# COMP9020 Week 5 Term 3, 2019 Induction

## **Summary of topics**

- Motivation
- Basic Induction
- Variations
- Structural Induction

## **Recursive datatypes**

Describe arbitrarily large objects in a finite way

#### **Recursive functions**

Define behaviour for these objects in a finite way

#### Induction

Reason about these objects in a finite way

Recall the recursive program:

#### **Example**

Summing the first *n* natural numbers:

```
\begin{aligned} & \mathtt{sum}(n) \\ & \mathtt{if}(n=0) \\ & \mathtt{olse} \\ & n + \mathtt{sum}(n-1) \end{aligned}
```

Another attempt:

#### **Example**

```
sum2(n):
return n*(n+1)/2
```

Induction proof **guarantees** that these programs will behave the same.

## **Inductive Reasoning**

Suppose we would like to reach a conclusion of the form

P(x) for all x (of some type)

Inductive reasoning (as understood in philosophy) proceeds from examples.

E.g. From "This swan is white, that swan is white, in fact every swan I have seen so far is white"

Conclude: "Every Swan is white"



## **Inductive Reasoning**

Suppose we would like to reach a conclusion of the form

P(x) for all x (of some type)

Inductive reasoning (as understood in philosophy) proceeds from examples.

E.g. From "This swan is white, that swan is white, in fact every swan I have seen so far is white"

Conclude: "Every Swan is white"

#### NB

This may be a good way to discover hypotheses. But it is not a valid principle of reasoning!

Mathematical induction is a variant that is valid.



#### **Mathematical Induction**

Mathematical Induction is based not just on a set of examples, but also a rule for deriving new cases of P(x) from cases for which P is known to hold.

General structure of reasoning by mathematical induction:

**Base Case [B]:**  $P(a_1), P(a_2), \dots, P(a_n)$  for some small set of examples  $a_1 \dots a_n$  (often n = 1)

**Inductive Step [I]:** A general rule showing that if P(x) holds for some cases  $x = x_1, \ldots, x_k$  then P(y) holds for some new case y, constructed in some way from  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ .

**Conclusion:** Starting with  $a_1 ldots a_n$  and repeatedly applying the construction of y from existing values, we can eventually construct all values in the domain of interest.



## Induction proof structure

Let P(x) be the proposition that ...

We will show that P(x) holds for all x by induction on x.

Base case: x = ...:

- *P*(*x*): ...
- ....
- so P(x) holds.

[Repeat for all base cases]

#### Inductive case:

- Assume P(x) holds. That is, ....
- We will show P(y) holds.
- ...
- So P(x) implies P(y).

[Repeat for all inductive cases]

Therefore, by induction, P(x) holds for all x.

## **Summary of topics**

- Motivation
- Basic Induction
- Variations
- Structural Induction



#### **Basic induction**

Basic induction is the general principle applied to the natural numbers.

**Goal:** Show P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Approach: Show that:

Base case (B): P(0) holds; and

**Inductive case (I):** If P(k) holds then P(k+1) holds.



Recall the recursive program:

#### **Example**

Summing the first *n* natural numbers:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{sum}(n) : \\ & \operatorname{if}(n=0) : 0 \\ & \operatorname{else}: n + \operatorname{sum}(n-1) \end{aligned}$$

#### Another attempt:

#### **Example**

$$sum2(n):$$
return  $n*(n+1)/2$ 

Induction proof **guarantees** that these programs will behave the same.

Let P(n) be the proposition that:

$$P(n): \sum_{i=0}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

We will show that P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

[I] 
$$\forall k \geq 0 (P(k) \to P(k+1))$$
, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} i = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

(proof?)

Let P(n) be the proposition that:

$$P(n): \sum_{i=0}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

We will show that P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

#### Proof.

**[B]** P(0), i.e.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{0} i = \frac{0(0+1)}{2}$$

Let P(n) be the proposition that:

$$P(n): \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}.$$

We will show that P(n) holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by induction on n.

#### Proof.

**[B]** P(0), i.e.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{0} i = \frac{0(0+1)}{2}$$

[I]  $\forall k \geq 0 (P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1))$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} i = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

(proof?)



# Example (cont'd)

#### Proof.

Inductive step [I]:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} i = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} i\right) + (k+1)$$

$$= \frac{k(k+1)}{2} + (k+1) \quad \text{(by the inductive hypothesis)}$$

$$= \frac{k(k+1) + 2(k+1)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2}$$

# **Summary of Topics**

- Motivation
- Basic Induction
- Variations
- Structural Induction



#### **Variations**

- Induction from *m* upwards
- Strong induction
- Backward induction
- Forward-backward induction
- Structural induction



## **Induction From** *m* **Upwards**

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \text{If} & & \\ [\mathsf{B}] & P(m) \\ [\mathsf{I}] & \forall k \geq m \left( P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1) \right) \\ \text{then} & & \\ [\mathsf{C}] & \forall n \geq m \left( P(n) \right) \end{array}
```

**Theorem.** For all  $n \ge 1$ , the number  $8^n - 2^n$  is divisible by 6.

- **[B]**  $8^1 2^1$  is divisible by 6
- [I] if  $8^k 2^k$  is divisible by 6, then so is  $8^{k+1} 2^{k+1}$ , for all  $k \ge 1$

Prove [I] using the "trick" to rewrite  $8^{k+1}$  as  $8 \cdot (8^k - 2^k + 2^k)$  which allows you to apply the IH on  $8^k - 2^k$ 



## Induction Steps $\ell > 1$

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \text{If} & & \\ [\mathsf{B}] & P(m) \\ [\mathsf{I}] & P(k) \to P(k+\ell) \text{ for all } k \geq m \\ \text{then} & & \\ [\mathsf{C}] & P(n) \text{ for every } \ell \text{'th } n \geq m \\ \end{array}
```

Every 4th Fibonacci number is divisible by 3.

- **[B]**  $F_4 = 3$  is divisible by 3
- [I] if  $3 \mid F_k$ , then  $3 \mid F_{k+4}$ , for all  $k \geq 4$

Prove [I] by rewriting  $F_{k+4}$  in such a way that you can apply the IH on  $F_k$ 



# **Strong Induction**

This is a version in which the inductive hypothesis is stronger. Rather than using the fact that P(k) holds for a single value, we use *all* values up to k.

```
If  [B] \qquad P(m) \\ [I] \qquad [P(m) \land P(m+1) \land \ldots \land P(k)] \rightarrow P(k+1) \quad \text{ for all } k \geq m \\ \text{then} \\ [C] \qquad P(n), \text{ for all } n \geq m
```

**Claim:** All integers  $\geq 2$  can be written as a product of primes.

- [B] 2 is a product of primes
- [I] If all x with  $2 \le x \le k$  can be written as a product of primes, then k+1 can be written as a product of primes, for all  $k \ge 2$

Proof for [I]?



# **Negative Integers, Backward Induction**

#### NB

Induction can be conducted over any subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with least element. Thus m can be negative; eg. base case  $m = -10^6$ .

#### NB

One can apply induction in the 'opposite' direction  $p(m) \rightarrow p(m-1)$ . It means considering the integers with the opposite ordering where the next number after n is n-1. Such induction would be used to prove some p(n) for all  $n \le m$ .

#### NB

Sometimes one needs to reason about all integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . This requires two separate simple induction proofs: one for  $\mathbb{N}$ , another for  $-\mathbb{N}$ . They both would start form some initial values, which could be the same, e.g. zero. Then the first proof would proceed through positive integers; the second proof through negative integers.

## **Forward-Backward Induction**

#### Idea

To prove P(n) for all  $n \ge k_0$ 

- verify  $P(k_0)$
- prove  $P(k_i)$  for infinitely many  $k_0 < k_1 < k_2 < k_3 < \dots$
- fill the gaps

$$P(k_1) \to P(k_1 - 1) \to P(k_1 - 2) \to \dots \to P(k_0 + 1)$$
  
 $P(k_2) \to P(k_2 - 1) \to P(k_2 - 2) \to \dots \to P(k_1 + 1)$ 

#### NB

This form of induction is extremely important for the analysis of algorithms.

## **Summary of topics**

- Motivation
- Basic Induction
- Variations
- Structural Induction

## **Structural Induction**

Basic induction allows us to assert properties over **all natural numbers**. The induction scheme (layout) uses the recursive definition of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

The induction schemes can be applied not only to natural numbers (and integers) but to any partially ordered set in general – especially those defined recursively.

The basic approach is always the same — we need to verify that

- [B] the property holds for all minimal objects objects that have no predecessors; they are usually very simple objects allowing immediate verification
- [I] for any given object, if the property in question holds for all its predecessors ('smaller' objects) then it holds for the object itself

Recall definition of  $\Sigma^*$ :

$$\lambda \in \Sigma^*$$

If  $w \in \Sigma^*$  then  $aw \in \Sigma^*$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$ 

Structural induction on  $\Sigma^*$ :

**Goal:** Show P(w) holds for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ .

Approach: Show that:

Base case (B):  $P(\lambda)$  holds; and

Inductive case (I): If P(w) holds then P(aw) holds for all  $a \in \Sigma$ .



Recall:

Formal definition of  $\Sigma^*$ :

$$\lambda \in \Sigma^*$$
 If  $w \in \Sigma^*$  then  $aw \in \Sigma^*$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$ 

Formal definition of concatenation:

(concat.B) 
$$\lambda v = v$$
  
(concat.I)  $(aw)v = a(wv)$ 

Formal definition of length:

$$egin{aligned} extbf{(length.B)} & \operatorname{length}(\lambda) = 0 \ extbf{(length.I)} & \operatorname{length}(aw) = 1 + \operatorname{length}(w) \end{aligned}$$

Recall:

Formal definition of  $\Sigma^*$ :

$$\lambda \in \Sigma^*$$
 If  $w \in \Sigma^*$  then  $aw \in \Sigma^*$  for all  $a \in \Sigma$ 

Formal definition of concatenation:

(concat.B) 
$$\lambda v = v$$
  
(concat.I)  $(aw)v = a(wv)$ 

Formal definition of length:

$$egin{aligned} extbf{(length.B)} & \operatorname{length}(\lambda) = 0 \ extbf{(length.I)} & \operatorname{length}(aw) = 1 + \operatorname{length}(w) \end{aligned}$$

#### **Prove:**

$$length(wv) = length(w) + length(v)$$

Let P(w) be the proposition that, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

$$length(wv) = length(w) + length(v).$$

We will show that P(w) holds for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$  by **structural** induction on w.



Let P(w) be the proposition that, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

$$length(wv) = length(w) + length(v).$$

We will show that P(w) holds for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$  by **structural** induction on w.

Base case (
$$w = \lambda$$
):

$$length(\lambda v) =$$

Let P(w) be the proposition that, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

$$length(wv) = length(w) + length(v).$$

We will show that P(w) holds for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$  by **structural** induction on w.

Base case (
$$w = \lambda$$
):

$$length(\lambda v) = length(v)$$
 (concat.B)

Let P(w) be the proposition that, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

$$length(wv) = length(w) + length(v).$$

We will show that P(w) holds for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$  by **structural** induction on w.

Base case (
$$w = \lambda$$
):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{length}(\lambda v) &= \mathsf{length}(v) \\ &= 0 + \mathsf{length}(v) \end{aligned} \tag{concat.B}$$



Let P(w) be the proposition that, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

$$length(wv) = length(w) + length(v).$$

We will show that P(w) holds for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$  by **structural** induction on w.

Proof:

Base case ( $w = \lambda$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{length}(\lambda v) &= \mathsf{length}(v) & (\mathsf{concat.B}) \\ &= 0 + \mathsf{length}(v) \\ &= \mathsf{length}(w) + \mathsf{length}(v) & (\mathsf{length.B}) \end{aligned}$$



Proof cont'd:

**Inductive case (**w = aw'**):** Assume that P(w') holds. That is, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

(IH): 
$$length(w'v) = length(w') + length(v)$$
.

Then, for all  $a \in \Sigma$ , we have:

$$length((aw')v) =$$

Proof cont'd:

**Inductive case (**w = aw'**):** Assume that P(w') holds. That is, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

(IH): 
$$\operatorname{length}(w'v) = \operatorname{length}(w') + \operatorname{length}(v)$$
.

$$length((aw')v) = length(a(w'v))$$
 (concat.l)

Proof cont'd:

**Inductive case (**w = aw'**):** Assume that P(w') holds. That is, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

(IH): 
$$length(w'v) = length(w') + length(v)$$
.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{length}((\mathit{aw}')\mathit{v}) &= \mathsf{length}(\mathit{a}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v})) & (\mathsf{concat.I}) \\ &= 1 + \mathsf{length}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v}) & (\mathsf{length.I}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof cont'd:

**Inductive case (**w = aw'**):** Assume that P(w') holds. That is, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

(IH): 
$$length(w'v) = length(w') + length(v)$$
.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{length}((\mathit{a} \mathit{w}')\mathit{v}) &= \operatorname{length}(\mathit{a}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v})) & (\operatorname{concat.I}) \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{length.I}) \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{w}') + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{IH}) \end{array}$$

Proof cont'd:

**Inductive case (**w = aw'**):** Assume that P(w') holds. That is, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

(IH): 
$$length(w'v) = length(w') + length(v)$$
.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{length}((\mathit{a}\mathit{w}')\mathit{v}) &= \operatorname{length}(\mathit{a}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v})) & (\operatorname{concat.I}) \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{length.I}) \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{w}') + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{IH}) \\ &= \operatorname{length}(\mathit{a}\mathit{w}') + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{length.I}) \end{array}
```

Proof cont'd:

**Inductive case (**w = aw'**):** Assume that P(w') holds. That is, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

(IH): 
$$length(w'v) = length(w') + length(v)$$
.

Then, for all  $a \in \Sigma$ , we have:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{length}((\mathit{a}\mathit{w}')\mathit{v}) &= \operatorname{length}(\mathit{a}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v})) & (\operatorname{concat.I}) \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{length.I}) \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{w}') + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{IH}) \\ &= \operatorname{length}(\mathit{a}\mathit{w}') + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{length.I}) \end{array}$$

So P(aw') holds.

Proof cont'd:

**Inductive case (**w = aw'**):** Assume that P(w') holds. That is, for all  $v \in \Sigma^*$ :

(IH): 
$$\operatorname{length}(w'v) = \operatorname{length}(w') + \operatorname{length}(v)$$
.

Then, for all  $a \in \Sigma$ , we have:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{length}((\mathit{a}\mathit{w}')\mathit{v}) &= \operatorname{length}(\mathit{a}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v})) & (\operatorname{concat.I}) \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{w}'\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{length.I}) \\ &= 1 + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{w}') + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{IH}) \\ &= \operatorname{length}(\mathit{a}\mathit{w}') + \operatorname{length}(\mathit{v}) & (\operatorname{length.I}) \end{array}$$

So P(aw') holds.

We have  $P(\lambda)$  and for all  $w' \in \Sigma^*$  and  $a \in \Sigma$ :  $P(w') \to P(aw')$ . Hence P(w) holds for all  $w \in \Sigma^*$ .

Recall append :  $\Sigma^* \times \Sigma \to \Sigma^*$  defined as:

- append $(\lambda, x) = x$
- append(aw, x) = a (append(w, x))

#### **Prove:**

For all  $w, v \in \Sigma^*$  and  $x \in \Sigma$ :

$$append(wv, x) = w(append(v, x))$$

#### **Theorem**

For all  $w, v \in \Sigma^*$  and  $x \in \Sigma$ : append(wv, x) = w(append(v, x)).

Proof: By induction on w...



#### **Theorem**

```
For all w, v \in \Sigma^* and x \in \Sigma: append(wv, x) = w(append(v, x)).
```

```
Proof: By induction on w...

[B] append(\lambda v, x) = append(v, x) (concat.B)

[I] append((aw)v, x) = append(a(wv), x) (concat.I)

= a append(wv, x) (append.I)

= a (w append(v, x)) (IH)
= (aw) append(v, x) (concat.I)
```

```
Define rev : \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*: 
 (\text{rev.B}) \text{ rev}(\lambda) = \lambda, 
 (\text{rev.I}) \text{ rev}(a \cdot w) = \text{append}(\text{reverse}(w), a)
```

#### **Theorem**

For all  $w, v \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $reverse(wv) = reverse(v) \cdot reverse(w)$ .

Proof: By induction on w...

#### **Theorem**

[B]

Proof: By induction on w...

 $rev(\lambda v) = rev(v)$ 

For all  $w, v \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $reverse(wv) = reverse(v) \cdot reverse(w)$ .

```
= \operatorname{rev}(v)\lambda \qquad (*)
= \operatorname{rev}(v)\operatorname{rev}(\lambda) \qquad (\operatorname{rev}.B)
[I] \operatorname{rev}((aw')v) = \operatorname{rev}(a(w'v)) \qquad (\operatorname{concat}.I)
= \operatorname{append}(\operatorname{rev}(w'v), a) \qquad (\operatorname{rev}.I)
= \operatorname{append}(\operatorname{rev}(v)\operatorname{rev}(w'), a) \qquad (\operatorname{IH})
= \operatorname{rev}(v)\operatorname{append}(\operatorname{rev}(w'), a) \qquad (\operatorname{Example 2})
= \operatorname{rev}(v)\operatorname{rev}(aw') \qquad (\operatorname{rev}.I)
```

(concat.B)

#### Exercise

#### **Exercise**

4.4.2 Define 
$$s_1=1$$
 and  $s_{n+1}=\frac{1}{1+s_n}$  for  $n\geq 1$ 

4.4.2 Define 
$$s_1 = 1$$
 and  $s_{n+1} = \frac{1}{1+s_n}$  for  $n \ge 1$   
Then  $s_1 = 1$ ,  $s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $s_3 = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $s_4 = \frac{3}{5}$ ,  $s_5 = \frac{5}{8}$ ,...

The numbers in numerator and denominator remind one of the Fibonacci sequence.

Prove by induction that

$$s_n = \frac{\text{FIB}(n)}{\text{FIB}(n+1)}$$

