

# COMP1511 17s2

## — Lecture 14 —

### Towards ADTs

Andrew Bennett

<[andrew.bennett@unsw.edu.au](mailto:andrew.bennett@unsw.edu.au)>

review: allocation, struct  
concrete vs abstract types

Abstract Data Types

# Don't panic!

assignment 1 **out now!**  
due Sun 17 Sep, 23:59:59 (Sunday, week 8)

# Practice Prac Exam debrief

congratulations!

200 people got full marks!

wk07\_prac mark available now

read and follow the instructions!

people showed late...

people showed up to their timetabled room?!

people *left their coralling room!!!*

people wrote functions that `scanf`? `getchar`?!

# Review: structs

```
typedef struct _type-name {  
    type member;  
    [...]  
} type-name;
```

a way to group together **related data** of **differing types**  
we refer to the individual pieces of data  
as **fields** or **members**

# Review: Lifetimes

values on the stack will only live  
as long as the stack frame does  
we can say a variable has a lifetime,  
bounded by the stack frame.

[[ demo: lifetimes.c ]]

# Review: struct lifetimes

we usually want a struct to outlive a function  
... how do we do that?

there's the "systems programming way":  
pass a pointer to the struct down.

```
struct student s;  
initStudent (&s);
```

[[ demo: structLifetimes.c ]]

... becomes messy when you need to refactor.  
relatively concrete, relatively explicit.  
there has to be a better way!

# Review: Dynamic Allocation

values on the stack only last  
as long as the **stack frame** does...  
so returning a value that lives within a stack frame  
is **illegal**

how do we get around this?  
by putting the value somewhere else: **the heap**

we have **calloc** and **free**  
which let us allocate and release  
space on the heap

[[ demo: calloc.c ]]

# Review: Dynamic Allocation

we have `calloc` and `free`  
which let us allocate and release  
space on the heap

Newton's third law of memory management:  
for every allocation,  
there must be an equal and opposite free.

# Review: Dynamic Allocation of structs

```
#include <stdlib.h>

complex *c = calloc (1, sizeof (complex));
```

# Aside: Handling Allocation Errors

using `err`, `fprintf/exit`, `assert`

```
#include <err.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <sysexits.h>

complex *c = calloc (1, sizeof (complex));
if (c == NULL) {
    err (EX_OSERR, "couldn't allocate memory");
}
```

# Aside: Handling Allocation Errors

using err, **fprintf/exit**, assert

```
#include <errno.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <unistd.h>

complex *c = calloc (1, sizeof (complex));
if (c == NULL) {
    fprintf (stderr,
             "couldn't allocate memory: %s",
             strerror (errno));
    exit (1);
}
```

## Aside: Handling Allocation Errors

using `err`, `fprintf/exit`, `assert`

NO!

`assert` is not an error handling mechanism

semantically, `assert` states an *invariant*,  
only used while developing/debugging code

# Concrete vs Abstract

```
typedef struct _complex {  
    double re;  
    double im;  
} complex;
```

a type is...  
**concrete**

if a user of that type has knowledge of how it works

a type is...  
**abstract**

if a user has no knowledge of how it works

# An Aside: **USBs**

works... anywhere!

# Naming Types

```
typedef struct _complex { /* ... */ } complex;  
//           ^~~~~~
```

```
typedef struct _complex *Complex;  
//           ^~~~~~
```

pointers to structures have  
**UpperCamelCaseNames**

# Concrete vs Abstract

```
typedef struct _complex {  
    double re;  
    double im;  
} complex;
```

a concrete type is “right here”:  
if you can see the type, you can use it

```
complex c;  
c.re = 1.0;  
c.im = 1 / 2.0;
```

# Concrete vs Abstract

you cannot change the insides of the type  
without breaking current software:  
we couldn't, for example, easily switch to:

```
typedef struct _complex {  
    double mod;  
    double arg;  
} complex;
```

# Abstraction

our old friend, abstraction

use functions to retrieve  
the real part, the imaginary part,  
the modulus, the argument

doesn't really matter  
how the **implementation** works...  
only that the **interface** is correct.

# Hiding Structures

```
typedef struct _complex *Complex;
```

we can now refer to Complex,  
without knowing what's in  
struct \_complex...

**we cannot stab it**  
but it can move around the system  
as an opaque value.

# ADTs

# Abstract Data Types

separating the implementation from the interface

# ADT Jargon

**interface**

the header file

**implementation**

the .c file with functions defined

**consumer, user**

other .c files that use the functions

**constructor**

makes a new instance of the ADT

**destructor**

destroys an instance of the ADT

**getter, setter**

retrieve or change a value in an instance