**Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná (PUCPR)**

**Data Science**

**Computer Science**

**Professor Jean Paul Barddal**

**CHECKPOINT – PEER REVIEW**

In this part of the work, you and your team will peer review another team’s work. Below, you will find details about how you should assess another team’s work. The rubric provides insights on what is expected to reach different grades.

In addition to providing a grade to each item, you should provide feedback (evidence) on why this grade was assigned, as well as suggestions on how the other team should enhance their work. The more accurate and complete the feedback you provide, the higher your grade will be.
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|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Your team name (Equipe avaliadora):** | **Assessed team name (Equipe avaliada):** |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Rubric Item:** Univariate data analysis | **Grade (0 to 10)**: | | **Feedback:**  **Suggestions for improvements:** | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Rubric Item:** Multivariate data analysis | **Grade (0 to 10)**: | | **Feedback:**  **Suggestions for improvements:** | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Rubric Item:** Effective data visualization | **Grade (0 to 10)**: | | **Feedback:**  **Suggestions for improvements:** | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Rubric Item:** Template and completeness | **Grade (0 to 10)**: | | **Feedback:**  **Suggestions for improvements:** | |  |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Rubric Item:** Dataset description | **Grade (0 to 10)**: | | **Feedback:**  **Suggestions for improvements:** | | | |