

# HW2 - Regression Regularization

---

## Ridge regression

---

Recall that for linear models with scalar output we have  $h(x) = \langle w, x \rangle$  and that the Empirical error  $L_S(h)$  can be written in terms of the vector of parameters  $w$ , in the form:

$$L_S(w) = \frac{1}{m_t} \|Y - Xw\|^2 \quad (1)$$

where  $Y$  and  $X$  are the matrices whose  $i$ -th rows are, respectively, the output data  $y_i$  and the input vectors  $x_i^\top$ .

In the case of Ridge regression we add a regularization term to the RSS term so that our Empirical error becomes:

$$L_S(w) = \frac{1}{m_t} \|Y - Xw\|^2 + \lambda \|w\|^2 \propto \|Y - Xw\|^2 + \underbrace{\lambda * m_t}_{:=\alpha} \|w\|^2 \quad (2)$$

The Ridge Least Squares solution is given by the expression:

$$\hat{w}_{Ridge} = \arg \min_w L_S(w) = (X^\top X + \alpha I)^{-1} X^\top Y \quad (3)$$

**Note:** what has changed w.r.t. the LS solution? Do we need to worry about invertibility of the matrix we need to invert?

- Prove that adding a positive multiple of identity to a semi definite positive matrix you get a positive definite matrix.
- Prove that a positive definite matrix is *always* invertible.

## LASSO

---

A different regularization to our linear model: LASSO - Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (l1 regularization)

**Note:** the parameter  $\lambda$  is called  $\alpha$  in the Lasso model from sklearn.

## Homoschedasticity vs Eteroschedasticity

---

Eteroschedasticity (variance of the regression variable depends on the independent variable).

Homoschedasticity (variance independent on the independent variable).