

SRT Individual Report for PHYS 1301W 409 Phys for Sci and Engr I (Thomas Eichlersmith) - Fall 2018

Student Rating of Teaching - Fall 2018

Project Audience 17 Responses Received 15 Response Ratio 88.24%

Report Comments

Office of Measurement Services Phone: 612.626.0006 Fax: 612.624.1336 879 29th Ave. S.E. Room 103 Minneapolis, MN 55414 http://oms.umn.edu eval@umn.edu

©2018 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved. The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer.

Creation Date Thu, Jan 24, 2019



Message from the Vice Provost for Faculty and Academic Affairs

The University is committed to monitoring and improving students' educational experiences. Student Ratings of Teaching (SRT) help to ensure that the student voice is present in fulfilling this mission. We encourage all instructors to incorporate student feedback into your ongoing efforts to improve your teaching and your courses.

How SRT Results may be Used

Evaluations of teaching provide information intended to help improve teaching, and may also be available to assist students in course selection and/or to inform administrative decisions on salary, tenure, and promotion. Specific use of SRT results may vary by campus and/or college as described in relevant university or unit policies.

Resources for Improving Instruction

Tools and tips for improving course instruction can be found on the OMS website (oms.umn.edu/srt), under the faculty section.

Among the available resources is a guide for <u>connecting your teaching practices with the SRT</u>. This guide was created by the Center for Educational Innovation (<u>cei.umn.edu</u>) and provides suggestions for improving instructional practices in relation to SRT items.

About this Report

This report contains all ratings provided by the students in your courses who submitted SRT responses. Mean (average) scores are reported as well as the frequency of how often each response was given for each item. Results are provided in the following order:


- 1. Score graph and frequency graphs for instructor items
- 2. Score graph and frequency graphs for course items
- 3. Electronically-administered SRTs*:
 - Comments regarding instructor
 - Comments regarding course

For additional information about evaluation of teaching, please reference the Evaluation of Teaching policy for your campus. The policy for Twin Cities, Crookston, Morris, and Rochester can be found here: http://policy.umn.edu/education/teachingevaluation.

Sincerely, Rebecca Ropers-Huilman Vice President for Faculty and Academic Affairs


^{*} Paper-administered SRTs: completed forms, with comments, will be returned to the campus address on record for the instructor

Instructor Items Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score




Instructor Items Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency

1. The instructor was well prepared for class.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.93
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.26

3. The instructor provided feedback intended to improve my course performance.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.87
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.35

5. I would recommend this instructor to other students.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.93
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.26

2. The instructor presented the subject matter clearly.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.87
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.35

4. The instructor treated me with respect.




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.93
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.26

Course Items Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Score




Course Items Carefully read each statement and select a response: - Frequency


1. I have a deeper understanding of the subject matter as a result of this course.




2. My interest in the subject matter was stimulat	ed
by this course.	




Statistics	Value
Mean	5.53
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	0.74



3. Instructional technology employed in this course was effective.




4. The	grading	standards	for	this	course	were
clear.						



Statistics	Value
Mean	5.07
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	1.16

Statistics	Value
Mean	5.20
Median	6.00
Standard Deviation	1.15

5. I would recommend this course to other students.



Statistics	Value
Mean	4.86
Median	5.00
Standard Deviation	1.17

Approximately how many hours per week do you spend working on homework, reading, and projects for this course?

