

UG HW6: Semaphores for xv6

Task 3. Implementation of `sem_init()`, `sem_wait()`, `sem_post()`, and `sem_destroy()`.

```
217 uint64
218 sys_sem_wait(void){
219     struct proc *p = myproc();
220     uint64 addr;
221     int index;
222
223     if(argaddr(0,&addr) < 0){
224         return -1;
225     }
226
227     copyin(p->pagetable,(char*) &index, addr, sizeof(int));
228     acquire(&semtable.sem[index].lock);
229
230     if(semtable.sem[index].count > 0){
231         semtable.sem[index].count--;
232         release(&semtable.sem[index].lock);
233         return 0;
234     }else{
235         while(semtable.sem[index].count == 0){
236             sleep((void*)&semtable.sem[index], &semtable.sem[index].lock);
237         }
238         semtable.sem[index].count -= 1;
239         release(&semtable.sem[index].lock);
240     }
241     return 0;
242 }
```

For `sem_wait()` the way I used `sleep()` if the count of the semaphore at the time equals 0 then there is nothing for the current process, either the producer or consumer, to do so the process would be put to sleep.

```

251  uint64
252  sys_sem_post(void){
253
254      struct proc *p = myproc();
255      uint64 addr;
256      int index;
257
258      if(argaddr(0, &addr) < 0){
259          return -1;
260      }
261
262      copyin(p->pagetable, (char *)&index, addr, sizeof(int));
263      acquire(&semtable.sem[index].lock);
264
265      semtable.sem[index].count += 1;
266      wakeup((void*)&semtable.sem[index]);
267
268      release(&semtable.sem[index].lock);
269
270      return 0;
271  }
272

```

For `sem_post()` the way I used `wakeup()` was after the semaphore's count was incremented. The process, either the producer or consumer, will then be woken up and continue running.

The main difficulty I had with this task was using `copyingin()` and `copyout()`, because I kept passing the wrong values to them. I did some research on both functions and asked the TA for guidance which helped me overcome this obstacle.

Task 4. Test cases.

```
$ $ hw6test
test 1:
producer 5 producing 1
producer 6 producing 2
producer 5 producing 3
consumer 10 consuming 1
producer 5 producing 4
producer 5 producing 5
producer 5 producing 6
producer 5 producing 7
producer 5 producing 8
producer 5 producing 9
producer 6 producing 10
producer 7 producing 11
consumer 8 consuming 2
producer 6 producing 12
consumer 8 consuming 3
producer 5 producing 13
consumer 8 consuming 4
consumer 8 consuming 5
consumer 8 consuming 6
consumer 8 consuming 7
consumer 8 consuming 8
consumer 8 consuming 9
consumer 8 consuming 10
producer 5 producing 14
producer 5 producing 15
producer 5 producing 16
producer 5 producing 17
producer 5 producing 18
producer 6 producing 19
producer 7 producing 20
consumer 8 consuming 11
consumer 8 consuming 12
consumer 8 consuming 13
consumer 8 consuming 14
consumer 8 consuming 15
consumer 8 consuming 16
consumer 8 consuming 17
consumer 8 consuming 18
consumer 9 consuming 19
consumer 8 consuming 20
```

```
total = 210
test 2:
producer 11 producing 1
producer 11 producing 2
producer 11 producing 3
consumer 17 consuming 1
producer 11 producing 4
producer 12 producing 5
producer 11 producing 6
producer 11 producing 7
producer 11 producing 8
producer 13 producing 9
producer 14 producing 10
consumer 15 consuming 2
consumer 15 consuming 3
consumer 15 consuming 4
consumer 15 consuming 5
consumer 15 consuming 6
consumer 15 consuming 7
consumer 16 consuming 8
consumer 17 consuming 9
producer 11 producing 11
producer 12 producing 12
consumer 16 consuming 10
producer 12 producing 13
consumer 16 consuming 11
producer 11 producing 14
consumer 16 consuming 12
producer 11 producing 15
producer 12 producing 16
consumer 17 consuming 13
producer 11 producing 17
producer 11 producing 18
producer 11 producing 19
producer 11 producing 20
consumer 15 consuming 14
consumer 15 consuming 15
consumer 15 consuming 16
consumer 15 consuming 17
consumer 15 consuming 18
consumer 15 consuming 19
consumer 15 consuming 20
```

```
test 3:
producer 19 producing 1
producer 20 producing 2
producer 19 producing 3
producer 19 producing 4
producer 19 producing 5
producer 20 producing 6
producer 19 producing 7
producer 21 producing 8
producer 22 producing 9
producer 23 producing 10
consumer 24 consuming 1
consumer 25 consuming 2
producer 20 producing 11
producer 19 producing 12
consumer 24 consuming 3
producer 19 producing 13
consumer 24 consuming 4
consumer 26 consuming 5
producer 19 producing 14
consumer 24 consuming 6
producer 19 producing 15
consumer 24 consuming 7
consumer 24 consuming 8
producer 20 producing 16
producer 21 producing 17
consumer 24 consuming 9
producer 20 producing 18
consumer 24 consuming 10
consumer 24 consuming 11
consumer 24 consuming 12
consumer 24 consuming 13
producer 19 producing 19
producer 20 producing 20
consumer 24 consuming 14
consumer 24 consuming 15
consumer 24 consuming 16
consumer 25 consuming 17
consumer 25 consuming 18
consumer 25 consuming 19
consumer 25 consuming 20
total = 210
```

```
test 4:
producer 29 producing 1
producer 29 producing 2
producer 29 producing 3
producer 29 producing 4
consumer 38 consuming 1
producer 29 producing 5
producer 30 producing 6
consumer 39 consuming 2
producer 29 producing 7
producer 30 producing 8
producer 31 producing 9
producer 32 producing 10
producer 33 producing 11
consumer 35 consuming 3
producer 34 producing 12
producer 29 producing 13
consumer 35 consuming 4
producer 29 producing 14
consumer 36 consuming 5
producer 29 producing 15
consumer 35 consuming 6
consumer 35 consuming 7
consumer 35 consuming 8
consumer 35 consuming 9
producer 32 producing 16
producer 29 producing 17
producer 30 producing 18
producer 31 producing 19
consumer 35 consuming 10
producer 29 producing 20
consumer 35 consuming 11
consumer 36 consuming 12
consumer 35 consuming 13
consumer 36 consuming 14
consumer 35 consuming 15
consumer 35 consuming 16
consumer 36 consuming 17
consumer 36 consuming 18
consumer 37 consuming 19
consumer 35 consuming 20
total = 210
```

For my test program, hw6test.c, I used the same buffer implementation as the one provided in prodcons-sem_sem.c and created a function to go over all four tests at the same time producing the results above.

Kernel bug with our implementation.

If the program does not call sem_destroy() the OS can be vulnerable to resource leaks or can cause the system to deadlock after exhausting the memory being used by the semaphores stored.

Summary:

I learned how semaphores can be a useful resource when multiple processes are being handled by the OS. The assignment also gave me a better understanding on how acquiring and releasing locks are important when it comes to concurrency control.