

The Universal Interior Surface of Black Holes and the Derivation of the Transdimensional Constant

Marek Hubka  *

October 7, 2025

Abstract

We derive, from first principles, the location of a universal interior surface \mathcal{L} inside stationary black holes where the generalized expansion vanishes. In four dimensions we obtain a universal, dimensionless constant $\mathcal{T} = 1/(4 \ln 2)$ (the *Transdimensional Constant*), which fixes the local curvature threshold $\mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}} = 48 \ln 2$ for the dimensionless invariant $\mathcal{I}(r) \equiv K(r) r^4$ (with K the Kretschmann scalar) and places the ledger at $r_{\mathcal{L}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{T}} R_S$. Equivalently, in 4D the ledger's area fraction equals \mathcal{T} : $A(\mathcal{L})/A_H = \mathcal{T}$. Our reasoning has two legs. *Orthodox leg (GR + semiclassical QFT)*: a lossless, self-adjoint inner boundary implies a QES condition $\Theta_{\text{gen}} = 0$ whose solution selects $\mathcal{I}(r) = \mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}} = 24/(4\ell_P^2 \gamma_{\text{rob}})$, with a single universal one-loop number γ_{rob} from the replica/heat-kernel coefficient on a cone with real-Robin boundary [1, 2]. *Closure leg (minimal information principles)*: black-hole thermodynamics in bits, together with the minimal reversible record at snaps, fixes $\gamma_{\text{rob}} = \ln 2/(2\ell_P^2)$, hence $\mathcal{T} = 1/(4 \ln 2)$ with no adjustable parameters. We also check energy accounting $E_{\text{slab}} = M$, quantum focusing, and higher-dimensional continuation.

Contents

1	Setup and invariant ruler	2
2	Orthodox leg I: lossless inner boundary from unitarity	2
3	Orthodox leg II: QES stationarity and the one-number reduction	2
4	Closure leg: fixing γ_{rob} from minimal information principles	4
5	Consistency Checks and Foundational Results	4
6	Higher-dimensional continuation	5
7	Discussion and outlook	6
A	Kerr consistency checks	6

*Independent Researcher, Czech Republic.
Website: tidesofuncertainty.com. Email: marek@tidesofuncertainty.com.

1 Setup and invariant ruler

Consider a four-dimensional, stationary, asymptotically flat black hole (Schwarzschild for concreteness) of mass M , with horizon radius $R_S = 2M$. The Kretschmann scalar is

$$K(r) = R_{ABCD}R^{ABCD} = \frac{48M^2}{r^6}.$$

Define the *local, dimensionless* curvature ruler

$$\mathcal{I}(r) \equiv K(r) r^4.$$

Label any round interior 2-sphere by the dimensionless area fraction

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{A(r)}{A_H} = \left(\frac{r}{R_S}\right)^2 \implies \mathcal{I}(R_S) = 12, \quad \mathcal{I}(r) = \frac{12}{\alpha}.$$

The aim is to determine, from first principles, the *constant* \mathcal{T} and the physically admissible interior surface \mathcal{L} ; in 4D this will imply $A(\mathcal{L})/A_H = \mathcal{T}$ and $r_{\mathcal{L}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{T}} R_S$

2 Orthodox leg I: lossless inner boundary from unitarity

Excise the deep interior at a trial sphere r . Requiring the exterior dynamics to be unitary imposes that the radial Hamiltonian on a half-line be self-adjoint. For each partial-wave channel this enforces a *real-Robin* boundary condition at r ,

$$(\partial_{r_*} + B) \psi|_r = 0, \quad B \in \mathbb{R},$$

where r_* is the tortoise coordinate. The associated reflection amplitude obeys

$$R(\omega) = \frac{B - i\omega}{B + i\omega} e^{2i\varphi(\omega)}, \quad |R(\omega)| = 1,$$

so the surface is *lossless*: there is no normal energy flux through r . This step fixes *what kind* of inner surface is allowed (unit-modulus reflection), not *where* it is located.

3 Orthodox leg II: QES stationarity and the one-number reduction

Let k^a be the inward null generator orthogonal to a round sphere at r . The generalized expansion reads [3]

$$\Theta_{\text{gen}}(r) = \underbrace{\theta(r)}_{\text{GR}} + 4\ell_P^2 \underbrace{\partial_\lambda \left(\frac{S_{\text{out}}}{\delta \mathcal{A}} \right)(r)}_{\text{QFT on the same background and boundary}},$$

and a quantum extremal surface (QES) satisfies $\Theta_{\text{gen}} = 0$.

Geometric piece. For a round sphere pushed inward along k^a ,

$$\theta(r) = -\frac{2}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{R_S}{r^2}\right).$$

QFT piece (structure). In a local Rindler frame anchored on the sphere, with the *same* real–Robin boundary, the null first-law integral and the replica/heat-kernel analysis on the cone localize the entropy response on the surface and yield the universal form

$$\partial_\lambda \left(\frac{S_{\text{out}}}{\delta A} \right)(r) = \frac{\gamma_{\text{rob}}}{r} \frac{\mathcal{I}(r)}{12},$$

where γ_{rob} is a *single* dimensionless one-loop coefficient determined by the Seeley–DeWitt data on the cone with real–Robin boundary. The only r -dependence outside I is the common affine normalization $1/r$.

Stationarity selects a constant $\mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}}$. Insert both pieces into $\Theta_{\text{gen}} = 0$; the factor $1/r$ cancels:

$$-2 + 4\ell_P^2 \gamma_{\text{rob}} \frac{\mathcal{I}(r)}{12} = 0 \implies \mathcal{I}(r) = \mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}} \equiv \frac{24}{4\ell_P^2 \gamma_{\text{rob}}}.$$

Using $\mathcal{I}(r) = 12/\alpha$,

$$\boxed{\alpha = \frac{12}{\mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}}} = 2\ell_P^2 \gamma_{\text{rob}}}.$$

At this point, the location of \mathcal{L} is reduced to *one* universal number γ_{rob} .

Where γ_{rob} comes from (orthodox content). In four dimensions, with entropy measured in *bits*, the replica/heat-kernel dictionary gives

$$\gamma_{\text{rob}} = \frac{\tilde{c}_{\text{rob}}}{4\pi \ln 2}, \quad \tilde{c}_{\text{rob}} \equiv c_{\text{bulk}} + c_{\text{bdry}}^{(\text{Robin})},$$

where c_{bulk} is the standard cone coefficient (e.g. $1/90$ for a real scalar) and $c_{\text{bdry}}^{(\text{Robin})}$ is the boundary contribution for a real–Robin condition on a smooth 2-sphere; both are tabulated Seeley–DeWitt data [1]. Summing the field content yields a definite \tilde{c}_{rob} and thus γ_{rob} .

Orthodox hand-off. The orthodox derivation thus fixes α *up to* the single, standard one-loop constant γ_{rob} . No additional physics beyond GR, QFT in curved spacetime, and BH thermodynamics has been used.

4 Closure leg: fixing γ_{rob} from minimal information principles

We now close the last gap using two principles that are themselves part of the established thermodynamic structure and of a conservative microscopic bookkeeping at curvature-threshold events:

- **(P1) Bit-normalized BH density[4].** Expressed in *bits*, the Bekenstein–Hawking law fixes a universal surface density

$$\frac{S}{A} \Big|_{\text{bits}} = \frac{1}{4 \ln 2} \frac{1}{\ell_P^2},$$

i.e. an irreversible *payload* bit costs area $\Delta A = 4 \ln 2 \ell_P^2$.

- **(P2) Minimal reversible record at snaps[4].** At a curvature-threshold event (snap) the ledger records a four-bit register br, $X, Y, Z\}$, of which *only* the payload bit br is thermodynamic (irreversible); the three geometric bits X, Y, Z encode reversible metadata that ensure lossless, self-adjoint evolution (no dissipation).

At a *lossless* cut there are two null directions sharing the local entanglement response symmetrically. Calibrated in bits via (P1), and with no dissipative leakage thanks to (P2), the null shape derivative per area is therefore fixed to

$$\partial_\lambda \left(\frac{S_{\text{out}}}{\delta A} \right) = \underbrace{\frac{\ln 2}{2 \ell_P^2}}_{\gamma_{\text{rob}}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\mathcal{I}(r)}{12}.$$

Thus $\gamma_{\text{rob}} = \ln 2 / (2 \ell_P^2)$ without adjustable parameters. Plugging into the orthodox stationarity yields

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}} = \frac{24}{4 \ell_P^2 \gamma_{\text{rob}}} = \frac{24}{4 \ell_P^2 (\ln 2 / 2 \ell_P^2)} = 48 \ln 2.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}} = 48 \ln 2$$

\Downarrow

$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{4 \ln 2}, \quad r_{\mathcal{L}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{T}} R_S = \frac{R_S}{\sqrt{4 \ln 2}}, \quad \frac{A(\mathcal{L})}{A_H} = \mathcal{T} \text{ (in 4D)}.$

5 Consistency Checks and Foundational Results

We now verify that the framework is self-consistent and leads to profound physical consequences.

Mass Independence and Locality. The ledger placement rule, $\mathcal{I}(r_{\mathcal{L}}) = \mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}}$, is constructed from a dimensionless scalar invariant. It is therefore independent of the black hole's mass and is defined by the local spacetime geometry, ensuring a universal and observer-independent criterion.

Energy Accounting and the Origin of Mass. With a lossless inner boundary at $r_{\mathcal{L}}$, the total quasi-local energy E_{slab} contained within the spacetime region between the ledger and

the event horizon is given by the Misner-Sharp mass difference, $E_{\text{slab}}(v) \equiv M(v) - m(r_{\mathcal{L}})$. The change in this energy is governed by the flux across its boundaries. As no energy flux can cross the lossless ledger ($dm(r_{\mathcal{L}})/dv = 0$), any change in the slab's energy must be equal to the energy flux crossing the event horizon:

$$\frac{dE_{\text{slab}}}{dv} = \int_{R_S} T_{ab} k^a k^b d\mathcal{A} = \frac{dM}{dv}.$$

Integrating this relation gives $E_{\text{slab}} = M + \text{const}$. By setting the physically necessary boundary condition that a zero-mass black hole must contain zero slab energy, the constant of integration vanishes. This leads to a profound result:

$$E_{\text{slab}} = M.$$

This demonstrates that the black hole's entire mass-energy, as measured from infinity, is physically stored as the energy of the curved vacuum in the "slab" region between the ledger and the event horizon. The classical singularity is not needed to contain the mass; it is non-locally distributed in the gravitational field itself.

Quantum Focusing and Stability. The ledger's placement is consistent with the Quantum Focusing Conjecture (QFC), which is believed to be a fundamental law of quantum gravity. The QFC states that the expansion of a null congruence, when generalized to include entropy gradients (Θ_{gen}), must be non-increasing ($d\Theta_{\text{gen}}/d\lambda \leq 0$). We identify the ledger \mathcal{L} as the stable surface where this generalized expansion is zero, $\Theta_{\text{gen}}(r_{\mathcal{L}}) = 0$. This makes the ledger a uniquely stable boundary: any hypothetical surface placed further out would violate the QFC, while any surface placed further in would represent a state of runaway focusing. The ledger is therefore the outermost possible stable quantum-gravitational boundary inside the event horizon.

Flat-Space Limit. As the mass of the black hole approaches zero ($M \rightarrow 0$), the event horizon radius R_S and the ledger radius $r_{\mathcal{L}}$ also shrink to zero. The slab region vanishes, and its energy $E_{\text{slab}} = M$ correctly goes to zero. The construction smoothly reduces to empty, flat spacetime, satisfying a crucial consistency check.

6 Higher-dimensional continuation

For a Tangherlini black hole in D dimensions, the Kretschmann scalar is

$${}^{(D)}K(r) = \frac{C_D r_H^{2(D-3)}}{r^{2(D-1)}}, \quad C_D = (D-1)(D-2)^2(D-3).$$

Define the dimensionless invariant as in the manifest,

$${}^{(D)}\mathcal{I}(r) \equiv {}^{(D)}K(r) L^4, \quad \text{with } L = r \text{ for spherical symmetry.}$$

Then at the horizon and at a general radius,

$${}^{(D)}\mathcal{I}(R_S) = C_D, \quad \frac{{}^{(D)}\mathcal{I}(r)}{{}^{(D)}\mathcal{I}(r_H)} = \alpha^{-\frac{2(D-3)}{D-2}}, \quad \alpha \equiv \left(\frac{r}{r_H}\right)^{D-2}.$$

Matching the same bit-density factor $4 \ln 2 = 1/\mathcal{T}$ at the Ledger gives

$$\boxed{\alpha_{\mathcal{L}}^{(D)} = (4 \ln 2)^{-\frac{D-2}{2(D-3)}}, \quad \frac{r_{\mathcal{L}}}{r_H} = (4 \ln 2)^{-\frac{1}{2(D-3)}}, \quad {}^{(D)}\mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}} = C_D 4 \ln 2}.$$

For $D = 4$ this reduces to ${}^{(4)}\mathcal{I}_{\text{crit}} = 48 \ln 2$ and $r_{\mathcal{L}} = \sqrt{\mathcal{T}} R_S$ with $\mathcal{T} = 1/(4 \ln 2)$.

7 Discussion and outlook

We have split the derivation of \mathcal{T} into an orthodox leg and a closure leg. The orthodox leg, based entirely on GR, semiclassical QFT, and BH thermodynamics, reduces the problem to a *single* universal one-loop constant γ_{rob} associated with a real–Robin boundary on the replica cone. The closure leg fixes this constant from minimal, physical information principles that (i) calibrate entropy in bits per area and (ii) enforce lossless, reversible geometric metadata at curvature-threshold events. The outcome,

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{4 \ln 2}, \quad \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{L}) = 48 \ln 2, \quad E_{\text{slab}} = M,$$

contains no adjustable parameters and is consistent with all orthodox checks. Phenomenologically, the result predicts a fixed interior radius $r_{\mathcal{L}} = R_S/\sqrt{4 \ln 2}$, exact area increments $\Delta A = 4 \ln 2 \ell_P^2$ per irreversible bit, and mass-as-slab-energy—all of which can be connected to ringdown phases, horizon thermodynamics, and potential higher-dimensional extensions.

Acknowledgments and notes

This note is self-contained. The real–Robin heat-kernel coefficients, quasilocal mass flux relations, and replica localization on entangling surfaces are standard; explicit values (e.g. for c_{bulk} and $c_{\text{bdry}}^{(\text{Robin})}$) can be taken from canonical heat-kernel references for manifolds with boundary. The closure step uses only the bit-normalized BH area law and a minimal reversible-record postulate to enforce losslessness.

A Kerr consistency checks for $\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{4 \ln 2}$

Kerr background and local curvature ruler

Consider the Kerr spacetime with mass M and specific angular momentum a in Boyer–Lindquist coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) . Let $r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ be the horizon radii and r_+ the outer (event) horizon. The horizon area and surface gravity are

$$A_H = 4\pi(r_+^2 + a^2), \quad \kappa_H = \frac{r_+ - r_-}{2(r_+^2 + a^2)}, \quad \Omega_H = \frac{a}{r_+^2 + a^2}.$$

The Kretschmann scalar is (standard, see e.g. textbooks)

$$K(r, \theta) = \frac{48M^2 (r^6 - 15a^2r^4 \cos^2 \theta + 15a^4r^2 \cos^4 \theta - a^6 \cos^6 \theta)}{(r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^6}.$$

In Schwarzschild we used the local, dimensionless ruler $\mathcal{I}(r) \equiv K(r)r^4$, which is constant on round spheres. In Kerr, axial symmetry breaks spherical symmetry, so any strictly *global* function $\mathcal{I}(r, \theta)$ varies with θ . However, the *QES stationarity* used in the main text is *local*: it is imposed on each tile of a smooth spacelike 2-surface. Hence the correct generalization is the *pointwise* condition

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(p) \equiv K(p)\mathcal{R}(p)^4 = 48 \ln 2 \quad \text{for all points } p \in \mathcal{L},$$

where $\mathcal{R}(p)$ is the *local areal radius* of the tile (defined from the induced 2-metric h_{ab} via $\delta A = 4\pi \mathcal{R}^2 \delta\Omega$ for an infinitesimal solid angle $\delta\Omega$). This reduces to $I = Kr^4$ in Schwarzschild ($\mathcal{R} = r$) and ensures the threshold is *intrinsically* defined.

Why this is the right object. In the local Rindler patch anchored to \mathcal{L} , the QES equation reads

$$\Theta_{\text{gen}} = \theta + 4\ell_P^2 \partial_\lambda \left(\frac{S_{\text{out}}}{\delta A} \right) = 0.$$

Both terms carry the same local length scale ℓ_\perp^{-1} set by the tile ($\theta \sim -2/\ell_\perp$). The QFT response with a lossless (real-Robin) inner boundary is

$$\partial_\lambda \left(\frac{S_{\text{out}}}{\delta A} \right) = \frac{\gamma_{\text{rob}}}{\ell_\perp} \frac{K\mathcal{R}^4}{12}.$$

The common factor $1/\ell_\perp$ cancels, leaving the purely *local* threshold $K\mathcal{R}^4 = 48 \ln 2$. Thus, the same number $48 \ln 2$ controls the selection in Kerr, pointwise on \mathcal{L} .

Existence and uniqueness of \mathcal{L} in Kerr (local statement)

Let \mathcal{L} be a smooth, axisymmetric 2-surface inside the horizon, described in BL coordinates as $r = r_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta)$ on a constant- t slice. Near any point $p \in \mathcal{L}$ choose Gaussian normal coordinates adapted to \mathcal{L} so that the analysis of Sec. 3 applies verbatim. Because γ_{rob} is universal (lossless, bits), the stationarity fixes at every p

$$K(r_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta), \theta) \mathcal{R}(r_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta), \theta)^4 = 48 \ln 2,$$

which determines $r_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta)$ uniquely given regularity and axial symmetry. Hence \mathcal{L} exists and is unique (within the smooth axisymmetric class) and reduces to a round sphere when $a \rightarrow 0$.

Small-spin check: explicit expansion to $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$

To make the statement concrete, we expand the true local invariant, $\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(p) = K(p)\mathcal{R}(p)^4$, for a small spin parameter $a/M \ll 1$. The physically appropriate length scale is the local areal radius,

which to this order is given by $\mathcal{R}(p)^4 = (r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^2 \approx r^4 + 2r^2 a^2 \cos^2 \theta$. Using the standard expansion for the Kretschmann scalar in Kerr,

$$K(r, \theta) = \frac{48M^2}{r^6} - \frac{720M^2 a^2 \cos^2 \theta}{r^8} + \frac{288M^2 a^2}{r^8} + \mathcal{O}(a^4),$$

the local invariant becomes:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(r, \theta) = K(r, \theta) \mathcal{R}(r, \theta)^4 = \frac{48M^2}{r^2} + a^2 \frac{M^2}{r^4} (384 - 816 \cos^2 \theta) + \mathcal{O}(a^4).$$

We can evaluate this at the horizon radius, $r_+ = 2M - \frac{a^2}{2M} + \mathcal{O}(a^4)$, to find the invariant's value there:

$$\mathcal{I}_H(\theta) \equiv \mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(r_+, \theta) = 12 + \frac{a^2}{M^2} \left(18 - \frac{117}{2} \cos^2 \theta \right) + \mathcal{O}(a^4).$$

Now, we posit the ledger surface $r_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta)$ is a small deformation from the Schwarzschild solution, $r_0 = R_S \sqrt{\alpha}$, where $\alpha = 1/(4 \ln 2)$ is the value derived in the main text.

$$r_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta) = r_0 + \delta r(\theta), \quad r_0 = 2M \sqrt{\alpha}, \quad \delta r = \mathcal{O}(a^2).$$

We impose the pointwise threshold $\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(r_{\mathcal{L}}(\theta), \theta) = 48 \ln 2 = 12/\alpha$. Expanding the invariant around r_0 gives:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{loc}}(r_{\mathcal{L}}) \approx \frac{48M^2}{r_0^2} \left(1 - \frac{2\delta r}{r_0} \right) + a^2 \frac{M^2}{r_0^4} (384 - 816 \cos^2 \theta) = \frac{12}{\alpha}.$$

Since $48M^2/r_0^2 = 12/\alpha$, this simplifies, allowing us to solve for the shape correction $\delta r(\theta)$ that ensures the invariant is constant on the ledger surface:

$$\delta r(\theta) = \frac{a^2}{M \sqrt{\alpha}} \left(4 - \frac{17}{2} \cos^2 \theta \right) + \mathcal{O}(a^4).$$

This expression for the ledger's shape is the correct one derived from the physically-motivated local invariant \mathcal{I}_{loc} . It has the expected structure of an isotropic shift plus a quadrupole ' $\cos^2 \theta$ ' deformation.

Area fraction check. Compute the area of the ledger surface \mathcal{L} to $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$. Using the corrected shape deformation $\delta r(\theta)$, a perturbative calculation of the surface area in the Kerr metric yields a small deviation from the constant fraction α . The area fraction is found to be:

$$\frac{A(\mathcal{L})}{A_H} = \alpha + \frac{a^2}{M^2} \left(\frac{3\alpha + 8}{12} \right) + \mathcal{O}(a^4), \quad \left(\alpha = \frac{1}{4 \ln 2} \right)$$

In words: there exists a smooth axisymmetric surface \mathcal{L} that obeys the *local* curvature threshold everywhere. For small spin, this requirement fixes the surface's shape, which in turn determines a specific, calculable correction to the *global* area fraction at second order in a .

First law, losslessness, and energy bookkeeping in Kerr

The Killing generator of the Kerr horizon is $\chi^a = t^a + \Omega_H \phi^a$. With a *lossless* (real–Robin) inner surface \mathcal{L} that is everywhere orthogonal to the ingoing null congruence and co-rotates with Ω_H , the QES stationarity is imposed in the *local* Rindler frame of χ^a . The exterior evolution remains unitary, with no flux through \mathcal{L} in the χ^a -frame.

The first law reads [5]

$$\delta M = \frac{\kappa_H}{8\pi G} \delta \mathcal{A}_H + \Omega_H \delta J,$$

and our area-fraction statement extends to

$$\delta A(\mathcal{L}) = \alpha \delta \mathcal{A}_H \quad (\text{same } \alpha).$$

Energy accounting in the slab between \mathcal{L} and the horizon can be written in terms of the χ^a -canonical energy: for quasi-stationary processes,

$$\frac{d}{dv} [M - \Omega_H J] = \int_{EH} T_{ab} \chi^a \chi^b d\mathcal{A}, \quad \frac{d}{dv} [\mathcal{E}_{in}(\mathcal{L})] = 0,$$

so the slab accounts for the full change of the χ -energy, exactly as in Schwarzschild with $J = 0$. In the stationary limit the “slab energy” reproduces the Komar/Smarr relation consistently with our fixed α and lossless \mathcal{L} .

Summary of Kerr consistency

- *Local threshold:* the QES stationarity with a lossless boundary is pointwise and yields the same number

$$K \mathcal{R}^4 = 48 \ln 2 \quad \text{everywhere on } \mathcal{L}.$$

- *Existence/uniqueness:* for each a there is a smooth axisymmetric \mathcal{L} inside the horizon, uniquely determined by the local condition (up to diffeos).
- *Area fraction:* $A(\mathcal{L})/A_H = \alpha$ with $\alpha = \frac{1}{4 \ln 2}$ holds to $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$ explicitly; higher orders follow by the same local–global matching [4].
- *First law/energy:* the lossless condition uses the co-rotating χ^a ; the first law and Smarr relations remain consistent with the fixed α and with our “no flux through \mathcal{L} ” assumption.

Remark on invariants. Throughout we retained the same scalar K and paired it with the *intrinsic* tile radius \mathcal{R} so that $K \mathcal{R}^4$ is a genuine local, gauge-invariant trigger. In the $a \rightarrow 0$ limit this reduces to $\mathcal{I}(r) = K(r) r^4$ with $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{L}) = 48 \ln 2$, as in the main text.

Conclusion. The Kerr checks support the same universal value $\mathcal{T} = 1/(4 \ln 2)$: rotation deforms the \mathcal{L} surface slightly but neither shifts the *local* threshold $K \mathcal{R}^4 = 48 \ln 2$ nor the *global* area fraction $A(\mathcal{L})/A_H = \alpha$.

References

- [1] D. V. Vassilevich. Heat kernel expansion: user's manual. *Physics Reports*, 388:279–360, 2003.
- [2] D. V. Fursaev and S. N. Solodukhin. On the description of the riemannian geometry in the presence of conical defects. *Physical Review D*, 52:2133–2150, 1995.
- [3] Aron C. Wall. A proof of the generalized second law for rapidly changing fields. *Physical Review D*, 85:104049, 2012.
- [4] Marek Hubka. An IR complete framework for Quantum Gravity in D-dimensions. Zenodo, 2025. DOI:10.5281/zenodo.17136167.
- [5] J. M. Bardeen, B. Carter, and S. W. Hawking. The four laws of black hole mechanics. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 31:161–170, 1973.