

Phenomenology of Nuclear Forces

Scott Bogner¹ Morten Hjorth-Jensen¹

National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Department of Physics and
Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA¹

2017

© 2013-2017, Scott Bogner, Morten Hjorth-Jensen. Released under CC

Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 license

Components of the force and isospin

The nuclear forces are almost charge independent. If we assume they are, we can introduce a new quantum number which is conserved. For nucleons only, that is a proton and neutron, we can limit ourselves to two possible values which allow us to distinguish between the two particles. If we assign an isospin value of $\tau = 1/2$ for protons and neutrons (they belong to an isospin doublet, in the same way as we discussed the spin $1/2$ multiplet), we can define the neutron to have isospin projection $\tau_z = +1/2$ and a proton to have $\tau_z = -1/2$. These assignments are the standard choices in low-energy nuclear physics.

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

From Yukawa to Lattice QCD and Effective Field Theory

- ▶ Chadwick (1932) discovers the neutron and Heisenberg (1932) proposes the first Phenomenology (Isospin).
- ▶ Yukawa (1935) and his Meson Hypothesis
- ▶ Discovery of the pion in cosmic ray (1947) and in the Berkeley Cyclotron Lab (1948).
- ▶ Nobelprize awarded to Yukawa (1949). Rabi (1948) measures quadrupole moment of the deuteron.
- ▶ Taketani, Nakamura, Sasaki (1951): 3 ranges.
One-Pion-Exchange (OPE): o.k.
- ▶ Multi-pion exchanges: Problems! Taketani, Machida, Onuma (1952);
- ▶ *Pion Theories* Brueckner, Watson (1953).

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

From Yukawa to Lattice QCD and Effective Field Theory

- ▶ Many pions = multi-pion resonances: $\sigma(600)$, $\rho(770)$, $\omega(782)$ etc. One-Boson-Exchange Model.
- ▶ Refined Meson Theories
- ▶ Sophisticated models for two-pion exchange:
 - ▶ Paris Potential (Lacombe et al., Phys. Rev. C **21**, 861 (1980))
 - ▶ Bonn potential (Machleidt et al., Phys. Rep. **149**, 1 (1987))

*Quark cluster models. Begin of effective field theory studies.

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

From Yukawa to Lattice QCD and Effective Field Theory

- ▶ 1990's
 - ▶ 1993-2001: High-precision NN potentials: Nijmegen I, II, '93, Reid93 (Stoks et al. 1994),
 - ▶ Argonne V18 (Wiringa et al, 1995), CD-Bonn (Machleidt et al. 1996 and 2001).
 - ▶ Advances in effective field theory: Weinberg (1990); Ordonez, Ray, van Kolck and many more.
- ▶ 3rd Millenium
 - ▶ Another "pion theory"; but now right: constrained by chiral symmetry. Three-body and higher-body forces appear naturally at a given order of the chiral expansion.

Nucleon-nucleon interaction from Lattice QCD, final confirmation of meson hypothesis of Yukawa? See for example Ishii *et al*, PRL 2007

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

Features of the Nucleon-Nucleon (NN) Force

The aim is to give you an overview over central features of the nucleon-nucleon interaction and how it is constructed, with both technical and theoretical approaches.

- ▶ The existence of the deuteron with $J^\pi = 1^+$ indicates that the force between protons and neutrons is attractive at least for the 3S_1 partial wave. Interference between Coulomb and nuclear scattering for the proton-proton partial wave 1S_0 shows that the NN force is attractive at least for the 1S_0 partial wave.
- ▶ It has a short range and strong intermediate attraction.
- ▶ Spin dependent, scattering lengths for triplet and singlet states are different,
- ▶ Spin-orbit force. Observation of large polarizations of scattered nucleons perpendicular to the plane of scattering.

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

- ▶ Strongly repulsive core. The s -wave phase shift becomes negative at ≈ 250 MeV implying that the singlet S has a hard core with range $0.4 - 0.5$ fm.
- ▶ Charge independence (almost). Two nucleons in a given two-body state always (almost) experience the same force. Modern interactions break charge and isospin symmetry lightly. That means that the pp , neutron-neutron and pn parts of the interaction will be different for the same quantum numbers.
- ▶ Non-central. There is a tensor force. First indications from the quadrupole moment of the deuteron pointing to an admixture in the ground state of both $l = 2$ (3D_1) and $l = 0$ (3S_1) orbital momenta.

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

Short Range Evidence

Comparison of the binding energies of ^2H (deuteron), ^3H (triton), ^4He (alpha - particle) show that the nuclear force is of finite range (1 – 2 fm) and very strong within that range.

For nuclei with $A > 4$, the energy saturates: Volume and binding energies of nuclei are proportional to the mass number A (as we saw from exercise 1).

Nuclei are also bound. The average distance between nucleons in nuclei is about 2 fm which must roughly correspond to the range of the attractive part.

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

Charge Dependence

- ▶ After correcting for the electromagnetic interaction, the forces between nucleons (pp, nn, or np) in the same state are almost the same.
- ▶ *Almost the same*: Charge-independence is slightly broken.
- ▶ Equality between the pp and nn forces: Charge symmetry.
- ▶ Equality between pp/nn force and np force: Charge independence.
- ▶ Better notation: Isospin symmetry, invariance under rotations in isospin

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

Charge Dependence, 1S_0 Scattering Lengths

Charge-symmetry breaking (CSB), after electromagnetic effects have been removed:

- ▶ $a_{pp} = -17.3 \pm 0.4 \text{ fm}$
- ▶ $a_{nn} = -18.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ fm}$. Note however discrepancy from nd breakup reactions resulting in $a_{nn} = -18.72 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.65 \text{ fm}$ and $\pi^- + d \rightarrow \gamma + 2n$ reactions giving $a_{nn} = -18.93 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.3 \text{ fm}$.

Charge-independence breaking (CIB)

- ▶ $a_{pn} = -23.74 \pm 0.02 \text{ fm}$

Symmetries of the Nucleon-Nucleon (NN) Force

- ▶ Translation invariance
- ▶ Galilean invariance
- ▶ Rotation invariance in space
- ▶ Space reflection invariance
- ▶ Time reversal invariance
- ▶ Invariance under the interchange of particle 1 and 2
- ▶ Almost isospin symmetry

A typical form of the nuclear force

Here we display a typical way to parametrize (non-relativistic expression) the nuclear two-body force in terms of some operators, the central part, the spin-spin part and the central force.

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \left\{ C_c + C_\sigma \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + C_T \left(1 + \frac{3}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{3}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) S_{12}(\hat{r}) \right. \\ \left. + C_{SL} \left(\frac{1}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{1}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} \right\} \frac{e^{-m_\alpha r}}{m_\alpha r}$$

How do we derive such terms? (Note: no isospin dependence and that the above is an approximation)

Nuclear forces

To derive the above famous form of the nuclear force using field theoretical concepts, we will need some elements from relativistic quantum mechanics. These derivations will be given below. The material here gives some background to this. I know that many of you have not taken a course in quantum field theory. I hope however that you can see the basic ideas leading to the famous non-relativistic expressions for the nuclear force.

Furthermore, when we analyze nuclear data, we will actually try to explain properties like spectra, single-particle energies etc in terms of the various terms of the nuclear force.

Moreover, many of you will hear about these terms at various talks, workshops, seminars etc. Then, it is good to have an idea of what people actually mean!!

Dramatis Personae

Baryons	Mass (MeV)	Mesons	Mass (MeV)
p, n	938.926	π	138.03
Λ	1116.0	η	548.8
Σ	1197.3	σ	≈ 550.0
Δ	1232.0	ρ	770
		ω	782.6
		δ	983.0
		K	495.8
		K^*	895.0

Components of the force and quantum numbers

But before we proceed, we will look into specific quantum numbers of the relative system and study expectation values of the various terms of

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \left\{ C_c + C_\sigma \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + C_T \left(1 + \frac{3}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{3}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) S_{12}(\hat{r}) \right. \\ \left. + C_{SL} \left(\frac{1}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{1}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} \right\} \frac{e^{-m_\alpha r}}{m_\alpha r}$$

Relative and CoM system, quantum numbers

When solving the scattering equation or solving the two-nucleon problem, it is convenient to rewrite the Schroedinger equation, due to the spherical symmetry of the Hamiltonian, in relative and center-of-mass coordinates. This will also define the quantum numbers of the relative and center-of-mass system and will aid us later in solving the so-called Lippman-Schwinger equation for the scattering problem.

We define the center-of-mass (CoM) momentum as

$$\mathbf{K} = \sum_{i=1}^A \mathbf{k}_i,$$

with $\hbar = c = 1$ the wave number $k_i = p_i$, with p_i the pertinent momentum of a single-particle state. We have also the relative momentum

$$\mathbf{k}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_j).$$

We will below skip the indices ij and simply write \mathbf{k}

Relative and CoM system, quantum numbers

In a similar fashion we can define the CoM coordinate

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{i=1}^A \mathbf{r}_i,$$

and the relative distance

$$\mathbf{r}_{ij} = (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j).$$

Relative and CoM system, quantum numbers

With the definitions

$$\mathbf{K} = \sum_{i=1}^A \mathbf{k}_i,$$

and

$$\mathbf{k}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{k}_i - \mathbf{k}_j).$$

we can rewrite the two-particle kinetic energy (note that we use $\hbar = c = 1$ as

$$\frac{\mathbf{k}_1^2}{2m_n} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2^2}{2m_n} = \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{m_n} + \frac{\mathbf{K}^2}{4m_n},$$

where m_n is the average of the proton and the neutron masses.

Relative and CoM system, quantum numbers

Since the two-nucleon interaction depends only on the relative distance, this means that we can separate Schrodinger's equation in an equation for the center-of-mass motion and one for the relative motion.

With an equation for the relative motion only and a separate one for the center-of-mass motion we need to redefine the two-body quantum numbers.

Previously we had a two-body state vector defined as $|(j_1 j_2) J M_J\rangle$ in a coupled basis. We will now define the quantum numbers for the relative motion. Here we need to define new orbital momenta (since these are the quantum numbers which change). We define

$$\hat{l}_1 + \hat{l}_2 = \hat{\lambda} = \hat{l} + \hat{L},$$

where \hat{l} is the orbital momentum associated with the relative motion and \hat{L} the corresponding one linked with the CoM. The total spin S is unchanged since it acts in a different space. We have thus that

$$\hat{\lambda} \quad \hat{\lambda} \quad \hat{\lambda} \quad \hat{\lambda}$$

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

The total two-nucleon state function has to be anti-symmetric. The total function contains a spatial part, a spin part and an isospin part. If isospin is conserved, this leads to in case we have an s -wave with spin $S = 0$ to an isospin two-body state with $T = 1$ since the spatial part is symmetric and the spin part is anti-symmetric.

Since the projections for T are $T_z = -1, 0, 1$, we can have a pp , an nn and a pn state.

For $l = 0$ and $S = 1$, a so-called triplet state, 3S_1 , we must have $T = 0$, meaning that we have only one state, a pn state. For other partial waves, the following table lists states up to f waves. We can systemize this in a table as follows, recalling that

$$|\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S}| \leq |\mathbf{J}| \leq |\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}|,$$

$^{2S+1}I_J$	J	l	S	T	$ pp\rangle$	$ pn\rangle$	$ nn\rangle$
1S_0	0	0	0	1	yes	yes	yes
3S_1	1	0	1	0	no	yes	no
3P_0	0	1	1	1	yes	yes	yes
1P_1	1	1	0	0	no	yes	no
3P_1	1	1	1	1	yes	yes	yes
3P_2	2	1	1	1	yes	yes	yes

Components of the force and quantum numbers

The tensor force is given by

$$S_{12}(\hat{r}) = \frac{3}{r^2} (\sigma_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}) (\sigma_2 \cdot \mathbf{r}) - \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$$

where the Pauli matrices are defined as

$$\sigma_x = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{Bmatrix},$$

$$\sigma_y = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{Bmatrix},$$

and

$$\sigma_z = \begin{Bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{Bmatrix},$$

with the properties $\sigma = 2\mathbf{S}$ (the spin of the system, being 1/2 for nucleons), $\sigma_x^2 = \sigma_y^2 = \sigma_z^2 = \mathbf{1}$ and obeying the commutation and anti-commutation relations $\{\sigma_x, \sigma_y\} = 0$ $[\sigma_x, \sigma_y] = i\sigma_z$ etc.

Components of the force and quantum numbers

When we look at the expectation value of $\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \rangle$, we can rewrite this expression in terms of the spin $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{s}_1 + \mathbf{s}_2$, resulting in

$$\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \rangle = 2(S^2 - s_1^2 - s_2^2) = 2S(S + 1) - 3,$$

where we $s_1 = s_2 = 1/2$ leading to

$$\begin{cases} \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \rangle = 1 & \text{if } S = 1 \\ \langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \rangle = -3 & \text{if } S = 0 \end{cases}$$

Components of the force and quantum numbers

Similarly, the expectation value of the spin-orbit term is

$$\langle \mathbf{IS} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (J(J+1) - I(I+1) - S(S+1)),$$

which means that for s -waves with either $S = 0$ and thereby $J = 0$ or $S = 1$ and $J = 1$, the expectation value for the spin-orbit force is zero. With the above phenomenological model, the only contributions to the expectation value of the potential energy for s -waves stem from the central and the spin-spin components since the expectation value of the tensor force is also zero.

Components of the force and quantum numbers

For $s = 1/2$ spin values only for two nucleons, the expectation value of the tensor force operator is

I'			
I	$J+1$	J	$J-1$
$J+1$	$-\frac{2J(J+2)}{2J+1}$	0	$\frac{6\sqrt{J(J+1)}}{2J+1}$
J	0	2	0
$J-1$	$\frac{6\sqrt{J(J+1)}}{2J+1}$	0	$-\frac{2(2J+1)}{2J+1}$

We will derive these expressions after we have discussed the Wigner-Eckart theorem.

Components of the force and isospin

If we now add isospin to our simple V_4 interaction model, we end up with 8 operators, popularly dubbed V_8 interaction model. The explicit form reads

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathbf{r}) = & \left\{ C_c + C_\sigma \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + C_T \left(1 + \frac{3}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{3}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) S_{12}(\hat{r}) \right. \\ & \left. + C_{SL} \left(\frac{1}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{1}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} \right\} \frac{e^{-m_\alpha r}}{m_\alpha r} \\ & + \left\{ C_{c\tau} + C_{\sigma\tau} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + C_{T\tau} \left(1 + \frac{3}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{3}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) S_{12}(\hat{r}) \right. \\ & \left. + C_{SL\tau} \left(\frac{1}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{1}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} \right\} \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{e^{-m_\alpha r}}{m_\alpha r} \end{aligned}$$

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

References for Various Phenomenological Interactions

From 1950 till approximately 2000: One-Boson-Exchange (OBE) models dominate. These are models which typically include several low-mass mesons, that is with masses below 1 GeV. Potentials which are based upon the standard non-relativistic operator structure are called "Phenomenological Potentials" Some historically important examples are

- ▶ Gammel-Thaler potential (Phys. Rev. **107**, 291, 1339 (1957) and the
- ▶ Hamada-Johnston potential, Nucl. Phys. **34**, 382 (1962)), both with a hard core.
- ▶ Reid potential (Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **50**, 411 (1968)), soft core.
- ▶ Argonne V_{14} potential (Wiringa et al., Phys. Rev. C **29**, 1207 (1984)) with 14 operators and the Argonne V_{18} potential (Wiringa et al., Phys. Rev. C **51**, 38 (1995)), uses 18 operators
- ▶ A good historical reference: R. Machleidt, Adv. Nucl. Phys.

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

The total two-nucleon state function has to be anti-symmetric. The total function contains a spatial part, a spin part and an isospin part. If isospin is conserved, this leads to in case we have an s -wave with spin $S = 0$ to an isospin two-body state with $T = 1$ since the spatial part is symmetric and the spin part is anti-symmetric.

Since the projections for T are $T_z = -1, 0, 1$, we can have a pp , an nn and a pn state.

For $l = 0$ and $S = 1$, a so-called triplet state, 3S_1 , we must have $T = 0$, meaning that we have only one state, a pn state. For other partial waves, see exercises below.

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

Phenomenology of one-pion exchange

The one-pion exchange contribution (see derivation below), can be written as

$$V_{\pi}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4\pi m_{\pi}^2} \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + \left(1 + \frac{3}{m_{\pi} r} + \frac{3}{(m_{\pi} r)^2} \right) S_{12}(\hat{r}) \right\} \frac{e^{-m_{\pi} r}}{m_{\pi} r}$$

Here the constant $f_{\pi}^2/4\pi \approx 0.08$ and the mass of the pion is $m_{\pi} \approx 140 \text{ MeV}/c^2$.

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

Let us look closer at specific partial waves for which one-pion exchange is applicable. If we have $S = 0$ and $T = 0$, the orbital momentum has to be an odd number in order for the total anti-symmetry to be obeyed. For $S = 0$, the tensor force component is zero, meaning that the only contribution is

$$V_{\pi}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{3f_{\pi}^2}{4\pi m_{\pi}^2} \frac{e^{-m_{\pi}r}}{m_{\pi}r},$$

since $\langle \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \rangle = -3$, that is we obtain a repulsive contribution to partial waves like 1P_0 .

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

Since $S = 0$ yields always a zero tensor force contribution, for the combination of $T = 1$ and then even l values, we get an attractive contribution

$$V_{\pi}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4\pi m_{\pi}^2} \frac{e^{-m_{\pi}r}}{m_{\pi}r}.$$

With $S = 1$ and $T = 0$, l can only take even values in order to obey the anti-symmetry requirements and we get

$$V_{\pi}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4\pi m_{\pi}^2} \left(1 + \left(1 + \frac{3}{m_{\pi}r} + \frac{3}{(m_{\pi}r)^2} \right) S_{12}(\hat{r}) \right) \frac{e^{-m_{\pi}r}}{m_{\pi}r},$$

while for $S = 1$ and $T = 1$, l can only take odd values, resulting in a repulsive contribution

$$V_{\pi}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{f_{\pi}^2}{4\pi m_{\pi}^2} \left(1 + \left(1 + \frac{3}{m_{\pi}r} + \frac{3}{(m_{\pi}r)^2} \right) S_{12}(\hat{r}) \right) \frac{e^{-m_{\pi}r}}{m_{\pi}r}.$$

Phenomenology of nuclear forces

The central part of one-pion exchange interaction, arising from the spin-spin term, is thus attractive for s -waves and all even l values. For p -waves and all other odd values it is repulsive. However, its overall strength is weak. This is discussed further in one of exercises below.

Discuss chiral symmetry, Goldstone bosons, PCAC etc

Add material here

a) List all **allowed** according to the Pauli principle partial waves with isospin T , their projection T_z , spin S , orbital angular momentum l and total spin J for $J \leq 3$. Use the standard spectroscopic notation $^{2S+1}L_J$ to label different partial waves. A proton-proton state has $T_z = -1$, a proton-neutron state has $T_z = 0$ and a neutron-neutron state has $T_z = 1$.

- a) Find the closed form expression for the spin-orbit force. Show that the spin-orbit force \mathbf{LS} gives a zero contribution for S -waves (orbital angular momentum $l = 0$). What is the value of the spin-orbit force for spin-singlet states ($S = 0$)?
- b) Find thereafter the expectation value of $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2$, where σ_i are so-called Pauli matrices.
- c) Add thereafter isospin and find the expectation value of $\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \tau_1 \cdot \tau_2$, where τ_i are also so-called Pauli matrices. List all the cases with $S = 0, 1$ and $T = 0, 1$.

A simple parametrization of the nucleon-nucleon force is given by what is called the V_8 potential model, where we have kept eight different operators. These operators contain a central force, a spin-orbit force, a spin-spin force and a tensor force. Several features of the nuclei can be explained in terms of these four components. Without the Pauli matrices for isospin the final form of such an interaction model results in the following form:

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \left\{ C_c + C_\sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_2 + C_T \left(1 + \frac{3}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{3}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) S_{12}(\hat{r}) + C_{SL} \left(\frac{1}{m_\alpha r} + \frac{1}{(m_\alpha r)^2} \right) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S} \right\} \frac{e^{-m_\alpha r}}{m_\alpha r}$$

where m_α is the mass of the relevant meson and S_{12} is the familiar tensor term. The various coefficients C_i are normally fitted so that the potential reproduces experimental scattering cross sections. By adding terms which include the isospin Pauli matrices results in an interaction model with eight operators.

The expectation value of the tensor operator is non-zero only for $S=1$. We will show this in a forthcoming lecture, so after that we