

Optimal Experimental Design: Psychophysics of change point Detection.

Manuel Villarreal and Arturo Bouzas

Mexico City, Mexico

Abstract

Keywords: Optimal Experimental Design, Psychophysics, Change Point Detection

1. Introduction

One of the main challenges in scientific research is the design of an experiment. A good experimental design will make the difference between finding an answer to our research question and wasting valuable resources like time and money.

When designing an experiment, often one starts by making decisions about the number of participants, how many and what values of our independent variable should we test or how many times should we test each of those values. Most of the time, these choices are made on the basis of previous research on the field. However, it might be the case that there is not enough information to make these decisions with confidence, or that the values that are commonly used, do not allow for strong conclusions. Optimal Experimental Design (OED) offers an alternative to solve this kind of problems through the formalization of the design problem.

15 The concept of optimizing an experimental design is not new to psy-
16 chological research. There are already examples in the literature of design
17 optimization (e.g. Myung and Pitt, 2009; Zhang and Lee, 2010). Both of this
18 examples discuss and demonstrate the advantages of OED for model compar-
19 ison in psychology. In the present paper we will present a different approach
20 were the problem is not to select between two models but to estimate the
21 parameters of a single one.

22 In particular, we will present an example of OED in the context of Gener-
23 alized Linear Models. This type of models are widely used in psychophysics.
24 The problem that will be treated here is fairly new, however, the methodolog-
25 ical aspects remain the same even with more straight-forward psychophysical
26 experiments. In order to do this we will use a particular parametrization of
27 the logistic model, which has been used to model the relationship between a
28 physical stimulus and the probability of a given response.

29 **2. OUTLINE**

30 Experimental design First we need a research question, then the problem
31 of designing the experiment arises, how many participants should we test,
32 what are the values of the independent variable that we should use, how
33 many times should we present each of those values, etc. The problem is
34 when

35 *2.1. Optimal experimental design*

36 Elements:

37 Design space: what are the elements of the experimental design that we
38 want to optimize Utility Function: function that maps points on the design

space to the real numbers, this function should reflect the objective of the experiment, for example if we want to discriminate between two cognitive models, the utility function should assign a greater value to an experimental design for which the models give different predictions than to designs for which the predictions of the models are indistinguishable from one another.

3. Optimal Experimental Design: Example

Why is detecting changes important for an organism?

Change detection in probabilistic series.

Arising problems with experimental design.

Research question and its statistical interpretation

Assumption about the relationship between a subject's response and the dependent variable under study

Design space for this problem and how to reduce the dimensionality of the space by assuming experimental constraints.

Utility function and its relationship with the objective of the experiment

Arising problems with utility function and the proposed response function. Bayesian solution, assigning a prior distribution to the parameters, the less research in a field the more difficult it is to assign an informative prior, however, we could use other cognitive models in order to propose a prior distribution.

3.1. Using a model to generate prior distributions

Using the prior distribution, the utility function and the definition of a design space we can optimize the experimental design in this case we are looking for $\delta\theta^*$ that maximizes the following equation:

$$U(\delta\theta^*) = \max_{\delta\theta} \int_{\beta} \log(\det(I(\beta|\delta\theta)))\pi(\beta)d\beta \quad (1)$$

63 The previous integral can be approximated via Monte Carlo sampling

64 4. Results

65 4.1. Construction of the prior distribution

66 Prior over model parameters(Gallistel et al 2014) Results Constructing
67 the prior: we take a multivariate normal distribution with mean and covari-
68 ance equal to the unbiased estimators for both parameters.

69 4.2. Optimal design

70 Aproximating the utility function (integral) throught Monte Carlo simu-
71 lation Utility aproximation for 2 Design points
72 the approximation returns a smooth curve over the 2 point design space.

73 5. Discussion

74 Optimal design for the example Properties of the most useful points (they
75 land on the points of the curve where the steepness changes most dramati-
76 cally)

77 Advantages of Optimal Design

78 Using models to genereate prior distributions.

79 References

80 Myung, J., Pitt, M., 2009. Optimal experimental design for model discrimi-
81 nation. Psychological Review 116 (3), 499–518.

⁸² Zhang, S., Lee, M., 2010. Optimal experimental design for a class of bandit
⁸³ problems. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology* 54, 499–508.