

## Lecture 14: Caching

Lecturer: *Przemysław Uznański*

Scribe: -

## 1 Cache-aware algorithms [KW02]

DAM model:

- CPU
- cache (with fast access) of size  $M$ ,  $M/B$  blocks of size  $B$
- memory/disk (with slow access) of size  $\infty$

Cost is associated with number of memory accesses. Assume CPU cost is negligible, and actual cost comes from moving things to i from cache.

Example1: scanning  $N$  consecutive memory cells takes  $N/B$  memory transfers.

Example2: Accessing random  $N$  memory cells takes  $N$  memory transfers.

Example3: Binary search:  $\log(N/B)$  (not really any significant gain)

1. B-trees, with branching factor  $\Theta(B)$ . Tree depth is  $\log_B N$ .
2.  $B^\epsilon$ -trees: each node is a buffer of size  $B$ , with  $B^\epsilon$  pivots. Insert amortizes and costs  $\frac{\log_B N}{\epsilon B^{1-\epsilon}}$ , queries cost  $\frac{\log_B N}{\epsilon}$ . Deletes by tombstones.
3. Sorting  $\mathcal{O}(\frac{N}{B} \log_{M/B} \frac{N}{B})$  by  $M/B$ -way mergesort.

## 2 Cache-oblivious algorithms [BFF<sup>+</sup>07]

Design of cache-aware algorithms requires fine-tuning to parameters of the model. In modern systems we have many levels of caching...

The cache-oblivious model: do the algorithm that works well for (almost) any setting of parameters, as algorithm does not know  $B$  or  $M$ .

- Automatic block transfers triggered by word access with *offline optimal block replacement*.
- FIFO or LRU is 2-competitive given cache of  $2 \times$  size.
- In fact it is OK to show that ANY caching strategy kind-of works.

Adapts to multi-level hierarchy.

Search trees:  $\mathcal{O}(\log_B N)$ . Static search tree - simulate B-tree on classic binary tree via memory placement. Take full binary tree on  $N$  nodes, cut it in half (height), so top is  $\sqrt{N}$  nodes (call it  $T$ ) and bottom is  $\sqrt{N}$  trees ( $T_1, \dots, T_{\sqrt{N}}$ ). Place in memory: place  $T$ , then  $T_1, \dots, T_{\sqrt{N}}$ , call recursively (van Emde Boas layout).

Analysis: cut in half until height piece size  $\leq B$ . So its also  $\geq \sqrt{B}$ . Height of a piece is between  $\log B$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \log B$ . Number of pieces along path to root is  $\leq \frac{\log N}{\frac{1}{2} \log B}$ , and each piece is on at most 2 blocks.

COLA (Cache-Oblivious Lookahead Array)[BCR02]:

- $\log N$  levels
- $i$ -th level contains  $2^i$  elements, either completely full or completely empty
- each level is sorted

Insert:  $\frac{\log N}{B}$  amortized. Naive searches: bin-search in each level, so  $\log^2 N$ . Refine by adding lookahead pointers: each fourth element from level  $i$  is preserved in level  $i + 1$ , with pointer. Then searching incurs  $\log N$  cost.

## References

- [BCR02] Michael A. Bender, Richard Cole, and Rajeev Raman. Exponential structures for efficient cache-oblivious algorithms. In Peter Widmayer, Francisco Triguero Ruiz, Rafael Morales Bueno, Matthew Hennessy, Stephan J. Eidenbenz, and Ricardo Conejo, editors, *Automata, Languages and Programming, 29th International Colloquium, ICALP 2002, Malaga, Spain, July 8-13, 2002, Proceedings*, volume 2380 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 195–207. Springer, 2002.
- [BFF<sup>+</sup>07] Michael A. Bender, Martin Farach-Colton, Jeremy T. Fineman, Yonatan R. Fogel, Bradley C. Kuszmaul, and Jelani Nelson. Cache-oblivious streaming b-trees. In Phillip B. Gibbons and Christian Scheideler, editors, *SPAA 2007: Proceedings of the 19th Annual ACM Symposium on Parallelism in Algorithms and Architectures, San Diego, California, USA, June 9-11, 2007*, pages 81–92. ACM, 2007.
- [KW02] Markus Kowarschik and Christian Weiß. An overview of cache optimization techniques and cache-aware numerical algorithms. In Ulrich Meyer, Peter Sanders, and Jop F. Sibeyn, editors, *Algorithms for Memory Hierarchies, Advanced Lectures [Dagstuhl Research Seminar, March 10-14, 2002]*, volume 2625 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 213–232. Springer, 2002.