# A Very Extensive NCAA Exploratory Analysis

Troy Walters

February 20, 2018

### Libraries

```
library(data.table)
library(dplyr)
library(magrittr)
library(ggplot2)
library(gridExtra)
library(ggExtra)
library(corrplot)
library(factoextra)
library(stringr)
theme_set(theme_bw())
dir <- '/Users/JaviFerrando/Desktop/NCAA Data/input/'</pre>
# Data Section 1
teams <- fread(paste(dir, 'Teams.csv', sep=''))</pre>
seasons <- fread(paste(dir, 'Seasons.csv', sep=''))</pre>
seeds <- fread(paste(dir, 'NCAATourneySeeds.csv',sep=''))</pre>
seas_results <- fread(paste(dir,'RegularSeasonCompactResults.csv',sep=''))</pre>
tour_results <- fread(paste(dir,'NCAATourneyCompactResults.csv',sep=''))</pre>
seas_detail <- fread(paste(dir,'RegularSeasonDetailedResults.csv',sep=''))</pre>
tour_detail <- fread(paste(dir,'NCAATourneyDetailedResults.csv',sep=''))</pre>
conferences <- fread(paste(dir, 'Conferences.csv', sep=''))</pre>
team_conferences <- fread(paste(dir, 'TeamConferences.csv', sep=''))</pre>
coaches <- fread(paste(dir, 'TeamCoaches.csv', sep=''))</pre>
tour_enrich <- fread(paste(dir,'NCAATourneyDetailedResultsEnriched.csv',sep=''))</pre>
seas_enrich <- fread(paste(dir,'NCAASeasonDetailedResultsEnrichedv3.csv',sep=''))</pre>
setkey(teams, TeamID)
setkey(seeds, TeamID)
g1 <-
    teams[seeds][, one_seed := as.numeric(substr(Seed, 2, 3)) == 1][, sum(one_seed), by = TeamName][ord
    ggplot(aes(x = reorder(TeamName, V1), y = V1)) +
    geom_bar(stat = 'identity', fill = 'darkblue') +
    labs(x = '', y = 'No 1 seeds', title = 'No. 1 Seeds since 1985') +
    coord_flip()
setkey(seas_results, WTeamID)
g2 <-
    seas_results[teams][, .(wins = .N), by = TeamName][order(-wins)][1:15,] %%
    ggplot(aes(x = reorder(TeamName, wins), y = wins)) +
    geom_bar(stat = 'identity', fill = 'darkblue') +
    labs(x = '', y = 'Wins', title = 'Regular Season Wins since 1985') +
```

```
coord_flip()
setkey(tour_results, WTeamID)
g3 <-
     tour_results[teams][, .(wins = .N), by = TeamName][order(-wins)][1:15,] %>%
     ggplot(aes(x = reorder(TeamName, wins), y = wins)) +
     geom bar(stat = 'identity', fill = 'darkblue') +
     labs(x = '', y = 'Wins', title = 'Tournament Wins since 1985') +
     coord flip()
g4 <-
     tour_results[teams][DayNum == 154, .(wins = .N), by = TeamName][order(-wins)][1:15,] %>%
     ggplot(aes(x = reorder(TeamName, wins), y = wins)) +
     geom_bar(stat = 'identity', fill = 'darkblue') +
     labs(x = '', y = 'Championships', title = 'Tournament Championships since 1985') +
     coord_flip()
grid.arrange(g1, g2, g3, g4, nrow = 2)
          No. 1 Seeds since 1985
                                                                     Regular Season Wins since 1985
North Carolina -
                                                                 Duke
                                                               Kansas
    Kansas
      Duke
                                                              Kentucky
   Kentucky
                                                           North Carolina
    Arizona -
                                                               Arizona
  Oklahoma
                                                              Syracuse
  Michigan St -
                                                              Memphis
  Connecticut -
                                                                Xavier
    Ohio St
                                                              Louisville
     UCLA-
                                                              Gonzaga
    Stanford
                                                                 UNLV
                                                             Michigan St
    Purdue
    Indiana
                                                              Cincinnati
                                                             Connecticut
 Georgetown
                                                                UCLA ·
                                           10
                                                                                             500
                                                                                                         750
                            No 1 seeds
                                                                                         Wins
          Tournament Wins since 1985
                                                                     Tournament Championships since 1985
      Duke
                                                                 Duke
North Carolina ·
                                                           North Carolina
    Kansas
                                                             Connecticut
   Kentucky
                                                              Kentucky
  Connecticut ·
                                                              Villanova
  Michigan St
                                                              Louisville
    Arizona
                                                               Kansas
   Syracuse ·
                                                                Florida
   Louisville
                                                              Syracuse
     UCLA ·
                                                             Michigan St
     Florida
                                                              Michigan
   Michigan
                                                              Maryland
   Oklahoma
                                                               Indiana
    Indiana
                                                              Arkansas
   Villanova
                                                               Arizona
                              Wins
                                                                                     Championships
```

## **Indicators of Regular Season Success**


Let's now turn to the regular season game statistics. We are interested in knowing how certain statistics correlate with winning vs losing. We will take the regular season detail and first convert it to a more 'long' format with only 1 column of TeamIDs and a factor indicating whether that row corresponds to a win or a loss. Here I also add some additional game statistics. These include field goal percentage, free throw percentage, offensive/defensive rebounding efficiency, and possessions. These last two come from Laksan Nathan's kernel here.


```
win_stats <- seas_enrich[, .(</pre>
    Season,
    TeamID = WTeamID,
    Result = rep('W', .N),
    FGM = WFGM,
    FGA = WFGA,
    FGP = WFGM / WFGA,
    FGP2 = (WFGM - WFGM3) / (WFGA - WFGA3),
    FGM3 = WFGM3,
    FGA3 = WFGA3,
    FGP3 = WFGM3 / WFGA3,
    FTM = WFTM,
    FTA = WFTA,
    FTP = WFTM / WFTA,
    OR = WOR,
    DR = WDR,
    AST = WAst,
    TO = WTO,
    STL = WStl,
    BLK = WBlk,
    PF = WPF,
    PIE = WPIE,
    ORP = WOR / (WOR + LDR),
    DRP = WDR / (WDR + LOR),
    eFG = WeFGP,
    NetRTG = WNetRtg,
    FTAR = WFTAR,
    POS = 0.96 * (WFGA + WTO + 0.44 * WFTA - WOR)
    )]
los_stats <- seas_enrich[, .(</pre>
    Season,
    TeamID = LTeamID,
    Result = rep('L', .N),
    FGM = LFGM,
    FGA = LFGA,
    FGP = LFGM / LFGA,
    FGP2 = (LFGM - LFGM3) / (LFGA - LFGA3),
    FGM3 = LFGM3,
    FGA3 = LFGA3,
    FGP3 = LFGM3 / LFGA3,
    FTM = LFTM,
    FTA = LFTA,
    FTP = LFTM / LFTA,
    OR = LOR,
```

```
DR = LDR,
AST = LAst,
T0 = LT0,
STL = LSt1,
BLK = LBlk,
PF = LPF,
PIE = LPIE,
ORP = (LOR / (LOR + WDR)),
DRP = LDR / (LDR + WOR),
eFG = LeFGP,
NetRTG = LNetRtg,
FTAR = LFTAR,
POS = 0.96 * (LFGA + LTO + 0.44 * LFTA - LOR)
)]
stats_all <- rbindlist(list(win_stats, los_stats))</pre>
```

Now let's take a look at the distributions of these statistics for winning and losing teams.

```
g1 <- stats_all %>%
    ggplot(aes(x = FGP, fill = Result)) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
    scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
   labs(x = 'Field Goals %', y = '', title = 'Field Goal M/A Ratio')
g2 <- stats_all %>%
    ggplot(aes(x = FGP2, fill = Result)) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
   scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
   labs(x = '2-pt Field Goal %', y = '', title = '2 Pt Field Goals M/A Ratio')
g3 <- stats_all %>%
   ggplot(aes(x = FGP3, fill = Result)) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
    scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
   labs(x = '3-pt Field Goal %', y = '', title = '3 Pt Field Goals M/A Ratio')
g4 <- stats_all %>%
    ggplot(aes(x = FTP, fill = Result)) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
   scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
   labs(x = 'Free Throw %', y = '', title = 'Free Throw Goals M/A Ratio')
grid.arrange(g1, g3, g4, ncol = 3)
```





Unsurprisingly, we see that winning teams tend to have a higher mean (or lower in the case of turnover) in pretty much every metric. The last few plots are bit spikey due to the more discrete nature of the data.

We don't have final game statistics until we have the Result, so we obviously can't use these statistics in this form to predict the winners of tournament matchups. However, we can use regular season aggregate statistics to predict the winner in tournament matchups. Let's take a look at that next.

### **Predictors of Tournament Success**

One of the obvious predictors for how deep a team goes in the tournament would be regular season wins. Let's see how regular season wins correlate to tournament progress each year.


```
wins_s <- seas_results[, .(rsW = .N), by = c('WTeamID', 'Season')]
wins_t <- tour_results[!(DayNum %in% c(134, 135)), .(tW = .N), by = c('WTeamID', 'Season')]
wins_teams <- wins_s[wins_t][teams]</pre>
```

In nearly every year, tournament wins is positively correlated with regular season wins. Of course, there are some exceptions - for example in 2000, the relationship is slightly negative! Single-elimination tournaments produce some variations as they leave little room for error. Sometimes strong favorites don't get as far as expected.

The problem with using regular season wins is that in college basketball, not every team plays the same number of games in a regular season. Let's do something similar to see if average scores during regular season are associated with better tournament progress.

```
wins <- seas_results[, .(n_games = .N, sum_score = sum(WScore)), by = c('WTeamID', 'Season')]
losses <- seas_results[, .(n_games = .N, sum_score = sum(LScore)), by = c('LTeamID', 'Season')]</pre>
```


### Tournament Wins by Seed



I've introduced some jiter to this plot to avoid overplotting. It exhibits a strong negative relationship between seed and tournament progress - the lower a team's seed, the deeper they go into the tournament (as measured by tournament wins). We see that a 16 seed has never made it past the first round of the tournament. From the plot we can also determine that the lowest seed to ever win the tournament was a number 8. A vast majority of teams that have won the tournament since 1985 have been number 1 seeds.

We may also wonder how likely it is that a better-seeded (i.e. lower number) team will win any particular tournament matchup. Let's look at the percentage of times the better-seeded team won by season.

### Better-seed winning percentage by year




When examining these data by season, we see that the better-seeded team won games at a rate that varies between 0.79 in the 2007 tournament to approximately 0.59 in the 1999 tournament.

Now let's examine the relationship between a team's regular season win margin and its tournament performance.

```
y = 'Tournament wins',
title = 'Tournament Wins by Regular Season Win Margin') +
facet_wrap(~Season)
```

Tournament Wins by Regular Season Win Margin



Now let's move beyond the basic stats and use some of the box score data as well. To start, let's create a standardized data frame in wide format with all of the teams regular season stats. We'll create some additional statistics such as various shooting percentages, rebounds per game, steals per game, etc. Because of the format of the data, we first need to get the stats for the games winning teams and losing teams seperately. Then we will bind these row-wise and group by Season and TeamID to calculate the stats.

```
stats_season <- stats_all[, .(
   FGP = sum(FGM) / sum(FGA),
   FGP3 = sum(FGM3) / sum(FGA3),
   FTP = sum(FTM) / sum(FTA),
   ORPG = mean(OR),</pre>
```


```
DRPG = mean(DR),
    ASPG = mean(AST),
    TOPG = mean(TO),
    STPG = mean(STL),
    #MFTAR = mean(FTAR),
    \#BLPG = mean(BLK),
    #PFPG = mean(PF),
    MeFG = mean(eFG),
    MNetRTG = mean(NetRTG),
    #MORP = mean(ORP),
    MPIE = mean(PIE),
    MPOS = mean(POS),
    EFG = (mean(FGM)+0.5*mean(FGM3))/mean(FGA))
    , by = c('TeamID', 'Season')]
seas_elos <- fread(paste(dir, 'season_elos.csv', sep=''))</pre>
seas_elo_duke <-seas_elos[seas_elos$team_id==1181]</pre>
ggplot(data=seas_elo_duke, aes(x=seas_elo_duke$season, y=seas_elo_duke$season_elo)) +
  #qeom_point(aes(x=seas_elos$season, y=seas_elos$season_elo), size = 3) +
  geom_line(color="blue")+
  scale_color_brewer(palette="Paired")+
  theme_minimal() +
  labs(x = "Year") + labs(y = "Elo Points")+
  labs(color="Colours")
   2200
   2000
Elo Points
   1800
                    1990
                                          2000
                                                               2010
                                             Year
seas_elos <- seas_elos[seas_elos$season>=2003,]
colnames(seas_elos) <-c("TeamID", "Season", "Season_elo")</pre>
stats_season <- merge(x = stats_season, y = seas_elos, by=c("TeamID", "Season"), all = FALSE)</pre>
wins_t_2003 <- wins_t[wins_t$Season>=2003,]
colnames(wins_t_2003) <-c("TeamID", "Season", "Days_Tournament")</pre>
stats_season <- merge(x = stats_season, y = wins_t_2003, by=c("TeamID", "Season"), all = FALSE)
dseeds_tournament <- fread(paste(dir,'NCAATourneySeeds.csv',sep=''))</pre>
dseeds_tournament <- dseeds_tournament %>%
  mutate(ranking = as.factor((str_replace(Seed, "[A-Z]",""))),
         rank_num = as.numeric(str_replace(ranking, ".[a-z]","")))
```

```
dseeds_tournament <- dseeds_tournament[ , -which(names(dseeds_tournament) %in% c("Seed","ranking"))]
#names(dseeds_tournament) <- tolower(names(dseeds_tournament))
stats_season <- merge(x = stats_season, y = dseeds_tournament, by=c("TeamID","Season"), all = FALSE)
pca_crypto <- princomp(~Season_elo+EFG+MPOS+Days_Tournament+MPIE+MNetRTG,cor=TRUE, data=stats_season)
fviz_pca_var(pca_crypto)</pre>
```

# Variables – PCA 1.0 - MPOS 0.5 - Cays\_Tournament Season\_elo 0.6 - Cays\_Tournament Season\_elo 0.7 - Cays\_Tournament Season\_elo 0.8 - Cays\_Tournament Season\_elo 0.9 - Cays\_Tournament Season\_elo 0.0 - Cays\_

Dim1 (45.7%)

```
M <- cor(scale(stats_season %>% select (3:18)),method="pearson")
corrplot(M, method = "circle",type = "upper")
```




```
#corrplot(stats_season, type = "upper", order = "hclust",
#tl.col = "black")
```

Can we use a team's regular season game statistics to predict tournament success. First let's look at field goal % and free throw % during the regular season and see if these equate to tournament success. We'll define success in the case as making the Final Four.

```
ggplot(aes(x = FGP, y = FTP, color = final_four)) +
geom_point(alpha = 0.6) +
labs(
    x = 'Field goal %',
    y = 'Free throw %',
    title = 'Regular Season Shooting Performance of Tournament Teams') +
scale_color_manual(values = c('darkgrey', 'steelblue'))


ggMarginal(g1, type = 'histogram', fill = 'steelblue')
```

Regular Season Shooting Performance of Tournament Teams




We see that the distribution of field goal % appears to have a peak around 0.45. The distribution of free throw percentage peaks near 72%. Interestingly in terms of shooting %, there does not seem to be much of a difference between teams that make the Final Four and the rest of the tournament field in terms of their regular season performance; however it is hard to tell from this plot type. To double-check, let's plot the densities of these two statistics for Final Four teams and the rest of the field.

# Regular Season Possessions



```
g1 <- stats_season[wins_t, on = c(TeamID = 'WTeamID', 'Season'), nomatch = 0
              ][, final four := tW >= 4] %>%
    ggplot(aes(x = MPIE, fill = final_four)) +
    scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
   labs(x = 'PIE', title = 'Regular Season PIE')
g2 <- stats_season[wins_t, on = c(TeamID = 'WTeamID', 'Season'), nomatch = 0
              ][, final_four := tW >= 4] %>%
    ggplot(aes(x = MNetRTG, fill = final_four)) +
    scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
   labs(x = 'Net Rating', title = 'Regular Season Net Rating')
g3 <- stats_season[wins_t, on = c(TeamID = 'WTeamID', 'Season'), nomatch = 0
              ][, final_four := tW >= 4] %>%
    ggplot(aes(x = Season_elo, fill = final_four)) +
    scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
   labs(x = 'Elo Rating', title = 'Season ending Elo Rating')
g3 <- stats_season[wins_t, on = c(TeamID = 'WTeamID', 'Season'), nomatch = 0
              ][, final_four := tW >= 4] %>%
    ggplot(aes(x = rank_num, fill = final_four)) +
    scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
    labs(x = 'Seeding position', title = 'Ranking in seeding')
grid.arrange(g1, g2, g3, g4, ncol = 2)
```

## Warning: Removed 36 rows containing non-finite values (stat\_density).



```
g6 <- stats_season[wins_t, on = c(TeamID = 'WTeamID', 'Season'), nomatch = 0
                 ][, final_four := tW >= 4] %>%
     ggplot(aes(x = ASPG, fill = final_four)) +
     scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
     geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
     labs(x = 'Elo Rating', title = 'Season ending Elo Rating')
g7 <- stats_season[wins_t, on = c(TeamID = 'WTeamID', 'Season'), nomatch = 0
                 ][, final_four := tW >= 4] %>%
     ggplot(aes(x = TOPG, fill = final_four)) +
     scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
    labs(x = 'PIE', title = 'Regular Season PIE')
g8 <- stats_season[wins_t, on = c(TeamID = 'WTeamID', 'Season'), nomatch = 0
                 ][, final_four := tW >= 4] %>%
     ggplot(aes(x = STPG, fill = final_four)) +
     scale_fill_manual(values = c('skyblue4', 'skyblue3')) +
    geom_density(alpha = 0.5) +
    labs(x = 'Net Rating', title = 'Regular Season Net Rating')
grid.arrange(g1, g2, g3, g4, g5, g6, g7, g8, ncol = 4)
    Regular Season PIE
                               Regular Season Net Ratii
                                                                                       Regular Season PIE
                                                          Season ending Elo Rating
                                            final_four
                                                                        final_four
                                                                                                   final_four
                 final four
                           density
                             10
                                                                                    0.15
                    FALSE
                                                FALSE
                                                       densi
                                                                           FALSE
                                                                                                       FALSE
                                                                                  0.10
0.05
                    TRUE
                                                TRUE
                                                                           TRUE
                                                                                                       TRUE
    0.425450475500525
                                0.300.350.40
                                                          0.600.650.700.750.80
                                                                                       5.07.510.02.55.0
        PIE
                                 Net Rating
                                                            Elo Rating
                                                                                           PIE
     Regular Season Net Rat
                                 Season ending Elo Ratir
                                                            Regular Season PIE
                                                                                       Regular Season Net Rati
                                                                                    0.3
  0.20
                             0.20
                                                                                                   final_four
                 final four
                                            final_four
                                                                        final_four
                                                        0.20
den sity
0.15 -
0.10 -
0.05 -
                           0.15
                                                       density
                                                                                  density
0.2
0.1
                                                        0.15
                    FALSE
                             0.10
                                                FALSE
                                                                           FALSE
                                                                                                       FALSE
                           0.10
                    TRUE
                                                TRUE
                                                        0.05
                                                                           TRUE
                                                                                                       TRUE
     20
        24
           28
                                  12 15 18 21
                                                               12 15 18
                                                                                        50 75 10 0
      Net Rating
                                 Elo Rating
                                                               PIF
                                                                                        Net Rating
```

From the density plots, it actually appears that Final Four teams do shoot better from the floor during the regular season. Non Final Four teams shoot around 0.45 during the regular season and Final Four teams seem to shoot around 0.475. It is very important to keep in mind however that the sample size for Final Four teams is much smaller than the sample size for the rest of the tournament field. Therefore its unclear whether we can consider this difference statistically significant. For free throw percentage, there does not appear to be much of a difference.


Let's get a better idea of whether the difference in field goal percentage is real. We can use a two-sample t-test to determine if there is a difference in the sample means. Because the sample size of the two are different (and hence the variance), we can use Welch's two-sample t-test.


```
##
## Welch Two Sample t-test
##
## data: fgp_noff and fgp_ff
## t = -0.7174, df = 75.03, p-value = 0.4754
## alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -1.2799052  0.6021384
## sample estimates:
## mean of x mean of y
## 64.25570  64.59458
```

When doing so, we get a test statistic of -3.1443 and a p-value of 0.002417. At the 95% significance level therefore, we can reject the null hypothesis of a zero difference in mean and accept evidence of the alternative hypothesis that there is a difference in the mean field goal percentage of Final Four teams and non-Final Four teams. That difference appears to be about one percentage point.

Now let's do the same thing for rebounding performance.


Regular Season Rebounding Performance of Tournament Teams






In terms of defensive rebounding, there does not appear to be much separation between Final Four teams and the rest of the field. The same goes for offensive rebounding, however the appears to be a skew in the distribution for Final Four teams, perhaps an artifact of limited sample size.





The ratio of steals to turnovers is positive for all tournament teams, however the relatioship appears to be stronger for Final Four teams indicating that this ratio be be a good predictor of tournament success.



There seems to be some separation of means between all tournament teams and Final Four teams for regular

season turnovers per game, however its inconclusive whether or not the difference is significant.

### Regular Season Turnovers of Tournament Teams

