

1. COMP1511 17s2 — Lecture 16 — Using Abstraction

- 2. admin: Don't panic!
- 3. review: Abstract Data Types
- 4. review: Abstraction with ADTs
- 5. review: Abstraction with ADTs
- 6. review: Abstraction with ADTs
- 7. abstractdata: Why?
- 8. abstractdata: a concrete stack
- 9. abstractdata: an abstract stack
- 10. cards: enum
- 11. cards: A Card ADT

COMP1511 17s2

— Lecture 16 —

Using Abstraction

Andrew Bennett

`<andrew.bennett@unsw.edu.au>`

Jashank Jeremy

`<jashank.jeremy@unsw.edu.au>`

review: abstract data types
applications of ADTs

Don't panic!

assignment 1 **reflection**

due Wed 20 Sep, 23:59:59 (Wednesday, week 9)

MandelbrArt

... [The MandelbrArt Gallery](#)

closes Wed 20 Sep, 23:59:59 (Wednesday, week 9)

vote on the most beautiful image soon!

Abstract Data Types²

separating the **interface** from the
implementation

Abstraction with ADTs

3

implementation vs **interface**

interface: opaque values; details hidden from user

implementation: **struct** and function definitions

interface is a *well-defined boundary*...

implementation shouldn't trust users;

users shouldn't trust implementation

Abstraction with ADTs

implementation vs interface

interface: opaque values; details hidden from user

implementation: **struct** and function definitions

```
// in Complex.h:  
typedef struct _complex *Complex;  
  
Complex newComplex (double re, double im);  
void destroyComplex (Complex c);  
double complexRe (Complex c);  
double complexIm (Complex c);  
double complexMod (Complex c);  
double complexArg (Complex c);
```

how does this ADT store values? (x, y) ? (r, θ) ?

we don't know, and **don't need to know**

Abstraction with ADTs

implementation vs interface

interface: opaque values; details hidden from user

implementation: `struct` and function definitions

```
// in Complex.c:
typedef struct _complex {
    double re;
    double im;
} complex;

complex *newComplex (double re, double im) { /*
... */ }

void destroyComplex (void) { /* ... */ }

double complexRe (complex *c) { /* ... */ }
double complexIm (complex *c) { /* ... */ }
double complexMod (complex *c) { /* ... */ }
double complexArg (complex *c) { /* ... */ }
```

Why?

why bother with all this effort?
what do ADTs let us do
(that we couldn't already)?

"... the purpose of abstraction is **not to be vague**,
but to **create a new semantic level** in which
one can **be absolutely precise**."

— from *The Humble Programmer* by E. W. Dijkstra (EWD 340)

we can use ADTs to
build a new level of abstraction

a concrete stack

```
(( demo: stack.h ))
```

```
(( demo: stack.c ))
```

an abstract stack

```
(( demo: Stack.h ))  
(( demo: Stack.c ))  
(( demo: testStack.c ))
```

enum

```
typedef enum {  
    CONSTANT-NAME = VALUE,  
    CONSTANT-NAME[ = VALUE],  
} TYPE-NAME;
```

like **struct** , a new type of type
defines symbolic names for values like **#define** ,
and constrains that type to those values

A Card ADT

10

playing cards, for the game of

Final Card-Down...