

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR ESCAMBIA COUNTY,
FLORIDA**

DEEPGULF, INC. and
TOKE OIL AND GAS, S.A.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MARC M. MOSZKOWSKI

Defendant.

Case No.: 2018 CA 000543

Division: "E"

**DEFENDANT’S NOTICE OF FILING REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION
REGARDING MEDIATION AND TRIAL ATTENDANCE REQUIREMENTS**

COMES NOW the Defendant, Marc Moszkowski, and hereby gives notice that he is filing the attached document titled:

**“Request for Clarification Regarding Mediation and Trial Attendance
Requirements”**

This Request is submitted in good faith to seek the Court’s explanation as to the rationale for requiring mediation without acknowledgment of the Defendant’s filings, and for mandating in-person appearance at trial despite documented medical, logistical, and financial impossibility.

Respectfully submitted on this 19th day of May, 2025.

Marc Moszkowski, Pro Se
Email: m.moszkowski@deepgulf.net
Le Verdos
83300 Châteaudouble, France



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 19th day of May, 2025, a copy of this Notice has been furnished to Braden K. Ball, Jr., attorney for the plaintiffs, through the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal.



**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR ESCAMBIA COUNTY,
FLORIDA**

DEEPGULF, INC. and
TOKE OIL AND GAS, S.A.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

MARC M. MOSZKOWSKI

Defendant.

Case No.: 2018 CA 000543

Division: "E"

**REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION REGARDING MEDIATION AND TRIAL
ATTENDANCE REQUIREMENTS**

COMES NOW the Defendant, Marc Moszkowski, and respectfully requests clarification from this Court on two procedural matters which substantially affect Defendant's ability to participate in this litigation in a meaningful, lawful, and humane manner.

1. COURT-ORDERED MEDIATION:

Defendant notes that the Court has mandated participation in mediation despite the fact that approximately seventy (70) to eighty (80) of Defendant's filings remain wholly unaddressed—both by Plaintiffs and by the Court itself. These include motions,

declarations, timelines, documentary exhibits, and dispositive arguments filed over the span of several years.

Defendant respectfully asks whether mediation, under such circumstances, can be considered viable or fair—when one party’s entire factual and evidentiary case has been neither acknowledged nor engaged with. Clarification is respectfully sought as to the rationale for proceeding to a mediated stage without procedural parity or prior judicial engagement with the record.

2. IN-PERSON ATTENDANCE AT PRE-TRIAL AND TRIAL:

Despite repeated and documented explanations of Defendant’s physical incapacity to travel—including two diagnosed and worsening abdominal hernias for which surgery has long been unavailable due to geographic isolation—this Court has not authorized remote appearance, though such accommodations are permissible under Florida law and widely applied in cases of medical hardship.

In addition to this medical condition, Defendant states truthfully that he is more than destitute: he currently resides in a remote rural location without access to even basic sanitation, and survives

under conditions incompatible with international travel or sustained absence. To require in-person appearance in these circumstances is, respectfully, to require the impossible.

In Defendant's humble opinion, such adamant insistence on not only the impossible, but also the frivolous, strongly suggests that Plaintiff's intransigence in seeking to compel his physical appearance in a country that is not his own—where recent judicial experience has demonstrated he cannot expect much fairness—may be driven by an ulterior motive. Defendant fears that such insistence may conceal a hidden agenda, potentially involving bodily harm or false incarceration, motivated by the unreasonable hatred exhibited by Plaintiff's principals.

Furthermore, Defendant respectfully notes that should he prevail at trial—as the factual record justifies—and should Plaintiffs' claims be exposed for what they are, such outcome would almost certainly result in immediate and harsh retaliation from parties whose behavior and filings already reflect instability and hostility.

Defendant respectfully requests clarification from the Court as to why such accommodations have been denied, and whether the Court would consider alternative mechanisms, such as remote

appearance by Zoom, telephonic argument, or adjudication on the written record.

This request is made in good faith and not for delay. Defendant seeks only to understand the governing rationale behind these rulings, and to reaffirm his continued willingness to participate fully and respectfully within the limits imposed by physical condition and economic survival.

Respectfully submitted on this 19th day of May, 2025.

Marc Moszkowski, Pro Se
Email: m.moszkowski@deepgulf.net
Le Verdos
83300 Châteaudouble, France



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 19th day of May, 2025, a copy of this Request has been furnished to Braden K. Ball, Jr., attorney for the plaintiffs, through the Florida Courts E-Filing Portal.

