Slides from FYS4480/9480 Lectures

Morten Hjorth-Jensen

Department of Physics and Center for Computing in Science Education University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway and Department of Physics and Astronomy and Facility for Rare Isotope Beams, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

Fall 2022

We assume that the interaction term is switched on gradually. Our wave function at time $t=-\infty$ and $t=\infty$ is supposed to represent a non-interacting system given by the solution to the unperturbed part of our Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 . We assume the ground state is given by $|\Phi_0\rangle$, which could be a Slater determinant. We define our Hamiltonian as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \exp(-\varepsilon t/\hbar)\hat{H}_I$$

where ε is a small number. The way we write the Hamiltonian and its interaction term is meant to simulate the switching of the interaction.

The time evolution of the wave function in the interaction picture is then

$$|\Psi_I(t)\rangle = \hat{U}_{\varepsilon}(t,t_0)|\Psi_I(t_0)\rangle,$$

with

$$\hat{U}_{\varepsilon}(t,t_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\imath}{\hbar}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_0}^t dt_N \exp\left(-\varepsilon(t_1 + \cdots + t_n)/\hbar\right) \hat{T}\left[\hat{H}_I(t_1) \dots \hat{H}_I(t_n)\right]$$

In the limit $t_0\to -\infty$, the solution ot Schrödinger's equation is $|\Phi_0\rangle$, and the eigenenergies are given by

$$\hat{H}_0|\Phi_0\rangle=W_0|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

meaning that

$$|\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0)\rangle = \exp(-\imath W_0 t_0/\hbar)|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

with the corresponding interaction picture wave function given by

$$|\Psi_I(t_0)
angle = \exp{(\imath\hat{H}_0t_0/\hbar)}|\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}(t_0)
angle = |\Phi_0
angle.$$

The solution becomes time independent in the limit $t_0 \to -\infty$. The same conclusion can be reached by looking at

$$\imath\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi_I(t)
angle=\exp\left(arepsilon|t|/\hbar
ight)\hat{H}_I|\Psi_I(t)
angle$$

and taking the limit $t \to -\infty$. We can rewrite the equation for the wave function at a time t = 0 as

$$|\Psi_I(0)\rangle = \hat{U}_{\varepsilon}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle.$$

Our wave function for ground state (after Gell-Mann and Low, see Phys. Rev. 84, 350 (1951)) is then

$$\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle},$$

and we ask whether this quantity exists to all orders in perturbation theory. Goldstone's theorem states that only linked diagrams enter the expression for the final binding energy. It means that energy difference reads now

$$\Delta E = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I \left\{ \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I \right\}^i | \Phi_0 \rangle_L,$$

where the subscript L indicates that only linked diagrams are included. In our Rayleigh-Schrödinger expansion, the energy difference included also unlinked diagrams.

If it does, Gell-Mann and Low showed that it is an eigenstate of \hat{H} with eigenvalue

$$\hat{H}\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle}=E\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle}$$

and multiplying from the left with $\langle \Phi_0 |$ we can rewrite the last equation as

$$\textit{E} - \textit{W}_0 = \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I | \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle},$$

since $\hat{H}_0|\Phi_0\rangle = W_0|\Phi_0\rangle$. The numerator and the denominators of the last equation do not exist separately. The theorem of Gell-Mann and Low asserts that this ratio exists.

We note that also that the term D is nothing but the denominator of the equation for the energy. We obtain then the following expression for the energy

$$E - W_0 = \Delta E = N_L = \langle \Phi_0(0) | \hat{H}_I U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0(-\infty) \rangle_L,$$

and Goldstone's theorem is then proved. The corresponding expression from Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory is given by

$$\Delta E = \langle \Phi_0 | \left(\hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I + \dots \right) | \Phi_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

An important point in the derivation of the Gell-Mann and Low theorem

$$E - W_0 = rac{\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I | \Psi_0
angle}{\langle \Phi_0 | \Psi_0
angle},$$

is that the numerator and the denominators of the last equation do not exist separately. The theorem of Gell-Mann and Low asserts that this ratio exists. To prove it we proceed as follows. Consider the expression

$$(\hat{H}_0-E)U_{\epsilon}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle=\left[\hat{H}_0,U_{\epsilon}(0,-\infty)\right]|\Phi_0\rangle.$$

To evaluate the commutator

$$(\hat{H}_0 - E)U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle = \left[\hat{H}_0, U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty)\right]|\Phi_0\rangle.$$

we write the associate commutator as

$$\left[\hat{H}_0, \hat{H}_I(t_1)\hat{H}_I(t_2)\dots\hat{H}_I(t_n)\right] = \left[\hat{H}_0, \hat{H}_I(t_1)\right]\hat{H}_I(t_2)\dots\hat{H}_I(t_n) +$$

$$\cdots + \hat{H}_I(t_1) \left[\hat{H}_0, \hat{H}_I(t_2) \right] \hat{H}_I(t_3) \ldots \hat{H}_I(t_n) + \ldots$$

Using the equation of motion for an operator in the interaction picture we have

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{H}_I(t) = \left[\hat{H}_I(t), \hat{H}_0\right].$$

Each of the above commutators yield then a time derivative!

We have then

$$\left[\hat{H}_0,\hat{H}_I(t_1)\hat{H}_I(t_2)\dots\hat{H}_I(t_n)\right] = \imath\hbar\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_n} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial t_n}\right)\hat{H}_I(t_1)\hat{H}_I(t_2)\dots\hat{H}_I(t_n),$$

meaning that we can rewrite

$$(\hat{H}_0 - E)U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle = \left[\hat{H}_0, U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty)\right]|\Phi_0\rangle,$$

as

$$\begin{split} (\hat{H}_0 - E)U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\imath}{\hbar}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_0}^t dt_N \exp\left(-\varepsilon(t_1 + \cdots + t_n)/\hbar\right) \\ &\times \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}\right) \hat{T}\left[\hat{H}_l(t_1) \ldots \hat{H}_l(t_n)\right]. \end{split}$$

All the time derivatives in this equation

$$\begin{split} (\hat{H}_0 - E)U_{\varepsilon}(0, -\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle &= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-\imath}{\hbar}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \cdots \int_{t_0}^t dt_N \exp\left(-\varepsilon(t_1 + \cdots + t_n)/\hbar\right) \\ &\times \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_l}\right) \hat{T} \left[\hat{H}_l(t_1) \dots \hat{H}_l(t_n)\right], \end{split}$$

make the same contribution, as can be seen by changing dummy variables. We can therefore retain just one time derivative $\partial/\partial t$ and multiply with n. Integrating by parts wrt t_1 we obtain two terms.

Integrating by parts wrt t_1 one can finally show that

$$\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle \Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle}{\langle \Phi_0|\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle},$$

For more details about the derivation, see Gell-Mann and Low, Phys. Rev. **84**, 350 (1951). See also chapter 6.2 of Raimes or Fetter and Walecka, chapter 3.6.

In the present discussion of the time-dependent theory we will make use of the so-called complex-time approach to describe the time evolution operator U. This means that we allow the time t to be rotated by a small angle ϵ relative to the real time axis. The complex time t is then related to the real time \tilde{t} by

$$t=\tilde{t}(1-i\epsilon).$$

Let us first study the true eigenvector Ψ_{α} which evolves from the unperturbed eigenvectors Φ_{α} through the action of the time development operator

$$U_{\varepsilon}(t,t') = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n}{n!} \int_{t'}^t dt_1 \int_{t'}^t dt_2 \cdots \int_{t'}^t dt_n$$

$$\times T [H_1(t_1)H_1(t_2)...H_1(t_n)],$$

where T stands for the correct time-ordering.

In time-dependent perturbation theory we let Ψ_{α} develop from Φ_{α} in the remote past to a given time t

$$\frac{|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle}{\langle\psi_{\alpha}|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{U_{\varepsilon}(t,t')|\psi_{\alpha}\rangle}{\langle\psi_{\alpha}|U(t,t')|\Phi_{\alpha}\rangle},$$

and similarly, we let Ψ_β develop from Φ_β in the remote future

$$\frac{\langle \Psi_{\beta}|}{\langle \psi_{\beta}|\Psi_{\beta}\rangle} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to \infty} \frac{\langle \psi_{\beta}|U_{\varepsilon}(t',t)}{\langle \psi_{\beta}|U_{\varepsilon}(t',t)|\Phi_{\beta}\rangle}.$$

Here we are interested in the expectation value of a given operator \mathcal{O} acting at a time t=0. This can be achieved from the two previous equations defining

$$|\Psi_{\alpha,\beta}'
angle = rac{|\Psi_{\alpha,\beta}
angle}{\left\langle \Phi_{\alpha,\beta} |\Psi_{\alpha,\beta}
ight
angle}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\textit{N}_{\beta\alpha}}{\textit{D}_{\beta}\textit{D}_{\alpha}},$$

where we have introduced

$$N_{\beta\alpha} = \langle \Phi_{\beta} | U_{\varepsilon}(\infty, 0) \mathcal{O} U_{\varepsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_{\alpha} \rangle,$$

and

$$D_{\alpha,\beta} = \sqrt{\langle \psi_{\alpha,\beta} | U_{\varepsilon}(\infty,0) U_{\varepsilon}(0,-\infty) | \Phi_{\alpha,\beta} \rangle}.$$

If the operator \mathcal{O} stands for the hamiltonian H we obtain

$$\frac{\langle \Psi_{\lambda}'|H|\Psi_{\lambda}'\rangle}{\left\langle \Psi_{\lambda}'|\Psi_{\lambda}'\right\rangle}$$

At this stage, it is important to observe that our expression for the expectation value of a given operator $\mathcal O$ is hermitian insofar $\mathcal O^\dagger=\mathcal O$. This is readily demonstrated. The above equation is of the general form

$$U(t,t_0)\mathcal{O}U(t_0,-t),$$

and noting that

$$U^{\dagger}(t,t_0) = \left(e^{iH_0t}e^{-iH(t-t_0)}e^{-iH_0t}\right)^{\dagger} = U(t_0,-t),$$

since $H^{\dagger} = H$ and $H_0^{\dagger} = H_0$, we have that

$$(U(t,t_0)\mathcal{O}U(t_0,-t))^{\dagger} = U(t,t_0)\mathcal{O}U(t_0,-t).$$

The question we pose now is what happens in the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$? Do we get results which are meaningful?

Our wave function for ground state is then

$$\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle \Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle}{\langle \Phi_0|\textit{U}(0,-\infty)|\Phi_0\rangle},$$

meaning that the energy difference is given by

$$E_0 - \textit{W}_0 = \Delta E_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{t' \to -\infty} \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I \textit{U}_\varepsilon(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | \textit{U}_\varepsilon(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0 \rangle},$$

and we ask whether this quantity exists to all orders in perturbation theory.

If it does, Gell-Mann and Low showed that it is an eigenstate of \hat{H} with eigenvalue

$$\hat{H}\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle}=\textit{E}_0\frac{|\Psi_0\rangle}{\langle\Phi_0|\Psi_0\rangle}$$

and multiplying from the left with $\langle \Phi_0 |$ we can rewrite the last equation as

$$\label{eq:energy_energy} \textit{E}_0 - \textit{W}_0 = \frac{\langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I | \Psi_0 \rangle}{\langle \Phi_0 | \Psi_0 \rangle},$$

since $\hat{H}_0|\Phi_0\rangle=W_0|\Phi_0\rangle$. The numerator and the denominators of the last equation do not exist separately. The theorem of Gell-Mann and Low asserts that this ratio exists.

Goldstone's theorem states that only linked diagrams enter the expression for the final binding energy. It means that energy difference reads now

$$\Delta E_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \langle \Phi_0 | \hat{H}_I \left\{ \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I \right\}^i | \Phi_0 \rangle_L,$$

where the subscript L indicates that only linked diagrams are included. In our Rayleigh-Schrödinger expansion, the energy difference included also unlinked diagrams.

From this term we can obtain both linked and unlinked contributions. Goldstone's theorem states that only linked diagrams enter the expression for the final binding energy. A linked diagram (or connected diagram) is a diagram which is linked to the last interaction vertex at t=0. We label the number of linked diagrams with the variable ν and the number of unlinked with μ with $n=\nu+\mu$. The number of unlinked diagrams is then $\mu=n-\nu$.

In general, the way we can distribute μ unlinked diagrams among the total of n diagrams is given by the combinatorial factor

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ \mu \end{array}\right) = \frac{n!}{\mu!\nu!},$$

and using the following relation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mu+\nu=n}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{\mu!\nu!} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu!} \sum_{\nu}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu!},$$

we can rewrite the numerator N as

$$N = \langle \Phi_0(0) | \hat{H}_I U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0(-\infty) \rangle_L \langle \Phi_0(0) | U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0(-\infty) \rangle = N_L D.$$

We define N_L to contain only linked terms with the subscript L indicating that only linked diagrams appear, that is those diagrams which are linked to the last interaction vertex

We note that also that the term D is nothing but the denominator of the equation for the energy. We obtain then the following expression for the energy

$$E_0 - W_0 = \Delta E_0 = N_L = \langle \Phi_0(0) | \hat{H}_I U_{\epsilon}(0, -\infty) | \Phi_0(-\infty) \rangle_L,$$

and Goldstone's theorem is then proved. The corresponding expression from Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory is given by

$$\Delta E_0 = \langle \Phi_0 | \left(\hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I + \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I \frac{\hat{Q}}{W_0 - \hat{H}_0} \hat{H}_I + \dots \right) | \Phi_0 \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}.$$