

Title

Subtitle

Kyle Butts

May 5, 2021

Outline

Common Items

Table

Figures

Bullet Points & Button

Can emphasize with `the alert command`

To include things in appendix, you must first label the slide and the appendix slide and then include a hyperlink:

► `Appendix`

Topic 1: Spatial Frictions [Fajgelbaum et al. (2018), Hsieh and Moretti (2019), and Moretti (2011)]

Topic 2: Blah [Suárez Serrato and Zidar (2016)]

Regression Specification

$$y_{it} = X_{it}\beta + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Outline

Common Items

Table

Figures

Table 1: Regression Results

| | <i>Dependent variable: Overall Rating</i> | |
|-------------------------|---|------------------|
| | (1) | (2) |
| Handling of Complaints | 0.692*** (0.149) | 0.682*** (0.129) |
| No Special Privileges | −0.104 (0.135) | −0.103 (0.129) |
| Opportunity to Learn | 0.249 (0.160) | 0.238* (0.139) |
| Performance-Based | −0.033 (0.202) | |
| Raises | | |
| Too Critical | 0.015 (0.147) | |
| Advancement | 11.011 (11.704) | 11.258 (7.318) |
| Observations | 30 | 30 |
| R ² | 0.715 | 0.715 |
| Adjusted R ² | 0.656 | 0.682 |

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Outline

Common Items

Table

Figures

富嶽三十六景 神奈川沖
波裏



Figure



Figure with comments



Point 1 about the figure
to the left. This really
only works for 16:9
aspect ratio
Point 2

Two Columns general

Column 1

Column 2

- Fajgelbaum, Pablo D et al. (2018). “State Taxes and Spatial Misallocation”. In: p. 90.
- Hsieh, Chang-Tai and Enrico Moretti (2019). “Housing Constraints and Spatial Misallocation”. In: *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* 11.2, p. 39.
- Moretti, Enrico (2011). “Local Labor Markets”. In: *Handbook of Labor Economics*. Vol. 4. Elsevier.
- Suárez Serrato, Juan Carlos and Owen Zidar (2016). “Who Benefits from State Corporate Tax Cuts? A Local Labor Markets Approach with Heterogeneous Firms”. In: *American Economic Review* 106.9.

Table 2: Summary Statistics

| Statistic | N | Mean | St. Dev. | Min | Pctl(25) | Pctl(75) | Max |
|------------|----|--------|----------|-----|----------|----------|-----|
| rating | 30 | 64.633 | 12.173 | 40 | 58.8 | 71.8 | 85 |
| complaints | 30 | 66.600 | 13.315 | 37 | 58.5 | 77 | 90 |
| privileges | 30 | 53.133 | 12.235 | 30 | 45 | 62.5 | 83 |
| learning | 30 | 56.367 | 11.737 | 34 | 47 | 66.8 | 75 |
| raises | 30 | 64.633 | 10.397 | 43 | 58.2 | 71 | 88 |
| critical | 30 | 74.767 | 9.895 | 49 | 69.2 | 80 | 92 |
| advance | 30 | 42.933 | 10.289 | 25 | 35 | 47.8 | 72 |

Notes: Using R base dataframe attitude