

# Task priority assignment with collision avoidance.

Stefano De Filippis & Marco Menchetti

October 2019

## Abstract

In this paper we will face the problem of task priority resolution using a fast computation of the priority matrix (here *Flacco Matrix*) and the resulting joint velocities.

Collision avoidance for several control points has been taken as a high priority task in this case as well as trajectory tracking.

## Introduction

Due to their high dexterity and the absence of non-holonomic constraints, manipulators has been used to perform a wide range of operation, and sometimes even more of them at the same time.

A handy yet practical example is the one considered below: a manipulator moving in a cluttered environment, trying to complete a trajectory tracking task and, at the same time, avoid collision with obstacles nearby.

## 1 Tasks definition

The tasks we used are four and they occupy all 7 manipulator's DOF:

- one cartesian positioning task occupying 3 DOF.
- one cartesian orientation task for the second link, which occupies 2 DOF.
- two control points' collision avoidance tasks that will occupy complessly 2 DOF.

### 1.1 Cartesian positioning

The cartesian positioning task is defined by the direct kinematics of the robot,  $f(q)$ , so the **ed-effector**'s position is  $r = f(q)$ . Hence it's straight-forward the expression of this task's velocity with respect to the joints' one:

$$\dot{r} = \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q} \dot{q} = J \dot{q} \quad (1)$$

#### 1.1.1 Collision avoidance

In the formulation of our problem, where  $\dot{r}$  is given (i.e. precomputed), we are left with finding the right value for  $\dot{q}$ .

As already said, in our approach, we have also to include the collision avoidance for the end-effector. Instead of treating it as a different task, as we will do for the other control points, we could handle it in

a "tricky" way so as to not saturate other DOFs: we will use instead of  $\dot{r}$ , the **sum** between  $\dot{r}$  and another cartesian velocity pushing the end-effector away from the obstacle. This cartesian velocity will be (or TODO: add citation i.e. as in [1]) directed as the distance from the center of the obstacle to the tip of the manipulator, and will have a magnitude weighted by a non linear gain  $v(P, O)$ , where  $P = f(q)$  is the end-effector position and  $O$  is the obstacle position. Hence:

$$\dot{r}_o = v(P, O) \frac{f(q) - O}{\|f(q) - O\|} \quad (2)$$

$$v(P, O) = \frac{V_{max}}{1 + e^{(\|f(q) - O\| - (2/\rho) - 1)\alpha}} \quad (3)$$

In the end we will have (1) in the form:

$$\dot{r} + \dot{r}_o = J\dot{q}$$

## 1.2 Carthesian orienting

TODO: all again and check if the equation is right

## 1.3 Control points' collision avoidance

When dealing with the collision avoidance task linked to the two control points we were left with only 2 DOFs for both so we had to use one for each point. We couldn't use the same approach we used for the end-effector but at the same time something rather similar has to be done.

To squash the three DOFs into one, we projected the collision avoidance task velocity,  $\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{o,i}$  computed as in (2) but using the control point position as  $P$ , onto the direction of the velocity itself. In this way we get

a task velocity which is a scalar (1 DOF) equal to the magnitude of the original one (i.e. (3)).

Defining

- $\eta = \frac{P-O}{\|P-O\|}$
- $P$  as the control point's position
- $O$  as the position of the obstacle
- $J_i$  as the analytical jacobian associated to the  $i$ -th control point

we end up with:

$$\eta^T \dot{r}_{o,i} = v(P, O) = \eta^T J_i \dot{q} = J_{c,i} \dot{q}$$

Hence:

$$v(P, O) = J_{c,i} \dot{q} \quad (4)$$

## 2 Control architecture

Due to the high complexity of the task we divided our control scheme into 3 main blocks:

1. **Task priority matrix:** to compute in a fast way the joint velocities executing the task velocities coming from the prioritized stack of tasks.
2. **Priority resolution algorithm:** to organize the stack of tasks depending on the each ones' *generalized cost*. This concept will be further explained above.
3. **Control algorithm:** to generate the reference joint velocity that the manipulator should execute. TODO: fix this

### 2.1 Task priority matrix

TODO: stefano

## 2.2 Priority resolution algorithm

We defined the stack of tasks as: TODO

### 2.2.1 Cost definition

TTTTTHE DISTANCE: TODO.

## 2.3 Control algorithm

We switch every "n" so as: TODO

# 3 Code

# 4 Results

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Tasks definition</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Task 1 . . . . .	1
1.1.1	Collision avoidance .	1
1.2	Task 2 . . . . .	2
1.3	Tasks 3 & 4 . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Control architecture</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Task priority matrix . . . .	2
2.2	Priority resolution algorithm	3
2.2.1	Cost definition . . . .	3
2.3	Control algorithm . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Code</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>3</b>