Week 43: Density Functional Theory and start Many-body Perturbation Theory

Morten Hjorth-Jensen^{1,2}

Department of Physics and Center for Computing in Science Education, University of Oslo, Norway $^{\rm 1}$

Department of Physics and Astronomy and Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, USA²

Week 43, October 21-25

© 1999-2024, Morten Hjorth-Jensen. Released under CC Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license

Week 43, October 21-25, 2024

- 1. Thursday:
 - 1.1 Density functional theory, the Hohenberg-Kohn theorems
 - 1.2 Kohn-Sham equations
- 2. Friday:
 - 2.1 Kohn-Sham equations and the local density approximation and links with Hartree-Fock theory
 - 2.2 Start many-body perturbation theory
- 3. No exercises this week

Density Functional Theory (DFT)

Hohenberg and Kohn proved that the total energy of a system including that of the many-body effects of electrons (exchange and correlation) in the presence of static external potential (for example, the atomic nuclei) is a unique functional of the charge density. The minimum value of the total energy functional is the ground state energy of the system. The electronic charge density which yields this minimum is then the exact single particle ground state energy. In Hartree-Fock theory one works with large basis sets. This poses a problem for large systems. An alternative to the HF methods is DET. DET takes into account electron correlations but is less demanding computationally than full scale diagonalization, Coupled Cluster theory or say Monte Carlo methods.

The many-particle equation

Any material on earth, whether in crystals, amorphous solids, molecules or yourself, consists of nothing else than a bunch of atoms, ions and electrons bound together by electric forces. All these possible forms of matter can be explained by virtue of one simple equation: the many-particle Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(\mathbf{r}; t) = \left(-\sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m_{i}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}^{2}} + \sum_{i < j}^{N} \frac{e^{2} Z_{i} Z_{j}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{r}_{j}|} \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r}; t).$$
 (1)

Here $\Phi(\mathbf{r};t)$ is the many-body wavefunction for N particles, where each particle has its own mass m_i , charge Z_i and position \mathbf{r}_i . The only interaction is the Coulomb interaction e^2/r .

Born-Oppenheimer approximation

In atomic and molecular physics, materials science and quantum chemsitry, the Born-Oppenheimer approximation arises from the physical problem we want to study: the ground state of a collection of interacting ions and electrons. Because even the lightest ion is more than a thousand times heavier than an electron, we approximate our Hamiltonian to not include the dynamics of the ions all-together. This is known as the **Born-Oppenheimer** approximation.

Time-independent equation

We then write the time-independent Schrödinger equation for a collection of N electrons subject to the electric potential created by the fixed ions, labeled $v_{\rm ext}(\mathbf{r})$,

$$\left(\sum_{i}^{N}\left(-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}^{2}}+v_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbf{r}_{i})\right)+\sum_{i< j}^{N}\frac{e^{2}}{|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{j}|}\right)\Psi(\mathbf{r})=E_{0}\Psi(\mathbf{r}),$$

where \mathbf{r}_i are the positions of the electrons.

We can replace the explicit Coulomb repulsion with a generic two-body interaction

$$\sum_{i}^{N} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}_i^2} + v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}_i) \right) + \sum_{i < i}^{N} v(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) = E_0 \Psi(\mathbf{r}),$$

where $r_{ij} = |\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|$.

Potential term

The potential $v_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}_i)$ is created by the charged ions,

$$v_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbf{r}_i) = -\sum_{j} \frac{\mathrm{e}^2 Z_j}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_j|}$$

where R is the (static) positions of the ions and Z_j their charge.

The one-body density

The one-body reduced density matrix of a many-body system at zero temperature gives direct access to many observables, such as the charge density, kinetic energy and occupation numbers. In a coordinate representation it is defined as as the expectation value of the number operator

$$\hat{n}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i),$$

resulting in

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\langle \Psi | \hat{n}(\mathbf{r}) | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle}.$$

Here Ψ can be any state. In the equations that follow we assume it has been normalized properly.

One-body density

The one-body or just electronic density here, is thus obtained by integrating out all electron degrees of freedom except one, that is (setting $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1$)

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = n(\mathbf{r}_1) = \int d^3\mathbf{r}_2 \cdots d^3\mathbf{r}_N |\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1 \cdots \mathbf{r}_n)|^2.$$

If Ψ is a single reference Slater determinant defined in terms of the single-particle functions ψ_i , then we have

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\psi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2,$$

as derived earlier.

More on the one-body density

As defined above, the one-body density in coordinate space is defined as

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i).$$

In second quantization this becomes

$$\hat{
ho}(\mathsf{r}) = \sum_{lphaeta}^{\infty}
ho_{lpha,eta}(\mathsf{r}) \mathsf{a}_{lpha}^{\dagger} \mathsf{a}_{eta}.$$

where

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{r}) = \psi_{\alpha}^*(\mathbf{r})\psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}).$$

Number of particles

The number of particles is N and the integral of the expectation value of the one-body density operator should give you N particles. With an appropriate similarity transformation we can make this operator diagonal in the single-particle basis ψ_{α} . That is

$$\hat{
ho}(\mathsf{r}) = \sum_{lpha=1}^{\infty} |\psi_lpha(\mathsf{r})|^2 \mathsf{a}_lpha^\dagger \mathsf{a}_lpha = \hat{
ho}(\mathsf{r}) = \sum_{lpha=1}^{\infty}
ho_{lphalpha}(\mathsf{r}) \mathsf{a}_lpha^\dagger \mathsf{a}_lpha.$$

Ground state function

The ground state wave function $|\Psi_0\rangle$ is a linear combination of all D possible Slater determinants $|\Phi_i\rangle$

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^D C_{0i} |\Phi_i\rangle,$$

where the coefficients C_{0i} could arise from an FCI calculation using a given Slater determinant basis

$$|\Phi_i\rangle = a_1^{\dagger}a_2^{\dagger}\dots a_N^{\dagger}|0\rangle.$$

Ground state expectation value

The ground state expectation value of the one-body density operator is

$$\langle \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \rho_{\alpha,\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha} | \Psi_0 \rangle,$$

which translates into

$$\langle \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \sum_{ij=1}^{D} C_{0i}^* C_{0j} \langle \Phi_i | \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} \rho_{\alpha,\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha} | \Phi_j \rangle.$$

Integrating

$$\int \langle \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle d\mathbf{r},$$

gives us N, the number of particles!

Density Functional Theory, definitions, twobody density

The two-body densities is a simple extension of the one-body density

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = \sum_{ij=1}^N \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_i) \delta(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_j),$$

which in second quantization becomes

$$\hat{
ho}(\mathsf{r}_1,\mathsf{r}_2) = \sum_{lphaeta\gamma\delta}^{\infty}
ho_{lpha,\gamma}(\mathsf{r}_1)
ho_{eta,\delta}(\mathsf{r}_2) a_lpha^\dagger a_eta^\dagger a_\delta a_\gamma,$$

meaning that the ground-state two-body density is

$$\langle \hat{
ho}(\mathsf{r}_1,\mathsf{r}_2) \rangle = \sum_{ij=1}^D C_{0i}^* C_{0j} \langle \Phi_i | \sum_{lphaeta\gamma\delta}^{\infty}
ho_{lpha,\gamma}(\mathsf{r}_1)
ho_{eta,\delta}(\mathsf{r}_2) a_{lpha}^{\dagger} a_{eta}^{\dagger} a_{\delta} a_{\gamma} | \Phi_j
angle.$$

Hartree-Fock equations and density matrix

The Hartree-Fock algorithm can be broken down as follows. We recall that our Hartree-Fock matrix is

$$\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{HF} = \langle \alpha | \hat{h}_0 | \beta \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\gamma\delta} C_{j\gamma}^* C_{j\delta} \langle \alpha\gamma | V | \beta\delta \rangle_{AS}.$$

Normally we assume that the single-particle basis $|\beta\rangle$ forms an eigenbasis for the operator \hat{h}_0 , meaning that the Hartree-Fock matrix becomes

$$\hat{h}_{lphaeta}^{HF} = \epsilon_{lpha}\delta_{lpha,eta} + \sum_{j=1}^{N}\sum_{\gamma\delta}C_{j\gamma}^{*}C_{j\delta}\langlelpha\gamma|V|eta\delta
angle_{AS}.$$

Hartree-Fock equations and density matrix

The Hartree-Fock eigenvalue problem

$$\sum_{eta} \hat{h}_{lphaeta}^{HF} C_{ieta} = \epsilon_i^{ ext{HF}} C_{ilpha},$$

can be written out in a more compact form as

$$\hat{h}^{HF}\hat{C} = \epsilon^{HF}\hat{C}.$$

Hartree-Fock equations and density matrix

The equations are often rewritten in terms of a so-called density matrix, which is defined as

$$\rho_{\gamma\delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \gamma | i \rangle \langle i | \delta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i\gamma} C_{i\delta}^{*}.$$

It means that we can rewrite the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{h}_{lphaeta}^{ extit{HF}} = \epsilon_{lpha}\delta_{lpha,eta} + \sum_{\gamma\delta}
ho_{\gamma\delta}\langlelpha\gamma|V|eta\delta
angle_{ extit{AS}}.$$

It is convenient to use the density matrix since we can precalculate in every iteration the product of two eigenvector components C. Note that $\langle \alpha | \hat{h}_0 | \beta \rangle$ denotes the matrix elements of the one-body part of the starting hamiltonian.

The electronic energy E is said to be a functional of the electronic density, $E[\rho]$, in the sense that for a given function $\rho(r)$, there is a single corresponding energy. The Hohenberg-Kohn theorem confirms that such a functional exists, but does not tell us the form of the functional. As shown by Kohn and Sham, the exact ground-state energy E of an N-electron system can be written as

$$E[\rho] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int \Psi_{i}^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \nabla_{1}^{2} \Psi_{i}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) d\mathbf{r}_{1} - \int \frac{Z}{r_{1}} \rho(\mathbf{r}_{1}) d\mathbf{r}_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \rho(\mathbf{r}_{2})}{r_{12}} d\mathbf{r}_{1}$$

with Ψ_i the Kohn-Sham (KS) orbitals. Note that we have limited ourselves to atomic physics here.

How do we arrive at the above equation?

The ground-state charge density is given by

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\Psi_i(\mathbf{r})|^2,$$

where the sum is over the occupied Kohn-Sham orbitals. The last term, $E_{XC}[\rho]$, is the exchange-correlation energy which in theory takes into account all non-classical electron-electron interaction. However, we do not know how to obtain this term exactly, and are forced to approximate it. The KS orbitals are found by solving the Kohn-Sham equations, which can be found by applying a variational principle to the electronic energy $E[\rho]$. This approach is similar to the one used for obtaining the HF equation.

The KS equations reads

$$\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 - \frac{Z}{r_1} + \int \frac{\rho(\mathsf{r}_2)}{r_{12}} d\mathsf{r}_2 + V_{XC}(\mathsf{r}_1)\right\} \Psi_i(\mathsf{r}_1) = \epsilon_i \Psi_i(\mathsf{r}_1)$$

where ϵ_i are the KS orbital energies, and where the exchange-correlation potential is given by

$$V_{XC}[\rho] = \frac{\delta E_{XC}[\rho]}{\delta \rho}.$$

The KS equations are solved in a self-consistent fashion. A variety of basis set functions can be used, and the experience gained in HF calculations are often useful. The computational time needed for a DFT calculation formally scales as the third power of the number of basis functions.

The main source of error in DFT usually arises from the approximate nature of E_{XC} . In the *local density approximation* (LDA) it is approximated as

$$E_{XC} = \int \rho(\mathbf{r}) \epsilon_{XC} [\rho(\mathbf{r})] d\mathbf{r},$$

where $\epsilon_{XC}[\rho(r)]$ is the exchange-correlation energy per electron in a homogeneous electron gas of constant density. The LDA approach is clearly an approximation as the charge is not continuously distributed. To account for the inhomogeneity of the electron density, a nonlocal correction involving the gradient of ρ is often added to the exchange-correlation energy.

Theorem I

We assume that there is a $\mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{ext}}=$ set of external single-particle potentials v so that

$$\hat{H}|\phi\rangle = \left(\hat{T} + \hat{V}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \hat{V}\right) = E|\phi\rangle, \qquad \hat{V}_{\mathrm{ext}} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathrm{ext}},$$

gives a non-degenerate N-particle ground state $|\Psi\rangle_0$. For any system of interacting particles in an external potential $\mathcal{V}_{\rm ext}$, the potential $\mathcal{V}_{\rm ext}$ is uniquely determined (by a near constant) by the ground state density ρ_0 . There is a corollary to this statement which states that since \hat{H} is determined, the many-body functions for all states are also determined. All properties of the system are determined via ρ_0 .

Theorem II

The density (assuming normalized state vectors)

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i} \int dx_{2} \cdots \int dx_{N} |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{N})|^{2}$$

Theorem II states that a universal functional for the energy $E[\rho]$ (function of ρ) can be defined for every external potential $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ext}}$. For a given external potential, the exact ground state energy of the system is a global minimum of this functional. The density which minimizes this functional is ρ_0 .

Computing E_{XC} from ab initio calculations

Proof I Let us prove $C: \mathcal{V}(C) \longrightarrow \Psi$ injective:

$$\hat{V} \neq \hat{V}' + \text{constant} \qquad \stackrel{?}{\Longrightarrow} \qquad |\Psi\rangle \neq |\Psi'\rangle,$$

where $\hat{V}, \hat{V}' \in \mathcal{V}$

Reductio ad absurdum: Assume $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi'\rangle$ for some

$$\hat{V}
eq \hat{V}' + \mathsf{const}, \ \hat{V}, \ \hat{V}' \in \mathcal{V}$$

$$\hat{T} \neq \hat{T}[V], \ \hat{W} \neq \hat{W}[V] \implies^{1}$$

$$\left(\hat{V}-\hat{V}'
ight)|\Psi
angle=\left(E_{gs}-E_{gs}'
ight)|\Psi
angle.$$

$$\implies \hat{V} - \hat{V}' = E_{gs} - E'_{gs}$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $\hat{V} = \hat{V}' + \text{constant}$ Contradiction!

 $^{^1 \}text{Unique}$ continuation theorem: $|\Psi\rangle \neq 0$ on a set of positive measure

Computing E_{XC} from ab initio calculations Proof II: Let us prove $D: \Psi \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ injective:

$$|\Psi\rangle \neq |\Psi'\rangle$$
 $\stackrel{?}{\Longrightarrow}$ $\rho(r) \neq n'(r)$

Reductio ad absurdum: Assume $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = n'(\mathbf{r})$ for some $|\Psi\rangle \neq |\Psi'\rangle$ Ritz principle \implies

$$E_{gs} = \langle \Psi | \hat{H} | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi' | \hat{H} | \Psi' \rangle. \tag{2}$$

$$\langle \Psi'|\hat{H}|\Psi'\rangle\langle \Psi'|\hat{H}'+\hat{V}-\hat{V}'|\Psi'\rangle = E'_{gs} + \int n'(r)[v(r)-v'(r)]d^3r$$

$$\implies E'_{gs} < E_{gs} + \int n'(r)[v(r) - v'(r)]d^3r \qquad (3)$$

By symmetry

$$\implies E_{gs} < E'_{gs} + \int n'(r)[v'(r) - v(r)]d^3r \qquad (4)$$

$$E_{gs} + E'_{\sigma s} < E_{gs} + E'_{\sigma s}$$
 Contradiction!

Computing E_{XC} from ab initio calculations

Define

$$E_{\nu_0}[\rho] := \langle \Psi[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} + \hat{V}_0 | \Psi[\rho] \rangle \tag{5}$$

 $\hat{V_0}=$ external potential, $n_0({\sf r})=$ corresponding GS density, $E_0=$ GS energy

Rayleigh-Ritz principle \implies second statement of H-K theorem:

$$E_0 = \min_{n \in \mathcal{N}} E_{\nu_0}[\rho]$$

Last satement of H-K theorem:

$$F_{HK}[\rho] \equiv \langle \Psi[\rho] | \hat{T} + \hat{W} | \Psi[\rho] \rangle \tag{6}$$

is universal $(F_{HK} \neq F_{HK}[\hat{V_0}])$

The Kohn-Sham scheme

The classic Kohn-Sham scheme:

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2+\nu_{s,0}(\mathsf{r})\right)\phi_{i,0}(\mathsf{r})=\varepsilon_i\phi_{i,0}(\mathsf{r}),\qquad \varepsilon_1\geq\varepsilon_2\geq\ldots,$$

where

$$v_{s,0}(\mathbf{r}) = v_0(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r' w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho_0(\mathbf{r}') + v_{XC}([\rho_0]; \mathbf{r})$$

The density is calculated as

$$\rho_0(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^N |\phi_{i,0}(\mathbf{r})|^2.$$

The equation is solved selfconsistently. The total energy

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varepsilon_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}r d^{3}r' \rho(\mathbf{r}) w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}') + E_{XC}[\rho] - \int d^{3}r v_{XC}([\rho]; \mathbf{r}) \rho(\mathbf{r})$$

Exchange Energy and Correlation Energy

The Hartree-Fock equation

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + v_0(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3 r' w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \rho(\mathbf{r}') \right) \phi_k(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\underbrace{-\sum_{l=1}^N \int d^3 r' \phi_l^*(\mathbf{r}') w(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \phi_k(\mathbf{r}') \phi_l(\mathbf{r})}_{\text{exchange term}} = \varepsilon_k \phi_k(\mathbf{r}),$$

Kohn-Sham equation:

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + v_0(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3r'w(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\rho(\mathbf{r}') + \underbrace{v_{\mathrm{XC}}([\rho];\mathbf{r})}_{\text{exchange + correlation}}\right)\phi_k(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_k$$