# **CSC488 Winter 2014 A4 - Code Generation Templates**

Thursday, March 19, 2014

#### Group 09:

g2mccorm - Peter McCormick g0dbloem - Daniel Bloemendal g2watson - Watson Oren Isaac c4qindai - Mike Qin g2scotts - Simon Scott

#### 1. Introduction

In this document we will show how our group plans to generate pseudo-machine assembly for the CSC488 language in the final assignment. We intend to implement an AST tree walker using the visitor pattern, which will generate a lightly sugared assembly intermediate representation (IR). Our IR will include the following:

- Definition of named labels
- Symbolic constants as operands to PUSH (including the address of labels)
- An extended instruction set using macro conveniences (where the macros expand at assembly-time to a well-defined sequence of underlying machine instructions, given below)

We will implement a final IR-to-machine-code pass which will produce executable code after reifying all symbolic names to constant values, as well as an IR pretty-printer as a development aid.

In this document we will use our IR to specify the code generation templates, and describe both the overall structure and how code will be generated for each AST node type. We will utilize the following conventions:

- At the point in the templates that our code generator will call upon some method to inject code for some child node, we will signify this with ">>" plus some remarks
- Here is a typical syntax for the IR:

# This is a comment, but the next line is a literal pseudo-machine instruction PUSH True # pushes MACHINE\_TRUE onto stack PUSH False # pushes MACHINE\_FALSE # Stack (read left to right, top down towards bottom): False, True DUP # Stack: False, False, True

>> inject code for AST node XYZ

PUSH \_label # target label address as symbolic constant %JMP \_label # macro instruction
HALT # never executed \_label: # label definition # At this point we are done

In what follows, LHS and RHS stand for left-hand and right-hand side, respectively.

## 2. Storage & Activation Frames

Occasionally a temporary variable is required locally within a block of generated code, and our convention will be that the highest available memory `memorySize - 1` will be reserved for that use. Consequently, this means that the system MLP pointer will be bounded above by `memorySize - 2`.

Our code generator will lay out activation frame according to major and minor scopes: nested functions create new major scopes (which are at a +1 lexical level) and all others are minor. In the standard sense, two sibling minor scopes with declared variables will have overlapping space reserved in the activation frame, since those variables will never be live at the same instant. Integers and booleans will each occupy 1 word in the frame, and arrays their natural size given their dimensionality and upper/lower bound(s).

Our generated code uses the display, indexed by the lexical level of a major scope, starting from 0 at the top level and ascending as scopes nest. Our routine calling and scope management conventions dictate that following:

- The caller pushes space for all the negative offset items below (return value, the return branch address and 0...N arguments)
- The callee will be responsible to pop the N arguments
- The caller can expect to have execution continue afterwards at the return address, at which point it must pop the return value (even if it is unused, as in the case of a procedure.)
- Any major scope which reserves space for an activation frame must clean it up afterwards
- A major scope is responsible for saving the previous display address for its lexical level (by design at offset ON=0, see below), and restoring it before returning

#### For ADDR <LL> ON:

ON=-N - 2	return value (always present, but ignored if procedure)
ON=-N - 1	return address
ON=-N	argument 1

ON=-1	argument N
ON=0	saved value of previous ADDR <ll> 0 (offset 0 of display at level <ll>)</ll></ll>
ON=1	1st word of local variable memory in activation frame
ON=M	M'th word of local variable memory in activation frame

## 3. Macro Conveniences

Our IR includes an instruction set enriched by the following pseudo-instructions, which will be expanded at assembly-time to raw instructions. Label names will be guaranteed unique to a given instance of a macro expansion, unless it is a label name passed in as an operand to the instruction itself:

```
%JMP <label> - unconditional jump to <label>:
```

```
PUSH < label>
```

### **%BFALSE <label>** - branch to <label> if top of stack is False:

```
PUSH < label>
```

#### **%NOT** - Pop a boolean value off the stack, and push its logical negation:

```
PUSH MACHINE_FALSE EQ.
```

#### **%SAVE CONTEXT <LL>** - save previous <LL> display value, and start a new scope:

```
ADDR <LL> 0
PUSHMT
SETD <LL>
```

### **%RESTORE\_CONTEXT <LL> <NARGS>** - discard scope and restore previous <LL> display:

```
ADDR <LL> 0
SETD <LL>
POP % discard old `ADDR <LL> 0` save
PUSH <NARGS>
POPN
```

#### **%RESERVE <num\_words>** - reserves <num\_words> words for local variables

```
PUSH 0
PUSH <words>
```

## **%PUTSTR <"string">** - prints the string

large amount of code, see AssemblerIREmitter::emitPutString(). Code length 23.

## **%PUTNEWLINE** – prints the newline character

```
PUSH '\n'
PRINTC
```

**%SETUPCALL <label>** - setup return value and return address, label is the address of the final BR instruction

```
PUSH 0
PUSH <label> + 1

Example:
    SETUPCALL __call_main_foo
...
PUSH foo
__call_main_foo: BR
```

## 4. Evaluating Expressions

Every expression in a correctly type-checked 488 source language program will evaluate to either an integer or boolean value. Anytime our tree walker calls for code to be generated corresponding to the evaluation of an expression, the following conventions will be adhered to:

- The generated expression code MUST assumes nothing about the contents of the stack
- While the expression code may internally contain labels and branches, at the point it is injected it MUST flow execution through to the next statement following that injection point
- Once completed, the stack MUST have exactly one new element on top, typed according to the expressions' evaluation type
- The surrounding code MUST be responsible for inspecting and popping that evaluated value and to restore the stack (if it so desires) to the state it was in prior to calling the expression evaluation code

With these ground rules in place, we will give short template sequences corresponding to the evaluation code for each expression node type in our AST class hierarchy. Where labels are used, they are understood to be local to the template, and our implementation in A5 will generate appropriately unique label identifiers to avoided any conflicts.

#### 4.1. The Printable Interface

The parent class Expn implements the Printable interface, which works in conjunction with the PUT statement to generate code to evaluate themselves and print the result. While `NewlineConstExpn` and `TextConstExpn` will override this to provide their own implementaions, parent `Expn` will provide the following as default.

## 4.2. BinaryExpn

There are four subclasses of BinaryExpn in the AST: ArithExpn, CompareExpn, EqualsExpn and BoolExpn. Each will generally evaluate both the left and right operands (themselves

expressions) and then perform an operation to produce their result, although BoolExpn may not evaluate its right operand due to short circuiting. In each case, the type checker will have guaranteed that both the left and right expressions will evaluate to a type appropriate for the operation.

#### 4.2.1. ArithExpn

The AST for arithmetic expressions come in four varieties: plus, minus, times and divide. Fortunately these map directly to opcodes in the pseudo-machine: ADD, SUB, MUL and DIV, respectively. An arithmetic expression takes integers as operands and evaluates to an integer.

#### Template:

>> inject evaluation of LHS >> inject evaluation of RHS ADD/SUB/MUL/DIV

#### 4.2.2. CompareExpn

The AST for comparison expression come in four varieties: less than, less than or equal to, greater than, and greater than or equal to. The operands will be integers, and the comparison expression itself will evaluate to a boolean. Unlike in the arithmetic expression sub-cases, the pseudo-machine only has two comparison opcodes, one for less-than and a second for equals-to comparisons, meaning that we will have some more work to do for the other three. We will, however, maintain two conditions: the LHS and RHS sub-expressions are evaluated exactly once, and the left expression is evaluated first, before the right.

#### Less-than template:

>> inject evaluation of LHS >> inject evaluation of RHS LT

#### **Greater-than-or-equal-to template:**

```
>> inject evaluation of LHS
>> inject evaluation of RHS
# (L >= R) == !(L < R)
LT
%NOT
```

#### Less-than-or-equal-to template:

```
>> inject evaluation of LHS
>> inject evaluation of RHS
# (L <= R) == !(R < L)
SWAP
LT
%NOT
```

### **Greater-than template:**

```
>> inject evaluation of LHS
>> inject evaluation of RHS
# (L > R) == (R < L)
SWAP
LT
```

### 4.2.3. EqualsExpn

This AST node comes in a straight-ahead equals and a negated, not-equals variety.

### **Equals template:**

```
>> inject evaluation of LHS
>> inject evaluation of RHS
EQ
```

### **Not-equals template:**

```
>> inject evaluation of LHS
>> inject evaluation of RHS
EQ
%NOT
```

#### 4.2.4. BoolExpn

### **OR Template:**

```
>> inject evaluation of LHS
DUP

# Stack: LHS, LHS

# If LHS==False, will jump to _checkRHS

%BFALSE _checkRHS

# Stack: LHS==True (hence we can short-circuit)

%JMP _theEnd

_checkRHS:

# Stack: False (from LHS==False)

# False || RHS == RHS
POP

>> inject evaluation of RHS

# Stack: RHS

_theEnd:
```

#### **AND Template:**

```
>> inject evaluation of LHS DUP
```

```
# Stack: LHS, LHS

# If the following branch is taken, then LHS==False and
# remaining stack top is False, i.e. False && RHS == False
%BFALSE _theEnd

# By this point, True && RHS == RHS
# Stack: True (because LHS==True, otherwise the branch would have been taken)
POP
# Stack: (empty)

>> inject evaluation of RHS
# Stack: RHS

theEnd:
```

#### 4.3. UnaryExpn

There are two types of unary expressions in the AST, one for boolean value operands, and the other for integers.

### 4.3.1. NotExpn

```
>> inject evaluation of boolean-valued expression PUSH False EQ
```

### 4.3.2. UnaryMinusExpn

```
>> inject evaluation of integer-valued expression NEG
```

#### 4.4. ConstExpn

In the AST there are two kinds of constant expressions: the kind that can occur anywhere (integer or boolean valued), and the kind that only ever occur as arguments to PUT (those classe implement the Printable interface.)

### 4.4.1. IntConstExpn

PUSH <integer constant>

### 4.4.2. BoolConstExpn

```
# if the AST was for a True value PUSH MACHINE_TRUE
```

```
# otherwise, if for a False:
PUSH MACHINE_FALSE
```

### 4.4.3. NewlineConstExpn

By convention, AST nodes which implement Printable can generate code to evaluate themselves and print the result. To echo a new line, this is not too difficult.

```
# assuming UNIX newline ('\n' aka 0x0A aka 10) convention. PUSH 10 PRINTC
```

### 4.4.4. TextConstExpn

By convention, AST nodes which implement Printable can generate code to evaluate themselves and print the result. To print a string constant, this node will make use of an auxiliary support routine `\_PUTS` (full definition given in an appendix.) At assembly time, code address space will be reserved to locate a constant wide ASCIIZ string, that is, consecutive 16-bit wide, zero extended 8-bit ASCII characters with a trailing NUL word to signal the end.

```
PUSH _return
PUSH <address of string constant>
%JMP _PUTS # the globally visible address label where the support routine is located return:
```

#### 4.5. ConditionalExpn

```
>> evaluate conditional expression
%BFALSE _wasFalse
>> evaluate true case expression
%JMP _end
_wasFalse:
>> evaluate false case expression
_end:
```

#### 4.6. FunctionCallExpn

This follows the calling convention described in the 'Storage' section.

```
PUSH 0
PUSH _return
PUSH <arg_1>
PUSH <arg_...>
PUSH <arg_n>
%JMP _target
return:
```

#### 4.7. VarRefExpn

A variable reference can either appear in a LHS or RHS context. When generating code for this node in an LHS context, the convention is that upon executing the resultant code, the stack top result will be a memory address, while if generating for an RHS context, executing the code will leave the actual value at the top of the stack. The only time this node appears in a LHS context is as the L-value for an `AssignStmt`, in all other instances it will be RHS.

At code generation time, the symbol table will be searched to find the correct lexical level <LL> of the identifier relative to the context of this AST node, and its display-relative offset <ON> in the activation frame for the encompassing scope. If the identifier is a function or procedure argument, <ON> will be in the range of -N-1 to -1 inclusive (where N is the number of arguments for that routine) and if a local variable, it will be between 1 and M inclusive (where M is the number of words allocated to the local variables of given lexical level scope.)

#### 4.7.1. IdentExpn

```
ADDR <LL> <ON>
# only if used in a RHS context:
LOAD
```

#### 4.7.2. SubsExpn

```
ADDR <LL> <ON>
>> evaluate subscript 1 expression
DUP # of sub_1
PUSH < lowerbound 1>
LT # is sub 1 < lowerbound 1?
%BFALSE checkUpper1
%JMP BOUNDS ERROR # a bound error handler/reporter, see appendix
checkUpper1:
      DUP # of sub 1
      PUSH <upperbound 1>
      SWAP
      LT # is upperbound 1 < sub 1?
      %BFALSE sub1Ready
      %JMP bound error # a bound error handler/reporter
sub1Ready:
      PUSH < lowerbound 1>
      SUB
# Stack: (sub 1 - lowerbound 1), base array address
```

```
# only if 1D array:
      ADD
      # Stack: (address of array element at [sub_1])
# only if 2D array:
      PUSH <stride size>
      MUL
      # Stack: offset from base of first element in the second dimension at first
dimension index `sub_1`, base array address
      ADD
      >> evaluate subscript 2 expression
      DUP # of sub_2
      PUSH < lowerbound 2>
      LT # is sub 2 < lowerbound 2?
      %BFALSE checkUpper
      %JMP_BOUNDS_ERROR
      _checkUpper2:
             DUP # of sub_2
             PUSH <upperbound 2>
             SWAP
             LT # is upperbound_2 < sub_2?
             %BFALSE sub2Ready
             %JMP bound_error #FIXME
      _sub2Ready:
             PUSH < lowerbound_2>
             SUB
      ADD
      # Stack: (address of element at [sub_1][sub_2])
# only if used in a RHS context:
```

**LOAD** 

### 5. Functions/Procedures

The code generator for routines will provide the body scope and statements with an distinguished function epilogue label, which can be used by RESULT and RETURN statements to leave.

#### 5.1. Prologue

```
%SAVE_CONTEXT <LL> %RESERVE <locals_size>
```

#### 5.2. Epilogue

```
<function epilogue label>:
PUSH <locals_size>
POPN
%RESTORE_CONTEXT <LL> <argument_count>
```

## 6. Statements

#### 6.1. Program

Just emit code for the enclosing Scope.

#### 6.2. Scope

If the scope is a major scope (i.e. a function), generating code for it will include the prologue and epilogue code. All scopes, both major and minor, will provide their body statements with access to a distinguish 'end label', which will be used by EXIT statements. The activation frames will be organized with major scopes beginning a new lexical level, and adjacent and nested minor scopes being packed into a single frame. Generating code for a minor scope will just emit its statements' code, and major scopes will emit those plus a prologue and epilogue as described in the 'Functions/Procedures' section.

#### 6.3. PutStmt

Each argument implements the Java interface Printable, and every kind of expression that implements that can emit its own code for evaluating and printing a value. The code generator for the PUT will then simply emit each of these.

#### 6.4. GetStmt

The Java interface Readable is intended to indicate that the AST node can generate code which will push the address of an L-value expression (i.e. a local variable or an element of an array.) Only VarRefExpn implements it, which covers both the scalar and array element cases.

For each parameter:

```
>> evaluate Readable to give L-value address READI STORE
```

### 6.5. AssignStmt

```
>> evaluate VarRefExpn I-value in LHS context (gives an address)
>> evaluate r-value expresssion
SWAP # so that we evaluate all expressions left to right in source program order
STORE
```

#### 6.6. ProcedureCallStmt

```
PUSH 0 # return value

PUSH _return # return code address

PUSH <arg_1>

PUSH <arg_...>

PUSH <arg_n>

%JMP _target
_return:

POP # ignore return value
```

#### 6.7. If Statement

```
>>> inject evaluation of condition expression
PUSH _else
BF
>>> inject code for ``whenTrue'' statement list
%JMP _end
_else:
>>> inject code for ``whenFalse'' statement list
_end:
```

### 6.8. LoopingStmt

The common parent class for both while-do and repeat-until loops will provide the body statements with an distinguished end label name, which can be used by EXIT statements to jump out.

#### 6.8.1. WhileDoStmt

```
_start:
>> inject evaluation of condition
PUSH _end
BF
>> inject code for ``body`` statement list
PUSH _start
```

```
BR
_end:
```

### 6.8.2. RepeatUntilStmt

```
_start:
>> inject code for ``body`` statement list
>> inject evaluation of condition
%NOT
PUSH _start
BF
_end: #needed for exit even if not used normally.
```

### 6.9. ReturnStmt

%JMP <function epilogue label>

#### 6.10. ResultStmt

Given the encompassing function scope, at compile time we will know the value of <ON>, which will be `-N-2`, where N is the number of arguments to that function, and <LL> is its lexical level.

```
>> evaluate value expression
ADDR <LL> <ON>
STORE
%JMP <function epilogue label>
```

#### 6.11. ExitStmt

%JMP <end label of enclosing loop>

If the ExitStmt actually represents an "EXIT WHEN <condition>":

```
>> inject evaluation of condition
%NOT
%BFALSE <end label of enclosing loop>
```

## 7. Conclusion

We are now ready to tackle A5!

## **Appendix 1 - Support Routines**

The \_PUTS function is a library support routine designed to print 16-bit wide ASCIIZ strings. It does not follow the usual calling convention. It will be assembled and incorporated into the program code, and the `\_PUTS` label itself visible throughout.

```
PUTS:
_top:
      DUP # of string addr
      LOAD # Stack: cur char=*string addr
      DUP
      PUSH 0
      EQ
      BF puts print # if cur char != 0
      %JMP _puts_done
_puts_print:
      PRINTC # of cur_char
      PUSH 1
      ADD # add 1 to string addr
      %JMP _top
_puts_done:
      POP # from dup of string_addr
      BR # to returnPC
Example usage:
             PUSH returnPC
             PUSH <string addr>
             %JMP puts
      _returnPC:
             # Carry on.
```

#### **Bound Errors:**

A global label `\_BOUNDS\_ERROR` will be available, which will be called if an out-of-bounds subscript is used against an array. We may choose to give a nicer user interface by accurately reporting the source location and mismatch, but for now this in a to-be-determined placeholder, which we will sort out for A5.

# **Appendix 2 - Sample Programs**

Here we are each of the three sample programs hand-assembled.

## Sample Program A4-2 (Peter):

```
% 2-2: {
ADDR 0 0
PUSHMT
SETD 0
% NB: Because scope at 2-2 is the major scope to all enclosing scopes,
% the variables declared at 2-3, 2-4, 2-15, 2-20, 2-25 will have their
% space all be reserved together at a single lexical level
% (they also have to be nested, so there is no overlap)
% 2-3: var a, b, c , d : integer
% a -> ADDR 0 1
% b -> ADDR 0 2
% c -> ADDR 0 3
% d -> ADDR 0 4
% 2-4: var p, q, r : boolean
% p -> ADDR 0 5
% q -> ADDR 0 6
% r -> ADDR 0 7
% 2-15: var b1, b2 : boolean
% b1 -> ADDR 0 8
% b2 -> ADDR 0 9
% 2-20: var w, x , A[100] : integer
% w -> ADDR 0 10
% x -> ADDR 0 11
% A[1] -> ADDR 0 12
% A[100] -> ADDR 0 111
% 2-24: var t, u : integer
% t -> ADDR 0 112
% u -> ADDR 0 113
```

PUSH 0 PUSH 113 % local size DUPN

```
% 2-5: a := ((b + c) - (d * c)) + (b / c)
ADDR 0 1 % &a
ADDR 0 2 % b
LOAD
ADDR 03% c
LOAD
ADD % b+c
ADDR 0 4 % d
LOAD
ADDR 0 3 % c
LOAD
MUL % d*c
SUB % (b+c)-(d*c)
ADDR 0 2 % b
LOAD
ADDR 0 3 % c
LOAD
DIV % b/c
ADD % (b+c)-(d*c) + (b/c)
STORE % *a = ...
% 2-6: p := (not false) or ((not q) and r)
PUSH false % false
PUSH false
EQ % not false
DUP
PUSH _checkRHS_26
BF % if (not false) == false
PUSH _end_26
BR % if (not false) == true, short circuit
_checkRHS_26:
POP
ADDR 0 6 % &q
LOAD % q
PUSH false
EQ % not q
DUP
PUSH end 26
BF % if (not q) == false, bail out
POP
ADDR 0 7 % &r
LOAD % r
_end_26:
```

```
% 2-7: if p then a := 3 fi
ADDR 0 5 % &p
LOAD % p
PUSH _end_27
BF
ADDR 0 1 % &a
PUSH 3
STORE % a := 3
_end_27:
% 2-8: if p or not p then b := 2 else b := 0 fi
ADDR 0 5 % &p
LOAD
DUP
PUSH _checkRHS_28
BF
PUSH _end_cond_28
BR
_checkRHS_28:
POP
ADDR 0 5 % &p
PUSH false
EQ
_end_cond_28:
PUSH _else_28
BF
_then_28:
ADDR 0 2 % &b
PUSH 2
STORE % b := 2
PUSH _end_28
BR
_else_28:
ADDR 0 2 % &b
PUSH 0
```

STORE % b := 0

```
_end_28:
% 2-9: while c < 7 do c := 6 end
_start_29:
      ADDR 0 3 % &c
      LOAD % c
      PUSH 7
      LT
      PUSH _end_29
      BF
      ADDR 0 3 % &c
      PUSH 6 % c
      STORE % c := 6
      PUSH _start_29
      BR
_end_29:
% 2-10: while true do b := b + 1 end
_start_210:
      PUSH true
      PUSH _end_210
      BF
      ADDR 0 2 % &b
      ADDR 0 2 % &b
      LOAD % b
      PUSH 1
      ADD % b + 1
      STORE % b := b + 1
      PUSH _start_210
      BR
_end_210:
% 2-11: repeat { a := 3 exit b := 7 } until false
_start_211:
      ADDR 0 1 % &a
      PUSH 3
      STORE % a := 3
      PUSH _end_211
```

```
BR
      ADDR 0 2 % &b
      PUSH 7
      STORE % b := 7
      PUSH false % <cond>
      PUSH false
      EQ % not <cond>
      PUSH start 211
      BF
_end_211:
% 2-12: while (q or (r and (not p))) do exit when b not= 10 end
_start_212:
      ADDR 0 6 % &q
      LOAD % q
      DUP
      PUSH _chechRHS_1_212 % q==false, check RHS
      PUSH _end_cond1_212 % q==true, true OR _ == true so we're done
      BR
      _checkRHS_1_212:
      POP
      ADDR 0 7 % &r
      LOAD % r
      DUP
      PUSH _end_cond2_212 % q==false, false AND _ == false so we're done
      BF
      POP
      ADDR 0 5 % &p
      LOAD % p
      PUSH false
      EQ % not p
      _end_cond2_212:
      _end_cond1_212:
      PUSH _end_212
      BF
      ADDR 0 2 % &b
```

```
LOAD % b
      PUSH 10
      EQ % b == 10
      PUSH false
      EQ % b not= 10
      PUSH false
      EQ % not (b not= 10) NB: according to the `ExitStmt` template!!
      PUSH _end_212
      BF
      PUSH _start_212
      BR
_end_212:
% 2-13: put "Value is ", a / b, " or not ", newline
PUSH _return_1_213
PUSH _string_constant1 % where _string_constant1 is "Value is \0" somewhere in the code
address space
PUSH PUTS
BR
_return_1_213:
ADDR 0 1
LOAD
ADDR 02
LOAD
DIV
PRINTI
PUSH _return_2_213
PUSH _string_constant2 % where _string_constant2 is " or not \0" somewhere in the code
address space
PUSH _PUTS
BR
_return_2_213:
PUSH 10
PRINTC
% 2-14: while true not= false do {
_start_214:
      PUSH true
      PUSH false
      EQ % (true == false)
```

```
PUSH false
EQ % !(true == false) == (true != false)
PUSH _end_214
BF
% 2-16: get a, c, b
ADDR 0 1 % &a
READI
STORE
ADDR 0 3 % &c
READI
STORE
ADDR 0 2 % &b
READI
STORE
% 2-17: exit when (p or not r)
ADDR 0 5 % &p
LOAD % p
DUP
PUSH checkRHS 217
BF % p==false, so check RHS `not r`
PUSH _end_217
BR
_checkRHS_217:
POP
ADDR 0 7 % &r
LOAD % r
PUSH false
EQ % not r
_end_217:
PUSH false
EQ % not <cond>
PUSH _end_214 % enclosing loop end label
BF
% 2-18: b1 := not p or q
ADDR 0 8 % &b1
ADDR 0 5 % &p
LOAD % p
```

```
PUSH false
EQ % not p
DUP
PUSH _checkRHS_218
PUSH _end_218
BR
_checkRHS_218:
POP
ADDR 0 6 % &q
LOAD % q
_end_218:
STORE % b1 := ...
% 2-19: repeat {
_start_219:
      % 2-21: p := ( b2 ? q : d <= 7)
      ADDR 0 5 % &p
      ADDR 0 9 % &b2
      LOAD
      PUSH _false_221
      BF
      ADDR 0 6 % &q
      LOAD % q
      PUSH _end_221
      _false_221:
      ADDR 0 4 % &d
      PUSH 7
      SWAP
      LT % 7 < d
      PUSH false
      EQ \% !(7 < d) == (d <= 7)
      _end_221:
      STORE % p := ...
      % 2-22: if w <= a then exit fi
      ADDR 0 10 % &w
      LOAD % w
      ADDR 0 1 % &a
      LOAD % a
      SWAP
```

```
LT % a < w
PUSH false
EQ \% !(a < w) == (w <= a)
PUSH _else_222
BF
_then_222:
% exit from 2-19 repeat loop
PUSH _end_219
BR
_else_222:
_end_222:
% 2-23: while ((p or (not q)) or r) do
start_223:
      ADDR 0 5 % &p
      LOAD % p
      DUP
      PUSH checkRHS 1 223
      BF % false OR rhs == rhs
      PUSH _end_cond_1_223
      BR % true OR == true
      _checkRHS_1_223:
      ADDR 0 6 % &q
      LOAD % q
      PUSH false
      EQ % not q
      _end_cond_1_223:
      DUP
      PUSH _checkRHS_2_223
      BF % false OR r == r
      PUSH _end_cond_2_223
      BR % true OR _ == true
      _chechkRHS_2_223:
      ADDR 0 7 % &r
      LOAD % r
      _end_cond_2_223:
      PUSH end 223
      BF
```

```
% 2-26: p := not q
ADDR 0 5 % &p
ADDR 0 6 % &q
LOAD % q
PUSH false
EQ % not q
STORE % p := (not q)
% 2-27: t := ( (p or q) ? (t + 1) : (t - 1) )
ADDR 0 112 % &t
ADDR 0 5 % &p
LOAD % p
DUP
PUSH _checkRHS_227
BF
PUSH _end_cond_227
BR
_checkRHS_227:
POP
ADDR 0 6 % &q
LOAD % q
_end_cond_227:
PUSH _false_227
BF
_true_227:
ADDR 0 112 % &t
LOAD % t
PUSH 1
ADD % t + 1
_false_227:
ADDR 0 112 % &t
LOAD % t
PUSH 1
SUB % t - 1
_done_227:
STORE % t := ...
```

% 2-28: exit when t > 12

```
ADDR 0 112 % &t
      LOAD % t
      PUSH 12
      SWAP
      LT % (12 < t) == (t > 12)
      PUSH false
      EQ \%!(t > 12)
      PUSH _end_223 % exit from the 2-23 repeat loop
      BF
      % 2-29: }
      % 2-30: end % while
      PUSH_start_223
      BR
_end_223:
% 2-31: exit when A[w] < d
% < cond > == (A[w] < d)
ADDR 0 12 % &A[lowerbound] -> &A[1]
ADDR 0 10 % &w
LOAD % w
DUP
PUSH 1 % lowerbound of A
LT % w < 1
PUSH _checkUpper_231
BF
PUSH _BOUNDS_ERROR
BR
_checkUpper_231:
DUP % w
PUSH 100 % upperbound of A
SWAP
LT % is upperbound < w?
PUSH _sub1Ready_231
BF
PUSH _BOUNDS_ERROR
BR
sub1Ready 231:
PUSH 1 % lowerbound
SUB % offset frome base of 'w - 1'
ADD % &A[w] (i.e. base addr + w - 1)
LOAD % A[w] (because of RHS context)
```

```
ADDR 0 4 % &d
             LOAD % d
             LT \% A[w] < d
             PUSH false
             EQ % not <cond>
             PUSH _end_219 % exit from the 2-19 repeat loop
             BF
             % 2-32: } until p and r % repeat
             % < cond > == (p and r)
             ADDR 0 5 % &p
             LOAD % p
             DUP
             PUSH _checkRHS_232
             BF
             PUSH _end_cond_232
             BR
             _checkRHS_232:
             POP
             ADDR 0 7 % &r
             LOAD % r
             _end_cond_232:
             PUSH false
             EQ % not <cond>
             PUSH _start_219
             BF
      _end_219:
      % 2-34: end % while
      PUSH _start_214
PUSH 113 % local size
POPN % throw away local variables
% restore previous LL=0 context (unnecessary for top-level, but alas..)
```

BR

\_end\_214:

% 2-35: }

ADDR 00

SETD 0 POP

HALT

% The End.

## Sample Program A4-3 (Oren):

```
START:
SETD 0 # 3-2
PUSH 0
PUSH 8
DUPN #3-3 3-4
PUSH 0
PUSH <u>RET6</u>
ADDR 0 5 # p
LOAD
PUSH MACHINE_FALSE
EQ
DUP
PUSH <u>CHECKOR3</u>
BF
PUSH <u>ENDOR3</u>
BR
_CHECKOR3:
POP
ADDR 0 6 # q
LOAD
_ENDOR3:
ADDR 0 2 # b
LOAD
ADDR 0 3 # c
LOAD
MUL
ADDR 0 5 # p
LOAD
ADDR 0 6 # q
LOAD
EQ
PUSH MACHINE_FALSE
EQ
PUSH Q
BR
<u>RET6</u>:
POP # 3-30
           # 3-31
HALT
<u>P</u>: ADDR 1 0 # 3-6
 PUSHMT
  SETD 1
  PUSH 0
  PUSH 1
  DUPN
         # 3-7
```

```
_START_WHILE1:
      ADDR 0 6 # q
      LOAD
      PUSH <u>END WHILE1</u>
      BF
      PUSH 0
      PUSH <u>RET1</u>
      ADDR 0 5 # p
      LOAD
      ADDR 11 # e
      LOAD
      ADDR 0 1 # a
      LOAD
      ADD
      PUSH 1
      SUB
      ADDR 03 #c
      LOAD
      ADDR 0 4 # d
      LOAD
      SWAP
      LT
      PUSH MACHINE_FALSE
      EQ
      PUSH Q
      BR # 3-9
      <u>RET1</u>:
      POP # unused return
      PUSH <u>ENDFUNC1</u>
      BR # 3-10
      PUSH <u>START_WHILE1</u>
      BR
 END WHILE1: #3-11
 _ENDFUNC1:
  PUSH 1 # free locals
  POPN
  SETD 1
  BR
            # 3-12
<u>F</u>: ADDR 1 0
  PUSHMT
  SETD 1
         # 3-13
  ADDR 1 - 1 # n
  LOAD
  PUSH _ELSE1:
  BF
 ADDR 1 - 2 # m
```

```
LOAD
 ADDR 0 2 # b
 LOAD
 ADD
 PUSH <u>ENDIF1</u>:
 _ELSE1:
 PUSH 0
           # return val
 PUSH RET2
 ADDR 1 - 2 # m
 LOAD
 ADDR 0 2 # b
 LOAD
 SUB
 ADDR 1-1 # n
 LOAD
 DUP
 PUSH ENDAND
 BF
 POP
 ADDR 08 #s
 ENDAND1:
 PUSH <u>F</u>
 BR
 RET2:
 ADDR 1 -4 #retval
 SWAP
 STORE
 _ENDFUNC2:
         #restore display
 SETD 1
 PUSH 2
           #arguments
 POPN
 BR
           # 3-16
Q: ADDR 10
 PUSHMT
 SETD 1
           # 3-17
 PUSH 0
 PUSH 3
 DUPN
           # 3-19
 PUSH 0
 PUSH <u>RET3</u>
 PUSH Q G
 BR
 _RET3:
 PUSH 7
 LT
 PUSH_ELSE2
```

```
BF
  PUSH_ENDFUNC3
  BR
 PUSH_ENDIF2
  BR
 _ELSE2:
 <u>ENDIF2</u>: # 3-26
  PUSH 0
  PUSH <u>RET4</u>
  ADDR 11 #t
  LOAD
  ADDR 07 #r
  LOAD
 PUSH MACHINE_FALSE
  EQ
 PUSH <u>F</u>
  BR
  <u>RET4</u>:
  PUSH 17
  EQ
  PUSH <u>ELSE3</u>
  BF
  PUSH <u>ENDFUNC3</u>
  BR
 PUSH <u>ENDIF3</u>
  BR
  _ELSE3:
  <u>ENDIF3</u>: # 3-27
  PUSH 0
  PUSH <u>RET5</u>
 PUSH P
  BR
  RET5:
  POP
             # 3-28
  <u>ENDFUNC3</u>:
  PUSH 3
 POPN # locals
SETD 1 # restore display
  POPN
  PUSH 3
             # arguments
 POPN
  BR
             # 3-29
Q G: ADDR 20
  PUSHMT
  SETD 2
             # 3-20 3-21
  PUSH 0
  PUSH 2
```

```
DUPN # 3-22
PUSH 0
PUSH <u>RET6</u>
ADDR 1 - 3 # m
LOAD
PUSH MACHINE_FALSE
EQ
ADDR 0 1 # a
LOAD
ADDR 12 # u
LOAD
ADD
ADDR 2 2 # x
LOAD
SUB
ADDR 1-1 #p
DUP
PUSH <u>CHECKOR1</u>
BF
PUSH <u>ENDOR1</u>
BR
_CHECKOR1:
POP
ADDR 08 #s
LOAD
_ENDOR1:
PUSH Q
BR
_RET6:
POP
ADDR 1 - 3 # m
LOAD
DUP
PUSH _CHECKOR2
BF
PUSH <u>ENDOR2</u>
BR
CHECKOR2:
POP
ADDR 1-1 #p
LOAD
ENDOR2:
PUSH <u>ELSE4</u>
BF
ADDR 13 # v
LOAD
```

ADDR 1 - 2 # n

LOAD

ADD

PUSH <u>ENDIF4</u>

BR

\_ELSE4:

ADDR 1 2 # u

LOAD

ADDR 0 2 # b

LOAD

SUB

ENDIF4:

ADDR 2 -1 # retval

SWAP

STORE

\_ENDFUNC5:

PUSH 2

POPN # locals

# locals # restore display SETD 1

BR # 3-25

## Sample Program A4-1 (Daniel & Oren):

```
%SAVE_CONTEXT 0
%RESERVE 5 #var i, j, k, n, m: integer
%RESERVE 5 #var p, q, r, s, t : boolean
%RESERVE 7 #var A[7]: integer
%RESERVE 151 #var B[-100 .. 50] : integer
%RESERVE 5 #var C[-7 .. -3] : boolean
%RESERVE 400 #var D[400] : boolean
ADDR 0 2 # j
LOAD
ADDR 0 3 # k
LOAD
PUSH 1
SUB
MUL
ADDR 0 4 # n
LOAD
PUSH 2
ADD
DIV
ADDR 0 4 # n
SWAP
STORE # 1-16
ADDR 07#q
LOAD
%NOT
DUP
%BFALSE_AND1
POP
ADDR 06#p
LOAD
AND1:
DUP
%BFALSE _CHECKOR1
%JMP ENDOR1
CHECKOR1:
POP
ADDR 07#q
LOAD
DUP
%BFALSE _AND2
POP
```

ADDR 06#p

```
LOAD
%NOT
_AND2:
_ENDOR1:
ADDR 08
SWAP
STORE # 1-17
ADDR 0 1 # i
LOAD
ADDR 0 2 # j
LOAD
LT
DUP
%BFALSE _CHECKOR2
%JMP_ENDOR2
_CHECKOR2:
POP
ADDR 0 3 # k
LOAD
ADDR 0 4 # n
LOAD
SWAP
LT
%NOT
_ENDOR2:
ADDR 0 6 # p
SWAP
STORE # 1-18
ADDR 0 2 # j # begin 1-19
LOAD
ADDR 0 4 # n
LOAD
EQ
DUP
%BFALSE _AND3
POP
ADDR 0 3 # k
LOAD
ADDR 0 5 # m
LOAD
EQ
%NOT
AND3:
```

ADDR 08

```
SWAP
STORE # 1-19
ADDR 0 2 # j # begin 1-20
LOAD
ADDR 0 3 # k
LOAD
SWAP
LT
%BFALSE ELSE1
ADDR 08#r
LOAD
ADDR 09#s
LOAD
EQ
%JMP ENDIF1
ELSE1:
ADDR 0 1 # i
LOAD
ADDR 0 2 # j
LOAD
EQ
%NOT
ENDIF1:
ADDR 0 10 # t
SWAP
STORE # 1-20
PUSH 5 # begin 1-21
ADDR 0 11 # A
ADDR 0 1 # i
LOAD
PUSH 0
PUSH_RET1
PUSH-4
ADDR 0 11 # A
ADDR 0 4 # n
LOAD
PUSH 3
ADD
DUP
PUSH 1
LT
%BFALSE _CHECKUPPER1
%JMP _BOUNDS_ERROR # a bound error handler/reporter, see appendix
_CHECKUPPER1:
```

```
DUP # of sub 1
PUSH 7
SWAP
LT # is upperbound_1 < sub_1?
%BFALSE _SUB1READY
%JMP BOUNDS ERROR # a bound error handler/reporter
_SUB1READY:
PUSH 1
SUB
ADD
LOAD
%JMP_FUNC_F
_RET1:
ADD
ADD
SWAP
STORE # 1-21
PUSH 0 # begin 1-22
PUSH _RET2
PUSH 17
PUSH 5
%JMP _FUNC_F
RET2:
ADDR 0 18 % B
ADDR 0 18 % B
ADDR 0 18 % B
ADDR 0 1 % i
LOAD
PUSH 1
ADD
DUP
PUSH-100
LT
%BFALSE CHECKUPPER2
%JMP _BOUNDS_ERROR # a bound error handler/reporter, see appendix
_CHECKUPPER2:
DUP # of sub 1
PUSH 50
SWAP
LT # is upperbound_1 < sub_1?
%BFALSE SUB2READY
%JMP _BOUNDS_ERROR # a bound error handler/reporter
SUB2READY:
PUSH-100
SUB
```

```
ADD
LOAD
DUP
PUSH-100
LT
%BFALSE CHECKUPPER3
%JMP _BOUNDS_ERROR # a bound error handler/reporter, see appendix
_CHECKUPPER3:
DUP # of sub_1
PUSH 50
SWAP
LT # is upperbound_1 < sub_1?
%BFALSE _SUB3READY
%JMP _BOUNDS_ERROR # a bound error handler/reporter
SUB3READY:
PUSH-100
SUB
ADD
LOAD
DUP
PUSH-100
LT
%BFALSE _CHECKUPPER4
%JMP BOUNDS ERROR # a bound error handler/reporter, see appendix
_CHECKUPPER4:
DUP # of sub 1
PUSH 50
SWAP
LT # is upperbound_1 < sub_1?
%BFALSE SUB4READY
%JMP BOUNDS ERROR # a bound error handler/reporter
_SUB4READY:
PUSH-100
SUB
ADD
SWAP
STORE # 1-22
ADDR 06#p
LOAD
DUP
%BFALSE CHECKOR3
%JMP_ENDOR3
CHECKOR3:
POP
ADDR 07#q
```

```
LOAD
_ENDOR3:
DUP
%BFALSE _CHECKOR4
%JMP_ENDOR4
CHECKOR4:
POP
ADDR 0 2 # j
LOAD
PUSH 0
PUSH_RET3
ADDR 0 3 # k
LOAD
PUSH 7
%JMP_FUNC_F
_RET3:
LT
%NOT
_ENDOR4:
ADDR 0 71 # C[-4]
SWAP
STORE # 1-25
%RESERVE 100 # E
%RESERVE 49 # B # 1-26
PUSH 149
POPN # 1-31
_FUNC_F:
  %SAVE_CONTEXT 1
 ADDR 0 0 # &i
  LOAD
  PUSH 0
  SWAP
  LT
  PUSH _FUNC_F_ELSE1
  BF
  PUSH 0
 PUSH _FUNC_F_CALLRET1
  ADDR 0 0 # &i
  LOAD
  PUSH 1
  SUB # i - 1
```

```
ADDR 0 1 # &j
LOAD
PUSH 1
ADD # j + 1
BR _FUNC_F # f(i - 1, j + 1)
FUNC F CALLRET1:
ADDR 1 -4
STORE # result f(i - 1, j + 1)
BR _FUNC_F_END
_FUNC_F_ELSE1:
  ADDR 0 0 # &i
  LOAD
  PUSH 0
  LT
  PUSH FUNC F ELSE2
  BF
  PUSH 0
  PUSH _FUNC_F_CALLRET2
  ADDR 0 0 # &i
  LOAD
  PUSH 1
  ADD # i + 1
  ADDR 0 1 # &j
  LOAD
  PUSH 1
  SUB # j - 1
  BR _FUNC_F # f(i + 1, j - 1)
  _FUNC_F_CALLRET2:
  ADDR 1 -4
  STORE # result f(i + 1, j - 1)
  BR_FUNC_F_END
  _FUNC_F_ELSE2:
  ADDR 0 1 # &i
  LOAD
  ADDR 1 -4
  STORE # result j
  BR_FUNC_F_END
FUNC_F_END:
%RESTORE_CONTEXT 1 2
```

% RESTORE\_CONTEXT 0 0