15-455: UCT K. Sutner

Assignment 7 Due: April 1, 2022.

# 1. Immerman-Szelepsényi (30)

## Background

The proof of the Immerman-Szelepsényi theorem depends critically on the inductive nondeterministic "algorithm" that determines the cardinality of the  $\ell$ th layer of reachable points  $|R_{\ell}|$  from the previous one,  $|R_{\ell-1}|$ .

#### Task

A. Give a "correctness proof" for the Immerman-Szelepsényi "algorithm" by explaining how it handles non-reachability on the graph  $P_n$ , where the case n=6 is shown below, s=1 and t=n.



In particular explain what happens in the inductive computation of the cardinality of the reachable part.

B. Show that for any reasonable space complexity  $s(n) \ge \log n$  we have NSPACE(s(n)) = co-NSPACE.

**Comment** For part (A) try to use the notation from slide 12.

# 2. Graphs and NL (20)

## Background

Recall that a digraph is strongly connected (SC) if there is a path between any two vertices. There are well-known polynomial time algorithms to check whether a graph is strongly connected. Look up Tarjan's algorithm if you are not familiar with it; it's linear time and space, and absolutely amazing. Since connectivity has to do with path existence, one might wonder how SC relates to NL.

For the last part, suppose H is an undirected graph. Recall that H is bipartite if we can partition the vertex set into  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$  such that all edges connect  $V_1$  with  $V_2$  (so  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are both independent sets).

#### Task

- A. Show that SC is in  $\mathbb{NL}$ .
- B. Show that SC is in  $\mathbb{NL}$ -hard (wrto log-space reductions).
- C. Show that bipartiteness can be checked in  $\mathbb{NL}$ .

**Comment** For part (C) think about the negation first.

UCT HW 7 2 of 4

# 3. Computing Log-Space Functions (20)

## Background

Given a transducer M with a separate output tape, it is reasonable to insist that there are no over-writes: every time the machine writes an output symbol, it moves the head one to the right (a write-once output tape). If M is also SPACE(log n) we call the machine and the corresponding function prompt.

A more tedious approach to computing a function is to associate it with decision problems describing its output, and then insist that the corresponding languages be "easy." More precisely, consider bin(z, i) to be *i*th digit in the binary expansion bin(z) of z, and write |z| for the length of bin(z). For a function  $f: \mathbf{2}^* \to \mathbf{2}^*$  define the two languages

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{BIN} = \{ \, x \# i \mid \mathsf{bin}(f(x), i) = 1 \, \} \\ & \mathsf{LEN} = \{ \, x \# i \mid i < |f(x)| \, \} \end{aligned}$$

If f is polynomially bounded in the sense that  $|f(x)| \leq |x|^{O(1)}$ , and both BIN and LEN are in  $\mathbb{L}$ , we call f logish.

#### Task

- A. Show that every prompt function is logish.
- B. Show that every logish function is prompt.

UCT HW 7 3 of 4

# 4. Overhead-Free LBA (30)

## Background

In an LBA we constrain a Turing machine to use no more space than what is initially occupied by the input string  $x \in \Sigma^*$ . Alas, we allow the Turing machine to use a tape alphabet  $\Gamma$  larger than  $\Sigma$ , which can be used to erase or mark symbols, compress the input, open a second track, and on so on.

This is slightly unrealistic, though: in a actual machine the alphabet would be fixed once and for all. To model this situation, suppose the input alphabet is  $\Sigma$ . The tape alphabet  $\Gamma$  contains an additional endmarker # but nothing else. The initial tape inscription has the form

$$\#x_1x_2...x_{n-1}x_n\#$$

where  $x_i \in \Sigma$  and the endmarkers cannot be overwritten or moved. The head is positioned at, say,  $x_1$ . So, only n tape cells are available for the computation and a configuration consists essentially of a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , plus a state and a head position. This type of machine is called an overhead-free LBA.

It is known that overhead-free LBAs cannot accept all CSLs but do accept all context-free languages, and some non-context-free ones. A full proof is too hard, but here a two examples.

#### Task

For both parts, use a binary alphabet. Construct an overhead-free LBA that recognizes

- A. palindromes,
- B. all strings of the form  $0^n 1^n 0^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ .

UCT HW 7 4 of 4