

Turbulence Monte Carlo

M. V. da Cunha Pereira

July 8, 2014

Abstract

This document describes some of the theory behind simulations performed in this project and discusses the sampling constraints that ought to be verified in order for the results to be valid.

1 From Fourth to Second-Order Simulation

Performing simulations for quantities that are fourth-order in field is a formidable task when propagation under atmospheric turbulence is considered, so we try to reframe the model in terms of equivalent second-order beam propagation. This can be done for special cases detailed below, using, for the phase screens that emulate turbulent refractive index fields, a modified 'effective field'.

1.1 Delta Correlation in Near Field

We first consider a special case in which the fourth-order beam is delta correlated in the near-field, so that on the source-plane it has the form

$$E_0(\rho'_1, \rho'_2) = E_p \left(\frac{\rho'_1 \pm \rho'_2}{2} \right) V_a(\rho'_1 \mp \rho'_2) \quad (1)$$

, where $V_a(\rho) = \delta^2(\rho - a)$.

Top and bottom sign refer to the case where there is and isn't a coordinate inversion scheme in place. We consider the former for concreteness and as it

corresponds to the more interesting case. Hence its propagation reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_a(\rho_1, \rho_2) &= \iint E_p \left(\frac{\rho'_1 - \rho'_2}{2} \right) V_a(\rho'_1 + \rho'_2) h(\rho_1, \rho'_1) h(\rho_2, \rho'_2) d^2 \rho'_1 d^2 \rho'_2 \\
&= \int E_p \left(\rho'_1 + \frac{a}{2} \right) h(\rho_1, \rho'_1) h(\rho_2, -\rho'_1 + a) d^2 \rho'_1 \\
&= \int E_p(\rho') h \left(\rho_1, \rho' + \frac{a}{2} \right) h \left(\rho_2, -\rho' + \frac{a}{2} \right) d^2 \rho'. \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

The propagators $h(x, y)$ can be split in the following form:

$$h(x, y) = h_0(x, y) \exp[\psi(x, y)] \tag{3}$$

$$, \text{ where } h_0(x, y) \propto \exp \left[\frac{ik(x-y)^2}{2z} \right]. \tag{4}$$

The term h_0 is the usual vacuum propagator, while ψ , which describes the contribution from refractive index turbulence, is written

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\rho, \rho') &\propto \int_0^L dz' \int d^2 \rho'' \frac{n_1(\rho'', z')}{z'(L-z')} \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ \frac{ik}{2} \left[\frac{(\rho - \rho'')^2}{L-z'} + \frac{(\rho'' - \rho')^2}{z'} - \frac{(\rho - \rho')^2}{L} \right] \right\}. \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

Close inspection of (4) reveals that

$$h_0(\rho_1, \rho' + a/2) = h_0(\rho_1 - a/2, \rho') \tag{6}$$

$$h_0(\rho_2, -\rho' + a/2) = h_0(-\rho_2 + a/2, \rho'). \tag{7}$$

As for the contribution from atmospheric turbulence, one can see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi \left(\rho_1, \rho' + \frac{a}{2} \right) &\propto \int_0^L dz' \int d^2 \rho'' \frac{n_1(\rho'', z')}{z'(L-z')} \dots \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ \frac{ik}{2} \left[\frac{(\rho_1 - \rho'')^2}{L-z'} + \frac{(\rho'' - \rho' - \frac{a}{2})^2}{z'} - \frac{(\rho_1 - \rho' - \frac{a}{2})^2}{L} \right] \right\} \\
&= \int_0^L dz' \int d^2 \tau \frac{n_1 \left(\tau + \frac{a}{2}, z' \right)}{z'(L-z')} \dots \\
&\quad \times \exp \left\{ \frac{ik}{2} \left[\frac{(\rho_1 - \frac{a}{2} - \tau)^2}{L-z'} + \frac{(\tau - \rho')^2}{z'} - \frac{(\rho_1 - \frac{a}{2} - \rho')^2}{L} \right] \right\}, \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

that is, it corresponds to $\psi(\rho_1 - \frac{a}{2}, \rho')$ with an effective refractive index $n_{eff}(\rho'') = n_1(\rho'' + \frac{a}{2}, z')$. Equivalently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi\left(\rho_2, -\rho' + \frac{a}{2}\right) &\propto \int_0^L dz' \int d^2\rho'' \frac{n_1(\rho'', z')}{z'(L-z')} \cdots \\
&\times \exp\left\{\frac{ik}{2} \left[\frac{(\rho_2 - \rho'')^2}{L-z'} + \frac{(\rho'' + \rho' - \frac{a}{2})^2}{z'} - \frac{(\rho_2 + \rho' - \frac{a}{2})^2}{L} \right]\right\} \\
&= \int_0^L dz' \int d^2\tau \frac{n_1(-\tau + \frac{a}{2}, z')}{z'(L-z')} \cdots \\
&\times \exp\left\{\frac{ik}{2} \left[\frac{(\rho_2 - \frac{a}{2} + \tau)^2}{L-z'} + \frac{(\rho' - \tau)^2}{z'} - \frac{(\rho_2 - \frac{a}{2} + \rho')^2}{L} \right]\right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

corresponding to $\psi(-\rho_2 + \frac{a}{2}, \rho')$ with an effective refractive index $n_{eff}(\rho'') = n_1(-\rho'' + \frac{a}{2}, z')$.

If we choose detectors 1 and 2 to be positioned at $\rho_1 = \rho + \frac{a}{2}$ and $\rho_2 = -\rho + \frac{a}{2}$, by putting the above equations together we see that both propagators h become identical except for the effective refractive indexes. In this case the propagated two-photon amplitude $E_a(\rho + \frac{a}{2}, -\rho + \frac{a}{2})$ turns out to be formally equivalent to a second-order beam with the pump profile and wavenumber (that is, $k_p = 2k$) propagating in a medium with refractive index given by

$$n_p(\rho'', z') = n_1\left(\rho'' + \frac{a}{2}, z'\right) + n_1\left(-\rho'' + \frac{a}{2}, z'\right). \tag{10}$$

2 Sampling requirements

There are three constraints that need to be satisfied to ensure the simulated results accurately represent the corresponding physical scenario. These are:

$$\delta_n \leq \frac{\lambda L - D'_n \delta_1}{D'_1}, \tag{11a}$$

$$N \geq \frac{D'_1}{2\delta_1} + \frac{D'_n}{2\delta_n} + \frac{\lambda L}{2\delta_1 \delta_n}, \tag{11b}$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{L}{R}\right) \delta_1 - \frac{\lambda L}{D'_1} \leq \delta_n \leq \left(1 + \frac{L}{R}\right) \delta_1 + \frac{\lambda L}{D'_1}, \tag{11c}$$

where L is the total propagation distance, R is the beam curvature on the source plane, λ its wavelength, N is the number of samples taken on each plane in one dimension (that is, grids of size $N \times N$ are taken), δ_1 and δ_n are the grid spacing on the source and observation planes and D'_1 and D'_n are the linear dimensions of the region of interest on the source and observation planes, respectively, with broadening and blurring caused by atmospheric turbulence taken into account. The turbulence effect is included by adding a term to the actual regions of interest D_1 and D_n , as follows:

$$D'_1 = D_1 + c \frac{\lambda L}{r_{0,rev}} , \quad (12a)$$

$$D'_n = D_n + c \frac{\lambda L}{r_0} . \quad (12b)$$

The terms r_0 and $r_{0,rev}$ are the turbulence coherence diameters as seen from the observation plane and the source plane with counter-propagating light, respectively. These are equal when turbulence is homogeneous, that is, when the structure constant C_n does not depend on the distance z along the propagation axis Z . The parameter c is chosen according to what fraction of the emitted light is to impinge in the region of interest. For instance, $c = 2$ typically corresponds to about 97% of captured light while $c = 4$ gives $\sim 99\%$.

Once N , δ_1 and δ_n are chosen, the maximum partial propagation distance should be the following:

$$\Delta z_{max} = \frac{[\min(\delta_1, \delta_n)]^2 N}{\lambda} . \quad (13)$$