report.md


## Introduction

In this report, I present an item-to-item collaborative filtering recommendation system based on centered cosine similarity (Pearson correlation). Also, baseline estimate used for improving model prediction quality. Collaborative filtering is a popular technique for making personalized recommendations by leveraging user-item interactions. The code implements a recommendation model using Nearest Neighbors with cosine similarity and incorporates a baseline estimation approach to predict user-item ratings.

# Data analysis

#### Overview of the data

During the data overview, I used only u.data, u.item, u.user. For u.data and u.item data, I get the films with the highest average rating:



During analyzing u.user, I calculate some statistics:

• Occupation distribution among the users:


localhost:6419 1/8

administrator	79
engineer	67
programmer	66
librarian	51
writer	45
executive	32
scientist	31
artist	28
technician	27
marketing	26
entertainment	18
healthcare	16
retired	14
lawyer	12
salesman	12
none	9
homemaker	7
doctor	7


• Gender distribution among the users:

Gender	Num
Males	670
Females	273

• Age distribution among the users:



• And finally **geographic** distribution among the users:



## Data preprocessing

I do all data preprocessing in movie\_cosine\_similarity.ipynb . I use only u.data data.

Storing item-to-user matrix is not efficient method. So, I decide store data as list that contains the lists of items that user rate and ratings.

It looks like this:

Index of this list represent some user and list that store at this index is user ratings. These ratings just tuple pairs with user rating and item ID.

After that I split users into two groups. One group I use to do prediction.

Other group I use to test my model. For each user from test group, I pick randomly min\_num\_of\_items\_that\_user\_rate ratings and just make left ratings zero.

Note: min\_num\_of\_items\_that\_user\_rate is variable from movie\_cosine\_similarity.ipynb

# **Model Implementation**

The collaborative filtering model is implemented in the CF\_using\_cosine\_similarity.py in CFUsingCosineSimilarity class. The model utilizes a Nearest Neighbors approach with centered cosine similarity to identify similar items. Based on this similar items, model can predict ratings for user. Also, during prediction baseline estimate used.

Method **fit** of model involves preparing user-to-item ratings and item-by-user ratings lists, calculating some values that helps faster find baseline estimate values during prediction, and precalculating item neighbors and distances. But you should pass in fit method data in the following format:

```
[
[(some_rating_of_user_0, some_item_idx_for_user_0), ...],
    [(some_rating_of_user_1, some_item_idx_for_user_1), ...],
    ...
]
```

localhost:6419 4/8

After the model is fitted, you can use the 'predict' method, which returns the predicted ratings for each item as a numpy array. However, to use this method, you need to pass user preferences (ratings) to the predict method. The user preference is a list that should contain items that the user has already rated, formatted as follows:

The prediction process combines baseline estimates with weighted average of ratings based on its centred cosine similarities. This formula is used:

$$r_{xi} = b_{xi} + \frac{\sum_{j \in N(i;x)} S_{ij} \cdot (r_{xj} - b_{xj})}{\sum_{j \in N(i;x)} S_{ij}}$$
baseline estimate for  $r_{xi}$ 

$$b_{xi} = \mu + b_x + b_i$$

$$\mu = \text{overall mean movie rating}$$

$$b_x = \text{rating deviation of user } x$$

$$= (avg. \ rating \ of \ user \ x) - \mu$$

$$b_i = \text{rating deviation of movie } i$$

#### Where:

- r<sub>xi</sub> is rating prediction for item 'i' by user 'x'.
- $N_{(i;x)}$  is items that similar to item 'i' and rated by user 'x'
- s<sub>ii</sub> is centered cosine similarity

# Model Advantages and Disadvantages

#### Advantages:

- 1. Utilizes item-to-item collaborative filtering, capturing item similarities.
- 2. Incorporates baseline estimates for improved prediction rating.

#### Disadvantages:

1. Only use information about the user's preferences but not information about user themself.

localhost:6419 5/8

# **Training Process**

In fact, there is no training process for this model. But there is fitting process that similar to training. During fitting, model does the following:

#### 1. Initialize Data Structures

- The method initializes two main data structures: user\_items\_list and item\_users\_list.
- user\_items\_list is a list of lists, where each inner list contains tuples representing the ratings given by a user to different items.
- item\_users\_list is initialized as an empty list for each item. It will be populated with tuples representing the ratings given to that item by different users.

### 2. Calculating user-item interaction matrix

mtr : A 2D array representing the user-item interaction matrix

# 3. Calculating some values that will be used when we will calculate baseline estimation

- mu : overall mean rating
- self.mean\_items\_rating: list that contains mean rating for each item

#### 4. Build matrix user-item interaction that

• It updates the user-item interaction matrix ( mtr ) by subtracting the mean rating from each rating to center the values.

#### 5. Fit k-Nearest Neighbors Model

- The method uses the scikit-learn NearestNeighbors class to fit the k-Nearest Neighbors model.
- It uses the user-item interaction matrix ( mtr ) and cosine similarity as the metric.

## 6. Precalculate Neighbors

• The method calls a precalc\_neighbors function to calculate and store the nearest neighbors for each item along with their distances.


localhost:6419 6/8

# **Evaluation**

The evaluation of the model is based on Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE). A function, calc\_avg\_RMSE, is defined to calculate the average RMSE for a given set of test data. The report evaluates the model's performance using different numbers of neighbors, ranging from 1 to 1682. Where 1682 is number of all different items.

## Results

The evaluation the model's performance using different numbers of neighbors:



#### Conclusion

Based on the graph above, we can make three observations:

- 1. The more the model knows about user preferences, the better it predicts ratings (Obviously)
- 2. model works better when number of neighbours is maximum possible value.
- 3. strange rapid growth of the RMSE for the small number of neighbours.

localhost:6419 7/8

From the second and third observations, we can conclude that there is no strong correlation between items. Therefore, it is important for models to gather information about as many items as possible so that the weighted average formula calculates predictions more accurately.

localhost:6419 8/8