

COMP9020 Assignment 1

1.

$$(a) (R1 ; R2) ; R3 = \{ (a,b) \mid \exists c, (a,c) \in (R1;R2) ; (c,b) \in R3 \}$$

$$= \{ (a,b) \mid \exists c, \exists d, (a,d) \in R1 ; (d,c) \in R2 ; (c,b) \in R3 \}$$

$$= \{ (a,b) \mid \exists d, (a,d) \in R1 ; (d,b) \in (R2;R3) \}$$

$$= R1 ; (R2 ; R3)$$

$$(b) R1 = \{ (a,b) \mid (a,b) \in S \times S \}$$

$$= \{ (a,b) \mid (a,b) ; (b,b) \} = R1 ; I \text{ (Because } I = \{ (x,x) \mid x \in S \} \text{ so } (b,b) \subseteq I)$$

$$= \{ (a,b) \mid (a,a) ; (a,b) \} = I ; R1 \text{ (so on so forth, } (a,a) \subseteq I)$$

(c) If we assign $R1 = \{ (1,2) \}$, $R2 = \{ (2,3) \}$, then $(R1 ; R2)^{\leftarrow} = \{ (3,1) \}$, and $R1^{\leftarrow} ; R2^{\leftarrow} = \phi$, so the statement is not true.

$$(d) (R1 \cup R2) ; R3 = \{ (a,b) \mid \exists c, (a,c) \in (R1 \cup R2) ; (c,b) \in R3 \}$$

$$= \{ (a,b) \mid ((a1,c1) \in R1 \cup (a2,c2) \in R2) ; ((c1,b1) \in R3 \cup (c2,b2) \in R3) \},$$

$$\text{where } \{(a1,c1)\} \cup \{(a2,c2)\} = \{(a,c)\} \text{ and } \{(c1,b1)\} \cup \{(c2,b2)\} = \{(c,b)\}.$$

$$= \{ (a,b) \mid ((a1,c1) \in R1 ; (c1,b1) \in R3) \cup ((a2,c2) \in R2 ; (c2,b2) \in R3) \}$$

$$= (R1 ; R3) \cup (R2 ; R3)$$

(e) If we assign $R1 = \{ (1,1) , (1,2) \}$, $R2 = \{ (1,4) , (1,5) \}$, $R3 = \{ (1,4) , (2,5) \}$, then $R1 ; (R2 \cap R3) = \{ (1,4) \}$, and $(R1 ; R2) \cap (R1 ; R3) = \{ (1,4) , (1,5) \}$, In this case the left is not equal to the right, the statement is not true.

2.

(a)Base case: $R^i = R^{i+1}$,which holds $R^j = R^i$ for $j = i+1$.

Inductive case: Assume $R^{i+n} = R^{i+n+1}$,where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.So it is obvious $R^{i+n} = R^{i+n+1} = R^{i+n} \cup (R; R^{i+n})$.And $R^{i+n+2} = R^{i+n+1} \cup (R; R^{i+n+1}) = R^{i+n} \cup (R; R^{i+n}) = R^{i+n+1}$.

For each R^j ($j > i$),it is equal to the previous one,thus $R^j = R^i$ for all $j \geq i$.

(b)From the formula: $R^{i+1} := R^i \cup (R; R^i)$ $i \geq 0$, we can conclude for every i , $R^i \subseteq R^{i+1}$.So for $k \in [0, i]$, $R^k \subseteq R^i$.And from question (a),we can get if $R^i = R^{i+1}$, then $R^i = R^j$ for all $j \geq i$,so $R_j \subseteq R_i$.

In conclusion, $R^k \subseteq R^i$ for all $k \geq 0$.

(c)Base case: $R^0 ; R^m = R^m$ (from 1b) $= R^{0+m}$,so $P(0)$ holds.

Inductive case: Assume $P(n)$ holds ,which is $R^n ; R^m = R^{n+m}$.

$$R^{n+1} ; R^m = [R^n \cup (R ; R^n)] ; R^m = (R^n ; R^m) \cup (R ; R^n ; R^m) \text{ (from 1d)}$$

$$R^{n+m+1} = R^{n+m} \cup (R ; R^{n+m}) = (R^n ; R^m) \cup (R ; R^n ; R^m) \text{ (from 1d)}$$

So $R^{n+1} = R^{n+m+1}$, $P(n+1)$ holds.

Therefore $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(d)We assume $(a,b) \in R^{k+1}$ and $(a,b) \notin R^k$.Because $R^{k+1} := R^k \cup (R; R^k)$, $(a,b) \in (R; R^k)$.

Therefore, $\exists (a, c_k) \in R$, $(c_k, b) \in R^k$.And we can also get $(c_k, b) \notin R^i$, $i \leq k-1$ (if $(c_k, b) \in R^{k-1}$, and $(a, c_k) \in R$, we can get $(a, b) \in R^k$).So $(c_k, b) \in R; R^{k-1}$.

Therefore, $\exists (c_k, c_{k-1}) \in R$, $(c_{k-1}, b) \in R^{k-1}$, and still $(c_{k-1}, b) \notin R^i$, $i \leq k-2$.

So we get the following result:

$$(a, c_k) \in R \quad (c_k, b) \notin R^i, i \leq k-1$$

$$(c_k, c_{k-1}) \in R \quad (c_{k-1}, b) \notin R^i, i \leq k-2$$

.....

$$(c_2, c_1) \in R \quad (c_1, b) \notin R^0$$

And $c_1 \neq c_2 \neq \dots \neq c_k$, $c_i \in S$, $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\} = k = |S|$.So $a \in \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$

$(a, b) \in R^k$, which conflict with hypothesis (assume $(a, b) \notin R^k$).Therefore, $(a, b) \in R^k$, which

means for every element in R^{k+1} , it is also in R^k , so $R^{k+1} = R^k$.

(e)From the conclusion of (c), we can assign $n = m = k$, which is $R^k ; R^k = R^{2k}$. From the conclusion (a) and (d), we can know $R^k = R^{k+1}$, and then $R^k = R^j$ for $j \geq k$, so $R^{2k} = R^k$. Therefore $R^k ; R^k = R^k$, which indicates if $(a, b) \in R^k$, $(b, c) \in R^k$, then $(a, c) \in R^k$. So R^k is transitive.

(f) $F = (R \cup R^<)$

R: Because $F^0 = \{(x,x) | x \in S\}$ and $F^0 \subseteq F^1$, so R holds.

S: I can't really prove it, but I feel it is certainly right, because R and $R^<$ are symmetric, each time they evolve, they are still symmetric.

T: It is just the same as the problem (e).

So $(R \cup R^<)$ is an equivalence relation.

3.

(a) a binary tree is either an empty tree represented by a null pointer, or is a single ordered node which contains a data, a left and right pointer and each pointer points to a binary tree.

$$(b) \text{count}(T) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } T = \text{NULL} \\ 1 + \text{count}(T \rightarrow \text{left}) + \text{count}(T \rightarrow \text{right}) & \text{recursive} \end{cases}$$

$$(c) \text{leaves}(T) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } T = \text{NULL} \\ 1 & \text{if } T \rightarrow \text{left} = \text{NULL} \text{ and } T \rightarrow \text{right} = \text{NULL} \\ \text{leaves}(T \rightarrow \text{left}) + \text{leaves}(T \rightarrow \text{right}) & \text{recursive} \end{cases}$$

$$(d) \text{internal}(T) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } T = \text{NULL} \\ 0 & \text{if } T \rightarrow \text{left} = \text{NULL} \text{ and } T \rightarrow \text{right} = \text{NULL} \\ 1 + \text{internal}(T \rightarrow \text{left}) + \text{internal}(T \rightarrow \text{right}) & \text{recursive} \end{cases}$$

(e) **Base case:** If the binary tree is only an empty tree which represents NULL, then from the conclusion c and d, $\text{internal}(T) = -1$ and $\text{leaves}(T) = 0$, which holds. And if the binary tree's left pointer and right pointer each points to NULL, we can also see from the c and d that $\text{internal}(T) = 0$ and $\text{leaves}(T) = 1$, which also holds.

Inductive case: Assume for an arbitrary binary tree, $\text{internal}(T) = I$, $\text{leaves}(T) = L$ which satisfies $L = I + 1$. Then we consider the following three conditions.

First: We extend a leaf node, making it to be a fully-internal node by adding two leaf nodes to be its successors. This time $I' = I + 1$, $L' = L - 1 + 2 = L + 1$, so $L' = I' + 1$, which holds.

Second: We extend a leaf node, adding one leaf node to be its either left or right successor. This time $I' = I$, $L' = L - 1 + 1 = L$, which holds.

Third: We extend a node which is neither a leaf node nor a fully-internal node, making it to be the fully-internal node by adding a leaf node to it. This time $I' = I + 1$, $L' = L + 1$, which holds.

In conclusion, $P(T)$ holds for all binary trees T .

4.

- (a) $h1$ = "Alpha uses channel hi " , $l1$ = "Alpha uses channel lo " ;
 $h2$ = "Bravo uses channel hi " , $l2$ = "Bravo uses channel lo ";
 $h3$ = "Charlie uses channel hi " , $l3$ = "Charlie uses channel lo ";
 $h4$ = "Delta uses channel hi " , $l4$ = "Delta uses channel lo ".

(i) $\phi_1 = (h1 \vee l1) \wedge (h2 \vee l2) \wedge (h3 \vee l3) \wedge (h4 \vee l4)$

(ii) $\phi_2 = \neg(h1 \wedge l1) \wedge \neg(h2 \wedge l2) \wedge \neg(h3 \wedge l3) \wedge \neg(h4 \wedge l4)$

(iii) $\phi_3 = ((h1 \wedge \neg h2) \vee (l1 \wedge \neg l2)) \wedge ((h2 \wedge \neg h3) \vee (l2 \wedge \neg l3)) \wedge ((h3 \wedge \neg h4) \vee (l3 \wedge \neg l4))$

(b)

- (i) If we assign $h1 = T, h2 = F, h3 = T, h4 = F, l1 = F, l2 = T, l3 = F, l4 = T$, this time

$$V(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3) = T.$$

If we assign $h1 = T, h2 = T, h3 = T, h4 = T, l1 = F, l2 = F, l3 = F, l4 = F$, this time it is quite easy to know $V(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3) = F$.

So $\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3$ is satisfiable for $V(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \wedge \phi_3) = T$ for some truth assignment v .

- (ii) First solution : Alpha uses channel hi , Bravo uses channel lo , Charlie uses channel hi , Delta uses channel lo .

Second solution : Alpha uses channel lo , Bravo uses channel hi , Charlie uses channel lo , Delta uses channel hi .