

Exs. for An Introduction to Probability and Statistics by  
Robert and Saleh (Third Edition)

### Problems 8.2

- ① \*  $T_n$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\theta$   
 \*  $E(T_n) \rightarrow \theta$  and  $\text{Var}(T_n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$   
 $\Rightarrow T_n$  is consistent for  $\theta$   
 \*  $T_n \xrightarrow{z} \theta$ , i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[(T_n - \theta)^2] = 0$

II  $T_n$  consistent for  $\theta$  and  $|T_n - \theta| \leq A < \infty$

$$E[(T_n - \theta)^2] = \dots = E[(T_n - E(T_n))^2] + [E(T_n - \theta)]^2$$

Variance
Bias<sup>2</sup>

$$= \text{Var}(T_n) + [E(T_n - \theta)]^2$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[(T_n - \theta)^2] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(T_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(T_n - \theta)]^2$$

$\rightarrow 0$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(T_n) - E(\theta)]^2$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(T_n) - E(\theta)] \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E(T_n) - E(\theta)]$$

$\rightarrow 0$ 
 $\rightarrow 0$

$$= 0$$

$$\therefore T_n \xrightarrow{z} \theta$$

?

Resolução do professor Raul:

I  $T_n$  consistent for  $\theta$  and  $|T_n - \theta| \leq A < \infty$

Se  $Y$  for v.a não negativa,

$$E Y = \int_0^{\infty} y \cdot f_{\theta}(y) dy = \int_0^{\infty} P(Y > \epsilon) d\epsilon$$

$$E |T_n - \theta|^2 \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$E |T_n - \theta|^2 = \int_0^{\infty} P(|T_n - \theta|^2 > \epsilon) d\epsilon$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} P(|T_n - \theta| > \sqrt{\epsilon}) d\epsilon$$

$$= 2 \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \epsilon \cdot P(|T_n - \theta| > \sqrt{\epsilon}) d\epsilon$$

$$\leq 2 \cdot A \cdot \int_0^A P(|T_n - \theta| > \sqrt{\epsilon}) d\epsilon \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\therefore E |T_n - \theta|^2 \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow T_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta$$

II  $|T_n - \theta| \leq A_n < \infty$

Para esse caso, temos:

$$E |T_n - \theta|^2 \leq 2 A_n \int_0^{A_n} P(|T_n - \theta| > \sqrt{\epsilon}) d\epsilon$$

Uma vez que não sabemos o valor, no limite, por causa do fato de  $A_n$  estar indexado em  $n$ , não há garantia que

$$T_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta.$$

(2) \*  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  A.A. de  $\mathcal{U}[0, \theta], \theta \in \Theta = (0, \infty)$

$$* X_{(n)} = \max \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$$

\*  $X_{(n)} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$ , se e somente se

$$P(|X_{(n)} - \theta| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

o que é equivalente a.

$$P(|X_{(n)} - \theta| \leq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow \infty, \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \quad P(|X_{(n)} - \theta| \leq \varepsilon) &= P(-\varepsilon \leq X_{(n)} - \theta \leq \varepsilon) \\ &= P(\theta - \varepsilon \leq X_{(n)} \leq \theta + \varepsilon) \\ &= F_{X_{(n)}}(\theta + \varepsilon) - F_{X_{(n)}}(\theta - \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X_{(n)}}(x) &= P(X_{(n)} \leq x) \\ &= P(\max \{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \leq x) \\ &= P(\{X_1 \leq x\} \cap \dots \cap \{X_n \leq x\}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{ind}}{=} [P(X_1 \leq x)]^n \\ &= \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 - \left(\frac{\theta - \varepsilon}{\theta}\right)^n.$$

$\rightarrow 1, n \rightarrow \infty$  e  $0 < \varepsilon < \theta$ .

$$\therefore X_{(n)} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$$

$$\bullet \quad Y_n = z \bar{X}, \quad \bar{X} = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n}{n}$$

$$E(Y_n) = E(z \bar{X}) = z \cdot E(\bar{X}) = \frac{z}{n} \cdot n \cdot \theta = \theta$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(y_n) &= \text{Var}(2\bar{x}) = 4 \cdot \text{Var}(\bar{x}) \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{=} 4 \cdot \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{12} \\ &= \frac{4 \cdot \sigma^2}{12 \cdot n} = \frac{\sigma^2}{3n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Pelo Teorema 2, uma vez que  $E(y_n) = \theta$  e  $\text{Var}(y_n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $y_n$  é consistente para  $\theta$ .

(3)

\*  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d. v.a.'s

\*  $E(X_i) = \mu, E(|X_i|^2) < \infty$

\*  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n i \cdot X_i$

$$E[T(X_1, \dots, X_n)] = \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n i \cdot E(X_i)$$

$$= \frac{2\mu}{n(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n i$$

$$= \frac{2\mu}{n(n+1)} \cdot \frac{(1+n) \cdot n}{2}$$

$$= \mu.$$

$$\text{Var}(T(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \left[ \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \right]^2 \cdot \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n i X_i\right)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{=} \left[ \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \right]^2 \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \cdot \text{Var}(X_i)$$

$$= \left[ \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \right]^2 \cdot \sigma_X^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n i^2$$

$$= \left[ \frac{2}{n(n+1)} \right]^2 \sigma_X^2 \cdot \frac{n \cdot (n+1) \cdot (2n+1)}{6}$$

$$= \frac{4}{n \cdot (n+1)} \cdot \frac{(2n+1)}{6} \cdot \sigma_X^2$$

$$= \left( \frac{2n+1}{n^2+n} \right) \cdot \frac{2}{3} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{x}}$$

$$\longrightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

Pelo Teorema 2, uma vez  $E(T_n) \rightarrow \mu$  e  $\text{Var}(T_n) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$T_n$  é consistente para  $\mu$ .

(4) \*  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  amostra de  $U[0, \theta]$

$$* T_n = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^{1/n}$$

$$* \psi(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{e}$$

$$Y_n = \ln(T_n) \quad (T_n = e^{Y_n})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \cdot \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(X_i)$$

$$E(\ln(X_i)) = \int_0^\theta \ln(x) \cdot \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot dx.$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta} \int_0^\theta \ln(x) dx.$$

$$\int_0^\theta \ln(x) dx = \ln(x) \cdot x \Big|_0^\theta - \int_0^\theta x \cdot \frac{1}{x} dx$$

$$= \ln(x) \cdot x \Big|_0^\theta - \int_0^\theta 1 dx$$

$$= \ln(\theta) \cdot \theta - \theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot [\ln(\theta) \cdot \theta - \theta]$$

$$= \ln(\theta) - 1$$

Pela Lei Forte dos Grandes Números.

$$Y_n = \frac{\ln(x_1) + \dots + \ln(x_n)}{n} \xrightarrow{q.c.} E(\ln(x_1)) = \ln(\theta) - 1$$

Pelo Teorema da Aplicação Contínua

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{q.c.} \ln(\theta) - 1 \Rightarrow$$

$$T_n = e^{Y_n} \xrightarrow{q.c.} \exp(\ln(\theta) - 1) = \theta \cdot e^{-1}$$

Uma vez que  $T_n \xrightarrow{q.c.} \psi(\theta) = \theta \cdot e^{-1}$ , então  $T_n \xrightarrow{P} \psi(\theta)$

$\therefore T_n$  é estimador consistente para  $\psi(\theta) = \theta \cdot e^{-1}$

(5)  $* T(\underline{X}) = X_{(n)}$

$$F_{X_{(n)}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0 \\ \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^n, & 0 \leq x < \theta \\ 1, & x \geq \theta \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$f_{X_{(n)}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \notin (0, \theta) \\ \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^n = \frac{n \cdot x^{n-1}}{\theta^n}, & x \in (0, \theta) \end{cases}$$

$\theta \qquad n-1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E X_{(n)} &= \int_0^{\theta} x \cdot \frac{n \cdot x^{n-1}}{\theta^n} \cdot dx \\
 &= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \cdot \int_0^{\theta} x^n dx \\
 &= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \cdot \left[ \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_0^{\theta} \\
 &= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \cdot \frac{\theta^{n+1}}{n+1} = \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right) \cdot \theta
 \end{aligned}$$

Sabemos que  $\{T_n\}$  é assintoticamente não viesado p/  $\theta$ , se.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\theta} T_n(\underline{X}) = \theta$$

OBS: O enunciado diz para mostrar que  $T(\underline{X}) = X_{(n)}$  é "asymptotically biased". Isso está errado, deveria dizer "asymptotically unbiased".

⑥ \*  $T(\underline{X}) = c X_{(n)}$ ,  $c > 0$  (Há erro de impressão no enunciado)

$$* T_{\theta}(\underline{X}) = \frac{(n+2)}{(n+1)} X_{(n)}$$

$$* MSE_{\theta}(T) = Var_{\theta}(T(\underline{X})) + [bias(T, \theta)]^2$$

$$MSE(c X_{(n)}, \theta) = c^2 \cdot Var(X_{(n)}) + [c E(X_{(n)}) - \theta]^2$$

$$E(X_{(n)}) = \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right) \theta \quad (\text{Calculado na questão 5})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[X_{(n)}^2] &= \int_0^{\theta} \frac{x^2 \cdot n \cdot x^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dx \\
 &= \int_0^{\theta} \frac{n x^{n+1}}{\theta^n} dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^{\theta} \frac{n x^{n+1}}{\theta^n} dx$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^n} \cdot \left[ \frac{x^{n+2}}{(n+2)} \right]_0^{\theta}$$

$$= \frac{n \cdot \theta^{n+2}}{\theta^n \cdot (n+2)} = \frac{n}{n+2} \cdot \theta^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_{(n)}) &= \frac{n}{n+2} \theta^2 - \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2} \cdot \theta^2 \\ &= \left[ \frac{n \cdot (n+1)^2 - n^2 \cdot (n+2)}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \right] \theta^2 \\ &= \left[ \frac{\cancel{n} + 2\cancel{n^2} + n - \cancel{n^3} - 2\cancel{n^2}}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \right] \theta^2 \\ &= \left[ \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \right] \cdot \theta^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{MSE}(c X_{(n)}, \theta) = c^2 \cdot \left[ \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \right] \theta^2 + \left[ c \frac{n}{n+1} - 1 \right]^2 \theta^2$$

Vamos escrever a função acima como uma função de  $c$ .

$$\text{MSE}(c) = c^2 \cdot \left[ \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \right] \theta^2 + \left[ c \frac{n}{n+1} - 1 \right]^2 \theta^2 \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{MSE}(c)}{\theta^2} &= c^2 \left[ \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \right] + \left( c \cdot \frac{n}{n+1} - 1 \right)^2 \\ &= c^2 \left[ \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \right] + \frac{c^2 \cdot n^2}{(n+1)^2} - \frac{2 \cdot c \cdot n}{(n+1)} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{MSE}^*(c) = \frac{\text{MSE}(c)}{\theta^2}$$

$$\frac{d}{dc} \text{MSE}^*(c) = 2c \cdot \left[ \frac{n}{(n+2)(n+1)^2} \right] + \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2} \cdot 2c - \frac{2n}{(n+1)}$$



Como provar que  $T_{\theta}(X) = \frac{n+2}{n+1} X_{(n)}$  possui o menor MSE?

### Problems 8.3

①

(a) \*  $X \sim B(\alpha, \beta)$

(i)  $\alpha$  desconhecido,  $\beta$  conhecido

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)} \cdot x_i^{\alpha-1} (1-x_i)^{\beta-1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\left[ \Gamma(\beta) \right]^n \prod x_i (1-x_i)} \cdot \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right]^n \left( \prod x_i \right)^{\alpha} \\
 &\quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{g_{\alpha}(\prod x_i)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \prod x_i$  é estatística suficiente para  $\alpha$ .

(ii)  $\alpha$  conhecido,  $\beta$  desconhecido

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{1}{\left[ \Gamma(\alpha) \right]^n} \cdot \frac{\prod x_i^{\alpha}}{\prod x_i (1-x_i)} \cdot \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \right]^n \left( \prod (1-x_i) \right)^{\beta} \\
 &\quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{1/\dots} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{(\prod (1-x_i))^{\beta}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\underbrace{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{\text{h}} \quad \underbrace{g_{\beta}(\prod (1-x_i))}_{\text{g}_{\beta}}$$

$\therefore \prod (1-x_i)$  é estatística suficiente para  $\beta$ .

(iii)  $\alpha, \beta$  desconhecidos.

$$\theta = (\alpha, \beta)$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \underbrace{\prod \frac{1}{x_i(1-x_i)}}_{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \cdot \underbrace{\left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \right]^n (\prod x_i)^{\alpha} (\prod (1-x_i))^{\beta}}_{g_{\theta}(\prod x_i, \prod (1-x_i))}$$

$\therefore \prod x_i$  e  $\prod (1-x_i)$  são estatísticas suficientes para  $\alpha$  e  $\beta$ .

② \*  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  amostra de  $N(\alpha\sigma, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  conhecido

$$* T(\underline{X}) = (\sum X_i, \sum X_i^2)$$

II Demonstrar que  $T(\underline{X})$  é suficiente para  $\sigma$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\sum (x_i - \alpha\sigma)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^n} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\sigma^2} + \alpha \frac{\sum x_i}{\sigma} - \frac{n \cdot \alpha^2 \sigma^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sigma^n}}_{h(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \cdot \underbrace{\exp\left(-\frac{\sum x_i^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\alpha \sum x_i}{\sigma} - \frac{n \alpha^2}{2}\right)}_{g_{\sigma}(\sum x_i, \sum x_i^2)} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore T(\underline{X}) = (\underbrace{\sum X_i}_{T_1}, \underbrace{\sum X_i^2}_{T_2})$  é estatística suficiente para  $\sigma$

II Demonstrar que a família de distribuições  $T(\underline{X})$  é não completa.

$$E_{\sigma} \left\{ \left( \sum X_i \right)^2 - (n+1) \sum X_i^2 \right\} = 0, \forall \sigma$$

Vamos supor que  $P\left\{ 2T_1^2 - (n+1)T_2 = 0 \right\} = 1$ .

$$2T_1^2 - (n+1)T_2 = 0 \Rightarrow T_2 = \frac{2}{(n+1)} T_1^2$$

?

- (3) \*  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$   
 \*  $\underline{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  clearly sufficient for  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ .

- (4) \*  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  sample from  $U(\theta - 1/2, \theta + 1/2)$ ,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ .  
 \*  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\min X_i, \max X_i)$

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in (\theta - 1/2, \theta + 1/2) \\ 0, & \text{c.c.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{1}_A(x_1, \dots, x_n), \text{ em que}$$

$$A = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) : \theta - \frac{1}{2} \leq \min x_i \leq \max x_i \leq \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right\}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Pelo Critério da Fatoração,  $T(\underline{X}) = (\min X_i, \max X_i)$  é suficiente.

- Note que  $\max X_i - \min X_i$  é estatística ancilar para  $\theta$ .

Pelo Teorema de Basu: se  $T(\underline{X})$  for estatística suficiente e completa e se  $R(\underline{X})$  for uma estatística ancilar, então  $T(\underline{X})$  e  $R(\underline{X})$  são independentes.

Caso  $T(\underline{X}) = (\min X_i, \max X_i)$  fosse completa, seria independente de  $R(\underline{X}) = \max X_i - \min X_i$ . Contudo, isso é uma contradição pois  $(\min X_i, \max X_i)$  determina completamente  $\max X_i - \min X_i$ .

12 \*  $X_1, X_2$  amostra de  $P(\lambda)$

\*  $T(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + \alpha X_2$ ,  $\alpha > 1$  inteiro

Sabe-se que  $T = T(\underline{X})$  é suficiente para  $\theta$  se, e somente se, a distribuição condicional de  $\underline{X}$ , dado  $T = t$  não depende de  $\theta$ .

$$P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1 \mid X_1 + \alpha X_2 = \alpha) = \frac{P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1)}{P(X_1 + \alpha X_2 = \alpha)}$$

I Calcular numerador.

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1) &= e^{-\lambda} \cdot e^{-\lambda} \lambda \\ &= \lambda \cdot e^{-2\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

II Calcular denominador

Lei da Probabilidade Total

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_1 + \alpha X_2 = \alpha) &= P(X_1 + \alpha X_2 = \alpha, X_2 = 1) + P(X_1 + \alpha X_2 = \alpha, X_2 = 0) \\ &= P(X_1 + \alpha X_2 = \alpha \mid X_2 = 1) \cdot P(X_2 = 1) + \\ &\quad P(X_1 + \alpha X_2 = \alpha \mid X_2 = 0) \cdot P(X_2 = 0) \\ &= P(X_1 = 0) \cdot P(X_2 = 1) + P(X_1 = \alpha) \cdot P(X_2 = 0) \\ &= \lambda \cdot e^{-2\lambda} + \frac{e^{-\lambda} \cdot \lambda^\alpha}{\alpha!} \cdot e^{-\lambda} \\ &= \lambda e^{-2\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha!} \right) \end{aligned}$$

III Cálculo final

$$P(X_1 = 0, X_2 = 1 \mid X_1 + \alpha X_2 = \alpha) = \left[ 1 + \frac{\lambda^{\alpha-1}}{\alpha!} \right]^{-1}$$

$\therefore X_1 + \alpha X_2$  não é estatística suficiente para  $\lambda$