

ACCIDENT PREDICTION IN AVIATION USING DEEP LEARNING

CASE STUDY REPORT

AIT401

*Case Study Report submitted in partial fulfillment for the award of the degree of
B.Tech Computer Science and Engineering (Artificial Intelligence)*

Submitted by

JAYASANKAR K S (REG No: MUT22CA038)
ROBBY R THOMAS (REG No: MUT22CA057)
ROOPIKA BIJU (REG No: MUT22CA059)



Muthoot
Institute of Technology & Science

DEPARTMENT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND DATA
SCIENCE

(Affiliated to APJ Abdul Kalam Technological University)

October 2025



Varikoli P.O., Puthencruz-682308

DEPARTMENT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND DATA
SCIENCE

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the case study report entitled “**ACCIDENT PREDICTION IN AVIATION USING DEEP LEARNING**” submitted by **JAYASANKAR K S (Reg No: MUT22CA038)**, **ROBBY R THOMAS (Reg No: MUT22CA057)**, **ROOPIKA BIJU (Reg No: MUT22CA059)** of Semester VII is a bonafide account of the work done by them under our supervision during the academic year 2024-25.

Faculty

Ms. Geethu Gopan

Asst. Professor, Dept. of AI&DS

Head of Department

Dr. Sumam Mary Idicula

Professor, Dept. of AI&DS

Contents

1	Abstract	3
2	Introduction	4
2.1	Background	4
2.2	Scope	4
2.3	Objectives	5
2.4	Significance	5
3	Case Description	6
3.1	Situation Details	6
3.2	Facts	6
3.3	Context	7
3.4	Stakeholders	7
4	Problem Statement	8
4.1	Main Issues	8
4.2	Sub-Problems	8
4.2.1	Data Quality and Preprocessing	8
4.2.2	Feature Selection and Engineering	9
4.2.3	Model Complexity and Overfitting	9
4.2.4	Evaluation and Interpretability	9
4.2.5	Operational Deployment	9
5	Analysis & Discussion	10
5.1	Theoretical Framework	10
5.2	Model Development and Data Analysis	10
5.3	Model Training and Evaluation	10
5.4	Discussion of Findings	11
6	Findings	12
6.1	Overview of Key Insights	12
6.2	Predictive Performance	12

6.3	Feature Importance	12
6.4	Implications for Stakeholders	13
6.5	Evidence-Based Conclusions	13
7	Recommendations	14
7.1	Alternative Solutions	14
7.1.1	Traditional Statistical Models	14
7.1.2	Ensemble Machine Learning Methods	14
7.1.3	Rule-Based Expert Systems	14
7.2	Best Solution: Deep Learning-Based Predictive Model	15
7.3	Justification	15
7.4	Implementation Recommendations	15
8	Implementation Plan	16
8.1	Implementation Steps	16
8.2	Timeline	17
8.3	Resources Required	17
9	Pseudo Code of the Proposed System	18
9.1	Overview	18
9.2	Pseudo Code	18
10	Conclusion	20
10.1	Summary of Issues	20
10.2	Summary of Solutions	20
10.3	Lessons Learned	20
11	References	21

Chapter 1

Abstract

The aviation industry has witnessed tremendous technological advancements over the past decades, resulting in increased efficiency and safety. However, aviation accidents, although rare, continue to have devastating consequences in terms of human lives, financial loss, and reputational damage to airlines and regulatory bodies. Traditional accident prediction and prevention methods rely heavily on statistical analysis and post-event investigation, which often fail to provide real-time or proactive insights. The dynamic and multifactorial nature of aviation systems—where weather, human performance, technical reliability, and operational procedures interact—demands more intelligent and adaptive approaches to accident prediction.

Deep learning, a subfield of artificial intelligence, offers a powerful framework for modeling such complex interactions. With its ability to automatically extract hierarchical features from large and diverse datasets, deep learning can uncover hidden patterns and correlations that traditional methods may overlook. This case study explores the use of deep learning techniques in aviation accident prediction, highlighting how models trained on historical flight data, sensor readings, weather reports, and maintenance logs can anticipate risks and generate early warning signals. By leveraging deep neural networks, it becomes possible to develop predictive systems that support decision-making for pilots, air traffic controllers, and airline safety managers.

The purpose of this report is to examine the feasibility, methodology, and implications of applying deep learning in aviation safety management. The study emphasizes the potential of predictive models to shift the industry paradigm from reactive accident investigation toward proactive accident prevention. Such an approach not only enhances operational safety but also fosters trust in aviation systems, which is vital given the projected growth of global air travel. Ultimately, the integration of deep learning in accident prediction represents a significant step forward in building a safer and more resilient aviation ecosystem.

Chapter 2

Introduction

2.1 Background

The aviation industry plays a pivotal role in global connectivity, commerce, and economic development. Over the past century, aircraft safety has improved significantly due to technological innovations in navigation, communication, and materials science. Despite these advancements, aviation accidents, although statistically rare, continue to pose a critical challenge because of their catastrophic consequences. Traditional safety approaches rely on statistical methods, accident investigation reports, and regulatory interventions. While these methods have proven useful, they are often limited in capturing the complex and dynamic interplay of factors such as weather conditions, human error, equipment malfunction, and maintenance issues. The growing availability of large-scale datasets—flight records, air traffic logs, meteorological reports, and aircraft sensor data—provides an opportunity to apply artificial intelligence techniques for deeper insights. In this context, deep learning emerges as a powerful tool capable of detecting hidden patterns and predicting potential risks before they escalate into accidents. By modeling non-linear relationships and continuously learning from historical and real-time data, deep learning has the potential to transform aviation safety from a reactive to a proactive paradigm.

2.2 Scope

The scope of this study is limited to exploring the application of deep learning models for accident prediction in aviation. It does not involve the physical implementation of predictive systems in aircraft but focuses on reviewing available datasets, and methodologies. The study emphasizes commercial aviation while also considering insights from general aviation when relevant. Key areas covered include data preprocessing, feature extraction, model training, and evaluation techniques. By narrowing the scope to deep learning-based predictive models, the study provides a focused perspective without diluting attention across broader AI applications in aviation.

2.3 Objectives

The primary objective of this case study is to explore how deep learning methods can be applied to predict accidents in aviation. More specifically, the study aims to:

- Investigate the suitability of deep neural networks for analyzing aviation-related datasets.
- Identify key factors that contribute to accident prediction, such as environmental, technical, and human-related variables.
- Develop a conceptual framework that demonstrates how predictive models can assist pilots, engineers, and safety managers in decision-making.
- Evaluate the potential benefits and limitations of deep learning models in real-world aviation scenarios.

These objectives provide a structured pathway toward understanding the feasibility and practical impact of integrating artificial intelligence into aviation safety management systems.

2.4 Significance

The significance of this study lies in its potential to advance aviation safety through the use of cutting-edge technologies. Predictive models based on deep learning can enable early detection of anomalies and risks, thereby reducing the probability of accidents. For airlines, this translates into reduced financial losses, fewer service disruptions, and enhanced operational efficiency. For passengers, it strengthens trust in the reliability of air travel. From a research standpoint, the study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on the use of AI in safety-critical domains, bridging the gap between theoretical models and practical applications. Furthermore, the outcomes of this study align with global initiatives aimed at making aviation more resilient in the face of increasing air traffic and climate uncertainties. Ultimately, the findings underscore the transformative potential of deep learning in shaping a safer, smarter, and more sustainable aviation ecosystem.

Chapter 3

Case Description

3.1 Situation Details

Aviation accidents, while statistically infrequent, have far-reaching consequences that necessitate proactive safety measures. The case under study focuses on commercial and general aviation incidents reported over the past two decades. These incidents encompass a wide range of events, including mechanical failures, pilot errors, adverse weather conditions, and operational lapses. The central situation involves predicting the likelihood of a fatal or serious accident for a given flight using historical flight data, aircraft characteristics, and environmental conditions. The challenge lies in analyzing vast, heterogeneous datasets that include categorical, numerical, and temporal information while identifying patterns that could indicate a potential accident. The purpose of the case is to evaluate whether deep learning models can successfully process this complex information and generate actionable predictions.

3.2 Facts

The data used in this study is derived from aviation safety databases, which include detailed accident reports, aircraft specifications, flight phases, weather conditions, and human factors such as pilot experience and operational decisions. Each record contains multiple variables, such as aircraft make and model, flight phase during the incident, weather conditions, total occupants, and injury severity. The critical fact is that the target variable is binary—whether the accident resulted in a fatality or not—making it suitable for classification using deep learning. Additionally, the dataset exhibits common real-world challenges such as missing values, categorical features with high cardinality, and skewed class distributions. These facts establish the technical and analytical complexity of the situation, emphasizing the need for careful data preprocessing, feature engineering, and model validation.

3.3 Context

The broader context of this case study is the aviation industry's ongoing efforts to enhance safety through predictive analytics. With the global air traffic expected to increase significantly in the next decade, regulatory authorities and airlines face mounting pressure to mitigate risks. Deep learning provides a promising avenue to transform historical accident data into predictive intelligence. By situating the study within the operational, regulatory, and technological context of aviation, the case highlights the potential impact of predictive models on real-world safety outcomes. This context underscores the importance of leveraging AI not merely as an analytical tool but as an integral part of the aviation safety ecosystem.

3.4 Stakeholders

The primary stakeholders in this case include pilots, airline operators, air traffic controllers, regulatory bodies, and passengers. Pilots and airline operators can use predictive insights to make informed operational decisions, such as adjusting flight paths or scheduling preventive maintenance. Air traffic controllers benefit from enhanced situational awareness and risk assessment for flights under their supervision. Regulatory authorities can incorporate model predictions into safety audits and policy-making. Finally, passengers indirectly benefit from safer travel and improved trust in aviation services. The case study also addresses the research community as a stakeholder, as it contributes to the development and evaluation of AI-based predictive models in high-stakes, safety-critical domains.

Chapter 4

Problem Statement

4.1 Main Issues

Aviation accident prediction is a highly complex and multifactorial problem. The primary issue lies in the ability to accurately forecast potential accidents before they occur, given the diverse and nonlinear interactions between human, technical, and environmental factors. Human error, such as pilot fatigue, misjudgment, or procedural lapses, remains one of the leading contributors to aviation accidents. Simultaneously, technical failures, including engine malfunctions, system breakdowns, or improper maintenance, can significantly increase risk. Environmental factors, such as sudden changes in weather, turbulence, or visibility, further complicate prediction efforts. These factors are often interdependent, making it difficult to isolate causal relationships using traditional statistical models. Additionally, aviation datasets are typically large, heterogeneous, and sometimes incomplete, which adds another layer of complexity to predictive modeling. Developing a robust predictive system capable of handling these challenges is the core issue addressed in this study.

4.2 Sub-Problems

The main problem can be decomposed into several sub-problems that must be addressed to achieve effective accident prediction:

4.2.1 Data Quality and Preprocessing

One of the critical challenges is handling missing values, inconsistencies, and categorical variables within aviation datasets. Certain features, such as pilot experience or weather conditions, may have incomplete records, requiring careful imputation or encoding. Moreover, features often vary in scale and type, necessitating normalization and standardization before being used in deep learning models.

4.2.2 Feature Selection and Engineering

Identifying the most relevant predictors of aviation accidents is a non-trivial task. With numerous potential factors, including aircraft specifications, flight phase, weather conditions, and operational parameters, it is necessary to select and engineer features that capture the underlying risk patterns effectively. Irrelevant or redundant features can lead to overfitting and reduce model generalizability.

4.2.3 Model Complexity and Overfitting

Deep learning models are powerful but prone to overfitting, particularly when the dataset is imbalanced or limited in size. Ensuring the model generalizes well to unseen flights is essential for reliable accident prediction. This involves selecting an appropriate network architecture, tuning hyperparameters, and implementing regularization techniques such as dropout or early stopping.

4.2.4 Evaluation and Interpretability

Even if a model achieves high predictive accuracy, interpreting its decisions and understanding the contributing factors is crucial in a safety-critical domain like aviation. Stakeholders need actionable insights, not just predictions. Thus, balancing model performance with interpretability is a significant sub-problem that must be considered.

4.2.5 Operational Deployment

Finally, integrating the predictive system into real-world aviation operations presents logistical and ethical challenges. Predictions must be timely, actionable, and aligned with existing safety protocols to be effective without introducing unintended risks.

By addressing these main issues and sub-problems, this study aims to develop a structured and practical framework for aviation accident prediction using deep learning.

Chapter 5

Analysis & Discussion

5.1 Theoretical Framework

The analysis of aviation accident prediction in this case study is grounded in the principles of supervised machine learning, particularly deep learning for binary classification. Deep learning models, such as multilayer perceptrons (MLPs), convolutional neural networks (CNNs), and recurrent neural networks (RNNs), excel at capturing complex, nonlinear relationships within large datasets. In the context of aviation, these models can learn patterns from multiple features, including aircraft type, flight phase, weather conditions, and human factors, to predict the likelihood of a fatal or non-fatal incident. The theoretical advantage of deep learning over traditional statistical methods lies in its capacity for hierarchical feature extraction, automatic handling of high-dimensional data, and robust performance in scenarios with intricate interdependencies among variables.

5.2 Model Development and Data Analysis

The data preprocessing phase addressed missing values, categorical variable encoding, and feature scaling. Critical features identified for the model included aircraft category, weather conditions, phase of flight, total occupants, fatality rate, and temporal factors such as year of the flight. The dataset was then split into training and testing sets, and feature scaling was applied using standardization. A deep neural network was constructed with multiple hidden layers, incorporating dropout regularization to reduce overfitting. Binary cross-entropy was selected as the loss function, suitable for the prediction of fatal vs. non-fatal accidents, and the Adam optimizer facilitated efficient convergence during training.

5.3 Model Training and Evaluation

During model training, early stopping mechanisms monitored validation loss to prevent overfitting. The model demonstrated its ability to learn from historical data, achieving balanced performance on training and testing datasets. Performance metrics such as accuracy, confusion matrices, and

classification reports were analyzed to evaluate predictive power. The confusion matrix highlighted the model's capability to correctly identify fatal accidents, while misclassifications pointed to areas where additional features or data augmentation could improve performance. Furthermore, permutation-based feature importance analysis provided insights into which factors most strongly influenced predictions, revealing that weather conditions, flight phase, and aircraft category were primary contributors.

5.4 Discussion of Findings

The analysis confirms that deep learning models are well-suited to capture the multifactorial nature of aviation accident prediction. By learning complex interactions among aircraft characteristics, environmental conditions, and operational parameters, the model can provide proactive risk assessments. The study also highlights challenges, such as class imbalance, limited sample sizes for fatal incidents, and interpretability of model outputs. These challenges underscore the importance of combining predictive modeling with domain expertise to ensure actionable insights. Overall, the discussion illustrates the potential of deep learning to enhance aviation safety, enabling decision-makers to anticipate risks and implement preventive measures.

Chapter 6

Findings

6.1 Overview of Key Insights

The deep learning model developed in this case study provides several important insights into aviation accident prediction. By analyzing historical flight and accident data, the model identifies the factors that most significantly contribute to the likelihood of a fatal incident. The predictive results indicate that certain patterns consistently increase risk, enabling proactive interventions. These findings highlight the potential for artificial intelligence to supplement traditional safety protocols and decision-making processes in aviation.

6.2 Predictive Performance

The trained neural network demonstrated a balanced ability to distinguish between fatal and non-fatal incidents. Evaluation metrics, including accuracy, confusion matrix, and classification reports, confirmed the model's reliability. For instance, the model achieved a test accuracy of approximately 99%, indicating that it can correctly classify a significant majority of flight incidents. Analysis of the confusion matrix showed that the model successfully predicted a high proportion of fatal cases, though a small fraction of misclassifications suggests that additional features or larger datasets could further enhance performance. These results underscore the model's practical utility while acknowledging the inherent challenges of imbalanced data in aviation accident datasets.

6.3 Feature Importance

Permutation-based feature importance analysis revealed that weather conditions, aircraft category, and flight phase were the primary drivers of predictive performance. Environmental factors, such as turbulence, visibility, and precipitation, were highly correlated with accident severity, emphasizing the critical role of real-time meteorological data in risk assessment. Similarly, the aircraft type and model were found to influence vulnerability to mechanical failures or operational errors. Flight phase analysis indicated that takeoff, approach, and landing stages were associated with higher risk

levels, consistent with historical accident statistics. These insights provide actionable guidance for safety management and operational planning.

6.4 Implications for Stakeholders

The findings have direct implications for various stakeholders in aviation. Airlines can use these predictive insights to prioritize maintenance schedules, allocate safety resources, and optimize operational planning. Pilots and flight crew can benefit from real-time risk assessments to make informed decisions during critical flight phases. Regulatory bodies may leverage the findings to enhance safety guidelines, certification standards, and risk-based inspections. Moreover, the study reinforces the value of integrating AI-driven predictive analytics into existing aviation safety frameworks, improving overall reliability, passenger confidence, and operational efficiency.

6.5 Evidence-Based Conclusions

Overall, the findings demonstrate that deep learning models can effectively capture complex interactions among human, technical, and environmental factors in aviation. The model's predictive power and feature importance analysis provide evidence that AI can serve as a proactive tool in accident prevention. While challenges remain—such as data sparsity, class imbalance, and model interpretability—the study establishes a strong foundation for further research and practical deployment in the aviation industry.

Chapter 7

Recommendations

7.1 Alternative Solutions

Based on the findings of this case study, several approaches can be considered to improve aviation accident prediction and enhance safety:

7.1.1 Traditional Statistical Models

Linear and logistic regression models could be used as a simpler alternative to deep learning. These models are interpretable and require less computational power. However, they are limited in capturing complex nonlinear relationships and interactions between multiple variables, which are common in aviation accident data.

7.1.2 Ensemble Machine Learning Methods

Techniques such as Random Forests, Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM), or XGBoost could provide robust predictions by combining multiple decision trees. These models handle nonlinearity and feature interactions better than simple statistical models and are less prone to overfitting than deep neural networks. Ensemble methods also provide feature importance metrics, improving interpretability. The downside is that they may require careful hyperparameter tuning and may still struggle with highly imbalanced datasets.

7.1.3 Rule-Based Expert Systems

Expert systems could use aviation safety rules and historical patterns to flag potential high-risk flights. These systems are highly interpretable and align with regulatory standards. However, their predictive performance is limited because they cannot easily adapt to new patterns or learn complex interactions automatically.

7.2 Best Solution: Deep Learning-Based Predictive Model

After evaluating alternatives, the deep learning model implemented in this study is recommended as the best solution. The model effectively captures nonlinear interactions among multiple features, such as aircraft type, flight phase, weather conditions, and operational factors. It provides higher predictive accuracy compared to traditional models and can handle large, complex datasets more efficiently. By incorporating dropout layers and early stopping, the model mitigates overfitting while maintaining generalizability to unseen flights.

7.3 Justification

The justification for selecting a deep learning approach rests on several factors:

- **Predictive Accuracy:** The model achieved test accuracies above 99%, outperforming simpler models in capturing fatality risk.
- **Feature Interactions:** Deep neural networks automatically learn hierarchical representations of features, identifying hidden patterns that may not be obvious in traditional models.
- **Scalability:** As aviation datasets continue to grow, deep learning models can scale effectively without requiring manual feature engineering for each new data source.
- **Actionable Insights:** Permutation-based feature importance and other interpretability techniques allow stakeholders to understand risk factors and take proactive measures.

7.4 Implementation Recommendations

To maximize effectiveness, it is recommended that the deep learning model be integrated with real-time flight monitoring systems, continuously updated with new accident and operational data, and used alongside existing safety protocols. Airlines, pilots, and regulatory bodies should collaborate to ensure that model outputs are actionable, explainable, and aligned with operational decision-making.

Overall, the deep learning-based solution provides the most comprehensive, accurate, and actionable approach for predicting aviation accidents, making it the preferred choice among alternative solutions.

Chapter 8

Implementation Plan

8.1 Implementation Steps

The successful deployment of the deep learning-based aviation accident prediction system involves a structured set of steps, ensuring reliability, accuracy, and operational integration:

1. **Data Collection and Integration:** Collect and integrate historical flight and accident data from reliable sources such as aviation safety databases, airline operational records, and meteorological reports. Ensure data quality by handling missing values, inconsistencies, and irrelevant features.
2. **Data Preprocessing:** Clean the dataset by encoding categorical variables, scaling numerical features, and handling imbalanced classes. Generate additional features such as fatality rates and flight phase indicators to improve model learning.
3. **Model Development:** Build a deep learning model with multiple hidden layers using frameworks like TensorFlow or Keras. Apply regularization techniques such as dropout and early stopping to prevent overfitting. Train the model using the preprocessed data and validate its performance on a separate test set.
4. **Evaluation and Optimization:** Evaluate the model using metrics like accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. Perform hyperparameter tuning, feature selection, and iterative improvements to optimize predictive performance. Conduct permutation-based feature importance analysis to identify key risk factors.
5. **Monitoring and Maintenance:** Establish monitoring mechanisms to track model performance over time. Update the model periodically with new data to maintain accuracy and adapt to emerging patterns or operational changes.

8.2 Timeline

A realistic timeline for implementation spans approximately 7–8 days and is divided into key phases:

- **Day 1–2:** Data collection, cleaning and preprocessing.
- **Day 3–4:** Model development, training, and initial evaluation.
- **Day 5:** Hyperparameter tuning, feature analysis, and performance optimization.
- **Day 6:** Deployment in a simulated operational environment and testing.
- **Day 7–8:** Full integration with airline monitoring systems, continuous monitoring, and updates.

8.3 Resources Required

Successful implementation requires both technical and human resources:

- **Hardware:** High-performance computing resources with GPUs for training deep learning models efficiently. Secure servers for deployment and real-time data processing.
- **Software:** Python programming environment with libraries such as TensorFlow, Keras, Pandas, NumPy, and Scikit-learn. Visualization tools like Matplotlib and Seaborn for analysis.
- **Data Sources:** Access to comprehensive aviation accident datasets, flight operation records, weather data, and maintenance logs.

By following these steps, adhering to the timeline, and utilizing the required resources, the aviation accident prediction system can be effectively implemented to enhance operational safety and proactive risk management.

Chapter 9

Pseudo Code of the Proposed System

9.1 Overview

The following pseudo code summarizes the workflow of the aviation accident prediction system. It highlights the main steps such as data preprocessing, model building, training, evaluation, and saving the trained model for deployment. This structured representation provides a clear outline of the logical flow implemented in the project.

9.2 Pseudo Code

```
# Load Data
df = Load dataset

# Data Preprocessing
Handle missing values in target and features
Create binary target 'Is_Fatal' based on 'Injury.Severity'
Encode categorical columns using LabelEncoder
Create new features: 'Fatality_Rate', 'Year' from 'Event.Date'
Select relevant features for model

# Split Data
X, y = Features and target
Split data into training and test sets (80/20 split, stratified)

# Feature Scaling
Scale features using StandardScaler

# Build Model
Define a Sequential neural network:
```

- Input layer
- Hidden layers with ReLU activation and Dropout
- Output layer with Sigmoid activation

Compile the model (Adam optimizer, binary crossentropy loss)

Train Model

Train the model using training data with early stopping

Evaluate Model

Make predictions on test set

Calculate accuracy, print classification report, confusion matrix

Analyze Overfitting/Underfitting

Plot loss and accuracy curves, compare train/test accuracy

Save Model

Save the trained model and scaler for future use

Chapter 10

Conclusion

10.1 Summary of Issues

The aviation industry faces significant challenges in accident prevention due to multiple factors including aircraft characteristics, environmental conditions, human error, and operational protocols. Historical accident datasets often contain missing values, class imbalances, and high-dimensional features, making traditional statistical models insufficient for accurate prediction. Capturing non-linear relationships and providing actionable insights for stakeholders are critical issues addressed in this study.

10.2 Summary of Solutions

This case study implemented a deep learning-based predictive model using a multilayer neural network trained on historical aviation accident data. Key features such as aircraft type, flight phase, weather conditions, and fatality rates were incorporated to predict fatal incidents. Dropout regularization, early stopping, and feature scaling were applied to optimize model performance and prevent overfitting. Evaluation using accuracy metrics, confusion matrices, and classification reports demonstrated the model's capability to identify high-risk scenarios effectively. Permutation-based feature importance further highlighted the most influential factors for informed decision-making.

10.3 Lessons Learned

Key lessons from this study include:

- High-quality, comprehensive data is essential for training robust predictive models.
- Careful preprocessing, feature engineering, and handling missing values significantly improve model performance.
- Deep learning models can capture complex nonlinear interactions that traditional methods often overlook.

Chapter 11

References

1. Aviation Accidents and Incidents (NTSB, FAA, WAAS), Available: <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/prathamsharma123/aviation-accidents-and-incidents-ntsb-faa-waas>
2. National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), “Aviation Accident Database and Synopses,” [Online]. Available: <https://www.ntsbt.gov/aviationquery/>
3. Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), “Accident/Incident Data Reports,” [Online]. Available: https://www.faa.gov/data_research/accident_incident/
4. Aviation Safety Network, “Accident Database,” [Online]. Available: <https://aviation-safety.net/database/>