Slides from FYS4480/9480 Lectures

Morten Hjorth-Jensen

Department of Physics and Center for Computing in Science Education University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway and Department of Physics and Astronomy and Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

Fall 2023

Schrödinger picture

The time-dependent Schrödinger equation (or equation of motion) reads

$$\imath\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}(t)
angle=\hat{\mathcal{H}}\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}(t)
angle,$$

where the subscript S stands for Schrödinger here. A formal solution is given by

$$|\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}(t)\rangle = \exp(-\imath \hat{\mathcal{H}}(t-t_0)/\hbar)|\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0)\rangle.$$

The Hamiltonian \hat{H} is hermitian and the exponent represents a unitary operator with an operation carried ut on the wave function at a time t_0 .

Our Hamiltonian is normally written out as the sum of an unperturbed part \hat{H}_0 and an interaction part \hat{H}_I , that is

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_I$$

In general we have $[\hat{H}_0,\hat{H}_l]\neq 0$ since $[\hat{T},\hat{V}]\neq 0$. We wish now to define a unitary transformation in terms of \hat{H}_0 by defining

$$|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = \exp(\imath \hat{H}_0 t/\hbar) |\Psi_S(t)\rangle,$$

which is again a unitary transformation carried out now at the time t on the wave function in the Schrödinger picture.

We can easily find the equation of motion by taking the time derivative

$$\imath\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = -\hat{H}_0\exp(\imath\hat{H}_0t/\hbar)\Psi_S(t)\rangle + \exp(\imath\hat{H}_0t/\hbar)\imath\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi_S(t)\rangle.$$

Using the definition of the Schrödinger equation, we can rewrite the last equation as

$$\imath\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi_{I}(t)\rangle=\exp{(\imath\hat{H}_{0}t/\hbar)}\left[-\hat{H}_{0}+\hat{H}_{0}+\hat{H}_{I}
ight]\exp{(-\imath\hat{H}_{0}t/\hbar)}\Psi_{I}(t)\rangle,$$

which gives us

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi_I(t)\rangle = \hat{H}_I(t)\Psi_I(t)\rangle,$$

with

$$\hat{H}_I(t) = \exp(\imath \hat{H}_0 t/\hbar) \hat{H}_I \exp(-\imath \hat{H}_0 t/\hbar).$$

The order of the operators is important since \hat{H}_0 and \hat{H}_l do generally not commute. The expectation value of an arbitrary operator in the interaction picture can now be written as

$$\langle \Psi_S'(t)|\hat{O}_S|\Psi_S(t)\rangle = \langle \Psi_I'(t)|\exp{(\imath\hat{H}_0t/\hbar)}\hat{O}_I\exp{(-\imath\hat{H}_0t/\hbar)}|\Psi_I(t)\rangle,$$

and using the definition

$$\hat{O}_I(t) = \exp(\imath \hat{H}_0 t/\hbar) \hat{O}_I \exp(-\imath \hat{H}_0 t/\hbar),$$

we obtain

$$\langle \Psi_{S}'(t)|\hat{O}_{S}|\Psi_{S}(t)\rangle = \langle \Psi_{I}'(t)|\hat{O}_{I}(t)|\Psi_{I}(t)\rangle,$$

stating that a unitary transformation does not change expectation values!

If the take the time derivative of the operator in the interaction picture we arrive at the following equation of motion

$$\imath\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{O}_{J}(t)=\exp\left(\imath\hat{H}_{0}t/\hbar\right)\left[\hat{O}_{S}\hat{H}_{0}-\hat{H}_{0}\hat{O}_{S}\right]\exp\left(-\imath\hat{H}_{0}t/\hbar\right)=\left[\hat{O}_{J}(t),\hat{H}_{0}\right].$$

Here we have used the time-independence of the Schrödinger equation together with the observation that any function of an operator commutes with the operator itself.

In order to solve the equation of motion equation in the interaction picture, we define a unitary operator time-development operator $\hat{U}(t,t')$. Later we will derive its connection with the linked-diagram theorem, which yields a linked expression for the actual operator. The action of the operator on the wave function is

$$|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t,t_0)|\Psi_I(t_0)\rangle,$$

with the obvious value $\hat{U}(t_0, t_0) = 1$.

The time-development operator U has the properties that

$$\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t,t')\hat{U}(t,t') = \hat{U}(t,t')\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t,t') = 1,$$

which implies that *U* is unitary

$$\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t,t')=\hat{U}^{-1}(t,t').$$

Further,

$$\hat{U}(t,t')\hat{U}(t't'') = \hat{U}(t,t'')$$

and

$$\hat{U}(t,t')\hat{U}(t',t)=1,$$

which leads to

$$\hat{U}(t,t')=\hat{U}^{\dagger}(t',t).$$

Using our definition of Schrödinger's equation in the interaction picture, we can then construct the operator \hat{U} . We have defined

$$|\Psi_I(t)
angle = \exp{(\imath \hat{H}_0 t/\hbar)} |\Psi_S(t)
angle,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = \exp(\imath \hat{H}_0 t/\hbar) \exp(-\imath \hat{H}(t-t_0)/\hbar) |\Psi_S(t_0)\rangle,$$

or

$$|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = \exp\left(\imath \hat{H}_0 t/\hbar\right) \exp\left(-\imath \hat{H}(t-t_0)/\hbar\right) \exp\left(-\imath \hat{H}_0 t_0/\hbar\right) |\Psi_I(t_0)\rangle.$$

From the last expression we can define

$$\hat{U}(t,t_0) = \exp\left(\imath \hat{H}_0 t/\hbar\right) \exp\left(-\imath \hat{H}(t-t_0)/\hbar\right) \exp\left(-\imath \hat{H}_0 t_0/\hbar\right).$$

It is then easy to convince oneself that the properties defined above are satisfied by the definition of \hat{U} .

We derive the equation of motion for \hat{U} using the above definition. This results in

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{U}(t,t_0) = \hat{H}_I(t) \hat{U}(t,t_0),$$

which we integrate from t_0 to a time t resulting in

$$\hat{U}(t,t_0) - \hat{U}(t_0,t_0) = \hat{U}(t,t_0) - 1 = -\frac{\imath}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \hat{H}_I(t') \hat{U}(t',t_0),$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) = 1 - \frac{\imath}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \hat{H}_I(t') \hat{U}(t', t_0).$$

We can solve this equation iteratively keeping in mind the time-ordering of the of the operators

$$\hat{U}(t,t_0) = 1 - \frac{\imath}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \hat{H}_I(t') + \left(\frac{-\imath}{\hbar}\right)^2 \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}_I(t') \hat{H}_I(t'') + \dots$$

The third term can be written as

$$\int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}_I(t') \hat{H}_I(t'') = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}_I(t') \hat{H}_I(t'') + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt'' \int_{t''}^t dt' \hat{H}_I(t') \hat{H}_I(t'').$$

We obtain this expression by changing the integration order in the second term via a change of the integration variables t' and t'' in

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}_l(t') \hat{H}_l(t'').$$

We can rewrite the terms which contain the double integral as

$$\int_{t_0}^t \mathsf{d}t' \int_{t_0}^{t'} \mathsf{d}t'' \hat{H}_I(t') \hat{H}_I(t'') =$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \left[\hat{H}_l(t') \hat{H}_l(t'') \Theta(t'-t'') + \hat{H}_l(t') \hat{H}_l(t'') \Theta(t''-t') \right],$$

with $\Theta(t''-t')$ being the standard Heavyside or step function. The step function allows us to give a specific time-ordering to the above expression.

With the Θ -function we can rewrite the last expression as

$$\int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}_I(t') \hat{H}_I(t'') = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{T} \left[\hat{H}_I(t') \hat{H}_I(t'') \right],$$

where \hat{T} is the so-called time-ordering operator.

With this definition, we can rewrite the expression for \hat{U} as

$$\hat{U}(t,t_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\imath}{\hbar}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_0}^t dt_N \hat{T}\left[\hat{H}_I(t_1) \ldots \hat{H}_I(t_n)\right] = \hat{T} \exp\left[\frac{-\imath}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \hat{H}_I(t')\right].$$

The above time-evolution operator in the interaction picture will be used to derive various contributions to many-body perturbation theory. See also exercise 26 for a discussion of the various time orderings.

Heisenberg picture

We wish now to define a unitary transformation in terms of \hat{H} by defining

$$|\Psi_H(t)\rangle = \exp(\imath \hat{H} t/\hbar) |\Psi_S(t)\rangle,$$

which is again a unitary transformation carried out now at the time t on the wave function in the Schrödinger picture. If we combine this equation with Schrödinger's equation we obtain the following equation of motion

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi_H(t)\rangle = 0,$$

meaning that $|\Psi_H(t)\rangle$ is time independent. An operator in this picture is defined as

$$\hat{O}_{H}(t) = \exp(\imath \hat{H}t/\hbar)\hat{O}_{S} \exp(-\imath \hat{H}t/\hbar).$$

Heisenberg picture

The time dependence is then in the operator itself, and this yields in turn the following equation of motion

$$\imath\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\hat{O}_{H}(t)=\exp\left(\imath\hat{H}t/\hbar\right)\left[\hat{O}_{H}\hat{H}-\hat{H}\hat{O}_{H}\right]\exp\left(-\imath\hat{H}t/\hbar\right)=\left[\hat{O}_{H}(t),\hat{H}\right].$$

We note that an operator in the Heisenberg picture can be related to the corresponding operator in the interaction picture as

$$\begin{split} \hat{O}_{H}(t) &= \exp{(\imath \hat{H} t/\hbar)} \hat{O}_{S} \exp{(-\imath \hat{H} t/\hbar)} = \\ &\exp{(\imath \hat{H}_{I} t/\hbar)} \exp{(-\imath \hat{H}_{0} t/\hbar)} \hat{O}_{I} \exp{(\imath \hat{H}_{0} t/\hbar)} \exp{(-\imath \hat{H}_{I} t/\hbar)}. \end{split}$$

Heisenberg picture

With our definition of the time evolution operator we see that

$$\hat{O}_H(t) = \hat{U}(0,t)\hat{O}_I\hat{U}(t,0),$$

which in turn implies that $\hat{O}_S = \hat{O}_I(0) = \hat{O}_H(0)$, all operators are equal at t = 0. The wave function in the Heisenberg formalism is related to the other pictures as

$$|\Psi_H\rangle = |\Psi_S(0)\rangle = |\Psi_I(0)\rangle,$$

since the wave function in the Heisenberg picture is time independent. We can relate this wave function to that a given time t via the time evolution operator as

$$|\Psi_H\rangle = \hat{U}(0,t)|\Psi_I(t)\rangle.$$

We assume that the interaction term is switched on gradually. Our wave function at time $t=-\infty$ and $t=\infty$ is supposed to represent a non-interacting system given by the solution to the unperturbed part of our Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 . We assume the ground state is given by $|\Phi_0\rangle$, which could be a Slater determinant. We define our Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \exp(-\varepsilon t/\hbar)\hat{H}_I$$
,

where ε is a small number. The way we write the Hamiltonian and its interaction term is meant to simulate the switching of the interaction.

The time evolution of the wave function in the interaction picture is then

$$|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = \hat{U}_{\varepsilon}(t,t_0)|\Psi_I(t_0)\rangle,$$

with

$$\hat{U}_{\varepsilon}(t,t_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\imath}{\hbar}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_0}^t dt_N \exp\left(-\varepsilon(t_1 + \cdots + t_n)/\hbar\right) \hat{T}\left[\hat{H}_I(t_1) \dots \hat{H}_I(t_n)\right]$$

In the limit $t_0\to -\infty$, the solution ot Schrödinger's equation is $|\Phi_0\rangle$, and the eigenenergies are given by

$$\hat{H}_0|\Phi_0\rangle=W_0|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

meaning that

$$|\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}(\textit{t}_{0})
angle = \exp{(-\imath \textit{W}_{0}\textit{t}_{0}/\hbar)}|\Phi_{0}
angle,$$

with the corresponding interaction picture wave function given by

$$|\Psi_I(t_0)\rangle = \exp{(\imath\hat{H}_0t_0/\hbar)}|\Psi_S(t_0)\rangle = |\Phi_0\rangle.$$

The solution becomes time independent in the limit $t_0 \to -\infty$. The same conclusion can be reached by looking at

$$\imath\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi_I(t)
angle=\exp\left(arepsilon|t|/\hbar
ight)\hat{H}_I|\Psi_I(t)
angle$$

and taking the limit $t \to -\infty$. We can rewrite the equation for the wave function at a time t=0 as

$$|\Psi_{I}(0)\rangle=\hat{\textit{U}}_{\epsilon}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_{0}\rangle.$$

Our wave function for ground state (after Gell-Mann and Low, see Phys. Rev. **84**, 350 (1951)) is then

$$\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle},$$

and we ask whether this quantity exists to all orders in perturbation theory. Goldstone's theorem states that only linked diagrams enter the expression for the final binding energy. It means that energy difference reads now

$$\Delta E = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I \left\{ \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I \right\}^i | \Phi_0 \rangle_L,$$

where the subscript L indicates that only linked diagrams are included. In our Rayleigh-Schrödinger expansion, the energy difference included also unlinked diagrams.

If it does, Gell-Mann and Low showed that it is an eigenstate of \hat{H} with eigenvalue

$$\hat{H}\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle}=E\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle}$$

and multiplying from the left with $\langle \Phi_0 |$ we can rewrite the last equation as

$$\label{eq:energy_energy} \textit{E} - \textit{W}_0 = \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I | \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle},$$

since $\hat{H}_0|\Phi_0\rangle=W_0|\Phi_0\rangle$. The numerator and the denominators of the last equation do not exist separately. The theorem of Gell-Mann and Low asserts that this ratio exists.

We note that also that the term D is nothing but the denominator of the equation for the energy. We obtain then the following expression for the energy

$$E - W_0 = \Delta E = N_L = \langle \Phi_0(0) | \hat{H}_I U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0(-\infty) \rangle_L,$$

and Goldstone's theorem is then proved. The corresponding expression from Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory is given by

$$\Delta E = \langle \Phi_0 | \left(\hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I + \ldots \right) | \Phi_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

An important point in the derivation of the Gell-Mann and Low theorem

$$E - W_0 = rac{\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I | \Psi_0
angle}{\langle \Phi_0 | \Psi_0
angle},$$

is that the numerator and the denominators of the last equation do not exist separately. The theorem of Gell-Mann and Low asserts that this ratio exists. To prove it we proceed as follows. Consider the expression

$$(\hat{H}_0 - E)U_\epsilon(0, -\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle = \left[\hat{H}_0, U_\epsilon(0, -\infty)\right]|\Phi_0\rangle.$$

To evaluate the commutator

$$(\hat{H}_0-E)U_{\varepsilon}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle = \left[\hat{H}_0,U_{\varepsilon}(0,-\infty)\right]|\Phi_0\rangle.$$

we write the associate commutator as

$$\left[\hat{H}_0, \hat{H}_I(t_1) \hat{H}_I(t_2) \dots \hat{H}_I(t_n) \right] = \left[\hat{H}_0, \hat{H}_I(t_1) \right] \hat{H}_I(t_2) \dots \hat{H}_I(t_n) +$$

$$\dots + \hat{H}_I(t_1) \left[\hat{H}_0, \hat{H}_I(t_2) \right] \hat{H}_I(t_3) \dots \hat{H}_I(t_n) + \dots$$

Using the equation of motion for an operator in the interaction picture we have

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{H}_I(t) = \left[\hat{H}_I(t), \hat{H}_0\right].$$

Each of the above commutators yield then a time derivative!

We have then

$$\left[\hat{H}_0,\hat{H}_I(t_1)\hat{H}_I(t_2)\dots\hat{H}_I(t_n)\right] = \imath\hbar\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}\right)\hat{H}_I(t_1)\hat{H}_I(t_2)\dots\hat{H}_I(t_n),$$

meaning that we can rewrite

$$(\hat{H}_0 - E)U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle = \left[\hat{H}_0, U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty)\right]|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

as

$$\begin{split} (\hat{H}_0 - E)U_{\varepsilon}(0, -\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\imath}{\hbar}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_0}^t dt_N \exp\left(-\varepsilon(t_1 + \cdots + t_n)/\hbar\right) \\ &\times \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}\right) \hat{T}\left[\hat{H}_l(t_1) \dots \hat{H}_l(t_n)\right]. \end{split}$$

All the time derivatives in this equation

$$\begin{split} (\hat{H}_0 - E)U_{\varepsilon}(0, -\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\imath}{\hbar}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_0}^t dt_N \exp\left(-\varepsilon(t_1 + \cdots + t_n)/\hbar\right) \\ &\times \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}\right) \hat{T} \left[\hat{H}_l(t_1) \dots \hat{H}_l(t_n)\right], \end{split}$$

make the same contribution, as can be seen by changing dummy variables. We can therefore retain just one time derivative $\partial/\partial t$ and multiply with n. Integrating by parts wrt t_1 we obtain two terms.

Integrating by parts wrt t_1 one can finally show that

$$\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle \Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle}{\langle \Phi_0|\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle},$$

For more details about the derivation, see Gell-Mann and Low, Phys. Rev. **84**, 350 (1951). See also chapter 6.2 of Raimes or Fetter and Walecka, chapter 3.6.

In the present discussion of the time-dependent theory we will make use of the so-called complex-time approach to describe the time evolution operator U. This means that we allow the time t to be rotated by a small angle ϵ relative to the real time axis. The complex time t is then related to the real time \tilde{t} by

$$t=\tilde{t}(1-i\epsilon).$$

Let us first study the true eigenvector Ψ_{α} which evolves from the unperturbed eigenvectors Φ_{α} through the action of the time development operator

$$U_{\varepsilon}(t,t') = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \int_{t'}^t dt_1 \int_{t'}^t dt_2 \cdots \int_{t'}^t dt_n$$

$$\times T [H_1(t_1)H_1(t_2)...H_1(t_n)],$$

where T stands for the correct time-ordering.

In time-dependent perturbation theory we let Ψ_{α} develop from Φ_{α} in the remote past to a given time t

$$\frac{|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle}{\langle\psi_{\alpha}|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{U_{\varepsilon}(t,t')|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle}{\langle\psi_{\alpha}|U(t,t')|\Phi_{\alpha}\rangle},$$

and similarly, we let Ψ_{β} develop from Φ_{β} in the remote future

$$\frac{\langle \Psi_{\beta}|}{\langle \psi_{\beta}|\Psi_{\beta}\rangle} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to \infty} \frac{\langle \psi_{\beta}|U_{\varepsilon}(t',t)}{\langle \psi_{\beta}|U_{\varepsilon}(t',t)|\Phi_{\beta}\rangle}.$$

Here we are interested in the expectation value of a given operator \mathcal{O} acting at a time t=0. This can be achieved from the two previous equations defining

$$|\Psi_{\alpha,\beta}'
angle = rac{|\Psi_{\alpha,\beta}
angle}{\left\langle \Phi_{\alpha,\beta}|\Psi_{\alpha,\beta}
ight
angle}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\textit{N}_{\beta\alpha}}{\textit{D}_{\beta}\textit{D}_{\alpha}},$$

where we have introduced

$$N_{\beta\alpha} = \langle \Phi_{\beta} | U_{\varepsilon}(\infty, 0) \mathcal{O} U_{\varepsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_{\alpha} \rangle,$$

and

$$D_{\alpha,\beta} = \sqrt{\langle \psi_{\alpha,\beta} | U_{\varepsilon}(\infty,0) U_{\varepsilon}(0,-\infty) | \Phi_{\alpha,\beta} \rangle}.$$

If the operator \mathcal{O} stands for the hamiltonian H we obtain

$$\frac{\langle \Psi_{\lambda}'|H|\Psi_{\lambda}'\rangle}{\left\langle \Psi_{\lambda}'|\Psi_{\lambda}'\right\rangle}$$

At this stage, it is important to observe that our expression for the expectation value of a given operator $\mathcal O$ is hermitian insofar $\mathcal O^\dagger=\mathcal O$. This is readily demonstrated. The above equation is of the general form

$$U(t,t_0)\mathcal{O}U(t_0,-t),$$

and noting that

$$U^{\dagger}(t,t_0) = \left(e^{iH_0t}e^{-iH(t-t_0)}e^{-iH_0t}\right)^{\dagger} = U(t_0,-t),$$

since $H^{\dagger} = H$ and $H_0^{\dagger} = H_0$, we have that

$$(U(t,t_0)\mathcal{O}U(t_0,-t))^{\dagger} = U(t,t_0)\mathcal{O}U(t_0,-t).$$

The question we pose now is what happens in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$? Do we get results which are meaningful?

Our wave function for ground state is then

$$\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle \Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle}{\langle \Phi_0|\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle},$$

meaning that the energy difference is given by

$$E_0 - \textit{W}_0 = \Delta E_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I \textit{U}_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | \textit{U}_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0 \rangle},$$

and we ask whether this quantity exists to all orders in perturbation theory.

If it does, Gell-Mann and Low showed that it is an eigenstate of \hat{H} with eigenvalue

$$\hat{H}\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle}=\textit{E}_0\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle}$$

and multiplying from the left with $\langle \Phi_0 |$ we can rewrite the last equation as

$$E_0 - W_0 = rac{\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I | \Psi_0
angle}{\langle \Phi_0 | \Psi_0
angle},$$

since $\hat{H}_0|\Phi_0\rangle=W_0|\Phi_0\rangle$. The numerator and the denominators of the last equation do not exist separately. The theorem of Gell-Mann and Low asserts that this ratio exists.

Goldstone's theorem states that only linked diagrams enter the expression for the final binding energy. It means that energy difference reads now

$$\Delta E_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I \left\{ \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I \right\}^i | \Phi_0 \rangle_L,$$

where the subscript L indicates that only linked diagrams are included. In our Rayleigh-Schrödinger expansion, the energy difference included also unlinked diagrams.

From this term we can obtain both linked and unlinked contributions. Goldstone's theorem states that only linked diagrams enter the expression for the final binding energy. A linked diagram (or connected diagram) is a diagram which is linked to the last interaction vertex at t=0. We label the number of linked diagrams with the variable ν and the number of unlinked with μ with $n=\nu+\mu$. The number of unlinked diagrams is then $\mu=n-\nu$.

In general, the way we can distribute μ unlinked diagrams among the total of n diagrams is given by the combinatorial factor

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ \mu \end{array}\right) = \frac{n!}{\mu!\nu!},$$

and using the following relation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mu+\nu=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{\mu!\nu!} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu!} \sum_{\nu}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu!},$$

we can rewrite the numerator N as

$$N = \langle \Phi_0(0) | \hat{H}_I U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0(-\infty) \rangle_L \langle \Phi_0(0) | U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0(-\infty) \rangle = N_L D.$$

We define N_L to contain only linked terms with the subscript L indicating that only linked diagrams appear, that is those diagrams which are linked to the last interaction vertex

We note that also that the term D is nothing but the denominator of the equation for the energy. We obtain then the following expression for the energy

$$E_0 - W_0 = \Delta E_0 = N_L = \langle \Phi_0(0) | \hat{H}_I U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0(-\infty) \rangle_L,$$

and Goldstone's theorem is then proved. The corresponding expression from Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory is given by

$$\Delta E_0 = \langle \Phi_0 | \left(\hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I + \dots \right) | \Phi_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

Let us consider a particle in free space described by a single particle Hamiltonian h_1 . Its eigenstates and eigenenergies are

$$h_1 |\phi_n\rangle = \varepsilon_n |\phi_n\rangle$$

In general, if we put the particle in one of its $|\phi_n\rangle$ orbits, it will remain in the same state forever. Instead, we immagine to prepare the system in a generic state $|\psi_{tr}\rangle$ (tr stands for 'trial') and then follow its time evolution. If the trial state is created at time t=0, the wavefunction at a later time t is given by [see Eq. (1.47)]

$$\begin{split} |\psi(t)\rangle &= e^{-ih_1t/\hbar} \, |\psi_{tr}\rangle \\ &= \sum_n |\phi_n\rangle \, e^{-i\varepsilon_nt/\hbar} \, \langle \phi_n \mid \psi_{tr}\rangle \end{split}$$

The second line shows that if one knows the eigentstates $|\phi_n\rangle$, it is relatively simple to compute the time evution: one expands $|\psi_{tr}\rangle$ in this basis and let every component propagate independently. Eventually, at time t, we want to know the probability amplitude that a measurement would find the particle at position ${\bf r}$,

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{r} \mid \psi(t) \rangle &= \left\langle \mathbf{r} \left| e^{-ih_1 t/\hbar} \right| \psi_{tr} \right\rangle \\ &= \int d\mathbf{r}' \left\langle \mathbf{r} \left| e^{-ih_1 t/\hbar} \right| \mathbf{r}' \right\rangle \left\langle \mathbf{r}' \mid \psi_{tr} \right\rangle \\ &= \int d\mathbf{r}' \sum_{n} \left\langle \mathbf{r} \mid \phi_{n} \right\rangle e^{-i\varepsilon_{n} t/\hbar} \left\langle \phi_{n} \mid \mathbf{r}' \right\rangle \left\langle \mathbf{r}' \mid \psi_{tr} \right\rangle \\ &\equiv \int d\mathbf{r}' G\left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t\right) \psi_{tr} \left(\mathbf{r}'\right), \end{split}$$

which defines the propagator G.

Obviously, once $G(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';t)$ is known it can be used to calculate the evolution of any initial state. However,there is more information included in the propagator. This is apparent from the expansion in the third line of Eq (2.3): first, the braket $\langle \phi_n \mid \mathbf{r} \rangle = \langle \phi_n \mid \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \mid 0 \rangle$ gives us the probability that putting a particle at position \mathbf{r} and mesuring its energy rgiht away, would make the system to collapse into the eigenstate $|\phi_n\rangle$. Second, the time evolution is a superposition of waves propagating with different energies and could be inverted to find the eigenspectrum. Immagine an experiment in which the particle is put at position \mathbf{r} and picked up at \mathbf{r}' after some time t. If one can do this for different positions and elapsed timesand with good resolution-then a Fourier transform would simply give back the full eigenvalue spectrum. Such an experiment is a lot of work to carry out! But would give us complete information on our particle.

We now want to apply the above ideas to see what we can learn by adding and removing a particle in an environment when many others are present. This can cause the particle to behave in an unxepected way, induce collective excitations of the full systems, and so on. Moreover, the role played by the physical vacuum in the above example, is now taken by an many-body state (usually its ground state). Thus, it is also possible to probe the system by removing particles.

In the following we consider the Heisenberg description of the field operators,

$$\psi_{s}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r},t)=e^{iHt/\hbar}\psi_{s}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})e^{-iHt/\hbar}$$

where the subscript s serves to indicate possible internal degrees of freedom (spin, isospin, etc...). We omit the superscrips H (Hiesenberg) and S (Scrödinger) from the operators since the two pictures can be distinguished from the presence of the time variable, which appears only in the first case. Similarly,

$$\psi_{s}(\mathbf{r},t) = e^{iHt/\hbar}\psi_{s}(\mathbf{r})e^{-iHt/\hbar},$$

For the case of a general single-particle basis $\{u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})\}$ one uses the following creation and annihilation operators

$$c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(t) = e^{iHt/\hbar}c_{\alpha}^{\dagger}e^{-iHt/\hbar}, \ c_{\alpha}(t) = e^{iHt/\hbar}c_{\alpha}e^{-iHt/\hbar},$$

which are related to $\psi_s^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\psi_s(\mathbf{r},t)$ through Eqs. (1.14) and (1.15).

In most applications the Hamiltonian is split in a unperturbed part H_0 and a residual interaction

$$H = H_0 + V$$
.

The N-body eigenstates of the full Hamiltonian are indicated with $|\Psi_n^N\rangle$, while $|\Phi_n^N\rangle$ are the unperturbed ones

$$H \left| \Psi_n^N \right\rangle = E_n^N \left| \Psi_n^N \right\rangle$$

$$H_0 \left| \Phi_n^N \right\rangle = E_n^{(0),N} \left| \Phi_n^N \right\rangle$$

The definitions given in the following are general and do not depend on the type of interaction being used. Thus, most properties of Green's functions result from genaral principles of quantum mechanics and are valid for any system.

The two-points Green's function describies the propagation of one particle or one hole on top of the ground state $|\Psi_0^N\rangle$. This is defined by

$$g_{ss'}\left(\mathbf{r},t;\mathbf{r}',t'\right) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| \mathcal{T}\left[\psi_s(\mathbf{r},t)\psi_{s'}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{r}',t'\right)\right]\right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle$$

where $T[\cdots]$ is the time ordering operator that imposes a change of sing for each exchange of two fermion operators

$$T\left[\psi_{s}(\mathbf{r},t)\psi_{s'}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{r}',t'\right)\right] = \begin{cases} \psi_{s}(\mathbf{r},t)\psi_{s'}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{r}',t'\right), & t > t' \\ \pm \psi_{s'}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{r}',t'\right)\psi_{s}(\mathbf{r},t), & t' > t \end{cases}$$

where the upper (lower) sign is for bosons (fermions). A similar definition can be given for the non interacting state $|\Phi_0^N\rangle$, in this case the Heisenberg operators (2.4) to (2.7) must evolve only according to H_0 and the notation $g^{(0)}$ is used.

If the Hamiltonian does not depend on time, the propagator (2.11) depends only on the difference $t-t^\prime$

$$\begin{split} g_{ss'}\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';t-t'\right) &= -\frac{i}{\hbar}\theta\left(t-t'\right)\left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| \psi_s(\mathbf{r})e^{-i\left(H-E_0^N\right)\left(t-t'\right)/\hbar}\psi_{s'}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right)\right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \\ &\mp \frac{i}{\hbar}\theta\left(t'-t\right)\left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| \psi_{s'}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right)e^{i\left(H-E_0^N\right)\left(t-t'\right)/\hbar}\psi_s(\mathbf{r})\right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

In this case it is useful to Fourier transform with respect to time and define

$$g_{ss'}\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\omega\right)=\int d au e^{i\omega au}g_{ss'}\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'; au
ight)$$

By using the relation

$$\theta(\pm\tau) = \mp \lim_{\eta \to 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-i\omega\tau}}{\omega \pm i\eta}$$

one obtains

$$\begin{split} g_{ss'}\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\omega\right) = & g_{ss'}^{\rho}\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\omega\right) + g_{ss'}^{h}\left(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\omega\right) \\ = & \left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| \psi_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{\hbar\omega - (H - E_{0}^{N}) + i\eta} \psi_{s'}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right) \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle \\ \mp & \left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| \psi_{s'}^{\dagger}\left(\mathbf{r}'\right) \frac{1}{\hbar\omega + (H - E_{0}^{N}) - i\eta} \psi_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle, \end{split}$$

In Eq. (2.16), g^ρ propagates a particle from ${\bf r}'$ to ${\bf r}$, while g^h propagates a hole from ${\bf r}$ to ${\bf r}'$. Note that the interpretation is that a particle is added at ${\bf r}'$, and later on some (indistiguishable) particle is removed from ${\bf r}'$ (and similarly for holes). In the mean time, it is the fully correlated ($N\pm 1$) body system that propagates. We will discuss in the next chapter that in many cases-and especially in the vicinity of the Fermi surface-this motion mantains many characteristics that are typical of a particle moving in free space, even if the motion itself could actually be a collective excitation of many constituents. But since it looks like a single particle state we may still refer to it as quasiparticle.

The same definitions can be made for any orthonormal basis $\{\alpha\}$, leading to the realtions

$$g_{lphaeta}\left(t,t'
ight)=-rac{i}{\hbar}\left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N}\left|T\left[c_{lpha}(t)c_{eta}^{\dagger}\left(t'
ight)
ight]\right|\Psi_{0}^{N}
ight
angle$$

where

$$g_{ss'}\left(\mathbf{r},t;\mathbf{r}',t'\right) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},s)g_{\alpha\beta}\left(t,t'\right)u_{\beta}^{*}\left(\mathbf{r}',s'\right)$$

and

$$\begin{split} g_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) &= \left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| c_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\hbar\omega - (H - E_0^N) + i\eta} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \frac{1}{\hbar\omega + (H - E_0^N) - i\eta} c_{\alpha} \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

Equations (2.17) and (2.19) are completely equivalent to the previous ones. These may look a bit more abstract than the corresponding Eqs. (2.11) and (2.16) but are more general since they show that the formalism can be developed and applied in any orthonormal basis, without restricting oneself to coordindate space.

As discussed in Sec. 2.1 for the one particle case, the information contained in the propagators becomes more clear if one Fourier transforms the time variable and inserts a completness for the intermediate states. This is so because it makes the spectrum and the transition amplitudes to apper explicitley. Using the completeness relations for the $(N \pm 1)$ -body systems in Eq. (2.19), one has

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = \sum_{n} \frac{\left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| c_{\alpha} \right| \Psi_{n}^{N+1} \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_{n}^{N+1} \left| c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle}{\hbar \omega - \left(E_{n}^{N+1} - E_{0}^{N} \right) + i\eta}$$

$$\mp \sum_{k} \frac{\left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_{k}^{N-1} \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_{k}^{N-1} \left| c_{\alpha} \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle}{\hbar \omega - \left(E_{0}^{N} - E_{k}^{N-1} \right) - i\eta}.$$

which is known as the Lehmann repressentation of a many-body Green's function ¹. Here, the first and second terms on the left hand side describe the propagation of a (quasi)particle and a (quasi)hole excitations.

The poles in Eq. (2.20) are the energies relatives to the $|\Psi_0^N\rangle$ ground state. Hence they give the energies actually relased in a capture reaction experiment to a bound state of $|\Psi_n^{N+1}\rangle$. The residues are transition amplitudes for the addition of a particle and take the name of spectroscopic amplitudes. They play the same role of the $\langle \phi_n \mid {\bf r} \rangle$ wave function in Eq. (2.3). In fact these energies and amplitudes are solutions of a SchrĶdinger-like equation: the Dyson equation. The hole part of the propagator gives instead information on the process of particle emission, the poles being the exact energy absorbed in the process. For example, in the single particle Green's function of a molecule, the quasiparticle and quasihole poles are respectively the electron affinities an ionization energies.

We will look at the physical significance of spectroscopic amplitudes below and derive the Dyson equation (which is the fundamental equation in many-body Green's function theory) only later on.

As a last definition, we rewrite the contents of Eq. (2.20) in a form that can compared more easily to experiments. By using the relation

$$\frac{1}{x \pm i\eta} = \mathcal{P}\frac{1}{x} \mp i\pi\delta(x)$$

it is immediate to extract the one-body spectral function

$$S_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = S_{\alpha\beta}^{p}(\omega) + S_{\alpha\beta}^{h}(\omega),$$

where the partcle and hole components are

$$\begin{split} S_{\alpha\beta}^{p}(\omega) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} g_{\alpha\beta}^{p}(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{n} \left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| c_{\alpha} \right| \Psi_{n}^{N+1} \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_{n}^{N+1} \left| c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle \delta \left(\hbar \omega - \left(E_{n}^{N+1} - E_{0}^{N} \right) \right) \\ S_{\alpha\beta}^{h}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} g_{\alpha\beta}^{h}(\omega) \\ &= \mp \sum_{k} \left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| c_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_{k}^{N-1} \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_{k}^{N-1} \left| c_{\alpha} \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle \delta \left(\hbar \omega - \left(E_{0}^{N} - E_{k}^{N-1} \right) \right). \end{split}$$

The diagonal part of the spectral function is interpreted as the probability of adding $[S^p_{\alpha\alpha}(\omega)]$ or removing $[S^h_{\alpha\alpha}(\omega)]$ one particle in the state α leaving the residual system in a state of energy ω .

By comparing Eqs. (2.23) and (2.24) to the Lehmann representation (2.20), it is seen that the propagator is completely constrained by its imaginary part. Indeed,

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = \int d\omega' \frac{S_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho}(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' + i\eta} + \int d\omega' \frac{S_{\alpha\beta}^{h}(\omega')}{\omega - \omega' - i\eta}.$$

In general the single particle propagator of a finite system has isolated poles in correspondence to the bound eigenstates of the (N+1)-body system. For larger enegies, where $\left|\Psi_{n}^{N+1}\right\rangle$ are states in the continuum, it develops a branch cut. The particle propagator $g^{\rho}(\omega)$ is analytic in the upper half of the complex plane, and so is the full propagator (2.16) for $\omega \geq E_{0}^{N+1}-E_{0}^{N}$. Analogously, the hole propagator has poles for $\omega \leq E_{0}^{N}-E_{0}^{N-1}$ and is analytic in the lower complex plane. Note that high excitation energies in the (N-1)body system correspond to negative values of the poles $E_{0}^{N}-E_{k}^{N-1}$, so $g^{h}(\omega)$ develops a branch cut for large negative energies.

The one-body density matrix (1.40) can be obtained from the one-body propagator. One simply chooses the appropriate time ordering in Eq. (2.17)

$$\rho_{\alpha\beta} = \left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| c_\beta^\dagger c_\alpha \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle = \pm i\hbar \lim_{t' \to t^+} g_{\alpha\beta} \left(t, t' \right)$$

(where the upper sign is for bosons and the lower one is for fermions). Alternatively, the hole spectral function can be used

$$ho_{lphaeta}=\mp\int d\omega \mathcal{S}^h_{lphaeta}(\omega).$$

Thus, the expectation value of a one-body operator, Eq. (1.41), on the ground states $|\Psi_0^N\rangle$ is usually written in one the following ways

$$egin{aligned} \left\langle \Psi_0^N | \mathcal{O} | \Psi_0^N
ight
angle &= \mp \sum_{lphaeta} \int d\omega o_{lphaeta} S_{etalpha}^h(\omega) \ &= \pm i\hbar \lim_{t' o t^+} \sum_{lphaeta} o_{lphaeta} g_{etalpha}\left(t,t'
ight) \end{aligned}$$

which are equivalent.

From the particle spectral function, one can extract the quantity

$$d_{lphaeta}=\left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N}\leftert c_{lpha}c_{eta}^{\dagger}\leftert \Psi_{0}^{N}
ight
angle =\int d\omega S_{etalpha}^{p}(\omega)$$

which leads to the following sum rule

$$\int \textit{d}\omega \textit{S}_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) = \textit{d}_{\alpha\beta} \mp \rho_{\alpha\beta} = \left\langle \Psi_0^{\textit{N}} \left| \left[\textit{c}_{\alpha}, \textit{c}_{\beta}^{\dagger} \right]_{\mp} \right| \Psi_0^{\textit{N}} \right\rangle = \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

For the case of an Hamiltonian containing only two-body interactions,

$$egin{aligned} H &= U + V \ &= \sum_{lphaeta} t_{lphaeta} c_lpha^\dagger c_eta + rac{1}{4} \sum_{lphaeta\gamma\delta} v_{lphaeta,\gamma\delta} c_lpha^\dagger c_eta^\dagger c_\delta c_\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

there exist an important sum rule that relates the total energy of the state $|\Psi_0^N\rangle$ to its one-body Green's function. To derive this, one makes use of the equation of motion for Heisenberg operators (1.51), which gives

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}c_{\alpha}(t) = e^{iHt/\hbar} [c_{\alpha}, H] e^{-iHt/\hbar},$$

with 2

$$[c_{\alpha}, H] = \sum_{\beta} t_{\alpha\beta} c_{\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta\gamma\delta} v_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} c_{\beta}^{\dagger} c_{\delta} c_{\gamma}$$

which is valid for both fermions and bosons.

If one uses Eq. (2.33) and derives the propagator (2.17) with respect to time,

$$\begin{split} i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{\alpha\beta}\left(t-t'\right) = &\delta\left(t-t'\right)\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\gamma}t_{\alpha\gamma}g_{\gamma\beta}\left(t-t'\right) \\ &-\frac{i}{\hbar}\sum_{\eta\gamma\zeta}\frac{1}{2}v_{\alpha\eta,\gamma\zeta}\left\langle\Psi_{0}^{N}\left|T\left[c_{\eta}^{\dagger}(t)c_{\zeta}(t)c_{\gamma}(t)c_{\beta}^{\dagger}\left(t'\right)\right]\right|\Psi_{0}^{N}\right\rangle \end{split}$$

The braket in the last line contains the four points Green's function [see Eq. (2.38)], which can describe the simultaneous propagation of two particles. Thus, one sees that applying the equation of motion to a propagator leads to relations which contain Green's functions of higher order. This result is particularly important because it shows there exist a hierarchy between propagators, so that the exact equations that determine the one-body function will depend on the two-body one, the two-body function will contain contributions from three-body propagators, and so on. For the moment we just want to select a particular order of the operators in Eq. (2.34) in order to extract the one- and two-body density matrices. To do this, we chose t' to be a later time than t and take its limit to the latter from above. This yields

$$\pm i\hbar \lim_{t' \to t^+} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{\alpha\alpha} \left(t - t' \right) = \langle T \rangle + 2 \langle V \rangle$$

(note that for $t \neq t'$, the term $\delta\left(t - t'\right) = 0$ and it does not contribute to the limit). This result can also be expressed in energy representation by inverting the Fourier transformation (2.14), which gives

$$\lim_{ au o 0^-}rac{\partial}{\partial au}g_{lphaeta}(au)=-\int d\omega\omega S^h_{lphaeta}(\omega)$$

By combining (2.35) with Eq. (2.28) one finally obtains

$$\begin{split} \langle H \rangle &= \langle U \rangle + \langle V \rangle = \pm i\hbar \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t' \to t^+} \sum_{\alpha \beta} \left\{ \delta_{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + t_{\alpha \beta} \right\} g_{\beta \alpha} \left(t - t' \right) \\ &= \mp \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \beta} \int d\omega \left\{ \delta_{\alpha \beta} \omega + t_{\alpha \beta} \right\} S_{\beta \alpha}^{h} (\omega) \end{split}$$

We use the relation $[A,BC]_- = [A,B]C - B[C,A] = \{A,B\}C - B\{C,A\}$ which is valid for both commutators and anticommutators

Surprisingly, for an Hamiltonian containing only two-body forces it is possible to extract the ground state energy by knowing only the one-body propagator. This result was derived independently by Galitski and Migdal ³ and by Kolutn ⁴. When interactions among three or more particles are present, this relation has to be augmented to include additional terms. In these cases higher order Green's functions will appear explicitly.

The definition (2.17) can be extended to Green's functions for the propagation of more than one particle. In general, for each additional particle it will be necessary to introduce one additional creation and one annihilation operator. Thus a 2n-points Green's function will propagate a maximum of n quasiparticles. The explicit definition of the 4-points propagator is

$$\left.g_{lphaeta,\gamma\delta}^{4-pt}\left(t_{1},t_{2};t_{1}^{\prime},t_{2}^{\prime}
ight)=-rac{i}{\hbar}\left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N}\left|\mathcal{T}\left[c_{eta}\left(t_{2}
ight)c_{lpha}\left(t_{1}
ight)c_{\gamma}^{\dagger}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}
ight)c_{\delta}^{\dagger}\left(t_{2}^{\prime}
ight)
ight]\right|\Psi_{0}^{N}
ight
angle$$

while the 6 -point case is

$$\begin{split} g_{\alpha\beta\gamma,\mu\nu\lambda}^{6-pt}\left(t_{1},t_{2},t_{3};t_{1}^{\prime},t_{2}^{\prime},t_{3}^{\prime}\right) &= \\ &-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left\langle\Psi_{0}^{N}\left|T\left[c_{\gamma}\left(t_{3}\right)c_{\beta}\left(t_{2}\right)c_{\alpha}\left(t_{1}\right)c_{\mu}^{\dagger}\left(t_{1}^{\prime}\right)c_{\nu}^{\dagger}\left(t_{2}^{\prime}\right)c_{\lambda}^{\dagger}\left(t_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right|\Psi_{0}^{N}\right\rangle, \end{split}$$

It should be noted that the actual number of particles that are propagated by these objects depends on the ordering of the time variables. Therefore the information on transitions between eigenstates of the systems with N, $N \pm 1$ and $N \pm 2$ bodies are all encoded in Eq. (2.38), while additional states of $N \pm 3$ -body states are included in Eq. (2.38). Obviously, the presence of so many time variables makes the use of these functions extremely difficult (and even impossible, in many cases). However, it is still useful to consider only certain time orderings which allow to extract the information not included in the 2-point propagator.

The two-particle-two-hole propagator is a two-times Green's function defined as

$$g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}^{II}\left(t,t'\right)=-\frac{i}{\hbar}\left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N}\left|\mathcal{T}\left[c_{\beta}(t)c_{\alpha}(t)c_{\gamma}^{\dagger}\left(t'\right)c_{\delta}^{\dagger}\left(t'\right)\right]\right|\Psi_{0}^{N}\right\rangle$$

which corresponds to the limit $t'_1 = t'^{+}_2$ and $t_2 = t^{+}_1$ of g^{4-pt} .

As for the case of $g_{\alpha\beta}\left(t,t'\right)$, if the Hamiltonian is time-independent, Eq. (2.40) is a function of the time difference only. Therefore it has a Lehmann representation containing the exact spectrum of the $(N\pm2)$ -body systems

$$g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}^{II}(\omega) = \sum_{n} \frac{\left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| c_{\beta} c_{\alpha} \right| \Psi_{n}^{N+2} \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi^{N+2} \left| c_{\gamma}^{\dagger} c_{\delta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle}{\omega - \left(E_{n}^{N+2} - E_{0}^{N} \right) + i\eta}$$
$$- \sum_{k} \frac{\left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| c_{\gamma}^{\dagger} c_{\delta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_{k}^{N-2} \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_{k}^{N-2} \left| c_{\beta} c_{\alpha} \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle}{\omega - \left(E_{0}^{N} - E_{k}^{N-2} \right) - i\eta}$$

Similarly one defines the two-particle and two-hole spectral functions

$$\mathcal{S}_{lphaeta}^{\prime\prime},\gamma\delta(\omega)=\mathcal{S}_{lphaeta,\gamma\delta}^{
ho p}(\omega)+\mathcal{S}_{lphaeta,\gamma\delta}^{hh}(\omega)$$

and

$$\begin{split} S_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}^{\rho\rho}(\omega) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}^{\rho\rho}(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{n} \left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| c_{\beta} c_{\alpha} \right| \Psi_{n}^{N+2} \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_{n}^{N+2} \left| c_{\gamma}^{\dagger} c_{\delta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle \delta \left(\hbar \omega - \left(E_{n}^{N+2} - E_{0}^{N} \right) \right), \\ S_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}^{\hbar\hbar}(\omega) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}^{\hbar\hbar}(\omega) \\ &= - \sum_{k} \left\langle \Psi_{0}^{N} \left| c_{\gamma}^{\dagger} c_{\delta}^{\dagger} \right| \Psi_{k}^{N-2} \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_{k}^{N-2} \left| c_{\beta} c_{\alpha} \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle \delta \left(\hbar \omega - \left(E_{0}^{N} - E_{k}^{N-2} \right) \right). \end{split}$$

Following the demonstration of Sec. 2.3.1, it is immediate to obtain relations for the two-body density matrix (1.44)

$$\Gamma_{lphaeta,\gamma\delta} = \left\langle \Psi^N \left| c_\gamma^\dagger c_\delta^\dagger c_eta c_lpha
ight| \Psi^N
ight
angle = - \int d\omega \mathcal{S}_{lphaeta,\gamma\delta}^{hh}(\omega)$$

and, hence, for the expectation value of any two-body operator

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \Psi_0^N | V | \Psi_0^N \right\rangle &= -\sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \int d\omega v_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta} \mathcal{S}_{\gamma\delta,\alpha\beta}^h(\omega) \\ &= + i\hbar \lim_{t' \to t^+} \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} v_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta} \mathcal{g}_{\gamma\delta,\alpha\beta}^{ll} \left(t,t'\right). \end{split}$$

The polarization propagator $\Pi_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}$ corresponds to the time ordering of $g^{4-\rho t}$ in which a particle-hole excitation is created at one single time. Therefore, no process involving particle transfer in included. However it describes transition to the excitations of the system, as long as they can be reached with a one-body operator. For example, this includes collective modes of a nucleus. This is defined as

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}\left(t,t'\right) &= -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| \mathcal{T} \left[c_\beta^\dagger(t) c_\alpha(t) c_\gamma^\dagger\left(t'\right) c_\delta\left(t'\right) \right] \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \\ &+ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| c_\beta^\dagger c_\alpha \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| c_\gamma^\dagger c_\delta \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \end{split}$$

After including a completeness of $|\Psi_n^N\rangle$ states in (2.47), the contribution of to the ground states (at zero energy) is cancelled by the last term in the equation. Thus one can Fourier transform to the Lehmann representation

$$\Pi_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(\omega) = \sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{\left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| c_\beta^\dagger c_\alpha \right| \Psi_n^N \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_n^N \left| c_\gamma^\dagger c_\delta \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle}{\omega - (E_n^N - E_0^N) + i\eta}$$
$$- \sum_{n\neq 0} \frac{\left\langle \Psi_0^N \left| c_\gamma^\dagger c_\delta \right| \Psi_n^N \right\rangle \left\langle \Psi_n^N \left| c_\beta^\dagger c_\alpha \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle}{\omega + (E_n^N - E_0^N) - i\eta}$$

Note that $\Pi_{\alpha\beta,\gamma\delta}(\omega)=\Pi_{\delta\gamma,\beta\alpha}(-\omega)$ due to time reversal symmetry. Also the forward and backward parts carry the same information.

Once again, the residues of the propagator (2.48) can be used to calculate expectation values. In this case, given a one-body operator (1.30) on obtains the transition matrix elements to any excited state

$$\left\langle \Psi_{n}^{N}|O|\Psi_{0}^{N}\right
angle =\sum_{\alpha\beta}o_{\beta\alpha}\left\langle \Psi_{n}^{N}\left|c_{\beta}^{\dagger}c_{\alpha}\right|\Psi_{0}^{N}
ight
angle$$

Here we explore the connection between the information contained in various propagators and experimental data. The focus is on the experimental properties that are probed by the removal of particles. Also, from now on, we will only consider fermionic systems.

An important case is when the spectrum for the $N\pm 1$ -particle system near the Fermi energy involves discrete bound states. This happens in finite system like nuclei or molecules. In these cases the main quantity of interest is the overlap wave function, which appears in the residues of Eq. (2.20) and in Eq. (2.24). This is

$$\begin{split} \psi_k^{\text{overlap}}\left(\mathbf{r}\right) &= \left\langle \Psi_k^{N-1} \left| \psi_{\text{S}}(\mathbf{r}) \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \\ &= &\sqrt{N} \int d\mathbf{r}_2 \int d\mathbf{r}_3 \cdots \int d\mathbf{r}_N \\ &\times \left[\Psi_k^{N-1} \left(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3, \dots \mathbf{r}_N \right) \right]^* \Psi_0^N \left(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3, \dots \mathbf{r}_N \right). \end{split}$$

The second line in Eq. (3.1) can be proved by using relations (1.19) and (1.20). This integral comes out in the description of most particle knock out processes because it represents the matrix element between the initial and final states, in the case when the emitted particle is ejected with energy large enough the it interacts only weakly with the residual system. The quantity of interest here is the so called spectroscopic factor to the final state k,

$$\mathcal{S}_{k}=\int d\mathbf{r}\leftert \psi_{k}^{ ext{overlap}}\left(\mathbf{r}
ight)
ightert ^{2}$$

When the system is made of completely non interacting particles, S_{k} is unity. In real cases however, correlations among the constituents reduce this value. The possibility of extracting this quantity from experimental data gives us information on the spectral function and therefore on the structure of the correlated system.

In order to make the connection with experimental data obtained from knockout reactions, it is useful to consider the response of a system to a weak probe. The hole spectral function introduced in Eq. (2.24) can be substantially "observed" these reactions. The general idea is to transfer a large amount of momentum and energy to a particle of a bound system in the ground state. This is then ejected from the system, and one ends up with a fast-moving particle and a bound (N-1)-particle system. By observing the momentum of the ejected particle it is then possible the reconstruct the spectral function of the system, provided that the interaction between the ejected particle and the remainder is sufficiently weak or treated in a controlled fashion, e.g. by constraining this treatment with information from other experimental data. We assume that the N-particle system is initially in its ground state.

$$\left|\Psi_{i}\right\rangle =\left|\Psi_{0}^{N}\right
angle$$

and makes a transition to a final N-particle eigenstate

$$|\Psi_f\rangle=a_{\rho}^{\dagger}\left|\Psi_n^{N-1}\right>$$

composed of a bound (N-1)-particle eigenstate, $|\Psi_n^{N-1}\rangle$, and a particle with momentum p.

For simplicity we consider the transition matrix elements for a scalar external probe

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{q}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \exp\left(i\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_{j}\right)$$

which transfers momentum q to a particle. Suppressing other possible sp quantum numbers, like e.g. spin, the second-quantized form of this operator is given by

$$\hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p},\boldsymbol{p}'} \left\langle \boldsymbol{p} | \exp(i\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}) | \boldsymbol{p}' \right\rangle a_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\boldsymbol{p}'} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}} a_{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}}$$

The transition matrix element now becomes

$$\begin{split} \langle \Psi_f | \hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}) | \Psi_i \rangle &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}'} \left\langle \Psi_n^{N-1} \left| a_{\boldsymbol{p}} a_{\boldsymbol{p}'}^{\dagger} a_{\boldsymbol{p}'-\boldsymbol{q}} \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}'} \left\langle \Psi_n^{N-1} \left| \delta_{\boldsymbol{p}',\boldsymbol{p}} a_{\boldsymbol{p}'-\boldsymbol{q}} + a_{\boldsymbol{p}'}^{\dagger} a_{\boldsymbol{p}'-\boldsymbol{q}} a_{\boldsymbol{p}} \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle \\ &\approx \left\langle \Psi_n^{N-1} \left| a_{\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q}} \right| \Psi_0^N \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

The last line is obtained in the so-called Impulse Approximation (or Sudden Approximation), where it is assumed that the ejected particle is the one that has absorbed the momentum from the external field. This is a very good approximation whenever the momentum \boldsymbol{p} of the ejectile is much larger than typical momenta for the particles in the bound states; the neglected term in Eq. (3.7) is then very small, as it involves the removal of a particle with momentum \boldsymbol{p} from $|\Psi_0^N\rangle$.

There is one other assumption in the derivation: the fact that the final eigenstate of the N-particle system was written in the form of Eq. (3.4), i.e. a plane-wave state for the ejectile on top of an (N-1)-particle eigenstate. This is again a good approximation if the ejectile momentum is large enough, as can be understood by rewriting the Hamiltonian in the N-particle system as

$$H_N = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{p}_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i< j=1}^N V(i,j) = H_{N-1} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_N^2}{2m} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} V(i,N)$$

The last term in Eq. (3.8) represents the Final State Interaction, or the interaction between the ejected particle N and the other particles $1 \dots N-1$. If the relative momentum between particle N and the others is large enough their mutual interaction can be neglected, and $H_N \approx H_{N-1} + \boldsymbol{p}_N^2/2m$. The result given by Eq. (3.7) is called the Plane Wave Impulse Approximation or PWIA knock-out amplitude, for obvious reasons, and is precisely a removal amplitude (in the momentum representation) appearing in the Lehmann representation of the sp propagator [Eq. (3.1) and (2.24)].

The cross section of the knock-out reaction, where the momentum and energy of the ejected particle and the probe are either measured or known, is according to Fermi's golden rule proportional to

$$d\sigma \sim \sum_{n} \delta \left(\omega + E_{i} - E_{f}\right) \left| \langle \Psi_{f} | \hat{\rho}(\boldsymbol{q}) | \Psi_{i} \rangle \right|^{2}$$

where the energy-conserving δ -function contains the energy transfer ω of the probe, and the initial and final energies of the system are $E_i=E_0^N$ and $E_f=E_n^{N-1}+{\bf p}^2/2m$, respectively. Note that the internal state of the residual N-1 system is not measured, hence the summation over n in Eq. (3.9). Defining the missing momentum ${\bf p}_{\rm miss}$ and missing energy $E_{\rm miss}$ of the knock-out reaction as ¹

$$p_{miss} = p - q$$

and

$$E_{\text{miss}} = p^2/2m - \omega = E_0^N - E_n^{N-1},$$

respectively, the PWIA knock-out cross section can be rewritten as

$$d\sigma \sim \sum_{n} \delta \left(E_{\text{miss}} - E_{0}^{N} + E_{n}^{N-1} \right) \left| \left\langle \Psi_{n}^{N-1} \left| a_{\boldsymbol{p}_{\text{miss}}} \right| \Psi_{0}^{N} \right\rangle \right|^{2}$$

$$= S^{h}(\boldsymbol{p}_{\text{miss}}, E_{\text{miss}}).$$

The PWIA cross section is therefore exactly proportional to the diagonal part of the hole spectral function defined in Eq. (2.24). This is of course only true in the PWIA, but when the deviations of the impulse approximation and the effects of the final state interaction are under control, it is possible to obtain precise experimental information on the hole spectral function of the system under study.

⁰¹ We will neglect here the recoil of the residual N-1 system, i.e. we assume the mass of the N and N-1 system to be much heavier than the mass m of the ejected particle.