Int-iff invertible invertible-right-inverse assms(5) by (metis
right-unit)
 with A B show ?thesis
   by (meson finite-smul surj-card-le)
qed
lemma infinite-smul-right:
 assumes A \cap G \neq \{\} and infinite\ (B \cap G)
 shows infinite (A \cdots B)
proof
 assume hfin: finite (smul A B)
 obtain a where ha: a \in A \cap G using assms by auto
  then have finite (smul \{a\} B) using hfin by (metis Int-Un-eq(1) finite-subset
insert-is-Un
   mk-disjoint-insert smul-subset-Un(2))
 moreover have B \cap G \subseteq (\lambda \ x. \ inverse \ a \cdot x) 'smul \{a\} B
 proof
   fix b assume hb: b \in B \cap G
```

```
then have b = inverse \ a \cdot (a \cdot b) using associative ha by (simp add: invert-
ible-left-inverse2)
   then show b \in (\lambda \ x. \ inverse \ a \cdot x) 'smul \{a\} B using smul.simps hb ha by
blast
 ged
 ultimately show False using assms using finite-surj by blast
qed
lemma infinite-smul-left:
 assumes B \cap G \neq \{\} and infinite (A \cap G)
 shows infinite (A \cdots B)
proof
 assume hfin: finite (smul A B)
 obtain b where hb: b \in B \cap G using assms by auto
 then have finite (smul A \{b\}) using hfin by (simp add: rev-finite-subset smul-mono)
 moreover have A \cap G \subseteq (\lambda \ x. \ x \cdot inverse \ b) 'smul A \{b\}
 proof
   fix a assume ha: a \in A \cap G
   then have a = (a \cdot b) \cdot inverse \ b using associative hb
       by (metis IntD2 invertible invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse
   then show a \in (\lambda \ x. \ x \cdot inverse \ b) 'smul A \{b\} using smul.simps hb ha by
blast
 qed
  ultimately show False using assms using finite-surj by blast
qed
```

1.6 Pointwise set multiplication in group: auxiliary lemmas

```
lemma set-inverse-composition-commute:
 assumes X \subseteq G and Y \subseteq G
 shows inverse '(X \cdots Y) = (inverse 'Y) \cdots (inverse 'X)
 show inverse '(X \cdots Y) \subset (inverse 'Y) \cdots (inverse 'X)
 proof
   fix z assume z \in inverse '(X \cdots Y)
   then obtain x \ y where z = inverse \ (x \cdot y) and x \in X and y \in Y
     by (smt (verit) image-iff smul.cases)
   then show z \in (inverse 'Y) \cdots (inverse 'X)
     using inverse-composition-commute assms
       by (smt (verit) image-eqI in-mono inverse-equality invertible invertible E
smul.simps)
 qed
 show (inverse 'Y) \cdots (inverse 'X) \subseteq inverse '(X \cdots Y)
   fix z assume z \in (inverse 'Y) \cdots (inverse 'X)
   then obtain x y where x \in X and y \in Y and z = inverse y \cdot inverse x
     using smul.cases image-iff by blast
   then show z \in inverse '(X \cdots Y) using inverse-composition-commute assms
```

```
smul.simps
      by (smt (verit) image-iff in-mono invertible)
  qed
qed
{f lemma}\ smul\mbox{-}singleton\mbox{-}eq\mbox{-}contains\mbox{-}nat\mbox{-}powers:
  fixes n :: nat
  \mathbf{assumes}\ X\subseteq G\ \mathbf{and}\ g\in G\ \mathbf{and}\ X\,\cdots\,\{g\}=X
  shows X \cdots \{g \cap n\} = X
proof(induction n)
  case \theta
  then show ?case using power-def assms by auto
next
  case (Suc\ n)
  assume hXn: X \cdots \{g \cap n\} = X
moreover have X \cdots \{g \cap Suc \ n\} = (X \cdots \{g \cap n\}) \cdots \{g\}
    show X \cdots \{g \cap Suc \ n\} \subseteq (X \cdots \{g \cap n\}) \cdots \{g\}
     fix z assume z \in X \cdots \{g \cap Suc \ n\} then obtain x where z = x \cdot (g \cap Suc \ n) and hx: x \in X using smul.simps
by auto
     then have z = (x \cdot g \cap n) \cdot g using assms associative by (simp add: in-mono
power-mem-carrier)
      then show z \in (X \cdots \{g \cap n\}) \cdots \{g\} using hx \ assms
        by (simp add: power-mem-carrier smul.smulI subsetD)
    qed
  next
    \mathbf{show}\ (X\ \cdots\ \{g\ \widehat{\ }n\})\ \cdots\ \{g\}\subseteq X\ \cdots\ \{g\ \widehat{\ }Suc\ n\}
      fix z assume z \in (X \cdots \{g \ \widehat{\ } n\}) \cdots \{g\} then obtain x where z = (x \cdot g \ \widehat{\ } n) \cdot g and hx: x \in X using smul.simps
by auto
      then have z = x \cdot g \cap Suc \ n
      using power-def associative power-mem-carrier assms by (simp add: in-mono)
      then show z \in X \cdots \{g \cap Suc \ n\} using hx \ assms
        by (simp add: power-mem-carrier smul.smulI subsetD)
    qed
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using assms by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ smul\text{-}singleton\text{-}eq\text{-}contains\text{-}inverse\text{-}nat\text{-}powers:}
  fixes n :: nat
  assumes X \subseteq G and g \in G and X \cdots \{g\} = X
  shows X \cdots \{(inverse \ g) \cap n\} = X
  have (X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse \ g\} = X
  proof
```

```
show (X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse \ g\} \subseteq X
   proof
    fix z assume z \in (X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse g\}
     then obtain y x where y \in X \cdots \{g\} and z = y \cdot inverse g and x \in X
and y = x \cdot g
      using assms smul.simps by (metis empty-iff insert-iff)
    then show z \in X using assms by (simp add: associative subset-eq)
   qed
 next
   show X \subseteq (X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse \ g\}
   proof
    fix x assume hx: x \in X
      then have x = x \cdot g \cdot inverse \ g \ using \ assms \ by (simp \ add: \ associative
subset-iff)
     then show x \in (X \cdots \{g\}) \cdots \{inverse \ g\} using assms smul.simps hx by
auto
   qed
 qed
 then have X \cdots \{inverse \ g\} = X  using assms by auto
 then show ?thesis using assms by (simp add: smul-singleton-eq-contains-nat-powers)
qed
lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-powers:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes X \subseteq G and g \in G and X \cdots \{g\} = X
 shows X \cdots (powers\ g) = X using powers-eq-union-nat-powers smul-subset-Union2
   nat-powers-eq-Union smul-singleton-eq-contains-nat-powers
   end
end
```

2 Generalized Cauchy–Davenport Theorem: main proof

```
theory Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-main-proof
imports
   Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-preliminaries
begin
context group
begin
```

2.1 Definition of the counterexample pair relation in [4]

```
definition devos-rel where
  devos\text{-}rel = (\lambda \ (A, B). \ card(A \cdots B)) < *mlex*> (inv-image (\{(n, m). \ n > m\}))
<*lex*>
 measure (\lambda (A, B). card A)) (\lambda (A, B). (card A + card B, (A, B)))
        Lemmas about the counterexample pair relation in [4]
lemma devos-rel-iff:
  ((A, B), (C, D)) \in devos\text{-}rel \longleftrightarrow card(A \cdots B) < card(C \cdots D) \lor
  (card(A \cdots B) = card(C \cdots D) \wedge card(A + card(B) > card(C + card(D)) \vee
  (card(A \cdots B) = card(C \cdots D) \wedge card A + card B = card C + card D \wedge card
A < card C
  using devos-rel-def mlex-iff [of - - \lambda (A, B). card(A ··· B)] by fastforce
lemma devos-rel-le-smul:
  ((A, B), (C, D)) \in devos\text{-rel} \Longrightarrow card(A \cdots B) \leq card(C \cdots D)
  using devos-rel-iff by fastforce
    Lemma stating that the above relation due to DeVos is well-founded
lemma devos\text{-}rel\text{-}wf: wf (Restr devos\text{-}rel
  \{(A, B). \text{ finite } A \land A \neq \{\} \land A \subseteq G \land \text{ finite } B \land B \neq \{\} \land B \subseteq G\}\} (is wf
(Restr devos-rel ?fin))
proof-
 define f where f = (\lambda (A, B). card(A \cdot \cdot \cdot B))
 define g where g = (\lambda \ (A :: 'a \ set, B :: 'a \ set). \ (card \ A + card \ B, (A, B)))
 define h where h = (\lambda \ (A :: 'a \ set, \ B :: 'a \ set). \ card \ A + card \ B)
 define s where s = (\{(n :: nat, m :: nat). n > m\} < *lex* > measure (\lambda (A :: 'a
set, B :: 'a set). card A))
 have hle2f: \bigwedge x. \ x \in ?fin \Longrightarrow h \ x \le 2 * f \ x
 proof-
   fix x assume hx: x \in ?fin
   then obtain A B where hxAB: x = (A, B) by blast
   then have card A \leq card \ (A \cdots B) and card B \leq card \ (A \cdots B)
     using card-le-smul-left card-le-smul-right hx by auto
   then show h x \leq 2 * f x using hxAB h-def f-def by force
  qed
 have wf (Restr (measure f) ?fin) by (simp add: wf-Int1)
 moreover have \bigwedge a. a \in range f \Longrightarrow wf (Restr (Restr (inv-image s g) \{x. f x \})
= a) ?fin)
 proof-
   fix y assume y \in range f
   then show wf (Restr (inv-image s g) \{x. f x = y\}) ?fin)
   proof-
     have Restr (\{x. f x = y\} \times \{x. f x = y\} \cap (inv\text{-}image \ s \ g)) ?fin \subseteq
       Restr (((\lambda x. 2 * fx - hx) < *mlex* > measure (\lambda (A :: 'a set, B :: 'a set))
card A)) \cap
       {x. f x = y} \times {x. f x = y} ?fin
     proof
```

```
fix z assume hz: z \in Restr(\{x. f x = y\} \times \{x. f x = y\} \cap (inv\text{-}image\ s\ g))
? fin
      then obtain a b where hzab: z=(a, b) and f a=y and f b=y and
        h \ a > h \ b \lor h \ a = h \ b \land (a, b) \in measure \ (\lambda \ (A, B). \ card \ A) and
        a \in ?fin  and b \in ?fin
        using s-def g-def h-def by force
       then obtain 2 * f a - h a < 2 * f b - h b \lor
        2 * f a - h a = 2 * f b - h b \wedge (a, b) \in measure (\lambda (A, B), card A)
        using hle2f by (smt (verit) diff-less-mono2 le-antisym nat-less-le)
      then show z \in Restr(((\lambda x. 2 * fx - hx) < *mlex* > measure(\lambda (A, B).
card A)) \cap
       \{x. f x = y\} \times \{x. f x = y\}) ?fin using hzab hz by (simp add: mlex-iff)
    moreover have wf ((\lambda x. 2 * fx - hx) < *mlex* > measure (\lambda (A, B). card
A))
      by (simp add: wf-mlex)
     ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: Int-commute wf-Int1 wf-subset)
   qed
 qed
 moreover have trans (?fin \times ?fin) using trans-def by fast
 ultimately have wf (Restr (inv-image (less-than <*lex*> s) (\lambda c. (f c, g c)))
?fin)
   using wf-prod-lex-fibers-inter[of less-than f ? fin \times ? fin s g] measure-def
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) inf-sup-aci(1))
 moreover have (inv-image (less-than <*lex*> s) (\lambda c. (f c, g c))) = devos-rel
   using s-def f-def g-def devos-rel-def mlex-prod-def by fastforce
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
       Definition of p(G) in [4] with associated lemmas
2.3
definition p where p = Inf (card '{H. subgroup H G (·) 1 \land finite H \land H \neq
{1}})
\mathbf{lemma}\ powers\text{-}subgroup:
 assumes g \in G
 shows subgroup (powers g) G(\cdot) 1
 by (simp add: assms powers-group powers-submonoid subgroup.intro)
lemma subgroup-finite-ge:
 assumes subgroup H G (\cdot) 1 and H \neq \{1\} and finite H
 shows card H \geq p
 using p-def assms by (simp add: wellorder-Inf-le1)
lemma subgroup-infinite-or-ge:
 assumes subgroup H G (\cdot) 1 and H \neq \{1\}
 shows infinite H \vee card H \geq p using subgroup-finite-ge assms by auto
end
```

2.4 Proof of the Generalized Cauchy-Davenport for (non-abelian) groups

Generalized Cauchy-Davenport theorem for (non-abelian) groups due to Matt DeVos [4]

```
theorem (in group) Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport:
  assumes hAne: A \neq \{\} and hBne: B \neq \{\} and hAG: A \subseteq G and hBG: B \subseteq G
G and
 hAfin: finite A and hBfin: finite B and
  hsub: \{H. \ subgroup-of-group \ H \ G \ (\cdot) \ \mathbf{1} \land finite \ H \land H \neq \{\mathbf{1}\}\} \neq \{\}
 shows card (A \cdots B) \ge min \ p \ (card \ A + card \ B - 1)
proof(rule\ ccontr)
  assume hcontr: \neg min p (card A + card B - 1) \leq card (A \cdots B)
 let ?fin = \{(A, B). finite A \land A \neq \{\} \land A \subseteq G \land finite B \land B \neq \{\} \land B \subseteq G\}
  define M where M = \{(A, B). \ card \ (A \cdots B) < min \ p \ (card \ A + card \ B - ard \ B) \}
1) \cap ?fin
 have hmemM: (A, B) \in M using assms hcontr\ M-def by auto
 then obtain X Y where hXYM: (X, Y) \in M and hmin: \bigwedge Z. Z \in M \Longrightarrow (Z, Y)
(X, Y)) \notin Restr\ devos-rel\ ?fin
   using devos-rel-wf wfE-min by (smt (verit, del-insts) old.prod.exhaust)
 have hXG: X \subseteq G and hYG: Y \subseteq G and hXfin: finite X and hYfin: finite Y
   hXYlt: card (X \cdots Y) < min \ p \ (card \ X + card \ Y - 1) \ using \ hXYM \ M-def
by auto
 have hXY: card X \leq card Y
 \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{rule}\;\mathit{ccontr})
   assume hcontr: \neg card X \leq card Y
  have hinvinj: inj-on inverse G using inj-on invertible invertible-inverse-inverse
by metis
   let ?M = inverse 'X
   \mathbf{let}~?N = \mathit{inverse}~`Y
   have ?N \cdots ?M = inverse `(X \cdots Y) using set-inverse-composition-commute
hXYM M-def by auto
   then have hNM: card (?N \cdots ?M) = card (X \cdots Y)
     using hinvinj card-image subset-inj-on smul-subset-carrier by metis
   moreover have hM: card ?M = card X
     using hinvinj hXG hYG card-image subset-inj-on by metis
   moreover have hN: card ?N = card Y
     using hinvinj hYG card-image subset-inj-on by metis
   moreover have hNplusM: card ?N + card ?M = card X + card Y using <math>hM
hN by auto
   ultimately have card (?N \cdots ?M) < min \ p \ (card \ ?N + card \ ?M - 1)
     using hXYM M-def by auto
   then have (?N, ?M) \in M using M-def hXYM by blast
   then have ((?N,?M),(X,Y)) \notin devos\text{-rel using } hmin hXYM M\text{-}def by blast
   then have \neg card Y < card X using hN hNM hNplusM devos-rel-iff by simp
   then show False using hcontr by linarith
 qed
 have hX2: 2 \leq card X
```

```
proof(rule\ ccontr)
      assume \neg 2 \leq card X
      moreover have card X > 0 using hXYM M-def card-gt-0-iff by blast
      ultimately have hX1: card X = 1 by auto
    then obtain x where X = \{x\} and x \in G using hXG by (metis card-1-singletonE
insert-subset)
    then have card(X \cdots Y) = card(X + card(Y - 1)) using card-smul-singleton-left-eq
hYG \ hXYM \ M-def
         by (simp add: Int-absorb2)
      then show False using hXYlt by linarith
   qed
  then obtain a b where habX: \{a, b\} \subseteq X and habne: a \neq b by (metis card-2-iff
obtain-subset-with-card-n)
 moreover have b \in X \cdots \{inverse \ a \cdot b\} by (smt \ (verit) \ hab X \ composition-closed)
hXG insert-subset
     invertible\ inve
subsetD)
   then obtain g where hgG: g \in G and hg1: g \neq 1 and hXgne: (X \cdots \{g\}) \cap
        using habne habX hXG by (metis composition-closed insert-disjoint(2) in-
sert-subset invertible
        invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse2 mk-disjoint-insert right-unit)
   have hpsubX: (X \cdots \{g\}) \cap X \subset X
   \mathbf{proof}(rule\ ccontr)
      assume \neg (X \cdots \{g\}) \cap X \subset X
      then have hXsub: X \subseteq X \cdots \{g\} by auto
     then have card\ X \cdots \{g\} = card\ X using card-smul-sing-right-le hXYM M-def
           by (metis Int-absorb2 \langle g \in G \rangle card.infinite card-smul-singleton-right-eq fi-
nite-Int\ hXG)
      moreover have hXfin: finite X using hXYM M-def by auto
      ultimately have X \cdots \{g\} = X using hXsub
         by (metis card-subset-eq finite.emptyI finite.insertI finite-smul)
    then have hXpow: X \cdots (powers\ g) = X by (simp\ add: hXG\ hgG\ smul\ singleton\ -eq\ contains\ -powers)
      moreover have hfinpowers: finite (powers g)
      proof(rule ccontr)
         assume infinite (powers q)
          then have infinite X using hXG hX2 hXpow by (metis Int-absorb1 hXqne
hXsub\ hqG
            infinite-smul-right invertible le-iff-inf powers-submonoid submonoid.subset)
         then show False using hXYM M-def by auto
      qed
      ultimately have card (powers g) \leq card X using card-le-smul-right
         powers-submonoid submonoid.subset hXYM M-def habX hXG
         by (metis (no-types, lifting) hXfin hgG insert-subset invertible subsetD)
      then have p \leq card X
          by (meson hfinpowers hg1 hgG le-trans powers-ne-one powers-subgroup sub-
group-infinite-or-qe)
      then have p \leq card (X \cdots Y) using card-le-smul-left hXYM M-def
```

by $(metis\ (full-types)\ \langle b\in smul\ X\ \{inverse\ a\cdot b\}\rangle\ bot-nat-0.extremum-uniqueI$

```
card.infinite
        card-0-eq card-le-smul-right empty-iff hXY hXfin hYG le-trans smul.cases)
   then show False using hXYlt by auto
 let ?X1 = (X \cdots \{g\}) \cap X
 let ?X2 = (X \cdots \{g\}) \cup X
 let ?Y1 = (\{inverse \ g\} \cdots \ Y) \cup Y
 let ?Y2 = (\{inverse\ g\} \cdots Y) \cap Y
 have hY1G: ?Y1 \subseteq G and hY1fin: finite ?Y1 and hX2G: ?X2 \subseteq G and hX2fin:
finite ?X2
   using hYfin hYG hXG finite-smul hXfin smul-subset-carrier by auto
 have hXY1: ?X1 \cdots ?Y1 \subseteq X \cdots Y
 proof
   fix z assume z \in ?X1 \cdots ?Y1
   then obtain x y where hz: z = x \cdot y and hx: x \in ?X1 and hy: y \in ?Y1 by
(meson\ smul.cases)
   show z \in X \cdots Y
   \mathbf{proof}(cases\ y\in\ Y)
     case True
     then show ?thesis using hz hx smull hXG hYG by auto
   next
     case False
     then obtain w where y = inverse \ g \cdot w and w \in Y using hy smul.cases
by (metis UnE singletonD)
     moreover obtain v where x = v \cdot g and v \in X using hx smul.cases by
blast
   ultimately show ?thesis using hz hXG hYG hqG associative invertible-right-inverse2
      by (simp add: smul.smulI subsetD)
   qed
 qed
 have hXY2: ?X2 \cdots ?Y2 \subseteq X \cdots Y
 proof
   fix z assume z \in ?X2 \cdots ?Y2
   then obtain x y where hz: z = x \cdot y and hx: x \in ?X2 and hy: y \in ?Y2 by
(meson \ smul. cases)
   show z \in X \cdots Y
   proof(cases x \in X)
     case True
     then show ?thesis using hz hy smull hXG hYG by auto
   next
     case False
     then obtain v where x = v \cdot g and v \in X using hx smul.cases by (metis
UnE\ singletonD)
   moreover obtain w where y = inverse \ g \cdot w and w \in Y using hy smul.cases
\mathbf{by} blast
   ultimately show ?thesis using hz hXG hYG hgG associative invertible-right-inverse2
      by (simp add: smul.smulI subsetD)
   qed
 qed
```

```
have hY2ne: ?Y2 \neq \{\}
 proof
   assume hY2: ?Y2 = \{\}
   have card X + card Y \leq card Y + card Y by (simp add: hXY)
   also have ... = card ?Y1 using card-Un-disjoint hYfin hYG hqG finite-smul
inf.orderE invertible
     by (metis hY2 card-smul-singleton-left-eq finite.emptyI finite.insertI invert-
ible-inverse-closed)
   also have ... \leq card \ (?X1 \cdots ?Y1) \ using \ card-le-smul-right[OF - - - hY1fin]
hY1G
       hXgne hXG Int-assoc Int-commute ex-in-conv finite-Int hXfin smul.simps
smul-D(2)
      smul-Int-carrier unit-closed by auto
   also have ... \leq card (X \cdots Y) using hXY1 finite-smul card-mono by (metis
hXfin \ hYfin)
   finally show False using hXYlt by linarith
 qed
 have hXadd: card ?X1 + card ?X2 = 2 * card X
   using card-smul-singleton-right-eq hgG hXfin hXG card-Un-Int
    by (metis Un-Int-eq(3) add.commute finite.emptyI finite.insertI finite-smul
mult-2 \ subset-Un-eq)
 have hYadd: card ?Y1 + card ?Y2 = 2 * card Y
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{card-smul-singleton-left-eq}\ \mathit{hgG}\ \mathit{hYfin}\ \mathit{hYG}\ \mathit{card-Un-Int}\ \mathit{finite-smul}
   by (metis Int-lower1 Un-Int-eq(3) card-0-eq card-Un-le card-seteq finite.emptyI
finite.insertI
     hY2ne le-add-same-cancel1 mult-2 subset-Un-eq)
 show False
 proof (cases card ?X2 + card ?Y2 > card X + card Y)
   case h: True
   have hXY2le: card (?X2 \cdots ?Y2) \leq card (X \cdots Y) using hXY2 finite-smul
card-mono by (metis hXfin hYfin)
   also have ... < min \ p \ (card \ X + card \ Y - 1) using hXYlt by auto
   also have ... \leq min \ p \ (card \ ?X2 + card \ ?Y2 - 1) using h by simp
    finally have hXY1M: (?X2, ?Y2) \in M using M-def hY2ne hX2fin hX2G
hXYM by auto
   moreover have ((?X2, ?Y2), (X, Y)) \in Restr devos-rel ?fin using hXYM
M-def hXY1M h hXY2le
      devos-rel-iff by auto
   ultimately show False using hmin by blast
 next
   case False
   then have h: card ?X1 + card ?Y1 \geq card X + card Y using hXadd hYadd
    have hX1lt: card ?X1 < card X using hXfin by (simp add: hpsubX psub-
set-card-mono)
   have hXY1le: card (?X1 \cdots ?Y1) \leq card (X \cdots Y) using hXY1 finite-smul
card-mono\ hYfin\ hXfin\ \mathbf{by}\ metis
   also have ... < min \ p \ (card \ X + card \ Y - 1) using hXYlt by auto
   also have ... \leq min \ p \ (card \ ?X1 + card \ ?Y1 - 1) using h by simp
```

```
finally have hXY1M: (?X1, ?Y1) \in M using M-def hXgne hY1fin hY1G hXYM by auto moreover have ((?X1, ?Y1), (X, Y)) \in Restr devos-rel ?fin using hXYM M-def hXY1M h hXY1le devos-rel-iff hX1lt hXY1le h by force ultimately show ?thesis using hmin by blast qed qed
```

end

References

- [1] M. Bakys and A. Koutsoukou-Argyraki. Kneser's theorem and the cauchydavenport theorem. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, November 2022. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Kneser_Cauchy_Davenport.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] A. L. B. Cauchy. Recherches sur les nombres. *J. École Polytech.*, 9:99–116, 1813.
- [3] H. Davenport. On the Addition of Residue Classes. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-10(1):30–32, 01 1935.
- [4] M. DeVos. On a generalization of the cauchy-davenport theorem. *Integers*, 16:A7, 2016.