



KAN-CEAPV2505U

Econometric Analysis of Firm Data

July 30, 2025

Copenhagen Business School
Department of Economics
Ralf A. Wilke
Mette Franck
2025/2026, Autumn semester

Problem Set 4

Part 1: Simultaneous Equation Models

1. Use SMOKE.DTA for this exercise.
 - (a) A model to estimate the effects of smoking on annual income (perhaps through lost work days due to illness, or productivity effects) is

$$\log(\text{income}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{cigs} + \beta_2 \text{educ} + \beta_3 \text{age} + \beta_4 \text{age}^2 + u,$$

where *cigs* is number of cigarettes smoked per day, on average. How do you interpret β_1 ?

- (b) To reflect the fact that cigarette consumption might be jointly determined with income, a demand for cigarettes equation is

$$cigs = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \log(income) + \gamma_2 educ + \gamma_3 age + \gamma_4 age^2 + \gamma_5 \log(cigprice) + \gamma_6 restaur + u,$$

where *cigprice* is the price of a pack of cigarettes (in cents), and *restaur* is a binary variable equal to unity if the person lives in a state with restaurant smoking restrictions. Assuming these are exogenous to the individual, what signs would you expect for γ_5 and γ_6 ?

- (c) Under what assumptions is the income equation from part (a) identified?
- (d) Estimate the reduced form for *cigs*. (Recall that this entails regressing *cigs* on all exogenous variables.) Are $\log(cigprice)$ and *restaur* significant in the reduced form?
- (e) Now, estimate the income equation by 2SLS. Discuss how the estimate of β_1 compares with the OLS estimate.
- (f) Do you think that cigarette prices and restaurant smoking restrictions are exogenous in the income equation?

2. Use the data *airfare.DTA*, but only for the year 1997.

- (a) A simple demand function for airline seats on routes is

$$\log(passen) = \beta_{10} + \alpha_1 \log(fare) + \beta_{11} \log(dist) + \beta_{12} [\log(dist)]^2 + u,$$

where *passen* is the average number of passengers per day, *fare* is the average airfare, and *dist* is the route distance (in miles). If this is a demand function, what should be the sign of α_1 ?

- (b) Estimate the equation from part (a) by OLS. What is the estimated price elasticity?
- (c) Consider the variable *concen*, which is a measure of market concentration. (The share of business accounted for by the largest carrier.) Explain what we must assume to treat *concen* as exogenous in the demand equation.
- (d) Now assume that *concen* is exogenous to the demand equation. Estimate the reduced form for $\log(fare)$ and confirm that *concen* has a positive partial effect on $\log(fare)$.
- (e) Estimate the demand equation using IV. Now, what is the estimated price elasticity of demand? How does it compare with the OLS estimate?

- (f) Using the IV estimates, describe how demand for seats depends on route distance.

Part 2: Pooled Cross Section Models

3. Use the data KIELMC.dta for this exercise. The data contain pooled cross sections of house prices in North Andover, Massachusetts in 1978 and 1981. A garbage incinerator was build from 1981 and we are interested in how the (future) incinerator affects house prices. Note: It was not known in 1978 that an incinerator will be build.

- (a) The variable *dist* is the distance from each home to the incinerator site, in feet. Consider the model:

$$\log(\text{price}) = \beta_0 + \delta_0 y81 + \beta_1 \log(\text{dist}) + \delta_1 y81 * \log(\text{dist}) + u.$$

If building the incinerator reduces the value of homes closer to the site, what is the sign of δ_1 ? What does it mean if $\beta_1 > 0$?

- (b) Estimate the model from part (a) and report the results in the usual form. Interpret the coefficient on $y81 * \log(\text{dist})$ What do you conclude?
- (c) Add *age*, *age*², *rooms*, *baths*, $\log(\text{intst})$, $\log(\text{land})$, and $\log(\text{area})$ to the equation. Now, what do you conclude about the effect of the incinerator on housing values?

These problems are taken from the Wooldridge (2020) textbook.