

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR ESCAMBIA COUNTY,
FLORIDA**

DEEPGULF, INC. and
TOKE OIL AND GAS, S.A.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MARC M. MOSZKOWSKI

Defendant.

Case No.: 2018 CA 000543

Division: "E"

**NOTICE OF FILING DECLARATION REGARDING CONSTITUTIONAL
STANDING AND DEFENDANT'S MORAL ALLEGIANCE TO FIRST
AMENDMENT PRINCIPLES**

Defendant, Marc Moszkowski, hereby gives notice that he is filing the attached Declaration titled:

**"Declaration Regarding Constitutional Standing and Defendant's
Moral Allegiance to First Amendment Principles."**

This Declaration is submitted not as legal argument, but as a principled expression of Defendant's enduring commitment to procedural integrity, constitutional access, and freedom of expression in the context of this litigation.

Respectfully submitted on this 8th day of May, 2025.

Marc Moszkowski, Pro Se
Email: m.moszkowski@deepgulf.net
Le Verdos
83300 Châteaudouble, France



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 8th day of May, 2025, a copy of this Motion has been furnished to Braden K. Ball, Jr., attorney for the plaintiffs, through the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal.



**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR ESCAMBIA COUNTY,
FLORIDA**

DEEPGULF, INC. and
TOKE OIL AND GAS, S.A.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MARC M. MOSZKOWSKI

Defendant.

Case No.: 2018 CA 000543

Division: "E"

**DECLARATION REGARDING CONSTITUTIONAL STANDING AND
DEFENDANT'S MORAL ALLEGIANCE TO FIRST AMENDMENT
PRINCIPLES**

Defendant, Marc Moszkowski, respectfully submits this personal declaration not as argument but as a statement of principle, context, and identity:

1. Though I hold a French passport (and no other), and reside in France by necessity — not by choice — I consider my moral and intellectual allegiance to belong unequivocally to the foundational values of the United States Constitution, and above all, to the First Amendment.
2. That single sentence — so brief and so clear — has no equivalent anywhere else on Earth — to the point that most don't even grasp its

simple significance. It is the clause that entitles me, even as a non-citizen, to speak in my own defense. It is the reason I have been able to litigate this case as a pro se party, and it is the only remaining channel through which my voice may reach an impartial listener.

3. Were it not for my encounter in 2004 with Plaintiff's principal, whose inaction ultimately drove me out of the United States in 2017, I would be an American citizen by now. I first arrived in 1998 and had built a successful and principled career in the United States, which was destined to flourish later in East Timor, before sacrificing years of time and resources for the sake of DeepGulf, Inc.
4. My current residence in France is a consequence of that encounter — not the result of choice. In France, the system forbade me from speaking on my own behalf; I lost by default against the same clique of opponents, unheard, unrepresented, and procedurally excluded.
5. In the United States, by contrast, I am permitted to speak, though I have apparently not been heard yet, except when I expended all my resources on representation and summarily defeated my opponents in Federal Court, not four blocks from this Courthouse. Regrettably, the bankrupting expense proved later to have been in vain when the case was remanded to this Court under fraudulent guise.

6. This distinction may not be fully appreciated by the Court or by Plaintiffs. In particular, it appears that the latter may mistake the legal right to speak for an inconvenience to be circumvented — and interpret procedural protections as obstacles rather than principles. I write now to affirm that this misunderstanding is not American in spirit or in law.
7. It is especially for this reason that I was particularly dismayed to read in Plaintiff's early filings that I had "*absconded*". It was not only false, but morally grotesque. I did not flee, I was forcefully driven out by engineered legal constraint. And I remain, even now, committed to the core values of the very country whose Courts are now being used to silence and erase the record I have built at great personal cost.
8. If perchance this case were allowed to end without acknowledgment of the facts I have laid profusely before the Court, then I could not, in good conscience, let it rest. I would be left with no ethical alternative but to continue seeking, wherever possible, a forum in which the principles at stake carry meaning. I do not seek revenge, I seek recognition that freedom of speech includes the chance to be heard — even, and especially, for those who lack the credentials of title, citizenship, or wealth. I fully understand that the pressures on judicial

resources — particularly in divisions not sufficiently staffed — may limit the Court's capacity to engage deeply with every case, given strict timeframes imposed from afar. But such limitations, while fully acknowledged, do not erase the need for fair balance, nor do they absolve the system from its constitutional responsibilities.

Respectfully submitted on this 8th day of May, 2025.

Marc Moszkowski, Pro Se
Email: m.moszkowski@deepgulf.net
Le Verdos
83300 Châteaudouble, France



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 8th day of May, 2025, a copy of this Motion has been furnished to Braden K. Ball, Jr., attorney for the plaintiffs, through the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal.

