State-of-the-Art in Visual Cortical Prostheses: Technological Advances and Future Directions

Marc J. Posthuma
Student Number: 4413105
marc.posthuma@ru.nl

Supervisor: Prof. dr. R.J.A. van Wezel
Department: Neurobiology, Donders Centre for Neuroscience

May 17, 2024

Abstract

Abstract: Visual cortical prostheses represent a revolutionary technology within the field of neuroprosthetics, aimed at restoring vision for individuals with visual impairments through direct neural interfaces. This review systematically explores the current capabilities, limitations, and future prospects of visual cortical prostheses, with a focus on the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) to enhance functionality and effectiveness. Key topics include the optimization of phosphene patterns, real-time image processing, and comparisons with other types of prosthetic devices. The goal is to provide a comprehensive overview of the state-of-the-art in visual cortical prostheses and propose future research directions.

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The field of neuroprosthetics has witnessed remarkable progress, particularly with the advent of visual cortical prostheses. These advanced devices offer hope for restoring vision in individuals with severe visual impairments by interfacing directly with the brain's visual cortex. Visual cortical prostheses work by converting visual information from the external environment into neural signals that the brain can process, effectively bypassing damaged visual pathways. The core technology involves the generation of phosphenes—perceived spots of light resulting from electrical stimulation of the visual cortex [1]. However, organizing these phosphenes into coherent and interpretable visual patterns remains a significant challenge [2].

AI has emerged as a pivotal element in enhancing these prosthetic systems. By leveraging sophisticated algorithms, AI can optimize stimulation patterns to create more naturalistic visual experiences for users [3]. AI's role extends to real-time image processing, allowing the prosthesis to adapt to varying visual environments and tasks [4]. This capability is crucial for developing prosthetic systems that closely mimic natural vision, providing users with more effective and adaptable solutions. The integration of AI not only improves the functionality of these devices but also opens new avenues for innovation in how visual information is processed and perceived [5].

This review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of visual cortical prostheses, focusing on the role of AI in advancing these prosthetics. By examining current capabilities, identifying limitations, and proposing future research directions, this work seeks to contribute to the ongoing development of more effective and user-friendly visual prosthetic systems. Combining technological innovation with neuroscientific insights has the profound potential to enhance the quality of life for individuals with visual impairments.

1.2 Research Question

This review addresses the following questions:

- How is AI leveraged to enhance visual prostheses, particularly in optimizing phosphene patterns and real-time image processing [6]?
- How do visual cortical prostheses compare with other types of prosthetic devices [7]?
- What are the functional differences between AI-enhanced prosthetic vision and natural visual processing within the human brain?

2 Technological Advances

This section covers recent technological advancements in visual cortical prostheses, including hardware and software innovations.

Recent years have seen significant progress in the development of visual cortical prostheses, driven by advancements in both hardware and software systems. These innovations are pivotal in enhancing the functionality, efficiency, and user experience of these devices.

One major area of advancement is in electrode design and fabrication. Traditional electrodes have been limited by issues such as biocompatibility, stability, and the ability to generate precise neural stimulation. Recent studies have introduced novel materials and fabrication techniques that significantly improve these aspects. For instance, the development of flexible and biocompatible electrodes allows for better integration with neural tissue, reducing the risk of damage and increasing the longevity of the implants [8]. Furthermore, advances in microfabrication have enabled the creation of high-density electrode arrays that can stimulate the visual cortex with greater precision, offering the potential for more detailed and coherent visual experiences [9].

On the software side, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) has revolutionized the way visual information is processed and interpreted by prosthetic systems. AI algorithms, particularly those based on deep learning, have been employed to optimize stimulation patterns and enhance image processing capabilities. These algorithms can learn from vast amounts of data to improve the accuracy and efficiency of visual signal conversion, making the visual experiences more naturalistic and adaptable to different environments [10].

Another significant advancement is the implementation of closed-loop systems in visual cortical prostheses. These systems continuously monitor neural feedback to adjust stimulation parameters in real-time, thereby enhancing the precision and effectiveness of visual restoration. Closed-loop systems mimic the natural feedback mechanisms of the human visual system, providing a more responsive and user-friendly experience. Recent research has demonstrated the efficacy of these systems in improving the visual outcomes for users, as they can dynamically adapt to changes in the environment and the user's neural responses [11].

Additionally, innovations in wireless technology have enabled the development of untethered visual cortical prostheses. Wireless systems eliminate the need for external wires, which not only improves the comfort and aesthetics of the prostheses but also reduces the risk of infections and mechanical failures. Advancements in wireless power transfer and data communication have made it possible to deliver sufficient power and high-fidelity signals to the implants, ensuring reliable and efficient operation [12].

The integration of multi-modal sensory input is another promising development in this field. By incorporating inputs from other senses, such as auditory or tactile feedback, visual cortical prostheses can provide a more holistic sensory experience. This multi-modal approach leverages the brain's ability to integrate information from different sensory modalities, potentially enhancing the overall perceptual experience and aiding in the interpretation of visual scenes [13].

In conclusion, the technological advancements in electrode design, microfabrication, artificial intelligence, closed-loop systems, wireless technology, and multi-modal sensory integration are significantly advancing the field of visual cortical prostheses. These innovations are crucial for developing more effective, reliable, and user-friendly devices that can better restore vision for individuals with severe visual impairments. Continued research and development in these areas promise to further enhance the capabilities and accessibility of visual cortical prostheses, paving the way for their widespread clinical application.

3 AI Integration

Discusses the role of AI in processing and enhancing visual data, optimizing phosphene patterns, and emulating normal brain processing.

4 Comparison with Natural Systems

Explores the differences in processing between prosthetic and natural vision and how these differences impact user experience.

5 Limitations and Challenges

Details current drawbacks, biocompatibility issues, and areas requiring improvement in visual cortical prosthesis technology.

6 Articles Analysis

Analyzes key articles related to the topic, summarizing their contributions to understanding visual cortical prostheses.

6.1 Article 1

"Towards biologically plausible phosphene simulation for the differentiable optimization of visual cortical prostheses" [1].

6.2 Article 2

"New Vision for Visual Prostheses" [6].

6.3 Article 3

"Toward a personalized closed-loop stimulation of the visual cortex: Advances and challenges" [7].

7 Objective

Provides a comprehensive overview of the current state and future potential of visual cortical prostheses, highlighting technological capabilities, AI integration, and challenges.

8 Strategy to Compose Relevant Literature

Describes the strategy for compiling and analyzing relevant literature, using categories like Technological Advances, AI Integration, Comparison with Natural Systems, and Limitations and Challenges.

9 Conclusion

Summarizes the key findings of the review and proposes future research directions.

References

[1] M. van der Grinten, J. de Ruyter van Steveninck, A. Lozano, *et al.*, "Towards biologically plausible phosphene simulation for the differentiable optimization of visual cortical prostheses," *eLife*, vol. 13, C. I. Baker and M. P. Barry, Eds., e85812, Feb. 22, 2024, ISSN: 2050-084X. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.85812. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.85812 (visited on 05/11/2024).

[2] L. B. Merabet, J. F. Rizzo, A. Amedi, D. C. Somers, and A. Pascual-Leone, "What blindness can tell us about seeing again: Merging neuroplasticity and neuroprostheses," *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 71–77, Jan. 2005, ISSN: 1471-0048. DOI: 10.1038/nrn1586. [Online]. Available: https://www.nature.com/articles/nrn1586 (visited on 05/17/2024).

[3] N. Kriegeskorte, "Deep Neural Networks: A New Framework for Modeling Biological Vision and Brain Information Processing," *Annual Review of Vision Science*, vol. 1, pp. 417–446, Volume 1, 2015 Nov. 24, 2015, ISSN: 2374-4642, 2374-4650. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-vision-082114-035447. [Online]. Available: https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-vision-082114-035447 (visited on 05/17/2024).

[4] A. H. Marblestone, G. Wayne, and K. P. Kording, "Toward an Integration of Deep Learning and Neuroscience," *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, vol. 10, Sep. 14, 2016, ISSN: 1662-5188. DOI: 10.3389/fncom.2016.00094. [Online]. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fncom.2016.00094 (visited on 05/17/2024).

[5] C. Galletti, M. Gamberini, D. F. Kutz, P. Fattori, G. Luppino, and M. Matelli, "The cortical connections of area V6: An occipito-parietal network processing visual information," *European Journal of Neuroscience*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 1572–1588, 2001, ISSN: 1460-9568. DOI: 10.1046/j.0953-816x.2001.01538.x. [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1046/j.0953-816x.2001.01538.x (visited on 05/17/2024).

[6] A. Farnum and G. Pelled, "New Vision for Visual Prostheses," *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, vol. 14, Feb. 18, 2020, ISSN: 1662-453X. DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2020.00036. [Online]. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2020.00036/full (visited on 05/14/2024).

[7] F. Grani, C. Soto-Sánchez, A. Fimia, and E. Fernández, "Toward a personalized closed-loop stimulation of the visual cortex: Advances and challenges," *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, vol. 16, Dec. 13, 2022, ISSN: 1662-5102. DOI: 10.3389/fncel.2022.1034270. [Online]. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fncel.2022.1034270 (visited on 05/14/2024).

[8] Z. Xiang, J. Liu, and C. Lee, "A flexible three-dimensional electrode mesh: An enabling technology for wireless brain–computer interface prostheses," *Microsystems & Nanoengineering*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1–8, May 23, 2016, ISSN: 2055-7434. DOI: 10.1038/micronano.2016.12. [Online]. Available: https://www.nature.com/articles/micronano201612 (visited on 05/17/2024).

[9] S. B. Ryu, A. C. Paulk, J. C. Yang, *et al.*, "Spatially confined responses of mouse visual cortex to intracortical magnetic stimulation from micro-coils," *Journal of Neural Engineering*, vol. 17, no. 5, p. 056 036, Oct. 2020, ISSN: 1741-2552. DOI: 10.1088/1741-2552/abbd22. [Online]. Available: https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/abbd22 (visited on 05/17/2024).

[10] S. Romeni, D. Zoccolan, and S. Micera, "A machine learning framework to optimize optic nerve electrical stimulation for vision restoration," *Patterns*, vol. 2, no. 7, p. 100 286, Jul. 2021, ISSN: 26663899. DOI: 10.1016/j.patter.2021.100286. [Online]. Available: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2666389921001197 (visited on 05/17/2024).

[11] T. Levi, P. Bonifazi, P. Massobrio, and M. Chiappalone, "Editorial: Closed-Loop Systems for Next-Generation Neuroprostheses," *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, vol. 12, Feb. 12, 2018, ISSN: 1662-453X. DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00026. [Online]. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2018.00026/full (visited on 05/17/2024).

[12] J. V. Rosenfeld, Y. T. Wong, E. Yan, *et al.*, "Tissue response to a chronically implantable wireless intracortical visual prosthesis (Gennaris array)," *Journal of Neural Engineering*, vol. 17, no. 4, p. 046 001, Jul. 2020, ISSN: 1741-2552. DOI: `10.1088/1741-2552/ab9e1c`. [Online]. Available: `https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1741-2552/ab9e1c` (visited on 05/17/2024).

[13] C. Wan, P. Cai, X. Guo, *et al.*, "An artificial sensory neuron with visual-haptic fusion," *Nature Communications*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 4602, Sep. 14, 2020, ISSN: 2041-1723. DOI: `10.1038/s41467-020-18375-y`. [Online]. Available: `https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-020-18375-y` (visited on 05/17/2024).