

Undergraduate Complexity Theory

Lecture 10: Reductions

Marcythm

July 13, 2022

1 Lecture Notes

Theorem 1.1. *If $\text{SAT} \in \text{P}$, then $4\text{COL} \in \text{P}$.*

idea: given M_{SAT} solving SAT in poly-time, can build algo $M_{4\text{COL}}$ solving 4COL in poly-time, using M_{SAT} as a subroutine. The whole idea is denoted as $4\text{COL} \leq^P \text{SAT}$, which means 4COL is at most polynomial slower than SAT.

Definition 1.2. Let A, B be languages, A has a poly-time **mapping reduction** to B , written $A \leq_m^P B$, if exists poly-time algo $R : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$, s.t. $\forall x : x \in A \iff R(x) \in B$.

Theorem 1.3. *If $A \leq_m^P B$, $B \in \text{P}$, then $A \in \text{P}$.*

Proof. Given poly-time M_B for B , reduction R , $M_B(R(x))$ decides $x \stackrel{?}{\in} A$ in $\text{poly}(|x|)$ time. □

Theorem 1.4. \leq_m^P is transitive: if $A \leq_m^P B, B \leq_m^P C$, then $A \leq_m^P C$.

Definition 1.5. $4\text{CHROMA} = \{\langle G \rangle : G\text{'s chromatic number is } 4\}$.

Claim 1.6. $4\text{CHROMA} \leq_T^P \text{SAT}$, where T stands for Turing, can use the subroutine many times.

Remark 1.7. Here can not use $\phi = \phi_4 \wedge \neg \phi_3$, since $\neg \phi_3$ is satisfiable can not imply ϕ_3 is not satisfiable.

Theorem 1.8. *If $A \leq_T^P B$, $B \in \text{P}$, then $A \in \text{P}$.*

Theorem 1.9. *If $A \leq_m^P B$, $B \in \text{NP}$, then $A \in \text{NP}$.*

Remark 1.10. This theorem seemingly false for \leq_T^P .

Theorem 1.11. $\text{CIRCUIT-SAT} \leq_m^P \text{FORMULA-SAT}$.

Theorem 1.12. $\text{CIRCUIT-SAT} \leq_m^P 3\text{-SAT}$.

upcoming: Cook-Levin Theorem

Theorem 1.13 (Cook-Levin Theorem). $\forall L \in \text{NP} : L \leq_m^P \text{CIRCUIT-SAT}$.

2 Reading

2.1 Sipser 7.4 (NP-completeness)

1. poly-time reductions (mapping reduction)
2. Definition of NP-complete