

Meeting Minute openETCS Project Cooperation Committee Meeting (PCC) 2013-04-14

Called up by: Dr. Klaus Ruediger Hase.

Minutes by: Bernd Hekele

Participants:

PCC-Team, Klaus-Ruediger Hase (DB-Netz), Marc Behrens (DLR), Cyril Cornu (All4Tec), Gilles Dalmas (SNCF), Frank Golasowski (Uni Rostock), Bernd Hekele (DB-Netz), Baseliyos Jacob (DB-Netz), Michael Jastram (FormalMind), Maximilian Koegel (EclipseSource), Armand Nachef (CEA), Marc Pantel (INPT-IRIT), Merielle Petit-Doche (Systerel), Ralf Pinger (Siemens), Stan Pinte (ERTMS-Solutions), Merlin Pokam (AeBT), Michel Rousseau (Transport Alstom), Stefan Rieger (TWT), Joao Santos (Institute Telecom), Alexander Stante (Fraunhofer), Jan Welte (TU-BS), Giovanni Zanelli (GE Transportation)

Meeting Time: from 18:30h to: 19:30h

Type of conference: Meeting

Agenda

1. Approval of splitting WP3 (FPP v.2.2) into a new and smaller WP3 and a new WP7 (FPP v.3.0).
 2. Decision on Replanning Proposal
-

Discussion

1. Approval of splitting WP3 (FPP v.2.2) into a new and smaller WP3 and a new WP7 (FPP v.3.0).
Result: approved
2. Shifting of various deliverable according to the following options:

- Option 1: The end date of the project will not be extended and we keep it June 30, 2015 (default option; potentially ask later for an extension)
- Option 2: The end date of the project will be extended to Dec. 31, 2015 (+ 6 Month)
- Option 3: The end date of the project will be extended to June 30, 2016 (+12 Month)

No matter what option is intended to be chosen, the cost of the project will not change with respect to public funding .

Due to the importance of this decision we would like to have parties be present or represented by a proxy that represent at least 50project share, 30This decision requires a 75whose obligations are effected can veto this decision.

Parties who intend to veto this decision are requested to provide an alternative plan, which do not effect their overall cost by adapting their contributions within the new timeline.

Result:

All participants agreed a completion of the project as currently planned is not likely. However, there was no common agreement on the amount of extra time needed. Result of the vote on the topic:

Option 1: 8 votes

Option 2: 5 votes

Option 3: 5 votes.

Conclusion: with this result the status quo", which is option 1, is valid until a further formal request for changing the schedule is proposed.

Notes