

TOO OLD TO DEMONSTRATE, TO YOUNG TO DIE

MARCEL TAUDE

ABSTRACT. Playing with integer equations is really nice. This paper is a ... hmmm ... demonstration of an old adage *if you don't practice mathematics for a long time, it's hard to come back to it*. Even when listening cool jazz from *Lee Konitz*. At least, I played with \LaTeX and *gcc*.

At first, I wanted to find an algorithm to find integers which verify $a^3 + b^3 + c^3 = 1000^2 \cdot a + 1000 \cdot b + c$ with $a, b, c \in [0, 1000[$. There is an obvious lazy algorithm which tries every values of a, b and c in the range $[0, 1000[$. I wanted a clever one, not sure I found it, but read.

1. the problem	1
2. ... and this is the place where I became mad	1
3. reboot	2
3.1. the even side	2
3.2. the odd side	2

Contents

1. THE PROBLEM

Find all integers which verify :

$$(1) \quad a^3 + b^3 + c^3 = 1000^2 \cdot a + 1000 \cdot b + c, \text{ with } a, b, c \in [0, 1000[$$

We can *easily* find all the integers (a, b, c) verifying (1) by computing the two members of (1) for every values (a, b, c) from 0 to 999. Can we find a more clever way to get these values?

We can transform (1) in :

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} c^3 - c &= 1000^2 \cdot a + 1000 \cdot b - a^3 - b^3 \\ c(c-1)(c+1) &= a(1000-a)(1000+a) + b(1000-b^2) \end{aligned}$$

It becomes more obvious that the triplets $(0, 0, 0)$ and $(0, 0, 1)$ are two solutions of (1).

2. ... AND THIS IS THE PLACE WHERE I BECAME MAD

So much time without playing with mathematics makes things harder than I thought. Music helps me to keep cool, some good old jazz played by *Lee Konitz* in the late fifties, and that's all. At least, I am playing with \LaTeX and *gcc*...

3. REBOOT

We will do it in a lighter way. We started with power 3, bad idea.

$$(3) \quad a^2 + b^2 = 100.a + b \text{ with } a, b \in [0, 100[$$

We can make this transformation :

$$(4) \quad b(b-1) = a(100-a)$$

We can see that the left side of (5) must be even, so a is even too.

3.1. the even side. We begin with the case of b even :

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} a &= 2\alpha \\ b &= 2\beta \\ 2\beta(2\beta-1) &= 2\alpha(100-2\alpha) \\ \beta(2\beta-1) &= 2\alpha(50-\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

If so, β must be even, *i.e.* :

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= 2\gamma \\ 2\gamma(4\gamma-1) &= 2\alpha(50-\alpha) \\ \gamma(4\gamma-1) &= \alpha(50-\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

The good news is :

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma &\in [0, 25[\\ \alpha &\in [0, 50[\\ a &= 2\alpha \\ b &= 4\gamma \end{aligned}$$

We have less couples (α, γ) to test.

3.2. the odd side. Now, we are looking at odd values of b :

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} a &= 2\alpha \\ b &= 2\beta + 1 \\ 2\beta(2\beta+1) &= 2\alpha(100-2\alpha) \\ \beta(2\beta+1) &= 2\alpha(50-\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

We come back to the previous case with few changes :

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma &\in [0, 25] \\ \alpha &\in [0, 50[\\ a &= 2\alpha \\ b &= 4\gamma + 1 \end{aligned}$$