## Sprint Retrospective

## 1 TEAM MEMBERS:

(List the team members for this sprint, regardless of if they actively participated or not)

- 1. Raj Pannu
- 2. Kaelan Croucher
- 3. Rohan Sharma
- 4. Marcus Caparini

## 2 WHAT WENT WELL?

(Using a bulleted or numbered list, indicate the aspects of the sprint that the team believes they did well. You can consider such aspects as communication, teamwork, social interactions, overcoming obstacles, and so forth).

- We communicated and delegated tasks well. The division of work between us made it seem less overwhelming.
- As a result of the above we all understood the project more comprehensively, as we were
  always going back and forth about the interaction of our interfaces. Because of this tracking
  problems became easier as when something came up about our specific area, we were able to
  track it down right away instead of scrambling all over the program

## 3 WHAT DID NOT GO WELL?

(Using a bulleted or numbered list, indicate the aspects of the sprint that the team believes they could have improved. You can consider such aspects as communication, teamwork, social interactions, obstacles that were not resolved).

- It took a lot of time. I think in the future we would prefer smaller, more frequent sessions as opposed to long ones right at the deadline.
- Our implementation needs some improvement. Some classes/functions are performing a lot of duties, and specializing the functionalities more would help us make a more modular program.