

Testing of Hypothesis: Large Sample Tests

Lesson 3: Test of Hypothesis for Single Population Proportion p

For an infinite population, let p be the proportion (or probability) of success and $q = (1 - p)$ is the proportion (or probability) of failure. Let us consider that all possible samples of size n are drawn from the population. Then the **sampling distribution of proportions (R)** will have mean $\mu_R = p$ and standard deviation

$\sigma_R = \sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}}$, where $R = \frac{x}{n}$ is the proportion of success in the sample [x = number of favorable cases for the concerned event].

For a large sample, though the population is binomially distributed, the sampling distribution will be normally distributed with test statistic

$$z = \frac{R - \mu_R}{\sigma_R} = \frac{R - p}{\sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}}} \text{ --- (1)}$$

The steps for testing are:

- (i) Formulate null hypothesis, $H_0 : p = p_1$: There is no significant difference between sample proportion and population proportion
- (ii) Formulate alternative hypothesis, $H_1 : p \neq p_1$ (or otherwise) : There is a significant difference between sample proportion and population proportion
- (iii) Level of significance is : α
- (iv) From the two tailed test, the critical region is : $|z| \geq z_{\alpha/2}$
- (v) Compute the test statistic z from equation (1)
- (vi) Reject H_0 if the computed value of z falls in the critical region, otherwise accept H_0

Note:

If population size is finite (N), then $\mu_R = p$ and $\sigma_R = \sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}}$

Problems:

Ex.1. In a sample of 400 parts manufactured by a factory, the number of defective parts is found to be 30. The company however claims that only 5% of their product is defective. Is the claim plausible? (Test at 5% level)

Solution:

Let us define that the event 'the parts manufactured by the factory is defective' is a success.

The sample size $n = 400$ (≥ 30), hence large sample test is to be applied

The sample proportion $R = \frac{30}{400}$

The population proportion $p = 0.05$, since 5% of the population is defective

- (i) Null hypothesis, $H_0 : p = 0.05$, which means 5% of the population is defective, i.e, the claim is plausible
- (ii) Alternative hypothesis, $H_1 : p > 0.05$, which means that the actual proportion of defective parts is more than 5%, i.e, the claim is not plausible
- (iii) $\alpha = 0.05$
- (iv) The critical region at 5% level of significance is $z \geq 1.645$
- (v)
$$z = \frac{R-p}{\sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}}} = \frac{\frac{30}{400} - 0.05}{\sqrt{\frac{0.05 \times 0.95}{400}}} = 2.29$$
- (vi) As computed $z > 1.645$, i.e, the test statistic lies in the critical region, so null hypothesis H_0 is rejected

Hence, we conclude that the claim is not plausible and the actual proportion of the defective parts is more than 5%.

Ans.

Ex.2. In a big city, 325 men out of 600 were found to be smokers. Does this information support the conclusion that the majority of men in this city are smokers?

Solution:

Let us define that the event ‘the number of male smokers in the city’ is a success.

The sample size $n = 600 (\geq 30)$, hence large sample test is to be applied

The sample proportion $R = \frac{325}{600}$

The population proportion is taken as $p = 0.5$, since we are to test whether the majority ($> 50\%$) of men in the city are smokers

- (i) Null hypothesis, $H_0 : p = 0.5$, which means that only 50% men in the city are smokers
- (ii) Alternative hypothesis, $H_1 : p > 0.5$, i.e, more than 50% of men in the city are smokers
- (iii) $\alpha = 0.05$ [*since nothing is mentioned, 5% significance level is chosen]
- (iv) Critical region at 5% level of significance is $z \geq 1.645$
- (v)
$$z = \frac{R-p}{\sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}}} = \frac{\frac{325}{600} - 0.5}{\sqrt{\frac{0.5 \times 0.5}{600}}} = 2.043$$
- (vi) Since computed $z > 1.645$, i.e, z lies in the critical region, so null hypothesis H_0 is rejected

Hence we conclude that the given information from the sample supports that the majority of men in the city are smokers.

Ans.

Ex.3. During testing in a sample of 300 chips, 10 have been found to be defective. Can the manufacturers claim that “only 2% of the chips are defective” be accepted at 1% significant level?

Solution:

Let us define that the event ‘the chips manufactured by the factory is defective’ is a success.

Here, the sample size $n = 300 (\geq 30)$, hence large sample test is to be applied

The sample proportion $R = \frac{10}{300}$

The population proportion $p = 0.02$

- (i) Null hypothesis, $H_0 : p = 0.02$, the claim can be accepted
- (ii) Alternative hypothesis, $H_1 : p > 0.02$, i.e, the proportion of defective chips in the population is actually more than 2%. That means the claim cannot be accepted
- (iii) $\alpha = 0.01$
- (iv) Critical region at 1% level of significance is $z \geq 2.33$
- (v)
$$z = \frac{R-p}{\sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}}} = \frac{\frac{10}{300} - 0.02}{\sqrt{\frac{0.02 \times 0.98}{300}}} = 1.649$$
- (vi) Since computed $z < 2.33$, i.e, the test statistic does not lie in the critical region, hence H_0 is accepted

Therefore, we conclude that the claim can be accepted.

Ans.