Morten Hjorth-Jensen

Department of Physics and Center for Computing in Science Education University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway and Department of Physics and Astronomy and FRIB laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

October 26 and 27, 2023

Litterature I

- R. van Leeuwen: Density functional approach to the many-body problem: key concepts and exact functionals, Adv. Quant. Chem. 43, 25 (2003). (Mathematical foundations of DFT)
- R. M. Dreizler and E. K. U. Gross: Density functional theory: An approach to the quantum many-body problem. (Introductory book)
- W. Koch and M. C. Holthausen: A chemist's guide to density functional theory. (Introductory book, less formal than Dreizler/Gross)
- E. H. Lieb: Density functionals for Coulomb systems, Int. J. Quant. Chem. 24, 243-277 (1983). (Mathematical analysis of DFT)

Litterature II

- J. P. Perdew and S. Kurth: In A Primer in Density Functional Theory: Density Functionals for Non-relativistic Coulomb Systems in the New Century, ed. C. Fiolhais et al. (Introductory course, partly difficult, but interesting points of view)
- ► E. Engel: In A Primer in Density Functional Theory: Orbital-Dependent Functionals for the Exchange-Correlation Energy, ed. C. Fiolhais et al. (Introductory lectures, only about orbital-dependent functionals)

Density Functional Theory (DFT)

Hohenberg and Kohn proved that the total energy of a system including that of the many-body effects of electrons (exchange and correlation) in the presence of static external potential (for example, the atomic nuclei) is a unique functional of the charge density. The minimum value of the total energy functional is the ground state energy of the system. The electronic charge density which yields this minimum is then the exact single particle ground state energy.

In Hartree-Fock theory one works with large basis sets. This poses a problem for large systems. An alternative to the HF methods is DFT. DFT takes into account electron correlations but is less demanding computationally than full scale diagonalization, Coupled Cluster theory or say Monte Carlo methods.

The electronic energy E is said to be a *functional* of the electronic density, $E[\rho]$, in the sense that for a given function $\rho(r)$, there is a single corresponding energy. The *Hohenberg-Kohn theorem* confirms that such a functional exists, but does not tell us the form of the functional. As shown by Kohn and Sham, the exact ground-state energy E of an N-electron system can be written as

$$E[\rho] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \Psi_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{r_{1}}) \nabla_{1}^{2} \Psi_{i}(\mathbf{r_{1}}) d\mathbf{r_{1}} - \int \frac{Z}{r_{1}} \rho(\mathbf{r_{1}}) d\mathbf{r_{1}} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r_{1}}) \rho(\mathbf{r_{2}})}{r_{12}} d\mathbf{r_{1}} d\mathbf{r_{2}} + E_{XC}[\rho]$$

with Ψ_i the Kohn-Sham (KS) orbitals. Note that we have limited ourselves to atomic physics here.

How do we arrive at the above equation?

The ground-state charge density is given by

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\Psi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2,$$

where the sum is over the occupied Kohn-Sham orbitals. The last term, $E_{XC}[\rho]$, is the *exchange-correlation energy* which in theory takes into account all non-classical electron-electron interaction. However, we do not know how to obtain this term exactly, and are forced to approximate it. The KS orbitals are found by solving the *Kohn-Sham equations*, which can be found by applying a variational principle to the electronic energy $E[\rho]$. This approach is similar to the one used for obtaining the HF equation.

The KS equations reads

$$\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{1}^{2} - \frac{Z}{r_{1}} + \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r_{2}})}{r_{12}} d\mathbf{r_{2}} + V_{XC}(\mathbf{r_{1}}) \right\} \Psi_{i}(\mathbf{r_{1}}) = \epsilon_{i} \Psi_{i}(\mathbf{r_{1}})$$

where ϵ_i are the KS orbital energies, and where the *exchange-correlation potential* is given by

$$V_{XC}[\rho] = \frac{\delta E_{XC}[\rho]}{\delta \rho}.$$

The KS equations are solved in a self-consistent fashion. A variety of basis set functions can be used, and the experience gained in HF calculations are often useful. The computational time needed for a DFT calculation formally scales as the third power of the number of basis functions.

The main source of error in DFT usually arises from the approximate nature of E_{XC} . In the *local density approximation* (LDA) it is approximated as

$$E_{XC} = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \epsilon_{XC}[\rho(\mathbf{r})] d\mathbf{r},$$

where $\epsilon_{XC}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]$ is the exchange-correlation energy per electron in a homogeneous electron gas of constant density. The LDA approach is clearly an approximation as the charge is not continuously distributed. To account for the inhomogeneity of the electron density, a nonlocal correction involving the gradient of ρ is often added to the exchange-correlation energy.

The Hohenberg-Kohn theorems

Assume Hamiltonian of many-fermion system

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\text{ext}} + \hat{V},$$

or in second quantized form

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d^3r \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}') v(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}') \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}), \end{split}$$

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) a_{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) a_{\mathbf{k}} \ \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$$

 $\mathbf{k} = \text{collection of quantum numbers}$

 $\hat{T} = \text{kinetic energy operator}$

 $\hat{V}_{\text{ext}} = \text{external single-particle potential operator}$

 $\hat{V} =$ two-particle interaction operator

Theorem I

We assume that there is a $\mathcal{V}_{ext} = set$ of external single-particle potentials ν so that

$$\hat{H}|\phi\rangle = \left(\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \hat{V}\right) = E|\phi\rangle, \qquad \hat{V}_{\mathrm{ext}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{ext}},$$

gives a non-degenerate N-particle ground state $|\Psi\rangle_0$. For any system of interacting particles in an external potential \mathcal{V}_{ext} , the potential \mathcal{V}_{ext} is uniquely determined (by a near constant) by the ground state density ρ_0 . There is a corollary to this statement which states that since \hat{H} is determined, the many-body functions for all states are also determined. All properties of the system are determined via ρ_0 .

Theorem II

The density (assuming normalized state vectors)

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i} \int dx_2 \cdots \int dx_N |\Psi(\mathbf{r}i, x_2, \dots, x_N)|^2$$

Theorem II states that a universal functional for the energy $E[\rho]$ (function of ρ) can be defined for every external potential \mathcal{U}_{ext} . For a given external potential, the exact ground state energy of the system is a global minimum of this functional. The density which minimizes this functional is ρ_0 .

Density Functional Theory (DFT)

Hohenberg and Kohn proved that the total energy of a system including that of the many-body effects of electrons (exchange and correlation) in the presence of static external potential (for example, the atomic nuclei) is a unique functional of the charge density. The minimum value of the total energy functional is the ground state energy of the system. The electronic charge density which yields this minimum is then the exact single particle ground state energy.

In Hartree-Fock theory one works with large basis sets. This poses a problem for large systems. An alternative to the HF methods is DFT. DFT takes into account electron correlations but is less demanding computationally than full scale diagonalization or Monte Carlo methods.

The electronic energy E is said to be a *functional* of the electronic density, $E[\rho]$, in the sense that for a given function $\rho(r)$, there is a single corresponding energy. The *Hohenberg-Kohn theorem* confirms that such a functional exists, but does not tell us the form of the functional. As shown by Kohn and Sham, the exact ground-state energy E of an N-electron system can be written as

$$E[\rho] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \Psi_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{r_{1}}) \nabla_{1}^{2} \Psi_{i}(\mathbf{r_{1}}) d\mathbf{r_{1}} - \int \frac{Z}{r_{1}} \rho(\mathbf{r_{1}}) d\mathbf{r_{1}} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r_{1}}) \rho(\mathbf{r_{2}})}{r_{12}} d\mathbf{r_{1}} d\mathbf{r_{2}} + E_{EXC}[\rho]$$

with Ψ_i the Kohn-Sham (KS) orbitals.

The ground-state charge density is given by

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\Psi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2,$$

where the sum is over the occupied Kohn-Sham orbitals. The last term, $E_{EXC}[\rho]$, is the *exchange-correlation energy* which in theory takes into account all non-classical electron-electron interaction. However, we do not know how to obtain this term exactly, and are forced to approximate it. The KS orbitals are found by solving the *Kohn-Sham equations*, which can be found by applying a variational principle to the electronic energy $E[\rho]$. This approach is similar to the one used for obtaining the HF equation.

The KS equations reads

$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 - \frac{Z}{r_1} + \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r_2})}{r_{12}} d\mathbf{r_2} + V_{EXC}(\mathbf{r_1})\right\} \Psi_i(\mathbf{r_1}) = \epsilon_i \Psi_i(\mathbf{r_1})$$

where ϵ_i are the KS orbital energies, and where the *exchange-correlation potential* is given by

$$V_{EXC}[\rho] = \frac{\delta E_{EXC}[\rho]}{\delta \rho}.$$

The KS equations are solved in a self-consistent fashion. A variety of basis set functions can be used, and the experience gained in HF calculations are often useful. The computational time needed for a DFT calculation formally scales as the third power of the number of basis functions.

The main source of error in DFT usually arises from the approximate nature of E_{EXC} . In the *local density approximation* (LDA) it is approximated as

$$E_{EXC} = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \epsilon_{EXC}[\rho(\mathbf{r})] d\mathbf{r},$$

where $\epsilon_{EXC}[\rho(\mathbf{r})]$ is the exchange-correlation energy per electron in a homogeneous electron gas of constant density. The LDA approach is clearly an approximation as the charge is not continuously distributed. To account for the inhomogeneity of the electron density, a nonlocal correction involving the gradient of ρ is often added to the exchange-correlation energy.

The Hohenberg-Kohn theorem

Assume Hamiltonian of many-fermion system

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} + \hat{W},$$

or second quantized form

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d^3r \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) v(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}') w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}') \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}), \end{split}$$

 $\hat{\Psi},\,\hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}=$ annihilation, creation field operators

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) a_{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$\hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) a_{\mathbf{k}} \ \hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) a_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}$$

 $\mathbf{k} = \text{collection of quantum numbers}$

 $\hat{T} = \text{kinetic energy operator}$

 $\hat{V} = \text{external single-particle potential operator}$

 $\hat{W} =$ two-particle interaction operator

The Hohenberg-Kohn theorem

Assume Hamiltonian of many-fermion system

$$\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} + \hat{W},$$

or second quantized form

$$\begin{split} \hat{H} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d^3r \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \nabla^2 \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \nu(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \int d^3r' \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}') w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}') \hat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}), \end{split}$$

 $\hat{\Psi},\,\hat{\Psi}^{\dagger}=$ annihilation, creation field operators

V = set of external single-particle potentials v s.t.

$$\hat{H}|\phi\rangle = (\hat{T} + \hat{V} + \hat{W}) = E|\phi\rangle, \qquad \hat{V} \in \mathcal{V},$$

gives a non-degenerate N-particle ground state $|\Psi\rangle$

$$\implies$$
 $C: \mathcal{V}(C) \longrightarrow \Psi$ surjective,

where $\Psi = \text{set}$ of ground states (GS) $|\Psi\rangle$

The density

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = N \sum_{i} \int dx_2 \cdots \int dx_N |\Psi(\mathbf{r}i, x_2, \dots, x_N)|^2$$

gives a second map

$$D: \Psi \longrightarrow \mathcal{N},$$

where $\mathcal{N}=$ set of GS densities. The map trivially surjective.

Lemma

Hohenberg-Kohn states: C and D also injective (one-to-one; $x_1 \neq x_2 \Rightarrow Tx_1 \neq Tx_2$)

$$\implies$$
 $CD: \mathcal{V}(CD) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ bijective

Proof I.

Let us prove $C: \mathcal{V}(C) \longrightarrow \Psi$ injective:

$$\hat{V} \neq \hat{V}' + \text{constant} \qquad \stackrel{?}{\Longrightarrow} \qquad |\Psi\rangle \neq |\Psi'\rangle,$$

where $\hat{V}, \hat{V}' \in \mathcal{V}$

Reductio ad absurdum:

Assume
$$|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi'\rangle$$
 for some $\hat{V} \neq \hat{V}' + \text{const}$, \hat{V} , $\hat{V}' \in \mathcal{V}$ $\hat{T} \neq \hat{T}[V]$, $\hat{W} \neq \hat{W}[V] \implies 1$

$$\left(\hat{\mathit{V}}-\hat{\mathit{V}}'
ight)|\Psi
angle = \left(\mathit{E}_{\mathit{gs}}-\mathit{E}_{\mathit{gs}}'
ight)|\Psi
angle.$$

$$\implies \hat{V} - \hat{V}' = E_{gs} - E'_{gs}$$

 \implies $\hat{V} = \hat{V}' + \text{constant}$ Contradiction!

¹Unique continuation theorem: $|\Psi\rangle \neq 0$ on a set of positive measure

Proof II.

Let us prove $D: \Psi \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ injective:

$$|\Psi\rangle \neq |\Psi'\rangle$$
 $\stackrel{?}{\Longrightarrow}$ $\rho(\mathbf{r}) \neq n'(\mathbf{r})$

Reductio ad absurdum:

Assume $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = n'(\mathbf{r})$ for some $|\Psi\rangle \neq |\Psi'\rangle$

Ritz principle ⇒

$$E_{gs} = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle < \langle \Psi' | \hat{H} | \Psi' \rangle$$

$$\langle \Psi' | \hat{H} | \Psi' \rangle = \langle \Psi' | \hat{H}' + \hat{V} - \hat{V}' | \Psi' \rangle = E'_{gs} + \int n'(\mathbf{r}) [v(\mathbf{r}) - v'(\mathbf{r})] d^3 r$$

$$\implies E'_{gs} < E_{gs} + \int n'(\mathbf{r}) [v(\mathbf{r}) - v'(\mathbf{r})] d^3 r$$

By symmetry

$$\implies E_{gs} < E'_{gs} + \int n'(\mathbf{r})[\nu'(\mathbf{r}) - \nu(\mathbf{r})]d^3r$$
 (2)

(1) & (2)
$$\Longrightarrow$$
 $E_{gs} + E'_{as} < E_{gs} + E'_{as}$ Contradiction!

24/37

(1)

Define

$$E_{\nu_0}[\rho] := \langle \Psi[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} + \hat{V_0} | \Psi[\rho] \rangle$$

 $\hat{V_0}=$ external potential, $n_0(\mathbf{r})=$ corresponding GS density, $E_0=$ GS energy

Rayleigh-Ritz principle \implies second statement of H-K theorem:

$$E_0 = \min_{n \in \mathcal{N}} E_{\nu_0}[\rho]$$

Last satement of H-K theorem:

$$F_{HK}[\rho] \equiv \langle \Psi[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi[\rho] \rangle$$

is universal $(F_{HK} \neq F_{HK}[\hat{V_0}])$

The Kohn-Sham scheme

The classic Kohn-Sham scheme:

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + \nu_{s,0}(\mathbf{r})\right)\phi_{i,0}(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i\phi_{i,0}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad \varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2 \geq \dots ,$$

where

$$v_{s,0}(\mathbf{r}) = v_0(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r' w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho_0(\mathbf{r}') + v_{XC}([\rho_0]; \mathbf{r})$$

The density calculated as

$$\rho_0(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^N |\phi_{i,0}(\mathbf{r})|^2,$$

Equation solved selfconsistently Total energy:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}r d^{3}r' \rho(\mathbf{r}) w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}') + E_{XC}[\rho] - \int d^{3}r v_{XC}([\rho]; \mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r})$$

Exchange Energy and Correlation Energy

Hartree-Fock equation:

$$\begin{split} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + v_0(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r'w(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\rho(\mathbf{r}')\right)\phi_k(\mathbf{r}) \\ - \underbrace{\sum_{l=1}^N \int d^3r'\phi_l^*(\mathbf{r}')w(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\phi_k(\mathbf{r}')\phi_l(\mathbf{r})}_{\text{exchange term}} = \varepsilon_k\phi_k(\mathbf{r}), \end{split}$$

Non-local exchange term (Pauli exclusion principle)

Kohn-Sham equation:

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + v_0(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r'w(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\rho(\mathbf{r}') + \underbrace{v_{\text{XC}}([\rho];\mathbf{r})}_{\text{exchange + correlation}}\right)\phi_k(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_k\phi_k(\mathbf{r}),$$

Local exchange-correlation term

Exchange-correlation energy = Exchange energy + Correlation energy

$$E_{\rm XC}[\rho] = E_{\rm X}[\rho] + E_{\rm C}[\rho]$$

From earlier:

$$E_{\text{XC}}[\rho] = F_L[\rho] - T_s[\rho] - \frac{1}{2} \iint d^3r d^3r' \rho(\mathbf{r}) w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}')$$

We want to show: $E_c[\rho] \leq 0$

Here we have (assume $F_L[\rho] = F_{LL}[\rho]$)

$$F_{L}[\rho] \equiv \inf_{\Psi \to n} \langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle$$
$$= \langle \Psi_{n}^{min} | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi_{n}^{min} \rangle,$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}[
ho] \equiv \inf_{\Psi
ightarrow n} \langle \Psi | \hat{\mathcal{T}} | \Psi
angle = \langle \Phi_n^{min} | \hat{\mathcal{T}} | \Phi_n^{min}
angle,$$

 $\Psi =$ normalized, antisymm. *N*-particle wavefunction, Φ_n^{min} lin. komb. of Slater determinants of single-particle orbitals $\psi_i(r_i)$

Eq. (4.35) in J. M. Thijssen: Computational Physics:

$$\begin{split} \langle \Phi_n^{min} | \hat{W} | \Phi_n^{min} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} \left[\iint d^3r d^3r' \rho(\mathbf{r}) w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}') \right. \\ &\left. - \iint d^3r d^3r' \psi_l^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_l(\mathbf{r}') w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \psi_k^*(\mathbf{r}') \psi_k(\mathbf{r}) \right] \end{split}$$

By definition,

$$E_{x}[\rho] \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} \iint d^{3}r d^{3}r' \psi_{l}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{l}(\mathbf{r}') w(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') \psi_{k}^{*}(\mathbf{r}') \psi_{k}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$E_{c}[\rho] = E_{XC}[\rho] - E_{x}[\rho]$$

$$= F_{L}[\rho] - T_{s}[\rho] - \frac{1}{2} \iint d^{3}r d^{3}r' \rho(\mathbf{r}) w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}')$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} \iint d^{3}r d^{3}r' \psi_{l}^{*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{l}(\mathbf{r}') w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \psi_{k}^{*}(\mathbf{r}') \psi_{k}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$= \langle \Psi_{n}^{min} | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi_{n}^{min} \rangle - \langle \Phi_{n}^{min} | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Phi_{n}^{min} \rangle$$

$$\langle \Psi_{n}^{min} | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi_{n}^{min} \rangle = \inf_{\Psi \to n} \langle \Psi | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi \rangle,$$

$$E_{c}[\rho] < 0$$

Since

we see that

$$E_c[\rho] \leq 0$$

Question: can we compute the 'exact' E_{XC} that enters DFT calculations? Yes! Let us define a continuous variable λ and a Hamiltonian which depends on this variable

$$\hat{H}_{\lambda} = \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{V} + \hat{V}_{\text{ext}},$$

where \hat{T} is the kinetic energy, \hat{V} is in our case the Coulomb interaction between two electrons an \hat{v}_{ext} is our external potential, here the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator potential.

For $\lambda=0$ we have the non-interacting system, whose solution in our case is a single Slater determinant for the ground state (non-degenerate case). For $\lambda=1$ we have the full interacting case.

The standard variational principle is to find the minimum of

$$E_{\lambda}[\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{ext}}] = \inf_{\Psi \to \rho} \langle \Psi_{\lambda} | \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\lambda} | \Psi_{\lambda} \rangle,$$

with respect to the wave function Ψ_{λ} . If a maximizing potential $\hat{v}_{\mathrm{ext}}^{\mathrm{x}}$ exists, then according to the Hohenberg and Kohn, it is the one which has the density ρ as the ground state density and we have a functional

$$F_{\lambda}[\rho] = E_{\lambda}[\hat{v}_{\text{ext}}^{\lambda}] - \int d\mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \hat{v}_{\text{ext}}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}).$$

Which leads to the Lieb variational principle

$$F_{\lambda}[\rho] = \sup_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm ext}} \left(E_{\lambda}[\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm ext}^{\lambda}] - \int d\mathbf{r} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\rm ext}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}) \right).$$

We define

$$F_{\lambda}[\rho] = \langle \Psi_{\lambda} | \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{V} | \Psi_{\lambda} \rangle,$$

which we rewrite as

$$F_{\lambda}[\rho] = \langle \Psi_{\lambda} | \hat{T} | \Psi_{\lambda} \rangle + \lambda J[\rho] + E_{XC}[\rho],$$

with the standard Hartree term

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 \rho(\mathbf{r}_1) \rho(\mathbf{r}_2) V(r_{12}).$$

We want to find $E_{XC}[\rho]$ in

$$F_{\lambda}[\rho] = \langle \Psi_{\lambda} | \hat{T} | \Psi_{\lambda} \rangle + \lambda J[\rho] + E_{XC}[\rho].$$

To do this, since we use a variational method, we can employ the Hellmann-Feynman theorem, which states that

$$\Delta E = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} d\lambda \frac{\partial E_{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} d\lambda \langle \Psi_{\lambda} | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda} | \Psi_{\lambda} \rangle.$$

Setting $\lambda_1=0$ and $\lambda_2=1$ we arrive at

$$\Delta E = \int_0^1 d\lambda \langle \Psi_\lambda | \hat{V} | \Psi_\lambda \rangle,$$

where the wave function at $\lambda=0$ is our single Slater determinant for the reference state. In the case of a VMC caclulation there would be no Jastrow factor. For $\lambda=1$ we can use our best variational Monte Carlo function. Note that \hat{V} is the full interaction at $\lambda=1$!

We wish to relate

$$\Delta E = \int_0^1 d\lambda \langle \Psi_\lambda | \hat{V} | \Psi_\lambda \rangle,$$

to E_{XC} . Recalling that we defined

$$\langle \Psi_{\lambda} | \lambda \hat{V} | \Psi_{\lambda} \rangle = \lambda J[\rho] + \mathcal{E}_{XC}[\rho],$$

we rewrite our equation as

$$E_{XC} = \int_0^1 d\lambda \langle \Psi_\lambda | \hat{W}_\lambda | \Psi_\lambda \rangle,$$

where

$$W_{\lambda} = \langle \Psi_{\lambda} | \lambda \hat{V} | \Psi_{\lambda} \rangle - J.$$

Using the fundamental theorem of calculus we have then

$$E_{XC} = \langle \Psi_1 | \hat{V} | \Psi_1 \rangle - \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{V} | \Psi_0 \rangle.$$

We need thus simply to compute the expectation value of \hat{V} for the single Slater determinant $\lambda=0$ and the fully correlated wave function with, if we do a VMC calculation, the Jastrow factor as well for the $\lambda=1$ case.

The total correlation energy, including kinetic energy is then (computed at a fixed density) equal to

$$\label{eq:energy_energy} E_{\textit{C}} = \langle \Psi_1 | \hat{\textit{T}} + \hat{\textit{V}} | \Psi_1 \rangle - \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\textit{T}} + \hat{\textit{V}} | \Psi_0 \rangle.$$