


# The Laws of Transdimensional Thermodynamics: Capacity, Payload, and the Area Quantum at the Ledger in DCT

Marek Hubka  \*

November 10, 2025

## Abstract

We formulate and justify three *Transdimensional Thermodynamics* (TDT) laws that govern snap events on the ledger  $\mathcal{L}$ : (TDT-1) the capacity–payload balance  $\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \Delta S_{\text{info}}$ ; (TDT-2) the area calibration that fixes the one-bit cost  $\Delta \mathcal{A}_{\text{one bit}} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2$  in 4D ( $\Delta \mathcal{A}_D = {}^{(D)}A_{\text{P}}/\mathcal{T}$  in  $D$  dimensions); and (TDT-3) a local, covariant statement that ledger entropy grows only at snaps via a delta-supported source proportional to the exterior entropy influx. These laws are consistent with the black-hole first law and the Clausius relation in local Rindler patches [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], and fit hand-in-glove with the geometric mechanism (NPR) and lossless inner boundary (real–Robin) of DCT/QG parts I-II [6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction and context</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Setup and notation</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The three TDT laws</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Derivations and justification</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Consistency with GR thermodynamics</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Dynamics interface: NPR, Robin, and the impulse</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>D-dimensional generalization</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Capacity, used space, and remnants</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Worked mini-examples</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Discussion and outlook</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Formal Derivation of the Area Quantum</b>	<b>8</b>

---

\*Independent Researcher, Czech Republic.  
Website: [tidesofuncertainty.com](https://tidesofuncertainty.com). Email: [marek@tidesofuncertainty.com](mailto:marek@tidesofuncertainty.com).

# 1 Introduction and context

DCT/QG part I fixed the *placement* of the ledger  $\mathcal{L}$  [6] by the invariant curvature trigger

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}} = \frac{12}{\overline{\mathcal{T}}},$$

which yields  $r_{\mathcal{L}} = \sqrt{\overline{\mathcal{T}}} R_S$  and  $A_{\mathcal{L}}/A_H = \overline{\mathcal{T}}$  for the Schwarzschild family [1, 2, 6]. Part II defined the geometric mechanism of a snap, *Null-Pair Removal* (NPR)[7], and justified the *real-Robin* inner boundary that makes the exterior evolution unitary and lossless between snaps [7, 8, 9, 10].

In this paper we add the missing thermodynamic layer: statements that tie the *payload information* written at a snap to *ledger capacity* and a *geometric area tick*. The three boxed laws below are the main deliverables.

## 2 Setup and notation

We use units  $c = \hbar = k_B = 1$ , keeping the Newton constant  $G$  (or  $G_D$ ) explicit. We reserve  $G_D$  exclusively for the  $D$ -dimensional gravitational coupling in the Einstein–Hilbert action. For clarity we denote the  $D$ -dimensional Planck “area” unit by  $^{(D)}A_P \equiv ^{(D)}\ell_P^{D-2}$ ; in our normalization  $^{(D)}A_P = G_D$ .

Entropy is measured in *nats*; one bit equals  $\ln 2$  nats. The Ledger’s thermodynamic entropy (capacity) is

$$S_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{A_{\mathcal{L}}}{4\ell_P^2} = \frac{A_{\mathcal{L}}}{4G} \quad (4D) \quad \text{and} \quad ^{(D)}S_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{^{(D)}A_{\mathcal{L}}}{4^{(D)}A_P} = \frac{^{(D)}A_{\mathcal{L}}}{4G_D} \quad (D\text{-dim}).$$

One irreversible bit ( $\Delta S_{\text{info}} = \ln 2$ ) consumes

$$\Delta \mathcal{A}_{\text{one bit}} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_P^2 \quad (4D), \quad \Delta \mathcal{A}_D = 4 \ln 2 ^{(D)}A_P \quad (D\text{-dim}).$$

**Information vs. thermodynamic entropy (definitions).** We distinguish two a priori different entropies:

- *Information (Shannon/von Neumann) entropy*  $S_{\text{info}}$ : a measure of missing information about a variable or quantum state (units: nats unless noted; one bit =  $\ln 2$  nats).
- *Thermodynamic (Boltzmann/Gibbs) entropy*  $S_{\text{th}}$ : the state function entering Clausius  $\delta Q = T dS_{\text{th}}$  and the Bekenstein–Hawking relation  $S_{\text{BH}} = A/(4\ell_P^2)$ .

Unless stated otherwise,  $S_{\text{th}}$  refers to black-hole or Ledger thermodynamic entropy derived from geometry.  $S_{\text{info}}$  refers to the *payload* written during a snap.

### 3 The three TDT laws

**Information–Thermodynamics Distinction** *Conceptual non-identity.* Information entropy  $S_{\text{info}}$  and thermodynamic entropy  $S_{\text{th}}$  are distinct notions. In particular,

$$S_{\text{info}} \neq S_{\text{th}} \text{ in general,} \quad \delta Q = T dS_{\text{th}} \text{ (Clausius)}$$

and  $S_{\text{BH}} = A/(4\ell_{\text{P}}^2)$  concerns  $S_{\text{th}}$ .

**TDT 1** (Capacity–payload balance). At a snap, DCT imposes the *capacity–payload constraint*

$$\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \Delta S_{\text{info}}$$

*Interpretation.* Writing  $\Delta S_{\text{info}}$  nats of *payload* at a snap increases ledger capacity by  $\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}}$ ; reversible metadata  $(X, Y, Z)$ [11] carry no capacity cost.

This is a *matching rule* between distinct quantities, not a claim that  $S_{\text{info}}$  and  $S_{\mathcal{L}}$  are the same concept.

**TDT 2** (Area calibration).

$$\Delta \mathcal{A}_{\text{one bit}} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2 \quad (4\text{D}), \quad \Delta \mathcal{A}_D = \frac{{}^{(D)}A_{\text{P}}}{\mathcal{T}} \quad (D\text{-dim}).$$

for a single irreversible payload bit. Equivalently,  $\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \ln 2$  per payload bit.

*Units:* one bit =  $\ln 2$  nats; hence  $\Delta \mathcal{A} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2$  matches  $S_{\mathcal{L}} = \ln 2$  via  $S_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}/(4\ell_{\text{P}}^2)$ .

**TDT 3** (Episodic growth — local covariant form). Let  $s^a$  be a *surface* entropy current on the Ledger worldtube  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{L}}$  (induced metric  $\gamma_{ab}$ , volume element  $dV_{\mathcal{W}}$ ), and let  $\sigma_{\text{in}}$  denote the exterior entropy influx density. Then, in the sense of distributions on  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{L}}$ ,

$$\nabla_a s^a = \sum_i J_{(i)} \delta_{\Sigma_i}$$

with  $J_{(i)} = \int_{\Sigma_i} \sigma_{\text{in}} d\Sigma$ . Equivalently, for any slab  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{L}}$  crossing  $N$  snaps,

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla_a s^a dV_{\mathcal{W}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta S_{\mathcal{L}}^{(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Sigma_i} \sigma_{\text{in}} d\Sigma,$$

and between snaps  $\nabla_a s^a = 0$ . Along an inward generator with affine parameter  $\lambda$ ,

$$\left. \frac{dS_{\mathcal{L}}}{d\lambda} \right|_{\text{snap}} = \sigma_{\text{in}}|_{\mathcal{L}} \geq 0, \quad \frac{dS_{\mathcal{L}}}{d\lambda} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$



Figure 1: **TDT-3 (episodic growth) as a staircase.** Quiet phases have  $\nabla_a s^a = 0$  (flat segments). At snaps, the Ledger writes payload  $\Delta S_{\text{info}} = \ln 2$  and increases capacity  $\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \ln 2$ , producing a jump  $\Delta \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2$  via  $S_{\text{th}}|_{\mathcal{L}} = A_{\mathcal{L}}/4\ell_{\text{P}}^2$ ; see TDT-3.

## 4 Derivations and justification

### Justification for TDT-1

TDT-1 is the foundational axiom of the framework’s information bookkeeping. It states a direct, one-to-one equivalence between the information-theoretic content of an irreversible payload ( $\Delta S_{\text{info}}$  in nats) and the resulting change in the Ledger’s geometric entropy capacity ( $\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}}$ ). Hence

$$\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \Delta S_{\text{info}}.$$

This is motivated by the physical role of the Ledger:

- A snap changes the usable capacity of  $\mathcal{L}$  only through the irreversible payload bit  $W$ .
- The reversible geometric metadata bits  $(X, Y, Z)$  set the local frame and inner phase but, being reversible, can be written and un-written without thermodynamic cost and thus do not consume net capacity[11].

Therefore, the entire capacity increase must be equal to the payload written. The information-theoretic origin of the constant  $\mathcal{T}$  derived in part I of the DCT/QG framework[6], based on the minimal 1-in-4 bit structure of a reversible snap.

### From BH entropy to TDT-2

Using  $S_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{T} S_{\text{BH}}$  with  $S_{\text{BH}} = A_{\text{H}}/(4\ell_{\text{P}}^2)$  [5, 4, 1], a single payload bit gives

$$\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \ln 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta \mathcal{A} = 4\ell_{\text{P}}^2 \Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2 \quad (4D).$$

In  $D$  dimensions, adopt  ${}^{(D)}\ell_P^{D-2} \equiv {}^{(D)}A_P$ , the area tick is equivalent to

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}_D = \frac{{}^{(D)}A_P}{\mathcal{T}}.$$

This shows that the area quantum in TDT-2 is perfectly consistent with the ledger-horizon relations and TDT-1. A more formal, first-principles derivation of this result from the theory's foundational information axiom is provided in Appendix A.

### Local covariant form TDT-3

Define a surface current  $s^a$  on the worldtube of  $\mathcal{L}$  such that  $\int_{\mathcal{C}} s^a d\Sigma_a = \Delta S_{\mathcal{L}}$  for any cross-section  $\mathcal{C}$ . During lossless evolution with a real-Robin boundary, no energy/entropy crosses  $\mathcal{L}$  [8, 10, 9], hence  $\nabla_a s^a = 0$ . At a snap, couple  $s^a$  to the coarse-grained entropy influx  $\Phi_S$  associated with the predicate that defines  $W$  (NPR)[7]; the only allowed source is a delta-supported layer whose strength is fixed by the incoming payload entropy flux. This yields the boxed law in TDT-3[3].

## 5 Consistency with GR thermodynamics

### Horizon response convention at a snap

Two consistent bookkeeping choices exist:

**Option H (horizon-locked).** Impose  $A_{\mathcal{L}}/A_H = \mathcal{T}$  at all times. Then a Ledger tick  $\Delta A_{\mathcal{L}} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_P^2$  implies a concurrent horizon tick  $\Delta A_H = \Delta A_{\mathcal{L}}/\mathcal{T}$ , so

$$\delta S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\Delta A_H}{4\ell_P^2} = \frac{\ln 2}{\mathcal{T}}, \quad \delta M = T_H \delta S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{T_H \ln 2}{\mathcal{T}}.$$

**Option L (ledger-local).** Treat the snap as an interior write with no instantaneous horizon change:  $\Delta A_{\mathcal{L}} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_P^2$ ,  $\Delta A_H = 0$  at the event. The ratio  $A_{\mathcal{L}}/A_H$  then relaxes back to  $\mathcal{T}$  under subsequent GR evolution; no horizon heat crosses at the instant.

**Adopted convention.** For algebraic continuity with ledger placement in part I of DCT/QG[6] we adopt **Option H** in what follows; **Option L** leads to the same coarse-grained predictions after relaxation.

### First law check (Kerr–Newman form)

The first law reads [5, 4, 1, 2]

$$dM = T_H dS_{\text{BH}} + \Omega_H dJ + \Phi_H dQ, \quad S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{A_H}{4\ell_P^2}.$$

We check consistency at fixed  $(J, Q)$  ( $dJ = dQ = 0$ ). At a *snap* (Option H), one payload bit gives

$$\Delta S_{\text{info}} = \ln 2, \quad \Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \ln 2 \quad (\text{TDT-1}).$$

Using the placement relation  $S_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{T} S_{\text{BH}}$ , the horizon change is

$$\Delta S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}}}{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{\ln 2}{\mathcal{T}}.$$

Interpreting the first law across this finite step as the energetic cost of writing this one bit to the black hole is therefore

$$\Delta M = T_H|_{\text{snap}} \Delta S_{\text{BH}} = T_H|_{\text{snap}} \frac{\ln 2}{\mathcal{T}}.$$

This matches the area quantum (TDT-2):  $\Delta A_{\mathcal{L}} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2$ , hence

$$\Delta A_{\text{H}} = \frac{\Delta A_{\mathcal{L}}}{\mathcal{T}}, \quad \Delta S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{\Delta A_{\text{H}}}{4\ell_{\text{P}}^2} = \frac{\ln 2}{\mathcal{T}}.$$

All relations are internally consistent.

### Clausius sketch (local Rindler)

In Jacobson's argument, imposing  $\delta Q = T \delta S$  across local Rindler horizons with  $\delta S \propto \delta A$  yields Einstein's equations [3]. On  $\mathcal{L}$  the entropy functional satisfies  $S_{\mathcal{L}} = A_{\mathcal{L}}/(4\ell_{\text{P}}^2)$ , so formally

$$dS_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{4\ell_{\text{P}}^2} dA_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

However, by the lossless boundary (real-Robin) condition, between snaps

$$\delta Q|_{\mathcal{L}} = 0 \Rightarrow dS_{\mathcal{L}} = 0, \quad \nabla_a s^a = 0.$$

At snaps the change is *discrete* and must be written with uppercase jumps:

$$\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \ln 2, \quad \Delta A_{\mathcal{L}} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2.$$

When comparing Ledger and horizon responses, the placement ratio  $\frac{A_{\mathcal{L}}}{A_{\text{H}}} = \mathcal{T}$  implies  $\Delta S_{\text{BH}} = \Delta S_{\mathcal{L}}/\mathcal{T}$ , reproducing the factor  $1/\mathcal{T}$  seen in the first-law check.

## 6 Dynamics interface: NPR, Robin, and the impulse

NPR part of DCT shows snaps are instantaneous maps comprising (i) state dephasing  $\rho \mapsto \sum_r \Pi_r \rho \Pi_r$  (writing  $W$ ) [12, 13], (ii) NPR deletion of normal components, and (iii) a focused Raychaudhuri impulse that ticks area by  $\Delta \mathcal{A}$ , ensuring finite focusing:

$$\int_{\lambda^-}^{\lambda^+} \theta d\lambda = \ln\left(1 + \frac{\Delta \mathcal{A}}{A}\right) \approx \frac{\Delta \mathcal{A}}{A}.$$

Between snaps, real-Robin implies unit-modulus reflectivity and self-adjoint exterior dynamics [8, 9, 10].

## 7 D-dimensional generalization

With  ${}^{(D)}\ell_{\text{P}}^{D-2} = {}^{(D)}A_{\text{P}}$ , the capacity and tick generalize to

$$S_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{{}^{(D)}A_{\mathcal{L}}}{4 {}^{(D)}A_{\text{P}}}, \quad \Delta\mathcal{A}_D = \frac{{}^{(D)}A_{\text{P}}}{\mathcal{T}}.$$

From part I, the placement/area ratios along the Tangherlini ladder satisfy

$$\frac{{}^{(D)}r_{\mathcal{L}}}{({}^{(D)}r_{\text{H}})} = \mathcal{T}^{\frac{1}{2(D-3)}}, \quad \frac{{}^{(D)}A_{\mathcal{L}}}{({}^{(D)}A_{\text{H}})} = \mathcal{T}^{\frac{D-2}{2(D-3)}},$$

so the *entropy* cost per snap is  $D$ -independent (one bit  $\Rightarrow \ln 2$  nats of payload), while areas/radii scale with  $D$  as above [2, 6].

## 8 Capacity, used space, and remnants

Define the total capacity (in bits) and the cumulative count of irreversible payload writes:

$$C(M) \equiv \frac{A_{\mathcal{L}}}{4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2}, \quad N_{\text{irr}}(t) \text{ non-decreasing (snaps only).}$$

Then

$$C(M(t)) \geq N_{\text{irr}}(t),$$

with equality at a stabilized remnant where evaporation has reduced  $C$  to the used payload  $N_{\text{irr}}$ . In 4D, this gives a parametric floor

$$M_{\text{rem}} \sim \frac{\ln 2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{N_{\text{irr}}} M_{\text{P}},$$

modulo *kinematic* floors from mode structure[11].

## 9 Worked mini-examples

**One-bit snap (4D).** Given  $\Delta S_{\text{info}} = \ln 2$ , TDT-1 yields  $\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \ln 2$  and TDT-2 gives  $\Delta\mathcal{A} = 4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2$ .

**Two separated snaps.** Between snaps,  $\nabla_a s^a = 0$  (lossless Robin), so the total jump in  $S_{\mathcal{L}}$  is the sum of the two impulses, each proportional to the respective  $\Phi_S$  at that event.

**$D$ -dimensional tick.** With  $G_D$  fixed, a single payload bit costs  $\Delta\mathcal{A}_D = {}^{(D)}A_{\text{P}}/\mathcal{T}$  irrespective of  $D$ ; geometric scaling enters only through the placement/area ratios.

## 10 Discussion and outlook

TDT fixes the ledger’s thermodynamic bookkeeping: how much capacity must be provisioned when a payload bit is written, how that translates into an area tick, and why ledger entropy grows only episodically. It *does not* decide *when* a snap occurs—that depends on the curvature trigger, NPR, and decoherence predicates [6, 7]. Upcoming in the DCT/QG series: we will formalize the reversible  $(X, Y, Z)$  bits; derive the focused Raychaudhuri impulse; develop GW echo phenomenology with inner phases set by this metadata.

## A Formal Derivation of the Area Quantum

This appendix provides the rigorous, first-principles derivation of second law of TDT, the universal area quantum. This derivation serves as the formal proof of Postulate P1 in part I of the DCT/QG framework, which was used in the ledger placement and derivation of  $\mathcal{T}$  constant. The argument proceeds from the theory’s most fundamental axiom regarding the information structure of a snap.

1. **The Foundational Information Axiom:** The theory’s starting point, justified heuristically in Section 4.1, is that a snap event has a minimal four-bit structure with only one irreversible payload bit ( $W$ ). This fixes the payload fraction to  $p = 1/4$  and thereby determines the universal constant  $\mathcal{T} = 1/(4 \ln 2)$  through the full QES analysis in part I.
2. **Entropy Cost per Bit (TDT–1):** The first law of TDT, states that the ledger capacity increase (in nats) equals the payload information written. For a single payload bit, this cost is:

$$\Delta S_{\mathcal{L}} = \Delta S_{\text{info}} = \ln 2.$$

3. **Deriving the Area Cost:** The ledger’s entropy is defined by the standard geometric formula,  $S_{\mathcal{L}} = A_{\mathcal{L}}/(4\ell_{\text{P}}^2)$ . A change in the ledger’s entropy must therefore correspond to a change in its area according to  $\Delta A_{\mathcal{L}} = 4\ell_{\text{P}}^2 \Delta S_{\mathcal{L}}$ . By substituting the entropy cost for one bit from the previous step, we derive the precise area quantum:

$$\Delta \mathcal{A}_{\text{one bit}} = 4\ell_{\text{P}}^2 \times (\ln 2) = 4 \ln 2 \ell_{\text{P}}^2.$$

This result is TDT–2 in four dimensions. This derivation demonstrates that the specific area cost per bit is not an independent postulate but is a direct and necessary consequence of TDT–1 and the fundamental information-theoretic axiom that fixes  $\mathcal{T}$ . This completes the proof of Postulate P1[6].

## References

- [1] Robert M. Wald. *General Relativity*. University of Chicago Press, 1984.
- [2] Eric Poisson. *A Relativist’s Toolkit: The Mathematics of Black-Hole Mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.



- [3] Ted Jacobson. Thermodynamics of spacetime: The einstein equation of state. *Physical Review Letters*, 75:1260–1263, 1995.
- [4] Robert M. Wald. Black hole entropy is the noether charge. *Physical Review D*, 48:R3427–R3431, 1993.
- [5] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter, and S. W. Hawking. The four laws of black hole mechanics. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 31:161–170, 1973.
- [6] Marek Hubka. The Universal Interior Surface of Black Holes and the Derivation of the Transdimensional Constant, 2025. DOI:10.5281/zenodo.17289422.
- [7] Marek Hubka. Null-Pair Removal - The Geometric Mechanism of Dimensional Reduction, 2025. DOI:10.5281/zenodo.17433780.
- [8] Akihiro Ishibashi and Robert M. Wald. Dynamics in non-globally hyperbolic static spacetimes. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 20:3815, 2003.
- [9] Michael Reed and Barry Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics II: Fourier Analysis, Self-Adjointness*. Academic Press, 1975.
- [10] Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations*. American Mathematical Society, 2nd edition, 2010.
- [11] Marek Hubka. An IR complete framework for Quantum Gravity in D-dimensions. Zenodo, 2025. DOI:10.5281/zenodo.17136167.
- [12] Michael A. Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, 10th anniversary edition, 2010.
- [13] Heinz-Peter Breuer and Francesco Petruccione. *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*. Oxford University Press, 2002.