Comments on the specs03.pdf, version of Sep. 15

Marek

SEPTEMBER 17, 2021

Note: This draft contains a still incomplete SMS.

2 Editorial

- Please ALWAYS place on ANY document: your name, the current date, page numbers, and
- 4 line numbers.

5 1 Symbolic model specification (SMS)

- 6 The SMS documents all model entities (indexing structure, variables, parameters, relations).
- 7 This draft is an incomplete SMS aimed at illustrating the SMS structure and basic elements.

8 1.1 The model purpose

- 9 The model aims at supporting analysis of the relations between the decisions on the use-level
- of the technologies for producing liquid fuels, and the consequences of implementation of such
- decisions. The consequences are measured by values of the outcome variables.

1.2 Indexing structure

1.2.1 Intro to indexing structure

- The SMS uses the Structured Modeling (SM) concepts; in particular the compound entities.
- For example, a compound variable x actually represents a set of variables $x_{ij}, i \in I, j \in J$,
- where i and j stand for indices, and I and J are the sets of values of the corresponding indices.
- To illustrate this concept, let x be flows between i-th warehouse and j-th store. Then the set I
- of warehouses can be defined as $I = \{city1, city2, \dots\}$. Similarly, the set J of shops can be
- defined as $J = \{loc1, loc2, \dots\}$.
 - Thus, the indexing structure is composed of:
- symbols of indices (typically a lower-case letter), and
- symbols of sets (typically, the corresponding upper-case letter).
- The examples of the index-sets below are for illustration only. The actual members of these sets are defined by the model parameters.

1.2.2 Indexing structure of the model

- The model uses the following indices and the corresponding sets:
- $t \in T$ technologies: $T = \{\text{OTL}, \text{BTL}, \text{PTL}, \dots\}, T_f \subset T$ technologies directly producing
- the final commodities

20

- $p \in P$: the ids of the 5-year planing periods. Instead of using the calendar-year values, we use sequence of positive integer values, i.e., for the calendar years $\{2020, 2025, ..., 2050\}$ we index the planning periods by $P = \{1, 2, ..., 7\}$. The correspondence between the planning periods and the calendar years can be defined (for reporting) by a simple mapping.
- $v \in V$: vintage (period in which the capacity becomes available) period id. The duration (consecutive periods during which the capacity remains available) of each newly installed capacity is defined by the parameter τ ; e.g, $\tau=4$ defines the duration of the capacity equal to 4 periods, i.e., 20 years. Therefore, $V=H\cup P$ where H is the set of historical (prior to the first planning period) periods in which the installed capacities can still be used during the planning periods. Thus, for τ equal to 4, $H=\{-2,-1,0\}$, i.e., the capacities installed in any of the three periods before the first planning period are available to be used in the first planning period.
- $c \in C$: commodity. E.g., $C = \{\text{oil}, \text{gasoline}, \text{coal}, \text{crude} \text{oil}, \ldots \}$. Commodities belong to diverse subsets that correspond to their roles:
- $\diamond C_f \subset C$: final commodities (currently: gasoline and diesel-oil),
- $\diamond C_i \subset C$: commodities required as inputs for activities of technologies $t \in T$,
- 45 ♦ ... (more subsets shall be defined).
- ... (more indices may be needed).
 - Notes for Jinyang:
- I strongly recommend to refrain from using v^y (or any other symbol defined by a letter with subscript/superscript) for an index. Therefore, I propose to use v for the vintage period index.
- We don't need in the SMS: $y \in Y$ 5-year period id, $Y = \{2020, 2025, \dots 2050\}$.

51 1.3 Variables

47

Although all variables are treated equally within the model, we divide the set of all model variables into categories corresponding to the roles; this helps for structuring the model presentation.

55 1.3.1 Decision variables

- Decision-makers control the modeled system by decision (control) variables:
- $cap_{tv}, t \in T, v \in P$: new production capacity of t-th technology, made available at the beginning of v-th period, t
- $act_{tvp}, t \in T, v \in V, p \in P$: activity level of t-th technology, using in period p the new capacity provided in period v.
- 61 Editorial notes:
- We use² a short notation, i.e., x_{ijk} instead of $x_{i,j,k}$.
- Further on, we skip the obvious explanations of the meaning of the indices.

¹I don't think we need "new" capacities, because capacities are indexed by v, which means that each capacity was "new" in v-th period, and remains available in this period as well as in $\tau-1$ following periods.

²JZ: it is up to you, which of these two notations you want to use. For the compactness I usually use the short one.

4 1.3.2 Outcome variables

- Outcome variables are used for evaluation of the consequences of implementation of the decisions; therefore, at least one of them is used as the optimization objective.
- In the model prototype only two outcomes (both used as criteria in multiple-criteria model analysis) are defined:
- cost: the total cost of the system over the planning period, and
- \bullet CO2: the total CO2 emission caused by the production system.

71 1.3.3 State variables

- The variables defining the state of the system:
- ... (to be defined, if needed).

74 1.3.4 Auxiliary variables

- 75 All other variables used in the SMS:
- $actInp_{cn}$ input resources required by all technologies $t \in T$
- ...(all remaining variables, to be defined).

78 1.4 Parameters

- The following model parameters are used in the model relations specified in in Section 1.5:
- values of indices (members of sets) specified in Section 1.2.2,
- τ : lifetime (number of periods) of the new capacity (equal for all technologies),
- $d_{cp}, c \in C_f$: demand for final commodities defined by $C_f \subset C$
- a_{tvc} : amount of output from the unit of the corresponding activity
- inp_{ctv} : amount of input required by the unit of the corresponding activity
- ef_{tv} : CO2 emission factor
- $invC_{tv}$: unit investment cost of new capacity
- $omcC_{tv}$: unit OMC of activity
- $extP_{cp}$: unit price of external input resources
- $utlF_t$: capacity utilization factor
- ... (the list is incomplete)

91 1.5 Relations

- 92 The values of the model variables conform to the following model relations.
- The sum of activities act_{tvp} shall result in producing the required amounts of the final commodities:

$$\sum_{t \in T_f} \sum_{v \in V_p} a_{tvc} \cdot act_{tvp} \ge d_{cp} \quad c \in C_f, p \in P.$$
 (1)

where $V_p \subset V$ is defined by:

$$V_p = \{ p - \tau + 1, p - \tau + 2, \dots, p \}.$$
 (2)

- The parameter $\tau > 1$ defines the number of following periods during which the capacity installed in v-th period remains available for the corresponding activity. In the prototype implementation, the value of τ is equal for all technologies.³
- The levels of activities cannot exceed the corresponding available capacities:

$$act_{tvp} \le utlF_t \cdot cap_{tv}, \quad t \in T, v \in V_p, p \in P.$$
 (3)

Note: The values new capacities cap_{tv} within the planning period $(v \in P)$ are defined by the decision variables. However, for the non-positive values of V_p (i.e., historical investments) the cap_{tv} values are defined by the model parameters.

• Each activity requires the corresponding input resources. The following relation defines the inputs of the corresponding commodities required as inputs by all technologies:

$$actInp_{cp} = \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{v \in V_p} inp_{ctv} \cdot act_{tvp}, \quad c \in C_i, \ p \in P$$
(4)

- Note: relations describing the up-stream technologies need to be added here
- The total CO2 emission caused by the activities is defined by:

$$CO2 = \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{v \in V_p} e f_{tv} \cdot act_{tvp}$$

$$\tag{5}$$

Note: emissions from up-stream activities shall be added after these will be defined.

• Investment costs are defined by:

$$invCost = \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{v \in P} invC_{tv} \cdot cap_{tv}$$
 (6)

• Operations and maintenance costs are defined by:

$$omc = \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{v \in V_p} omcC_{tv} \cdot act_{tvp}$$
(7)

• Costs of externally provided inputs are defined by:

$$extCost = \sum_{c \in C: p \in P} extP_{cp} \cdot actInp_{cp}$$
 (8)

• Total cost is defined by:

$$cost = invCost + omc + extCost (9)$$

³Note that for $\tau=4$ (the capacity life-time of 20 years) the V_p defined by (2) is equal to $V_p=\{p-3,p-2,p-1,p\}$.

12 Copy of comments of Sep. 1, 2021

- In order to assure consistency between the problem formulation and the corresponding model, I would consider replacement of the obviously incorrect inequality (9) by:
 - 1. Equation defining the amounts of each of the fuels as a function of the activities of the applied technologies:

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_{ji} \cdot ACT_i^t = x_j^t, \quad j \in J, \ t \in T$$
(10)

where:

115

116

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

127

128

- j denotes fuel type, $J = \{gasoline, diesel\},\$
- a_{ji} relates the amount of j-th fuel produced by the unit of i-th ACT,
- x_j^t stands for the amount of j-th fuel produced jointly by all considered technologies at period t.
- 2. Adding the supply-demand constraint, specification of which depends on the chosen definition of demand. Here we can consider one of the following two options:
 - 1. If the demand is given for each fuel type, i.e., as d_i^t , then:

$$x_i^t \ge d_i^t, \quad j \in J, \ t \in T. \tag{11}$$

2. If the demand is given for a linear aggregation of d_j^t , e.g., by coefficients α_j conforming to:

$$0 \le \alpha_j \le 1, \ \forall j \in J; \quad \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_j = 1. \tag{12}$$

Thus, the demand is given for a *virtual* (i.e., not actually existing) fuel:

$$d^t = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j \cdot d_j^t, \quad t \in T.$$
 (13)

In such a case instead constraint (11) one shall add constraint:

$$\sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j \cdot x_j^t \ge d^t, \quad t \in T.$$
 (14)