

# List of Exercises

## Numerical methods for random partial differential equations: hierarchical approximation and machine learning approaches

MATH4UQ — RWTH Aachen University

June 5, 2023

### 1 MLMC under approximate sampling

#### 1.1 Objective

This exercise gives hands-on experience on multilevel Monte Carlo sampling methods for approximate (discretized) sampling. It also makes the student work on sampling Gaussian random fields and thus experimenting with Karhunen-Loève and Fourier expansions.

#### 1.2 Problem

Next we look at the solution of a one dimensional boundary value problem

$$-(a(x, \omega)u'(x, \omega))' = \underbrace{4\pi^2 \cos(2\pi x)}_{=: f(x)}, \text{ for } x \in (0, 1)$$

with  $u(0, \cdot) = u(1, \cdot) = 0$ . In this case, we are interested in computing the expected value of the following QoI

$$Q(u(\omega)) = \int_0^1 u(x, \omega) dx.$$

We use an  $I + 1$  uniform grid  $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_I = 1$  on  $[0, 1]$  with uniform spacing  $h = x_i - x_{i-1} = 1/I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, I$ . Using this grid we can build a piecewise linear FEM

approximation,

$$u_h(x, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} \mathbf{u}_{h,i}(\omega) \varphi_i(x),$$

yielding a tridiagonal linear system for the nodal values,  $A(\omega)\mathbf{u}_h(\omega) = F$ , with

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,i-1}(\omega) &= -\frac{a(x_{i-1/2}, \omega)}{h^2} \\ A_{i,i}(\omega) &= \frac{a(x_{i-1/2}, \omega) + a(x_{i+1/2}, \omega)}{h^2} \\ A_{i,i+1}(\omega) &= -\frac{a(x_{i+1/2}, \omega)}{h^2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_i = f(x_i).$$

Here we used the notation  $x_{i+1/2} = \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2}$  and  $x_{i-1/2} = \frac{x_i + x_{i-1}}{2}$ .

The integral in  $Q(u_h)$  can be then computed exactly by a trapezoidal method, yielding

$$Q(u_h) = h \sum_{i=1}^{I-1} \mathbf{u}_{h,i}.$$

### 1.3 Models for the random coefficient $a$ .

We look at two different models for  $a(x, \omega)$ , namely

#### 1.3.1 Model 1: Piecewise constant coefficient

$$a(x, \omega) = 1 + \sigma \sum_{n=1}^N Y_n(\omega) \mathbb{I}_{[\hat{x}_{n-1}, \hat{x}_n]}(x),$$

with equispaced nodes  $\hat{x}_n = \frac{n}{N}$  for  $0 \leq n \leq N$  and i.i.d. uniform random variables  $Y_n \sim U([- \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3}])$ . Consider different uniform mesh refinements, i.e. values of  $I = N 2^\ell$ ,  $\ell \geq 0$ , and different number of input random variables  $N = 10$ ,  $N = 20$  and  $N = 40$ . Remember to choose  $\sigma$  ensuring coercivity, namely  $1 - \sigma\sqrt{3} > 0$ .

#### 1.3.2 Model 2: Log-Normal

$$a(x, \omega) = \exp(\kappa(x, \omega)),$$

where  $\kappa(x, \omega)$  is a stationary Gaussian random field with mean zero and the Matérn covariance function

$$C(x, y) = \sigma^2 \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu) 2^{\nu-1}} \left( \sqrt{2\nu} \frac{|x-y|}{\rho} \right)^\nu K_\nu \left( \sqrt{2\nu} \frac{|x-y|}{\rho} \right),$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the gamma function and  $K_\nu$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. We look at the following special cases of  $C$

$$\begin{aligned}\nu = 0.5, \quad C(x, y) &= \sigma^2 \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|}{\rho}\right) \\ \nu = 1.5, \quad C(x, y) &= \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}|x-y|}{\rho}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}|x-y|}{\rho}\right) \\ \nu = 2.5, \quad C(x, y) &= \sigma^2 \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{5}|x-y|}{\rho} + \frac{\sqrt{3}|x-y|^2}{\rho^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{5}|x-y|}{\rho}\right) \\ \nu \rightarrow \infty, \quad C(x, y) &= \sigma^2 \exp\left(-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\rho^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we choose  $\rho = 0.1$  and  $\sigma^2 = 2$ .

## 1.4 Possible numerical approximations of Log-Normal random fields

1. Given a grid, consider multivariate Gaussian random vector  $X_h$  with mean  $\mu_h$  covariance matrix  $\Sigma_h$  consisting of the Gaussian random field's covariance function evaluated on the grid. Let  $A$  such that  $\Sigma_h = AA^T$  (e.g., via Cholesky or spectral decomposition). Sampling of  $X_h$  then via the representation  $X_h = \mu_h + A Z$ , where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ .
2. We expand  $\kappa(x, \omega)$  using truncated Karhunen-Loève expansion with  $N$  terms

$$\kappa(x, \omega) \approx \sum_{n=1}^N \sqrt{\lambda_n} Y_n(\omega) e_n(x)$$

Where  $\{Y_k\}$  is a set of i.i.d. standard Gaussian. To find the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of  $C$  we solve the eigenvalue problem

$$\int_0^1 C(x, y) e_n(y) dy = \lambda_n e_n(x) \quad (1)$$

We do this by discretizing  $C$  as a matrix by evaluating the function  $C(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}, x_{j+\frac{1}{2}})$  over the grid  $\{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\}_{i=0}^{I-1} \times \{x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\}_{i=0}^{I-1}$  with  $N \leq I$ . Then use MATLAB's function `eig()`. This discretization corresponds to a piecewise constant FEM approximation to the eigenvalue problem (1). Make sure that the computed eigenfunctions have norm 1 with respect to the continuous  $L^2([0, 1])$  norm.

3. Now, with the same covariance field as before, use a Fourier expansion of a periodic extension of it, namely

$$Cov_\kappa^\#(x - y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \kappa_n^2 \cos\left(\frac{n\pi(x - y)}{L_p}\right).$$

Take  $L_p = 2$  for instance. As a result, the stationary random field  $\kappa$  admits the exact representation in  $[0, L_p]$

$$\kappa(\omega, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \kappa_n \left( y_n(\omega) \cos\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L_p}\right) + z_n(\omega) \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{L_p}\right) \right)$$

and

- $\mathbb{E}[y_n] = \mathbb{E}[z_n] = 0$
- $\text{Var}[y_n] = \text{Var}[z_n] = 1$
- $\{y_n, z_n\}_n$  uncorrelated.

Here take iid  $y_n, z_n$  with standard Normal distribution.

## 1.5 Numerical approximation of $\mathbb{E}[Q(u)]$ .

When working with Model 2 for  $a(x, \omega)$ , use the last task of 1.4 in homework 1 to deduce a relevant choice of  $N$ . Moreover, pick two different values of the parameter  $\nu$ .

1. Compute, using multilevel Monte Carlo, the approximation of  $\mathbb{E}[Q(u)]$  and **Model 2** only. Implement your MLMC sampling algorithm, keep in mind to use an optimal number of samples. Choose the number of samples and the number of levels such that the *total* relative error is less than 10%, 5% and 1%, respectively. Report your computational work. Does it match the predictions from MLMC theory?

You may compare with the function `mlmc()` provided by Mike Giles and write `sums = mlmc_l(M, l, N)` to evaluate  $N$  samples at level  $l$  with level separation  $M$ . Look in `mlmc_test.m` for an example implementation.

2. Approximate the probability  $P(Q(u) < K)$  using importance sampling and MLMC. Use for all levels the same importance sampling that you did in homework 1. Compute with different values of  $K$ , namely  $K = -5$ ,  $K = -10$ , and  $K = -20$ . Choose the number of samples and the number of levels so that the relative error is less than 5%.
3. Redo at least one of either part 1 or part 2 using ML-QMC.
4. Redo question 5 ii) of homework 2 by using MLMC to estimate the exponential moments of  $Q(u)$

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\theta Q(u))] \approx \sum_{\ell=0}^L \mathbb{E}[\exp(-\theta Q(u_{h_\ell}))] - \mathbb{E}[\exp(-\theta Q(u_{h_{\ell-1}}))]$$