### Week 40: Mean-field theories and Hartree-Fock equations

Morten Hjorth-Jensen<sup>1</sup>

Department of Physics and Center for Computing in Science Education, University of Oslo, Norway<sup>1</sup>

Week 40, September 29-October 3

© 1999-2025, Morten Hjorth-Jensen. Released under CC Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license

#### Week 40, September 29-October 3, 2025

#### Topics to be covered

- 1. Thursday:
  - 1.1 Deriving the Hartree-Fock equations
  - 1.2 Efficient ways of implementing the Hartree-Fock algorithm
- 2. Friday:
  - 2.1 Stability of Hartree-Fock equations and Thouless' theorem
- 3. Lecture Material: These slides and Szabo and Ostlund, sections 3.1-3.4
- 4. Seventh exercise set at https://github.com/ManyBodyPhysics/FYS4480/blob/ master/doc/Exercises/2025/ExercisesWeek40.pdf

### Why Hartree-Fock?

Hartree-Fock (HF) theory is an algorithm for finding an approximative expression for the ground state of a given Hamiltonian. The basic ingredients are

lacktriangle Define a single-particle basis  $\{\psi_{lpha}\}$  so that

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}^{\mathrm{HF}}\psi_{\alpha}=\varepsilon_{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}$$

with the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian defined as

$$\hat{h}^{\mathrm{HF}} = \hat{t} + \hat{u}_{\mathrm{ext}} + \hat{u}^{\mathrm{HF}}$$

### Why Hartree-Fock?

- 1. The term  $\hat{u}^{\rm HF}$  is a single-particle potential to be determined by the HF algorithm.
- 2. The HF algorithm means to choose  $\hat{u}^{\mathrm{HF}}$  in order to have

$$\langle \hat{H} \rangle = E^{\rm HF} = \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H} | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

that is to find a local minimum with a Slater determinant  $\Phi_0$  being the ansatz for the ground state.

1. The variational principle ensures that  $E^{\rm HF} \geq E_0$ , with  $E_0$  the exact ground state energy.

### Why Hartree-Fock theory

We will show that the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian  $\hat{h}^{\mathrm{HF}}$  equals our definition of the operator  $\hat{f}$  discussed in connection with the new definition of the normal-ordered Hamiltonian (see later lectures), that is we have, for a specific matrix element

$$\langle p|\hat{h}^{\mathrm{HF}}|q\rangle = \langle p|\hat{f}|q\rangle = \langle p|\hat{t} + \hat{u}_{\mathrm{ext}}|q\rangle + \sum_{i \leq F} \langle pi|\hat{V}|qi\rangle_{\mathsf{AS}},$$

meaning that

$$\langle p | \hat{u}^{\mathrm{HF}} | q 
angle = \sum_{i \leq F} \langle p i | \hat{V} | q i 
angle_{\mathsf{AS}}.$$

### Why Hartree-Fock theory

The so-called Hartree-Fock potential  $\hat{u}^{\mathrm{HF}}$  brings an explicit medium dependence due to the summation over all single-particle states below the Fermi level F. It brings also in an explicit dependence on the two-body interaction (in nuclear physics we can also have complicated three- or higher-body forces). The two-body interaction, with its contribution from the other bystanding fermions, creates an effective mean field in which a given fermion moves, in addition to the external potential  $\hat{u}_{\rm ext}$  which confines the motion of the fermion. For systems like nuclei, there is no external confining potential. Nuclei are examples of self-bound systems, where the binding arises due to the intrinsic nature of the strong force. For nuclear systems thus, there would be no external one-body potential in the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian.

The calculus of variations involves problems where the quantity to be minimized or maximized is an integral.

In the general case we have an integral of the type

$$E[\Phi] = \int_{a}^{b} f(\Phi(x), \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}, x) dx,$$

where E is the quantity which is sought minimized or maximized.

The problem is that although f is a function of the variables  $\Phi$ ,  $\partial \Phi / \partial x$  and x, the exact dependence of  $\Phi$  on x is not known. This means again that even though the integral has fixed limits a and b, the path of integration is not known. In our case the unknown quantities are the single-particle wave functions and we wish to choose an integration path which makes the functional  $E[\Phi]$ stationary. This means that we want to find minima, or maxima or saddle points. In physics we search normally for minima. Our task is therefore to find the minimum of  $E[\Phi]$  so that its variation  $\delta E$  is zero subject to specific constraints. In our case the constraints appear as the integral which expresses the orthogonality of the single-particle wave functions. The constraints can be treated via the technique of Lagrangian multipliers

Let us specialize to the expectation value of the energy for one particle in three-dimensions. This expectation value reads

$$E = \int dx dy dz \psi^*(x, y, z) \hat{H} \psi(x, y, z),$$

with the constraint

$$\int dx dy dz \psi^*(x, y, z) \psi(x, y, z) = 1,$$

and a Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V(x, y, z).$$

We will, for the sake of notational convenience, skip the variables x, y, z below, and write for example V(x, y, z) = V.

The integral involving the kinetic energy can be written as, with the function  $\psi$  vanishing strongly for large values of x, y, z (given here by the limits a and b),

$$\int_{a}^{b} dx dy dz \psi^{*} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2} \right) \psi dx dy dz = \psi^{*} \nabla \psi|_{a}^{b} + \int_{a}^{b} dx dy dz \frac{1}{2} \nabla \psi^{*} \nabla \psi.$$

We will drop the limits a and b in the remaining discussion. Inserting this expression into the expectation value for the energy and taking the variational minimum we obtain

$$\delta E = \delta \left\{ \int dx dy dz \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla \psi^* \nabla \psi + V \psi^* \psi \right) \right\} = 0.$$

The constraint appears in integral form as

$$\int dx dy dz \psi^* \psi = \text{constant},$$

and multiplying with a Lagrangian multiplier  $\lambda$  and taking the variational minimum we obtain the final variational equation

$$\delta \left\{ \int dx dy dz \left( \frac{1}{2} \nabla \psi^* \nabla \psi + V \psi^* \psi - \lambda \psi^* \psi \right) \right\} = 0.$$

We introduce the function *f* 

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \psi^* \nabla \psi + V \psi^* \psi - \lambda \psi^* \psi = \frac{1}{2} (\psi_x^* \psi_x + \psi_y^* \psi_y + \psi_z^* \psi_z) + V \psi^* \psi - \lambda \psi^* \psi,$$

where we have skipped the dependence on x, y, z and introduced the shorthand  $\psi_x$ ,  $\psi_y$  and  $\psi_z$  for the various derivatives. For  $\psi^*$  the Euler-Lagrange equations yield

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi^*} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_x^*} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_y^*} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi_z^*} = 0,$$

which results in

$$-\frac{1}{2}(\psi_{\mathsf{x}\mathsf{x}} + \psi_{\mathsf{y}\mathsf{y}} + \psi_{\mathsf{z}\mathsf{z}}) + V\psi = \lambda\psi.$$

We can then identify the Lagrangian multiplier as the energy of the system. The last equation is nothing but the standard Schroedinger equation and the variational approach discussed here provides a powerful method for obtaining approximate solutions of the wave function.

### Derivation of Hartree-Fock equations in coordinate space

Let us denote the ground state energy by  $E_0$ . According to the variational principle we have

$$E_0 \leq E[\Phi] = \int \Phi^* \hat{H} \Phi d\tau$$

where  $\Phi$  is a trial function which we assume to be normalized

$$\int \Phi^* \Phi d au = 1,$$

where we have used the shorthand  $d\tau = dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_N$ .

### Derivation of Hartree-Fock equations in coordinate space

In the Hartree-Fock method the trial function is a Slater determinant which can be rewritten as

$$\Psi(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_N,\alpha,\beta,\ldots,\nu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\beta}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P P \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\alpha}(x_2) \ldots \psi_{\nu}(x_N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_{P} (-)^P \Psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\alpha}(x_2) \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\alpha}(x_2) \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\alpha}(x_2) \psi_{\alpha}(x_1) \psi_{\alpha}(x_2) \psi_{\alpha}(x_2) \psi_{\alpha}(x_2) \psi_{$$

where we have introduced the anti-symmetrization operator  $\hat{A}$  defined by the summation over all possible permutations p of two fermions.

### Derving the Hartree-Fock equations

We will derive the Hartree-Fock equations by expanding the single-particle functions in a known basis and vary the coefficients, that is, the new single-particle wave function is written as a linear expansion in terms of a fixed chosen orthogonal basis (for example the well-known harmonic oscillator functions or the hydrogen-like functions etc). We define our new Hartree-Fock single-particle basis by performing a unitary transformation on our previous basis (labelled with greek indices) as

$$\psi_{p}^{HF} = \sum_{\lambda} C_{p\lambda} \phi_{\lambda}. \tag{1}$$

In this case we vary the coefficients  $C_{p\lambda}$ . If the basis has infinitely many solutions, we need to truncate the above sum. We assume that the basis  $\phi_{\lambda}$  is orthogonal.

It is normal to choose a single-particle basis defined as the eigenfunctions of parts of the full Hamiltonian. The typical situation consists of the solutions of the one-body part of the Hamiltonian, that is we have

$$\hat{h}_0\phi_\lambda=\epsilon_\lambda\phi_\lambda.$$

The single-particle wave functions  $\phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r})$ , defined by the quantum numbers  $\lambda$  and  $\mathbf{r}$  are defined as the overlap

$$\phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{r} | \lambda \rangle.$$

In deriving the Hartree-Fock equations, we will expand the single-particle functions in a known basis and vary the coefficients, that is, the new single-particle wave function is written as a linear expansion in terms of a fixed chosen orthogonal basis (for example the well-known harmonic oscillator functions or the hydrogen-like functions etc).

We stated that a unitary transformation keeps the orthogonality. To see this consider first a basis of vectors  $v_i$ ,

$$v_i = \begin{bmatrix} v_{i1} \\ \dots \\ v_{in} \end{bmatrix}$$

We assume that the basis is orthogonal, that is

$$\mathbf{v}_{i}^{T}\mathbf{v}_{i}=\delta_{ij}.$$

An orthogonal or unitary transformation

$$w_i = Uv_i$$

preserves the dot product and orthogonality since

$$\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{w}_i = (\mathbf{U} \mathbf{v}_j)^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{v}_i = \delta_{ij}.$$

This means that if the coefficients  $C_{p\lambda}$  belong to a unitary or orthogonal trasformation (using the Dirac bra-ket notation)

$$|p\rangle = \sum_{\lambda} C_{p\lambda} |\lambda\rangle,$$

orthogonality is preserved, that is  $\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\langle p | q \rangle = \delta_{pq}$ . This propertry is extremely useful when we build up a basis of many-body Stater determinant based states.

Note also that although a basis  $|\alpha\rangle$  contains an infinity of states, for practical calculations we have always to make some truncations.

Before we develop the Hartree-Fock equations, there is another very useful property of determinants that we will use both in connection with Hartree-Fock calculations. This applies also to our previous discussion on full configuration interaction theory. Consider the following determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_1 b_{11} + \alpha_2 s b_{12} & a_{12} \\ \alpha_1 b_{21} + \alpha_2 b_{22} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = \alpha_1 \begin{vmatrix} b_{11} & a_{12} \\ b_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} + \alpha_2 \begin{vmatrix} b_{12} & a_{12} \\ b_{22} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix}$$

We can generalize this to an  $n \times n$  matrix and have

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k b_{1k} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k b_{2k} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k b_{nk} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & b_{1k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & b_{2k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k b_{nk} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix}$$

This is a property we will use in our Hartree-Fock discussions.

We can generalize the previous results, now with all elements  $a_{ij}$  being given as functions of linear combinations of various coefficients c and elements  $b_{ij}$ ,

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{1k} c_{k1} & \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{1k} c_{k2} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{1k} c_{kj} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{1k} c_{kn} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{2k} c_{k1} & \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{2k} c_{k2} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{2k} c_{kj} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{2k} c_{kn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{nk} c_{k1} & \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{nk} c_{k2} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{nk} c_{kj} & \dots & \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{nk} c_{kn} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

where det(C) and det(B) are the determinants of  $n \times n$  matrices with elements  $c_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  respectively. This is a property we will use in our Hartree-Fock discussions. Convince yourself about the correctness of the above expression by setting n=2.

With our definition of the new basis in terms of an orthogonal basis we have

$$\psi_{p}(x) = \sum_{\lambda} C_{p\lambda} \phi_{\lambda}(x).$$

If the coefficients  $C_{p\lambda}$  belong to an orthogonal or unitary matrix, the new basis is also orthogonal. Our Slater determinant in the new basis  $\psi_p(x)$  is written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \psi_{\rho}(x_1) & \psi_{\rho}(x_2) & \dots & \psi_{\rho}(x_N) \\ \psi_{q}(x_1) & \psi_{q}(x_2) & \dots & \psi_{q}(x_N) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \psi_{t}(x_1) & \psi_{t}(x_2) & \dots & \dots & \psi_{t}(x_N) \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{\lambda} C_{\rho\lambda}\phi_{\lambda}(x_1) & \sum_{\lambda} C_{q\lambda}\phi_{\lambda}(x_1) & \sum_{\lambda} C_{q\lambda}\phi_{\lambda}(x_1) & \sum_{\lambda} C_{t\lambda}\phi_{\lambda}(x_1) & \sum_{\lambda} C_{t\lambda}\phi_{$$

which is nothing but  $det(C)det(\Phi)$ , with  $det(\Phi)$  being the determinant given by the basis functions  $\phi_{\lambda}(x)$ .

In our discussions hereafter we will use our definitions of single-particle states above and below the Fermi (F) level given by the labels  $ijkl\cdots \leq F$  for so-called single-hole states and  $abcd\cdots > F$  for so-called particle states. For general single-particle states we employ the labels  $pqrs\ldots$ . We have

$$E[\Phi] = \sum_{\mu=1}^N \langle \mu | h | \mu \rangle + rac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^N \sum_{
u=1}^N \langle \mu 
u | \hat{v} | \mu 
u 
angle_{AS},$$

we found the expression for the energy functional in terms of the basis function  $\phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r})$ . We then varied the above energy functional with respect to the basis functions  $|\mu\rangle$ .

Now we are interested in defining a new basis defined in terms of a chosen basis as defined in Eq. (1). We can then rewrite the energy functional as

$$E[\Phi^{HF}] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle i|h|i\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij=1}^{N} \langle ij|\hat{v}|ij\rangle_{AS}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\Phi^{HF}$  is the new Slater determinant defined by the new basis of Eq. (1).

Using Eq. (1) we can rewrite Eq. (2) as

$$E[\Psi] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\alpha\beta} C_{i\alpha}^* C_{i\beta} \langle \alpha | h | \beta \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij=1}^{N} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} C_{i\alpha}^* C_{j\beta}^* C_{i\gamma} C_{j\delta} \langle \alpha\beta | \hat{v} | \gamma\delta \rangle_{AS}.$$
(3)

We wish now to minimize the above functional. We introduce again a set of Lagrange multipliers, noting that since  $\langle i|j\rangle=\delta_{i,j}$  and  $\langle \alpha|\beta\rangle=\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ , the coefficients  $C_{i\gamma}$  obey the relation

$$\langle i|j\rangle = \delta_{i,j} = \sum_{\alpha\beta} C_{i\alpha}^* C_{i\beta} \langle \alpha|\beta\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} C_{i\alpha}^* C_{i\alpha},$$

which allows us to define a functional to be minimized that reads

$$F[\Phi^{HF}] = E[\Phi^{HF}] - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i \sum_{\alpha} C_{i\alpha}^* C_{i\alpha}. \tag{4}$$

Minimizing with respect to  $C_{i\alpha}^*$ , remembering that the equations for  $C_{i\alpha}^*$  and  $C_{i\alpha}$  can be written as two independent equations, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dC_{i\alpha}^*}\left[E[\Phi^{HF}]-\sum_j\epsilon_j\sum_\alpha C_{j\alpha}^*C_{j\alpha}\right]=0,$$

which yields for every single-particle state i and index  $\alpha$  (recalling that the coefficients  $C_{i\alpha}$  are matrix elements of a unitary (or orthogonal for a real symmetric matrix) matrix) the following Hartree-Fock equations

$$\sum_{\beta} C_{i\beta} \langle \alpha | h | \beta \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\beta \gamma \delta} C_{j\delta}^* C_{j\delta} C_{i\gamma} \langle \alpha \beta | \hat{\mathbf{v}} | \gamma \delta \rangle_{AS} = \epsilon_i^{HF} C_{i\alpha}.$$

We can rewrite this equation as (changing dummy variables)

$$\sum_{\beta} \left\{ \langle \alpha | h | \beta \rangle + \sum_{j}^{N} \sum_{\gamma \delta} C_{j\gamma}^* C_{j\delta} \langle \alpha \gamma | \hat{\mathbf{v}} | \beta \delta \rangle_{AS} \right\} C_{i\beta} = \epsilon_{i}^{HF} C_{i\alpha}.$$

Note that the sums over greek indices run over the number of basis set functions (in principle an infinite number).

$$h_{\alpha\beta}^{HF} = \langle \alpha | h | \beta \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\gamma\delta} C_{j\gamma}^* C_{j\delta} \langle \alpha \gamma | \hat{\mathbf{v}} | \beta \delta \rangle_{AS},$$

we can rewrite the new equations as

$$\sum_{\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}^{HF} C_{i\beta} = \epsilon_i^{HF} C_{i\alpha}. \tag{5}$$

The latter is nothing but a standard eigenvalue problem. We see that we do not need to compute any integrals in an iterative procedure for solving the equations. It suffices to tabulate the matrix elements  $\langle\alpha|h|\beta\rangle$  and  $\langle\alpha\gamma|\hat{v}|\beta\delta\rangle_{AS}$  once and for all. Successive iterations require thus only a look-up in tables over one-body and two-body matrix elements. These details will be discussed below when we solve the Hartree-Fock equations numerical.

#### Hartree-Fock algorithm

Our Hartree-Fock matrix is thus

$$\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{HF} = \langle \alpha | \hat{h}_0 | \beta \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\gamma\delta} C_{j\gamma}^* C_{j\delta} \langle \alpha \gamma | \hat{v} | \beta \delta \rangle_{AS}.$$

The Hartree-Fock equations are solved in an iterative waym starting with a guess for the coefficients  $C_{j\gamma}=\delta_{j,\gamma}$  and solving the equations by diagonalization till the new single-particle energies  $\epsilon_j^{\rm HF}$  do not change anymore by a prefixed quantity.

Normally we assume that the single-particle basis  $|\beta\rangle$  forms an eigenbasis for the operator  $\hat{h}_0$ , meaning that the Hartree-Fock matrix becomes

$$\hat{h}_{lphaeta}^{ extit{HF}} = \epsilon_{lpha}\delta_{lpha,eta} + \sum_{j=1}^{ extit{N}}\sum_{\gamma\delta}C_{j\gamma}^{*}C_{j\delta}\langlelpha\gamma|\hat{v}|eta\delta
angle_{ extit{AS}}.$$

The Hartree-Fock eigenvalue problem

$$\sum_{\beta} \hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{HF} C_{i\beta} = \epsilon_i^{HF} C_{i\alpha},$$

can be written out in a more compact form as

$$\hat{h}^{HF}\hat{C} = \epsilon^{HF}\hat{C}.$$

The Hartree-Fock equations are, in their simplest form, solved in an iterative way, starting with a guess for the coefficients  $C_{i\alpha}$ . We label the coefficients as  $C_{i\alpha}^{(n)}$ , where the subscript n stands for iteration n. To set up the algorithm we can proceed as follows:

- We start with a guess  $C_{i\alpha}^{(0)} = \delta_{i,\alpha}$ . Alternatively, we could have used random starting values as long as the vectors are normalized. Another possibility is to give states below the Fermi level a larger weight.
- ► The Hartree-Fock matrix simplifies then to (assuming that the coefficients  $C_{i\alpha}$  are real)

$$\hat{h}_{\alpha\beta}^{HF} = \epsilon_{\alpha}\delta_{\alpha,\beta} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\alpha,\delta} C_{j\gamma}^{(0)} C_{j\delta}^{(0)} \langle \alpha\gamma | \hat{v} | \beta\delta \rangle_{AS}.$$

Solving the Hartree-Fock eigenvalue problem yields then new eigenvectors  $C_{i\alpha}^{(1)}$  and eigenvalues  $\epsilon_i^{HF(1)}$ .

► With the new eigenvalues we can set up a new Hartree-Fock potential

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{\gamma \delta} C_{j\gamma}^{(1)} C_{j\delta}^{(1)} \langle \alpha \gamma | \hat{\mathbf{v}} | \beta \delta \rangle_{AS}.$$

The diagonalization with the new Hartree-Fock potential yields new eigenvectors and eigenvalues. This process is continued till for example

$$\frac{\sum_{p} |\epsilon_{i}^{(n)} - \epsilon_{i}^{(n-1)}|}{m} \leq \lambda,$$

where  $\lambda$  is a user prefixed quantity ( $\lambda \sim 10^{-8}$  or smaller) and p runs over all calculated single-particle energies and m is the number of single-particle states.

#### Using the density matrix

The equations are often rewritten in terms of a so-called density matrix, which is defined as

$$\rho_{\gamma\delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \gamma | i \rangle \langle i | \delta \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i\gamma} C_{i\delta}^{*}.$$
 (6)

It means that we can rewrite the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{h}_{lphaeta}^{ extit{HF}} = \epsilon_lpha \delta_{lpha,eta} + \sum_{\gamma\delta} 
ho_{\gamma\delta} \langle lpha\gamma | V | eta\delta 
angle_{ extit{AS}}.$$

It is convenient to use the density matrix since we can precalculate in every iteration the product of two eigenvector components C.

```
Code example
```

```
import numpy as np
class HartreeFock:
    def __init__(self, num_electrons, num_orbitals):
        self.num_electrons = num_electrons
        self.num orbitals = num orbitals
        # You would need to replace these by proper integrals
        self.h = np.random.rand(num_orbitals, num_orbitals) # One-ele
        self.coulomb = np.random.rand(num_orbitals, num_orbitals, num_
    def build_fock_matrix(self, density_matrix):
        fock_matrix = self.h.copy()
        for i in range(self.num_orbitals):
            for j in range(self.num_orbitals):
                fock_matrix[i, j] += np.sum(density_matrix * self.coul
        return fock_matrix
    def build_density_matrix(self, coefficients):
        density_matrix = np.zeros((self.num_orbitals, self.num_orbital
        for i in range(self.num_electrons):
            density_matrix += np.outer(coefficients[:, i], coefficient
        return density_matrix
    def diagonalize(self, fock_matrix):
        energy, coefficients = np.linalg.eigh(fock_matrix)
        return energy, coefficients
    def run(self, max_iter=100, tol=1e-6):
        coeffs = np.zeros((self.num_orbitals, self.num_electrons))
        density_matrix = np.zeros((self.num_orbitals, self.num_orbital
        for iteration in range(max_iter):
            fock_matrix = self.build_fock_matrix(density_matrix)
            energies, coeffs = self.diagonalize(fock_matrix)
```

# Hartree-Fock in second quantization and stability of HF solution

We wish now to derive the Hartree-Fock equations using our second-quantized formalism and study the stability of the equations. Our ansatz for the ground state of the system is approximated as (this is our representation of a Slater determinant in second quantization)

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = |c\rangle = a_i^{\dagger} a_i^{\dagger} \dots a_I^{\dagger} |0\rangle.$$

We wish to determine  $\hat{u}^{HF}$  so that  $E_0^{HF}=\langle c|\hat{H}|c\rangle$  becomes a local minimum.

In our analysis here we will need Thouless' theorem, which states that an arbitrary Slater determinant  $|c'\rangle$  which is not orthogonal to

a determinant  $|c
angle = \prod_i a_{lpha_i}^\dagger |0
angle$ , can be written as

$$|c'
angle = exp\left\{\sum_{a>F}\sum_{i\leq F}C_{ai}a_a^{\dagger}a_i
ight\}|c
angle$$

Let us give a simple proof of Thouless' theorem. The theorem states that we can make a linear combination av particle-hole excitations with respect to a given reference state  $|c\rangle$ . With this linear combination, we can make a new Slater determinant  $|c'\rangle$  which is not orthogonal to  $|c\rangle$ , that is

$$\langle c|c'\rangle\neq 0.$$

To show this we need some intermediate steps. The exponential product of two operators  $\exp \hat{A} \times \exp \hat{B}$  is equal to  $\exp (\hat{A} + \hat{B})$  only if the two operators commute, that is

$$[\hat{A},\hat{B}]=0.$$

If the operators do not commute, we need to resort to the Baker-Campbell-Hauersdorf. This relation states that

$$\exp \hat{C} = \exp \hat{A} \exp \hat{B},$$

with

$$\hat{C} = \hat{A} + \hat{B} + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \frac{1}{12}[[\hat{A}, \hat{B}], \hat{B}] - \frac{1}{12}[[\hat{A}, \hat{B}], \hat{A}] + \dots$$

From these relations, we note that in our expression for  $|c'\rangle$  we have commutators of the type

$$[a_a^{\dagger}a_i, a_b^{\dagger}a_j],$$

and it is easy to convince oneself that these commutators, or higher powers thereof, are all zero. This means that we can write out our new representation of a Slater determinant as

$$|c'
angle = \exp\left\{\sum_{a>F}\sum_{i\leq F}C_{ai}a_a^{\dagger}a_i\right\}|c
angle = \prod_i\left\{1+\sum_{a>F}C_{ai}a_a^{\dagger}a_i + \left(\sum_{a>F}C_{ai}a_a^{\dagger}a_i\right)\right\}$$

We note that

$$\prod_{i} \sum_{a>F} C_{ai} a_a^{\dagger} a_i \sum_{b>F} C_{bi} a_b^{\dagger} a_i |c\rangle = 0,$$

and all higher-order powers of these combinations of creation and annihilation operators disappear due to the fact that  $(a_i)^n|c\rangle=0$  when n>1. This allows us to rewrite the expression for  $|c'\rangle$  as

$$|c'
angle = \prod_{i} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{a>F} C_{ai} a_a^{\dagger} a_i 
ight\} |c
angle,$$

which we can rewrite as

$$|c'
angle = \prod_i \left\{ 1 + \sum_{a>r} C_{ai} a^\dagger_a a_i 
ight\} |a^\dagger_{i_1} a^\dagger_{i_2} \dots a^\dagger_{i_n} |0
angle.$$

The last equation can be written as

$$|c'\rangle = \prod_{i} \left\{ 1 + \sum_{a>F} C_{ai} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{i} \right\} |a_{i_{1}}^{\dagger} a_{i_{2}}^{\dagger} \dots a_{i_{n}}^{\dagger} |0\rangle = \left( 1 + \sum_{a>F} C_{ai_{1}} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{i_{1}} \right) a_{i_{1}}^{\dagger}$$

$$\times \left( 1 + \sum_{a>F} C_{ai_{2}} a_{a}^{\dagger} a_{i_{2}} \right) a_{i_{2}}^{\dagger} \dots |0\rangle = \prod_{i} \left( a_{i}^{\dagger} + \sum_{a>F} C_{ai} a_{a}^{\dagger} \right) |0\rangle.$$

$$(8)$$

### New operators

If we define a new creation operator

$$b_i^{\dagger} = a_i^{\dagger} + \sum_{a>F} C_{ai} a_a^{\dagger}, \tag{9}$$

we have

$$|c'\rangle = \prod_{i} b_{i}^{\dagger} |0\rangle = \prod_{i} \left( a_{i}^{\dagger} + \sum_{a>F} C_{ai} a_{a}^{\dagger} \right) |0\rangle,$$

meaning that the new representation of the Slater determinant in second quantization,  $|c'\rangle$ , looks like our previous ones. However, this representation is not general enough since we have a restriction on the sum over single-particle states in Eq. (9). The single-particle states have all to be above the Fermi level.

The question then is whether we can construct a general representation of a Slater determinant with a creation operator

$$\tilde{b}_i^{\dagger} = \sum_{p} f_{ip} a_p^{\dagger},$$

where  $f_{ip}$  is a matrix element of a unitary matrix which transforms our creation and annihilation operators  $a^{\dagger}$  and a to  $\tilde{b}^{\dagger}$  and  $\tilde{b}$ . These new operators define a new representation of a Slater determinant as

$$|\tilde{c}\rangle = \prod_{i} \tilde{b}_{i}^{\dagger} |0\rangle.$$

Showing that  $|\tilde{c}\rangle = |c'\rangle$  We need to show that  $|\tilde{c}\rangle = |c'\rangle$ . We need also to assume that the new state is not orthogonal to  $|c\rangle$ , that is  $\langle c|\tilde{c}\rangle \neq 0$ . From this it follows that

$$\langle c | \tilde{c} \rangle = \langle 0 | a_{i_n} \dots a_{i_1} \left( \sum_{p=i_1}^{i_n} f_{i_1 p} a_p^{\dagger} \right) \left( \sum_{q=i_1}^{i_n} f_{i_2 q} a_q^{\dagger} \right) \dots \left( \sum_{t=i_1}^{i_n} f_{i_n t} a_t^{\dagger} \right) | 0 \rangle,$$

which is nothing but the determinant  $det(f_{ip})$  which we can, using the intermediate normalization condition, normalize to one, that is

$$det(f_{ip}) = 1$$
,

meaning that f has an inverse defined as (since we are dealing with orthogonal, and in our case unitary as well, transformations)

$$\sum_{k} f_{ik} f_{kj}^{-1} = \delta_{ij},$$

and

$$\sum_{i} f_{ij}^{-1} f_{jk} = \delta_{ik}.$$

Using these relations we can then define the linear combination of creation (and annihilation as well) operators as

$$\sum_{i} f_{ki}^{-1} \tilde{b}_{i}^{\dagger} = \sum_{i} f_{ki}^{-1} \sum_{p=i_{1}}^{\infty} f_{ip} a_{p}^{\dagger} = a_{k}^{\dagger} + \sum_{i} \sum_{p=i_{n+1}}^{\infty} f_{ki}^{-1} f_{ip} a_{p}^{\dagger}.$$

Defining

$$c_{kp} = \sum_{i < F} f_{ki}^{-1} f_{ip},$$

we can redefine

$$a_{k}^{\dagger} + \sum_{i} \sum_{p=i_{n+1}}^{\infty} f_{ki}^{-1} f_{ip} a_{p}^{\dagger} = a_{k}^{\dagger} + \sum_{p=i_{n+1}}^{\infty} c_{kp} a_{p}^{\dagger} = b_{k}^{\dagger},$$

our starting point.

We have shown that our general representation of a Slater determinant

$$|\tilde{c}\rangle = \prod_{i} \tilde{b}_{i}^{\dagger} |0\rangle = |c'\rangle = \prod_{i} b_{i}^{\dagger} |0\rangle,$$

with

$$b_k^{\dagger} = a_k^{\dagger} + \sum_{p=i_{n+1}}^{\infty} c_{kp} a_p^{\dagger}.$$

This means that we can actually write an ansatz for the ground state of the system as a linear combination of terms which contain the ansatz itself  $|c\rangle$  with an admixture from an infinity of one-particle-one-hole states. The latter has important consequences when we wish to interpret the Hartree-Fock equations and their stability. We can rewrite the new representation as

$$|c'\rangle = |c\rangle + |\delta c\rangle,$$

where  $|\delta c\rangle$  can now be interpreted as a small variation. If we approximate this term with contributions from one-particle-one-hole (1p-1h) states only, we arrive at

$$|c'
angle = \left(1 + \sum_{ai} \delta C_{ai} a_a^\dagger a_i \right) |c
angle.$$

In our derivation of the Hartree-Fock equations we have shown that

$$\langle \delta c | \hat{H} | c \rangle = 0,$$

which means that we have to satisfy

$$\langle c|\sum_{ai}\delta C_{ai}\left\{a_a^{\dagger}a_i\right\}\hat{H}|c\rangle=0.$$

With this as a background, we are now ready to study the stability of the Hartree-Fock equations. This is the topic for week 40.

# Hartree-Fock in second quantization and stability of HF solution

The variational condition for deriving the Hartree-Fock equations guarantees only that the expectation value  $\langle c|\hat{H}|c\rangle$  has an extreme value, not necessarily a minimum. To figure out whether the extreme value we have found is a minimum, we can use second quantization to analyze our results and find a criterion for the above expectation value to a local minimum. We will use Thouless' theorem and show that

$$\frac{\langle c'|\hat{H}|c'\rangle}{\langle c'|c'\rangle} \geq \langle c|\hat{H}|c\rangle = E_0,$$

with

$$|c'\rangle = |c\rangle + |\delta c\rangle.$$

Using Thouless' theorem we can write out  $|c'\rangle$  as

$$|c'\rangle = \exp\left\{\sum_{a>F}\sum_{i\leq F}\delta C_{ai}a_a^{\dagger}a_i\right\}|c\rangle$$
 (10)

,