

Comments on the specs02.pdf

Marek

SEPTEMBER 1, 2021

In order to assure consistency between the problem formulation and the corresponding model, I would consider replacement of the obviously incorrect inequality (9) by:

1. Equation defining the amounts of each of the fuels as a function of the activities of the applied technologies:

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_{ji} \cdot ACT_i^t = x_j^t, \quad j \in J, \quad t \in T \quad (1)$$

where:

- j denotes fuel type, $J = \{gasoline, diesel\}$,
 - a_{ji} relates the amount of j -th fuel produced by the unit of i -th ACT,
 - x_j^t stands for the amount of j -th fuel produced jointly by all considered technologies at period t .
2. Adding the supply-demand constraint, specification of which depends on the chosen definition of demand. Here we can consider one of the following two options:
 1. If the demand is given for each fuel type, i.e., as d_j^t , then:

$$x_j^t \geq d_j^t, \quad j \in J, \quad t \in T. \quad (2)$$

2. If the demand is given for a linear aggregation of d_j^t , e.g., by coefficients α_j conforming to:

$$0 \leq \alpha_j \leq 1, \quad \forall j \in J; \quad \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j = 1. \quad (3)$$

Thus, the demand is given for a *virtual* (i.e., not actually existing) fuel:

$$d^t = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j \cdot d_j^t, \quad t \in T. \quad (4)$$

In such a case instead constraint (2) one shall add constraint:

$$\sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j \cdot x_j^t \geq d^t, \quad t \in T. \quad (5)$$