5.- Rating: 5. Painfully plausible: contractor gaslighting, admin inertia, untenured fear.  
6.- Rating: 4. Satire asks readers to connect dots and decode allegory.  
7.- Rating: 4. Reframes academic precarity via building-failure metaphor.  
8.- Rating: 3. Strong execution within established academic-satire traditions.  
9.- Rating: 4. Deadpan bureaucratese plus technical jargon yield sharp irony.