1. proof: we will argue by contradiction.

suppose \vec{x}^* is an optimal solution of (P) that satisfies $g(\vec{x}^*) < 0$, but it is not an optimal solution of the problem.

min fix) Sit. ズe X

them we can find $\vec{y} \in X$ and $g(\vec{y}) > 0$. S.t. $f(\vec{y}) < f(\vec{x}^*)$

on the other hand, g is convex over \mathbb{R}^n , then we obtain g is continuous over \mathbb{R}^n . Since $g(\vec{x}^*)<0$ and $g(\vec{y})>0$, thus we then find. $\vec{z}\in(\vec{x}^*,\vec{y})$ sit.

g(2) = 0. -- 11)

Since X is convex, \vec{x}^* , $\vec{y} \in X$, then $(\vec{x}^*, \vec{y}) \in X$, i.e. $\vec{z} \in X$. Combining with (1), we further have $\vec{z} \in X \cap \{\vec{x} : g(\vec{x}) \le 0\}$. Without lose of generality, we can let

 $\vec{z} = \lambda \vec{x}^* + (1-\lambda) \vec{y}$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$ given $\vec{z} \in (\vec{x}^*, \vec{y})$. Then

 $f(\vec{z}) = f(\lambda \vec{x}^* + (1-\lambda)\vec{y}) \le \lambda f(\vec{x}^*) + (1-\lambda)f(\vec{y})$ $< \lambda f(\vec{x}^*) + (1-\lambda)f(\vec{x}^*)$ $= f(\vec{x}^*)$

this is a contradiction with \vec{x}^* is an optimal solution of (P). Thus, we conclude that \vec{x}_* is also an optimal solution of the problem.

min f(x)

Suppose
$$A\vec{x}^* + A\vec{y}^*$$
, then let $\vec{z} = \frac{\vec{x}^* + \vec{y}^*}{2}$ we have $h(\vec{z}) = f(A\frac{\vec{x}^* + \vec{y}^*}{2}) + g(\frac{\vec{x}^* + \vec{y}^*}{2})$

$$= f(\pm A\vec{x}^* + \pm A\vec{y}^*) + g(\pm \vec{x}^* + \pm \vec{y}^*)$$

$$< \pm f(A\vec{x}^*) + \pm f(A\vec{y}^*) + \pm g(\vec{x}^*) + \pm g(\vec{y}^*)$$

$$= \pm h(\vec{x}^*) + \pm h(\vec{y}^*)$$

this is a contradiction with \vec{x}^* , \vec{y}^* are optimal solutions. Thus, we have $A\vec{x}^* = A\vec{y}^*$.

3. First the gradient of the Huber function is.

$$\nabla H_{\lambda l}(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\vec{x}}{4}, & |\vec{x}| \leq 4. \\ \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|}, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Case I: \$\forall r, \forall e \{ \forall r : 11\forall 1 \is 4 \}, then

$$\|\nabla H_{u}(\vec{x}) - \nabla H_{u}(\vec{y})\| = \|\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{y}}{u}\| = \frac{1}{u} \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|$$

$$\|\nabla H_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) - \nabla H_{\alpha}(\vec{y})\| = \|\frac{\vec{x}}{\alpha} - \frac{\vec{y}}{\|\vec{y}\|}\|$$

Since
$$\|\vec{x} - \|\vec{y}\|\|^2 - |\vec{x}||\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|^2 = 1 - 2\frac{\vec{x}^T\vec{y}}{u_1\vec{y}_1} - \frac{1}{4^2}(|\vec{y}_1|^2 - 2\vec{x}^T\vec{y})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4^{2}} \left[4^{2} - 24 \cos \theta | |\vec{x}| | - |\vec{y}| |^{2} + 2 | |\vec{x}| | |\vec{y}| | \cos \theta \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4^{2}} \left(||\vec{y}|| - 4 \right) \left[- (||\vec{y}|| + 4) + 2 ||\vec{x}|| \cos \theta \right]$$

Thus 11 7 Ha (x) - 7 Ha (y) 11 < 1 11 x - y 11

$$\|\nabla H_{u}(\vec{x}) - \nabla H_{u}(\vec{y})\| = \|\frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} - \frac{\vec{y}}{u}\|$$

Similar as (ase I. We can show ||VH4 (x) - VH4(y)|| < 1/4 11 x-y11

Cose IV
$$\vec{x} \in \{\vec{z} : \|\vec{z}\| > \lambda_1\}$$
. $\vec{y} \in \{\vec{z} : \|\vec{z}\| > \lambda_1\}$, then.

$$\|\nabla H_{\lambda_1}(\vec{x}) - \nabla H_{\lambda_1}(\vec{y})\| = \|\frac{\vec{x}}{\|\vec{x}\|} - \frac{\vec{y}}{\|\vec{y}\|}\|$$

Since $\|\frac{\vec{x}}{\|\vec{x}\|} - \frac{\vec{y}}{\|\vec{y}\|}\|^2 - \frac{\vec{\lambda}_1}{\|\vec{x}\|\|\vec{y}\|} - \frac{\vec{\lambda}_2}{\|\vec{x}\|\|\vec{y}\|} - \frac{\vec{y}}{\|\vec{x}\|\|}^2 + \|\vec{y}\|^2 - 2\vec{x}^T\vec{y}$

$$= \frac{\vec{\lambda}_1}{\|\vec{x}\|\|} \left[2\vec{\lambda}_1^2 - \|\vec{x}\|^2 - \|\vec{y}\|^2 - 2\vec{\lambda}_1^2 \cos \theta + 2\|\vec{x}\|\|\vec{y}\|\|\sin \theta\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\vec{\lambda}_1}{\|\vec{x}\|\|} \left[2\vec{\lambda}_1^2 - \|\vec{x}\|^2 - \|\vec{y}\|^2 - 2\vec{\lambda}_1^2 \cos \theta + 2\|\vec{x}\|\|\vec{y}\|\|\sin \theta\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\vec{\lambda}_1}{\|\vec{x}\|\|} \left[2\vec{\lambda}_1^2 - \|\vec{x}\|^2 + \|\vec{y}\|^2 \right] + \cos \theta \left(\|\vec{x}\|^2 + \|\vec{y}\|^2 \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{\vec{\lambda}_1^2}{\|\vec{x}\|\|} \left[1 - \cos \theta \right] \left[2\vec{\lambda}_1^2 - \|\vec{x}\|^2 - \|\vec{y}\|^2 \right] < 0.$$

Thus 11 7 Ha (x) - 7 Ha (x) 11 5 2 11 x - 21

In conclusion, we have

11 THA (な)- THA(ば) 11 となりずり、 ヤガ,ずらほっ

- 4. For the proof of convexity of functions in this problem, we will use the following properties: 10 addition preserves convexity
 - 2) multiplication by a nonnegative scalar preserves convenity
 - 3 composition of a non-descreasing convex function with a convex function preserves convexity
- 1) composition of a convex function with an affine transformation. Inserves convexity Thus if we can rewrite the original problem into a new form by using the abone operations, then we can conclude the problem is convex. (i) The original problem can be rewritten as $\vec{x}^T A \vec{x} + \vec{b}^T \vec{x}$

s.t.
$$g(A_1\vec{x} + \vec{b}_1) + g_2(A_2\vec{x} + \vec{b}_2; A_3\vec{x}) - 6 \le 0$$

$$\begin{cases} (\chi_1) + \ge 0 \\ (\chi_2) + \ge 0 \\ (\chi_3) - 1 \ge 0 \end{cases}$$
where $\vec{x} = [\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3]^T$ and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{whose eigenvalues are } \lambda_1(A) = \frac{987}{2584} \quad \lambda_2(A) = 1. \quad \lambda_3(A) = \frac{2584}{987}$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

$$g_1(\vec{z}) = [|\vec{z}||^2] \quad \text{is a convex function.}$$

$$g_2(\vec{z}; y) = \frac{||\vec{z}||^2}{y}, \quad y > 0 \quad \text{is a convex function.}$$

$$(y) = y \quad \text{is an affine function.} \quad (\text{convex and concove.})$$

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{E}{2} & \frac{F_2}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b}_2 = 1, \quad A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
Thus, (i) is convex. based on properties $O\Phi$.

(ii) We first show that $2x_1^2 + 4x_1x_2 + 7x_2^2 + 10x_2 + 6 \ge 0$ $\forall x_1, x_2$.

$$\sin e \quad 2x_1^2 + 4x_1x_2 + 7x_2^2 + 10x_2 + 6$$

$$= 2(x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 + x_2^2 + \frac{5}{2}x_2^2 + 5x_2 + 3)$$

$$= 2 \begin{bmatrix} (x_1 + x_2)^2 + (\frac{75}{2}x_2 + \frac{15}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} > 0$$
Further the original problem can be rewritten as

Further the original problem can be rewritten as
$$\min_{\substack{m \text{in } f_1(A_1\vec{x}) + f_2(\vec{x}) + f_3(A_2\vec{x} + \vec{b}_2)}} \\ \text{s.t.} g_1(A_3\vec{x} + \vec{b}_3; A_4\vec{x}) + \vec{x}^T A_5\vec{x} - 7 \leq 0 \\ (|x_1| \geq 0) \\ (|x_2| - 1 \geq 0)$$

Where
$$\vec{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T$$
 and.

$$f_1(y) = |\vec{y}| \quad \text{is a convex function.} \quad A_1 = [2 \ 3 \ 1]$$

$$f_2(\vec{x}) = |\vec{x}||^2 \quad \text{is a convex function.}$$

$$f_3(\vec{z}) = |\vec{z}|| \quad \text{is a convex function.} \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\vec{x} = [x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3]^T$ and h, (y) = y2, y>0 is a non-descreasing convex function. $f_1(\vec{z}; y) = \frac{\|\vec{z}\|^2}{y}$, y > 0 is a convex function, $A_1 = [1, 0, 0]$, $A_2 = [0, 1, 0]$ $f_2(\vec{z}) = ||\vec{z}||_1$ is a convex function, $A_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ hz (y) = y2, y>0 is a nondescreasing convex function. $g_1(\vec{z}) = |\vec{z}|^2$, is a convex function. $A_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\vec{b}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $g_{2}(y) = y^{4}$ is a convex function. $A_{7} = [[100], A_{8} = [010], A_{9} = [001]$ ho (主) = max { 主} is a non-descreasing convex function. g(x)=[xTA10x, A11x, A12x] $A_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ whose eigenvalues are $\lambda_1(A_{10}) =$ $A_{11} = [1, 0, 0], A_{12} = [0, 1, 0]$ (ly)= y is an affine function. Thus the original problem is convex based on properties O(3).

Note: for simplicity of clarification, we always use $\vec{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T$ in the above analysis, but we can dynamicly change \vec{x} based on the form of the formula, see code implementation 5. proof: since \vec{x}^* is a stationary point. iff. $\nabla f(\vec{x}^*)^T(\vec{x} - \vec{x}^*) \geq 0$, $\forall \vec{x} \in C$, $C = \{\vec{x} : \vec{a}^T \vec{x} = 1, \vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n_{+t} \}$ Thus we only need to show. (Given at x = 1) $\nabla f(\vec{x}^*)^T(\vec{x} - \vec{x}^*) \ge 0$, $\forall \vec{x} \in C \iff \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(\vec{x}^*) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(\vec{x}^*) = \dots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(\vec{x}^*)$ "=" assume $\vec{a}^{T}\vec{x}^{*}=1$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}(\vec{x}^{*})=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}(\vec{x}^{*})=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}(\vec{x}^{*})$

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}^{*})^{T} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}^{*}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (x_{i} - x_{i}^{*})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (x_{i} - x_{i}^{*})$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} (x_{i} - x_{i}^{*})$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} (x_{i} - x_{i}^{*})$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (\vec{x}^{*} - \vec{x}^{*} \vec{x}^{*}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (1-1) = 0 \geqslant 0.$$

">" assume $\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}^* = 1$ and. $\nabla f(\vec{x}^*)^T (\vec{x} - \vec{x}^*) > 0$, $\forall \vec{x} \in C$.

we will use arguement by contradiction. Suppose there exist two different indices $i \neq j$, s.t. $\frac{2f}{0 \times i} (\vec{x}^*) > \frac{2f}{0 \times j} (\vec{x}^*)$

Denote the vector x & C as

$$\chi_{k} = \begin{cases} \chi_{k}^{*}, & k \neq i, j, \\ \chi_{i}^{*} - \frac{1}{a_{i}}, & k = i. \\ \chi_{j}^{*} + \frac{1}{a_{j}}, & k = j. \end{cases}$$

Then
$$\nabla f(\vec{x}^{*})^{T}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}^{*})$$

$$= a_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (x_{i} - x_{i}^{*}) + a_{j} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (x_{j} - x_{j}^{*})$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (a_{i}x_{i} - a_{i}x_{i}^{*}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (a_{j}x_{j} - a_{j}x_{j}^{*})$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (a_{i}x_{i} - a_{i}x_{i}^{*}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (a_{j}x_{j} - a_{j}x_{j}^{*})$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (a_{i}x_{i} - a_{i}x_{i}^{*}) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} (\vec{x}^{*}) (a_{j}x_{j} - a_{j}x_{j}^{*})$$

This is a contradition with $\nabla f(\vec{x}^*)^T (\vec{x} - \vec{x}^*) \ge 0$.

Thus we can worklude the statement in the problem. If

Where
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ -4 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$

Since the eigenvalues of A are. $\lambda_1(A) = \frac{511}{456}$, $\lambda_2(A) = \frac{2477}{740}$, $\lambda_3(A) = \frac{2189}{483}$. Thus the original problem is convex. Note that $\forall \vec{\chi} \in C = \{\vec{\chi} : \vec{\chi} = \vec{0}\}$.

let $\vec{\chi}^* = (\frac{17}{7}, 0, \frac{6}{7})^T$, then

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}^*)^{\mathsf{T}}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}^*) = (2A\vec{x}^* + \vec{b})^{\mathsf{T}}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}^*)$$

$$= \left(2\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} \frac{16}{7} \\ 0 \\ \frac{4}{7} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ -4 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}\begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \frac{17}{7} \\ x_2 \\ x_3 - \frac{6}{7} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{6}{7} & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} x_1 - \frac{17}{7} \\ x_2 \\ x_3 - \frac{6}{7} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{6}{7}x_2 \ge 0.$$

Thus \vec{x}^* is a stationary point. Since the optimization is a convex optimization problem, then \vec{x}^* is an optimal solution of (Q)

(ii) Since
$$||2A\vec{x} + \vec{b} - 2A\vec{y} - \vec{b}||$$

= $2||A\vec{x} - A\vec{y}||$
 $\leq 2||A|| ||\vec{x} - \vec{y}||$

Then
$$L = 2 ||A|| = \frac{1695}{187}$$

on the other hand, from the class we know that.

$$\vec{\mathcal{L}}(\vec{x}) = \vec{X}_{1+}, \text{ where } [x_{i}]_{+} = \begin{cases} x_{i}, & x_{i} \geq 0 \\ 0, & x_{i} < 0 \end{cases}$$