```
1)
i.
Sat(\phi_1) = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}
TS⊨Φ
ii.
Sat(\Phi 2) = \{s 4\}
\neg TS \models \Phi
iii.
Sat(\phi 1) = \{s 0, s 1, s 2, s 3, s 4\}
TS⊨Φ
2)
i.
φ 1 = ∃≎∀□c
Subformulas: \forall \Box c, c
Sat(c) = \{s 2, s 3, s 4\}
Sat(\forall \Box c) = \{s_2, s_3, s_4\}
Sat(\exists \Diamond \forall \Box c) = \{s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}
Therefore TS \models \phi 1
ii.
\Phi 2 = \forall (aU\forall\diamondc)
Subformulas: ∀⋄c, c
Sat(c) = \{s 2, s 3, s 4\}
Sat(\forall \diamond c) = \{s \ 0, s \ 1, s \ 2, s \ 3, s \ 4\}
Sat(\forall(aU\forall\diamondc)) = {s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4}
Or alternatively normalizing the formula for the algorithm: \forall (aU\neg3\Box\negc)
Subformulas: c, ¬c, ∃□¬c, ¬∃□¬c
Sat(c) = \{s 2, s 3, s 4\}
Sat(\neg c) = \{s \ 0, s \ 1\}
Sat(\exists \Box \neg c) = \{\}
Sat(\neg \exists \Box \neg c) = \{s \ 0, \ s \ 1, \ s \ 2, \ s \ 3, \ s \ 4\}
And we get the same result.
Therefore TS \models \mathbf{\Phi} 1
```

```
3) (TS \models \exists (\phi U \psi)) = (TS' \models \exists \diamond \psi). Theorem
Since TS has more or equal transitions than TS' and everything else the same,
     for any formula \phi we have that
    (TS' \models \varphi) \Rightarrow (TS \models \varphi) ----- assump 0
I formalize the removal of outgoing transitions
     \forall \pi: TS' \cdot \forall i \cdot \forall j \cdot j < i \Rightarrow (\pi j \models \neg (\neg \varphi V \psi) V \pi i = \pi j) ---- assump 1
Proving (TS \models \exists (\phi U \psi)) \Rightarrow (TS' \models \exists \Diamond \psi):
   TS ⊨ ∃≎ψ
                          def of ⋄
= TS \models \exists (TU\psi) base law
\leftarrow TS \models \exists (\phi U \psi) by assump 1, this path is unchanged until \psi hold.
= TS' \models \exists (\Phi U \psi)
Proving (TS' \models \exists \Diamond \psi) \Rightarrow (TS \models \exists (\Phi U \psi)):
 TS'⊨∃≎ψ
=TS'⊨∃π:Paths(TS)·∃i·π i⊨ψ
=TS'⊨∃π:Paths(TS)·∃i·π i⊨ψ Λ T
=TS'\models3\pi:Paths(TS)·\existsi·\pi i\models\psi \land (\forallj·j<i \Rightarrow (\pi j\models\neg(\neg\varphiV\psi) \lor \pi i\models\pi j))
⇒TS'\models∃\pi:Paths(TS)·\existsi·\pi i\models\psi ∧ ((\forallj·j<i ⇒ \pi j\models¬(¬\phiV\psi)) V (\forallj·j<i ⇒ \pi i=\pi j))
=TS'\models∃\pi:Paths(TS)·∃i·\pi i\models\psi \land \forallj·j<i \Rightarrow \pi j\models¬(¬<math>\varphiV\psi)
     V ∃π:Paths(TS)·∃i·π i \models \psi \land \forall j \cdot j < i \Rightarrow \pi_i = \pi_j
=TS'\models∃(\phiU\psi) V ∃\pi:Paths(TS)·∃i·\pi i\models\psi \wedge \forallj·j<i \Rightarrow \pi i=\pi j
⇒TS'\models∃(\phiU\psi) \lor ∃\pi:Paths(TS)·∃i·\pi i\models\psi \land \forallj·j<i \Rightarrow \pi i\models\psi = \pi j\models\psi
=TS'\models∃(\phiU\psi) V ∃\pi:Paths(TS)·∃i·\pi i\models\psi ∧ \forallj·j<i \Rightarrow \pi j\models\psi
=TS'\models∃(\phiU\psi) V \exists\pi:Paths(TS)·\existsi·\forallj·j≤i \Rightarrow \pi j\models\psi
⇒TS'⊨∃(ΦUψ) V ∃(FUψ)
\RightarrowTS'\models∃(\phiU\psi) \lor ∃(\phiU\psi)
=TS'⊨∃(ΦUψ)
⇒TS⊨∃ (ΦUψ)
4)
i. \forall \circ \forall \diamond \varphi = \forall \diamond \forall \circ \varphi. Not a theorem. Example TS:
           s 0⊨¬a <----.
            / | \
              \ | /
           s 1⊨a
```

ii. $\exists \circ \exists \diamond \varphi = \exists \diamond \exists \circ \varphi$. Theorem.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \exists \circ \exists \diamond \varphi &= \exists \diamond \exists \circ \varphi & \text{double negation} \\ = \exists \circ \exists \diamond \varphi \neg \neg \varphi &= \exists \diamond \exists \circ \neg \neg \varphi & \text{duality laws} \\ = \exists \circ \neg \forall \Box \neg \varphi &= \exists \diamond \neg \forall \circ \neg \varphi & \text{duality laws} \\ = \neg \forall \circ \forall \Box \neg \varphi &= \neg \forall \Box \forall \circ \neg \varphi & \text{eliminate double negation in equality} \\ = \forall \circ \forall \Box \neg \varphi &= \forall \Box \forall \circ \neg \varphi & \text{theorem iii. from below} \\ = \texttt{T} \end{array}$$

iii. $\forall \circ \forall \Box \varphi = \forall \Box \forall \circ \varphi$. Theorem

Informally: The right hand side says that for all paths starting at this state, it is always true that in any path starting from the next state ϕ is always true. In other words, starting from the next state, ϕ always holds. This is the same as what the left hand side says.

More formally it is easier to first convert to an equivalent LTL formula and prove $\circ\Box \varphi$ = $\Box \circ \varphi$.

iv. $\exists \circ \exists \Box \varphi = \exists \Box \exists \circ \varphi$. Not a theorem. Example TS:

Bonus

Informally:

CTL formula:∀♦∃⊙∀♦¬a

To get a LTL possibly equivalent formula we remove the quantifiers: ⋄o⋄¬a

Consider this transition system:

The CTL formula holds. On the path that starts and stays at s_0 the LTL formula does not hold.