Coupled Cluster theory and infinite nuclear matter

Morten Hjorth-Jensen^{1,2}

National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA¹

Department of Physics, University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway²

28th Indian-Summer School on Ab Initio Methods in Nuclear Physics

© 2013-2016, Morten Hjorth-Jensen. Released under CC Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license

Introduction

Coester and Kummel first developed the ideas that led to coupled-cluster theory in the late 1950s. The basic idea is that the correlated wave function of a many-body system $|\Psi\rangle$ can be formulated as an exponential of correlation operators ${\cal T}$ acting on a reference state $|\Phi\rangle$

$$\mid \Psi
angle = \exp \left(- \hat{\mathcal{T}}
ight) \mid \Phi
angle \; .$$

We will discuss how to define the operators later in this work. This simple ansatz carries enormous power. It leads to a non-perturbative many-body theory that includes summation of ladder diagrams, ring diagrams, and an infinite-order generalization of many-body perturbation theory.

Introduction

Developments and applications of coupled-cluster theory took different routes in chemistry and nuclear physics. In quantum chemistry, coupled-cluster developments and applications have proven to be extremely useful, see for example the review by Barrett and Musial as well as the recent textbook by Shavitt and Barrett. Many previous applications to nuclear physics struggled with the repulsive character of the nuclear forces and limited basis sets used in the computations. Most of these problems have been overcome during the last decade and coupled-cluster theory is one of the computational methods of preference for doing nuclear physics, with applications ranging from light nuclei to medium-heavy nuclei, see for example the recent review by Hagen, Papenbrock, Hjorth-Jensen and Dean.

Before we proceed with the derivation of the Coupled cluster equations, let us repeat some of the arguments we presented during our FCI lectures. In our FCI discussions, we rewrote the solution of the Schroedinger equation as a set of coupled equations in the unknown coefficients C. Let us repeat some of these arguments. To obtain the eigenstates and eigenvalues in terms of non-linear equations is not a very practical approach. However, it serves the scope of linking FCI theory with approximative solutions to the many-body problem like Coupled cluster (CC) theory

If we assume that we have a two-body operator at most, the Slater-Condon rule gives then an equation for the correlation energy in terms of C_i^a and C_{ij}^{ab} only. We get then

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} - E | \Phi_0 \rangle + \sum_{ai} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^a_i \rangle C^a_i + \sum_{abij} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{ab}_{ij} \rangle C^{ab}_{ij} = 0, \label{eq:phi0}$$

or

$$E-E_0=\Delta E=\sum_{ai}\langle\Phi_0|\hat{H}|\Phi_i^a\rangle C_i^a+\sum_{abij}\langle\Phi_0|\hat{H}|\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle C_{ij}^{ab},$$

where the energy E_0 is the reference energy and ΔE defines the so-called correlation energy. The single-particle basis functions could be the results of a Hartree-Fock calculation or just the eigenstates of the non-interacting part of the Hamiltonian.

In our notes on Hartree-Fock calculations, we have already computed the matrix $\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi^a_i \rangle$ and $\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi^{ab}_{ij} \rangle$. If we are using a Hartree-Fock basis, then the matrix elements $\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi^a_i \rangle = 0$ and we are left with a *correlation energy* given by

$$E - E_0 = \Delta E^{HF} = \sum_{abii} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi^{ab}_{ij} \rangle C^{ab}_{ij}.$$

Inserting the various matrix elements we can rewrite the previous equation as

$$\Delta E = \sum_{ai} \langle i | \hat{f} | a \rangle C_i^a + \sum_{abij} \langle ij | \hat{v} | ab \rangle C_{ij}^{ab}.$$

This equation determines the correlation energy but not the coefficients C. We need more equations. Our next step is to set up

$$\langle \Phi_{i}^{a}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi_{0}\rangle + \sum_{bj} \langle \Phi_{i}^{a}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi_{j}^{b}\rangle C_{j}^{b} + \sum_{bcjk} \langle \Phi_{i}^{a}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi_{jk}^{bc}\rangle C_{jk}^{bc} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi_{i}^{a}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi_{jk}^{bc}\rangle C_{jk}^{bc} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi_{i}^{a}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi_{ij}^{bc}\rangle C_{ij}^{bc} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi_{ij}^{a}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi_{ij}^{c}\rangle C_{ij}^{c}$$

as this equation will allow us to find an expression for the coefficients C_i^a since we can rewrite this equation as

$$\langle i|\hat{f}|a\rangle + \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}|\Phi_i^a\rangle C_i^a + \sum_{bj\neq ai} \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}|\Phi_j^b\rangle C_j^b + \sum_{bcjk} \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}|\Phi_{jk}^{bc}\rangle C_{jk}^{bc} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi_i^a|\hat{H}|\Phi_j^{bc}\rangle C_{jk}^{bc} + \sum_{bcdjkl} \langle \Phi_i^a|\Phi_j^{bc}\rangle C_{jk}^{bc}$$

We see that on the right-hand side we have the energy E. This leads to a non-linear equation in the unknown coefficients. These equations are normally solved iteratively (that is we can start with a guess for the coefficients C_i^a). A common choice is to use perturbation theory for the first guess, setting thereby

$$C_i^a = \frac{\langle i|\hat{f}|a\rangle}{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_a}.$$

The observant reader will however see that we need an equation for C^{bc}_{jk} and C^{bcd}_{jkl} as well. To find equations for these coefficients we need then to continue our multiplications from the left with the various Φ^P_H terms.

For C_{ik}^{bc} we need then

$$\langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi_0\rangle + \sum_{kc} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij}|\hat{H} - E|\Phi^c_k\rangle C^c_k +$$

$$\sum_{cdkl} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cd}_{kl} \rangle C^{cd}_{kl} + \sum_{cdeklm} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} - E | \Phi^{cde}_{klm} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \hat{H} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cdefklmn} \langle \Phi^{cde}_{ij} | \hat{H} \rangle C^{cde}_{klm} + \sum_{cd$$

and we can isolate the coefficients C_{kl}^{cd} in a similar way as we did for the coefficients C_i^a . A standard choice for the first iteration is to set

$$C_{ij}^{ab} = \frac{\langle ij|\hat{v}|ab\rangle}{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b}.$$

At the end we can rewrite our solution of the Schroedinger equation in terms of n coupled equations for the coefficients C_H^P . This is a very cumbersome way of solving the equation. However, by using this iterative scheme we can illustrate how we can compute the various terms in the wave operator or correlation operator \hat{C} . We will later identify the calculation of the various terms C_H^P as parts of different many-body approximations to full CI. In particular, we can relate this non-linear scheme with Coupled Cluster theory and many-body perturbation theory.

Summarizing FCI and bringing in approximative methods

If we can diagonalize large matrices, FCI is the method of choice since:

- ▶ It gives all eigenvalues, ground state and excited states
- ► The eigenvectors are obtained directly from the coefficients C_H^P which result from the diagonalization
- We can compute easily expectation values of other operators, as well as transition probabilities
- Correlations are easy to understand in terms of contributions to a given operator beyond the Hartree-Fock contribution. This is the standard approach in many-body theory.

Summarizing FCI and bringing in approximative methods

The correlation energy is defined as, with a two-body Hamiltonian,

$$\Delta E = \sum_{ai} \langle i | \hat{f} | a \rangle C_i^a + \sum_{abij} \langle ij | \hat{v} | ab \rangle C_{ij}^{ab}.$$

The coefficients C result from the solution of the eigenvalue problem. The energy of say the ground state is then

$$E = E_{ref} + \Delta E$$
,

where the so-called reference energy is the energy we obtain from a Hartree-Fock calculation, that is

$$E_{ref} = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle.$$

Summarizing FCI and bringing in approximative methods

However, as we have seen, even for a small case like the four first major shells and a nucleus like oxygen-16, the dimensionality becomes quickly intractable. If we wish to include single-particle states that reflect weakly bound systems, we need a much larger single-particle basis. We need thus approximative methods that sum specific correlations to infinite order.

Popular methods are

- Many-body perturbation theory (in essence a Taylor expansion)
- Coupled cluster theory (coupled non-linear equations)
- Green's function approaches (matrix inversion)
- Similarity group transformation methods (coupled ordinary differential equations)

All these methods start normally with a Hartree-Fock basis as the calculational basis.

The ansatz for the wavefunction (ground state) is given by

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_{CC}\rangle = e^{\hat{T}}|\Phi_0\rangle = \left(\sum_{n=1}^A \frac{1}{n!}\,\hat{T}^n\right)|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

where A represents the maximum number of particle-hole excitations and \hat{T} is the cluster operator defined as

$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2 + \ldots + \hat{T}_A$$

$$\hat{T}_n = \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^2 \sum_{i_1, i_2, \ldots i_n} t_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_n}^{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n} a_{a_1}^{\dagger} a_{a_2}^{\dagger} \ldots a_{a_n}^{\dagger} a_{i_n} \ldots a_{i_2} a_{i_1}.$$

The energy is given by

$$E_{\mathrm{CC}} = \langle \Phi_0 | \overline{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle,$$

where \overline{H} is a similarity transformed Hamiltonian

$$\begin{split} \overline{H} &= e^{-\hat{T}} \hat{H}_N e^{\hat{T}} \\ \hat{H}_N &= \hat{H} - \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle. \end{split}$$

The coupled cluster energy is a function of the unknown cluster amplitudes $t_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$, given by the solutions to the amplitude equations

$$0 = \langle \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n} | \overline{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle.$$

The similarity transformed Hamiltonian \overline{H} is expanded using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff expression,

$$\overline{H} = \hat{H}_{N} + \left[\hat{H}_{N}, \hat{T}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left[\left[\hat{H}_{N}, \hat{T}\right], \hat{T}\right] + \dots$$
$$\frac{1}{n!}\left[\dots\left[\hat{H}_{N}, \hat{T}\right], \dots \hat{T}\right] + \dots$$

and simplified using the connected cluster theorem

$$\overline{H} = \hat{H}_N + \left(\hat{H}_N \hat{T}\right)_c + \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{H}_N \hat{T}^2\right)_c + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \left(\hat{H}_N \hat{T}^n\right)_c + \dots$$

A much used approximation is to truncate the cluster operator \hat{T} at the n=2 level. This defines the so-called singes and doubles approximation to the Coupled Cluster wavefunction, normally shortened to CCSD..

The coupled cluster wavefunction is now given by

$$|\Psi_{CC}\rangle = e^{\hat{T}_1 + \hat{T}_2} |\Phi_0\rangle$$

where

$$\hat{T}_1 = \sum_{ia} t_i^a a_a^\dagger a_i$$
 $\hat{T}_2 = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{iiab} t_{ij}^{ab} a_a^\dagger a_b^\dagger a_j a_i.$

The amplutudes t play a role similar to the coefficients C in the shell-model calculations. They are obtained by solving a set of non-linear equations similar to those discussed above in connection withe FCI discussion.

If we truncate our equations at the CCSD level, it corresponds to performing a transformation of the Hamiltonian matrix of the following type for a six particle problem (with a two-body Hamiltonian):

	0p - 0h	1p-1h	2p - 2h	3p - 3h	4p - 4h	5p - 5h	6p – 6h
0p - 0h	\tilde{x}	\tilde{x}	$ ilde{x}$	0	0	0	0
1p-1h	0	$ ilde{x}$	\tilde{x}	$ ilde{x}$	0	0	0
2p - 2h	0	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	0	0
3p - 3h	0	\tilde{x}	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	0
4p - 4h	0	0	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	\tilde{x}
5p - 5h	0	0	0	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	\tilde{x}
6 <i>p</i> – 6 <i>h</i>	0	0	0	0	$ ilde{x}$	$ ilde{x}$	\tilde{x}

In our FCI discussion the correlation energy is defined as, with a two-body Hamiltonian,

$$\Delta E = \sum_{ai} \langle i | \hat{f} | a \rangle C_i^a + \sum_{abij} \langle ij | \hat{v} | ab \rangle C_{ij}^{ab}.$$

In Coupled cluster theory it becomes (irrespective of level of truncation of \mathcal{T})

$$\Delta E = \sum_{\mathit{a}\mathit{i}} \langle \mathit{i} | \hat{f} | \mathit{a} \rangle \mathit{t}_\mathit{i}^\mathit{a} + \sum_{\mathit{a}\mathit{b}\mathit{i}\mathit{j}} \langle \mathit{i} \mathit{j} | \hat{v} | \mathit{a}\mathit{b} \rangle \mathit{t}_\mathit{i\mathit{j}}^\mathit{ab}.$$

A quick tour of Coupled Cluster theory Coupled cluster theory has several interesting computational

Coupled cluster theory has several interesting computational features and is the method of choice in quantum chemistry. The method was originally proposed by Coester and Kummel, two nuclear physicists (way back in the fifties). It came back in full strength in nuclear physics during the last decade.

There are several interesting features:

- ▶ With a truncation like CCSD or CCSDT, we can include to infinite order correlations like 2p 2h.
- We can include a large basis of single-particle states, not possible in standard FCI calculations

However, Coupled Cluster theory is

- non-variational
 - if we want to find properties of excited states, additional calculations via for example equation of motion methods are needed
 - ▶ if correlations are strong, a single-reference ansatz may not be the best starting point

• we cannot quantify properly the error we make when

We will now approximate the cluster operator \hat{T} to include only 2p-2h correlations. This leads to the so-called CCD approximation, that is

$$\hat{T} pprox \hat{T}_2 = rac{1}{4} \sum_{abii} t^{ab}_{ij} a^{\dagger}_a a^{\dagger}_b a_j a_i,$$

meaning that we have

$$|\Psi_0
anglepprox |\Psi_{CCD}
angle=\exp\left(\hat{T}_2
ight)|\Phi_0
angle.$$

Inserting these equations in the expression for the computation of the energy we have, with a Hamiltonian defined with respect to a general vacuum (see the exercises in the second quantization part)

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_N + E_{\rm ref},$$

with

$$\hat{H}_{N} = \sum_{pq} \langle p | \hat{f} | q \rangle a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \langle pq | \hat{v} | rs \rangle a_{p}^{\dagger} a_{q}^{\dagger} a_{s} a_{r},$$

we obtain that the energy can be written as

$$\langle \Phi_0 | \exp - \left(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_2\right) \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N \exp \left(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_2\right) | \Phi_0
angle = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_N (1 + \hat{\mathcal{T}}_2) | \Phi_0
angle = \mathcal{E}_{CCD}.$$

This quantity becomes

$$E_{CCD} = E_{\mathrm{ref}} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abij} \langle ij | \hat{v} | ab \rangle t_{ij}^{ab},$$

where the latter is the correlation energy from this level of approximation of CC theory. Similarly, the expression for the amplitudes reads

$$\langle \Phi^{ab}_{ij} | \exp{-\left(\hat{T}_2\right)} \hat{H}_N \exp{\left(\hat{T}_2\right)} |\Phi_0\rangle = 0.$$

These equations can be reduced to (after several applications of Wick's theorem) to, for all i > j and all a > b,

$$0 = \langle ab|\hat{v}|ij\rangle + (\epsilon_{a} + \epsilon_{b} - \epsilon_{i} - \epsilon_{j}) t_{ij}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{cd} \langle ab|\hat{v}|cd\rangle t_{ij}^{cd} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} \langle kl|\hat{v}|ij\rangle t_{kl}^{ab} + \hat{P}(ij|ab) \sum_{kc} \langle kb|\hat{v}|cj\rangle t_{ik}^{ac} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{klcd} \langle kl|\hat{v}|cd\rangle t_{ij}^{cd} t_{kl}^{ab} + \hat{P}(ij) \sum_{klcd} \langle kl|\hat{v}|cd\rangle t_{ik}^{ac} t_{jl}^{bd} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}(ij) \sum_{klcd} \langle kl|\hat{v}|cd\rangle t_{ik}^{ac} t_{ij}^{db} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}(ab) \sum_{klcd} \langle kl|\hat{v}|cd\rangle t_{ik}^{ac} t_{ij}^{db},$$

$$(1)$$

where we have defined

$$\hat{P}(ab) = 1 - \hat{P}_{ab},$$

where \hat{P}_{ab} interchanges two particles occupying the quantum numbers a and b.

The operator $\hat{P}(ij|ab)$ is defined as

$$\hat{P}(ij|ab) = (1 - \hat{P}_{ij})(1 - \hat{P}_{ab}).$$

Recall also that the unknown amplitudes t_{ij}^{ab} represent anti-symmetrized matrix elements, meaning that they obey the same symmetry relations as the two-body interaction, that is

$$t_{ij}^{ab} = -t_{ji}^{ab} = -t_{ij}^{ba} = t_{ji}^{ba}.$$

The two-body matrix elements are also anti-symmetrized, meaning that

$$\langle ab|\hat{v}|ij\rangle = -\langle ab|\hat{v}|ji\rangle = -\langle ba|\hat{v}|ij\rangle = \langle ba|\hat{v}|ji\rangle.$$

The non-linear equations for the unknown amplitudes t_{ij}^{ab} are solved iteratively. We discuss the implementation of these equations below.

Approximations to the full CCD equations It is useful to make approximations to the equations for the

It is useful to make approximations to the equations for the amplitudes. The standard method for solving these equations is to set up an iterative scheme where method's like Newton's method or similar root searching methods are used to find the amplitudes. Itreative solvers need a guess for the amplitudes. A good starting point is to use the correlated wave operator from perturbation theory to first order in the interaction. This means that we define the zeroth approximation to the amplitudes as

$$t^{(0)} = \frac{\langle ab|\hat{v}|ij\rangle}{(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j - \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b)},$$

leading to our first approximation for the correlation energy at the CCD level to be equal to second-order perturbation theory without 1p-1h excitations, namely

$$\Delta E_{\mathrm{CCD}}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{abii} \frac{\langle ij|\hat{v}|ab\rangle\langle ab|\hat{v}|ij\rangle}{(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j - \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b)}.$$

Approximations to the full CCD equations

With this starting point, we are now ready to solve Eq. (1) iteratively. Before we attack the full equations, it is however instructive to study a truncated version of the equations. We will first study the following approximation where we take away all terms except the linear terms that involve the single-particle energies and the two-particle intermediate excitations, that is

$$0 = \langle ab|\hat{v}|ij\rangle + (\epsilon_a + \epsilon_b - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j) t_{ij}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{cd} \langle ab|\hat{v}|cd\rangle t_{ij}^{cd}. \quad (2)$$

Approximations to the full CCD equations
Setting the single-particle energies for the hole states equal to an energy variable $\omega = \epsilon_i + \epsilon_i$, Eq. (2) reduces to the well-known equations for the so-called G-matrix, widely used in infinite matter and finite nuclei studies. The equation can then be reordered and solved by matrix inversion. To see this let us define the following quantity

$$\tau_{ij}^{ab} = (\omega - \epsilon_a - \epsilon_b) t_{ij}^{ab},$$

and inserting

$$1 = \frac{(\omega - \epsilon_c - \epsilon_d)}{(\omega - \epsilon_c - \epsilon_d)},$$

in the intermediate sums over cd in Eq. (2), we can rewrite the latter equation as

$$au_{ij}^{ab}(\omega) = \langle ab|\hat{v}|ij
angle + rac{1}{2}\sum_{i}\langle ab|\hat{v}|cd
angle rac{1}{\omega - \epsilon_{c} - \epsilon_{d}} au_{ij}^{cd}(\omega),$$

where we have indicated an explicit energy dependence. This equation, transforming a two-particle configuration into a single index, can be transformed into a matrix inversion problem. Solving

Approximations to the full CCD equations

To solve Eq. (2), we would thus start with a guess for the unknown amplitudes, typically using the wave operator defined by first order in perturbation theory, leading to a zeroth approximation to the energy given by second-order perturbation theory for the correlation energy. A simple approach to the solution of Eq. (2), is to thus to

- 1. Start with a guess for the amplitudes and compute the zeroth approximation to the correlation energy
- 2. Use the ansatz for the amplitudes to solve Eq. (2) via for example your root-finding method of choice (Newton's method or modifications thereof can be used) and continue these iterations till the correlation energy does not change more than a prefixed quantity λ ; $\Delta E_{\rm CCD}^{(i)} \Delta E_{\rm CCD}^{(i-1)} \leq \lambda$.
- 3. It is common during the iterations to scale the amplitudes with a parameter α , with $\alpha \in (0,1]$ as $t^{(i)} = \alpha t^{(i)} + (1-\alpha)t^{(i-1)}$.

Approximations to the full CCD equations

The next approximation is to include the two-hole term in Eq. (1), a term which allow us to make a link with Green's function theory with two-particle and two-hole correlations. This means that we solve

$$0 = \langle ab|\hat{v}|ij\rangle + (\epsilon_a + \epsilon_b - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j) t_{ij}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{cd} \langle ab|\hat{v}|cd\rangle t_{ij}^{cd} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} \langle kl|\hat{v}|ij\rangle t_k^{ab}$$
(3)

This equation is solved the same way as we would do for Eq. (2). The final step is then to include all terms in Eq. (1).

Introduction to studies of infinite matter

Studies of infinite nuclear matter play an important role in nuclear physics. The aim of this part of the lectures is to provide the necessary ingredients for perfoming studies of neutron star matter (or matter in β -equilibrium) and symmetric nuclear matter. Here we will study infinite neutron matter

- at the Hartree-Fock with realistic nuclear forces and
- using many-body methods like coupled-cluster theory or many-body perturbation theory

Infinite nuclear matter and neutron star matter

Studies of dense baryonic matter are of central importance to our basic understanding of the stability of nuclear matter, spanning from matter at high densities and temperatures to matter as found within dense astronomical objects like neutron stars.

Neutron star matter at densities of 0.1 fm⁻³ and greater, is often assumed to be made of mainly neutrons, protons, electrons and muons in beta equilibrium. However, other baryons like various hyperons may exist, as well as possible mesonic condensates and transitions to quark degrees of freedom at higher densities. Here we focus on specific definitions of various phases and focus on distinct phases of matter such as pure baryonic matter and/or quark matter. The composition of matter is then determined by the requirements of chemical and electrical equilibrium. Furthermore, we will also consider matter at temperatures much lower than the typical Fermi energies.

Properties of infinite nuclear matter

The equilibrium conditions are governed by the weak processes (normally referred to as the processes for β -equilibrium)

$$b_1 \to b_2 + l + \bar{\nu}_l$$
 $b_2 + l \to b_1 + \nu_l$, (4)

where b_1 and b_2 refer to for example the baryons being a neutron and a proton, respectively, I is either an electron or a muon and $\bar{\nu}_I$ and ν_I their respective anti-neutrinos and neutrinos. Muons typically appear at a density close to nuclear matter saturation density, the latter being

$$n_0 \approx 0.16 \pm 0.02$$
 fm⁻³,

with a corresponding binding energy \mathcal{E}_0 for symmetric nuclear matter (SNM) at saturation density of

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = B/A = -15.6 \pm 0.2$$
 MeV.

The infinite neutron gas as a homogenous system

This is a homogeneous system and the one-particle wave functions are given by plane wave functions normalized to a volume Ω for a box with length L (the limit $L \to \infty$ is to be taken after we have computed various expectation values)

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \exp{(i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r})} \xi_{\sigma}$$

where ${\bf k}$ is the wave number and ξ_σ is a spin function for either spin up or down

$$\xi_{\sigma=+1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \xi_{\sigma=-1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Periodic boundary conditions and single-particle states

When using periodic boundary conditions, the discrete-momentum single-particle basis functions

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}/L^{d/2}$$

are associated with the single-particle energy

$$\varepsilon_{n_x,n_y} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \left(n_x^2 + n_y^2\right) \tag{5}$$

for two-dimensional sytems and

$$\varepsilon_{n_x,n_y,n_z} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 \left(n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2\right) \tag{6}$$

for three-dimensional systems.

More on periodic boundary conditions and single-particle states

The table on the next slide illustrates how single-particle energies fill energy shells in a two-dimensional neutron box. Here n_x and n_y are the momentum quantum numbers, $n_x^2 + n_y^2$ determines the single-particle energy level, $N_{\uparrow\downarrow}$ represents the cumulated number of spin-orbitals in an unpolarized spin phase, and $N_{\uparrow\uparrow}$ stands for the cumulated number of spin-orbitals in a spin-polarized system.

Magic numbers for the two-dimensional neutron (or electron) gas

$n_x^2 + n_y^2$	n_{x}	n_y	N _{↑↓}	$N_{\uparrow \uparrow}$
0	0	0	2	1
1	-1	0		
	1	0		
	0	-1		
	0	1	10	5
2	-1	-1		
	-1	1		
	1	-1		
	1	1	18	9
4	-2	0		
	2	0		
	0	-2		
	0	2	26	13
5	-2 2	-1		
	2	-1		
	-2	1		
	2	1		
	-1	-2		
	-1	2		
	1	-2		

Three-dimensional neutron gas

Using the same approach as made with the two-dimensional electron gas with the single-particle kinetic energy defined as

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(k_{n_x}^2 + k_{n_y}^2 k_{n_z}^2 \right),$$

and

$$k_{n_i} = \frac{2\pi n_i}{I} n_i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots,$$

we can set up a similar table and obtain (assuming identical particles one and including spin up and spin down solutions) for energies less than or equal to $n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2 \leq 3$

Single-particle states for the three-dimensional neutron gas $n_x^2 + n_y^2 + n_z^2 - n_x - n_y - n_z - N_{\uparrow\downarrow}$

$n_X + n_Y + n_Z$	11X	· · · y	112	7.0
0	0	0	0	2
1	-1	0	0	
1	1	0	0	
1	0	-1	0	
1	0	1	0	
1	0	0	-1	
1	0	0	1	14
2	-1	-1	0	
2	-1	1	0	
2	1	-1	0	
2	1	1	0	
2	-1	0	-1	
2	-1	0	1	
2	1	0	-1	
2	1	0	1	
2	0	-1	-1	
2	0	-1	1	
2	0	1	-1	
2	0	1	1	38
3	-1	-1	-1	
3	-1	-1	1	
2	-	-1	-1	

Input parameters

Every number of particles for filled shells defines also the number of particles to be used in a given calculation. We use the number of particles to define the density of the system

$$\rho = g \frac{k_F^3}{6\pi^2},$$

where you need to define k_F and the degeneracy g, which is two for one type of spin-1/2 particles and four for symmetric nuclear matter.

With the density we can define the length L of the box used with periodic boundary contributions, that is use the relation

$$V=L^3=\frac{A}{\rho}$$
.

Finally we can use L to define the spacing to set up the spacing between various k-values, that is

$$\Delta k = \frac{2\pi}{I}$$
.

Here, A can be the number of nucleons.