

1. SELF/PEER ASSESSMENT FORM

Project Name:	Genealogy Application / Website		
Your Name:	Marco Giacoppo		
Your ID	104071453	Date	4 th October 2024

Self/Peer Assessment Form

The main purpose of this form is for all Team members to reflect on their interactions, but it may also be helpful in resolving disputes over the relative contributions of Team members.

Using the **Self and Peer Assessment Form** below

1. List the members of your Project Team
2. Enter a score between 0 and 5, for categories A to J for all members of the team (see Section 2 for definitions)
3. Further provide detailed comments on each member

(adapted from Winger-aunty, S. 1990. University of Wisconsin-Stout Modified by Pheroza Daruwalla and Ian Knowd, 1994.)

No.	Team Member Names (including yourself)	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	Total
1	Marco Giacoppo	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50
2	Corey Santarossa	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50
3	Vivek Saini	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	44
4	Levin Fubex	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	44
5	Nick Wijaya	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	47
6	Rupayan Banerjee	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	45
7												
8												
9												
10												

Detailed Comments:

No.	Team Member Names	Comments
1	Corey Santarossa	Corey has been an exceptional contributor throughout this sprint, consistently delivering high-quality work. His attention towards the team have significantly boosted team productivity.
2	Vivek Saini	Vivek has shown great dedication and strong problem-solving skills. He has been effective, though there were a few areas where deadlines were tight.
3	Levin Fubex	Levin demonstrated solid technical abilities and a good understanding of our sprint goals. He worked well with the team, contributing valuable ideas. There's room to improve in communication and coordination.
4	Nick Wijaya	Nick has been an essential part of this sprint's success, consistently delivering his tasks on time and with great quality. A slight improvement in attention to minor details will be nice.
5	Rupayan Banerjee	Rup has been a dependable team player throughout. He handled his responsibilities with care. While there's a bit of room for improvement in the tight deadlines, he always finishes his responsibilities.
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

2. Categories

A. Quantity of Work

- 0 – Did nothing, was uninvolved.
- 1 – Does enough to get by.
- 2 – Occasionally exceeds standards, needs improvement.
- 3 – Satisfactory. Does more than what is required.
- 4 – Very industrious. High Quality. Consistent.
- 5 – Always exceeds productivity standards. Outstanding.

B. Quality of Work

- 0 – Careless. Makes frequent mistakes. Assignment suffers.
- 1 – Mistakes frequent enough to question results.
- 2 – Work is basically correct.
- 3 – Accurate when and where it really counts. Satisfactory.
- 4 – Almost always accurate in all areas of contribution.
- 5 – Outstanding. Perfect quality. No mistakes.

C. Communication Skills

- 0 – Blunt, discourteous, does not listen, antagonistic, distant, aloof.
- 1 – Sometime tactless. Approachable and friendly once known by others.
- 2 – Agreeable and pleasant. Warm, friendly, sociable and listens.
- 3 – Always very polite and willing to help. Very sociable and outgoing. Listens and understands.
- 4 – Courteous and very pleasant. Excellent at establishing good will.
- 5 – Inspiring to others. Artful listener. Really understands.

D. Initiative

- 0 – Displays no self-starting characteristics. Acts without purpose.
- 1 – Puts forth little effort. Requires prodding, sets no speed records.
- 2 – Puts in minimal effort to get task completed.
- 3 – Strives hard. Desire to achieve.
- 4 – High desire to achieve. Always puts in a solid days work.
- 5 – Sets high goals. Self-starter with high motivation. Constantly goes beyond call of duty.

E. Efficiency

- 0 – Work is invariably late.
- 1 – Work occasionally completed on schedule.
- 2 – Work usually complete on schedule. Some contribution to minor problem solving.
- 3 – Work always complete on schedule.
- 4 – Work complete. Consistent in defining and resolving major problems.
- 5 – Work invariably done ahead of schedule. Imaginative. Can be counted on to make major contributions.

F. Personal Relations

- 0 – A very disruptive influence
- 1 – Is a source of some friction
- 2 – Causes no problems.
- 3 – Satisfactory, harmonious.
- 4 – Is a positive factor.
- 5 – Respected by others. Presence adds to environmental stability.

G. Group Meeting Attendance

- 0 – Never attended any meetings. Showed no interest.
- 1 – Occasionally attended. Would commit and then not show.
- 2 – Sometimes uncooperative in planning schedule. Hard to get in touch with.
- 3 – Would attend. Usually late.

Self/Peer Review

- 4 – Could be counted on to attend.
- 5 – Never missed a meeting. Always on time.

H. Attitude and Enthusiasm

- 0 – Poor disposition, uninvolved, indifferent.
- 1 – Unenthusiastic, biased.
- 2 – Half hearted.
- 3 – Positive demeanour.
- 4 – Positive attitude and spirited.
- 5 – Exuberant and eager. Positive influence. Inspiring to others. Team builder.

I. Effort

- 0 – Puts forth no effort. Expects others to carry the load.
- 1 – Puts forth some effort.
- 2 – Displays enough effort to get by.
- 3 – Solid contributions.
- 4 – Strives very hard. Energetic.
- 5 – Self starter. Consistently goes beyond call of duty.

J. Dependability

- 0 – Uninvolved. Unreliable.
- 1 – Unsteady, but tries somewhat.
- 2 – Occasionally would come through. Inconsistent.
- 3 – Needs some improvement. Suitable.
- 4 – Very trustworthy. Could be counted on to take responsibility.
- 5 – Always responsible. Kept the group together and in the right direction. Steady influence.