

# Taking Control Over The Multiverse

Marius Weidner

Chair of Programming Languages, University of Freiburg  
`weidner@cs.uni-freiburg.de`

**Abstract.**

## 0.1 Syntax

$t, \ell, A, B ::= x$   
 $\quad | \lambda(x : A) \rightarrow t$   
 $\quad | t_1 \ t_2$   
 $\quad | \forall(x : A) \rightarrow B$   
 $\quad | t_1 \equiv_A t_2$   
 $\quad | \text{refl } t$   
 $\quad | A \uplus B$   
 $\quad | \text{inj}_1 t$   
 $\quad | \text{inj}_2 t$   
 $\quad | \text{case } t \text{ of}$   
 $\quad \quad \text{inj}_1 t \rightarrow t_1$   
 $\quad \quad \text{inj}_2 t \rightarrow t_2$   
 $\quad | \text{Level}$   
 $\quad | 0$   
 $\quad | \omega \uparrow \ell_1 +_t \ell_2 \quad :: (\ell_1 : \text{Level}) \rightarrow (\ell_2 : \text{Level}) \rightarrow (t : \uparrow \ell_2 \leq \ell_1)$   
 $\quad | \text{case}_\ell t \text{ of} \quad \{-\# \text{ OPTIONS --undecidable-type-checking \#-}\}$   
 $\quad \quad 0 \rightarrow t_1$   
 $\quad \quad \omega \uparrow \ell_1 +_t \ell_2 \rightarrow t_2$   
 $\quad | \text{suc } \ell$   
 $\quad | \uparrow \ell$   
 $\quad | \ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2$   
 $\quad | \ell_1 <_\ell \ell_2 \quad \{-\# \text{ OPTIONS --undecidable-type-checking \#-}\}$   
 $\quad | <_{\ell_1} \quad :: 0 <_\ell \omega \uparrow \ell_1 +_t \ell_2$   
 $\quad | <_{\ell_2} t \quad :: \ell_{11} <_\ell \ell_{21} \rightarrow (\omega \uparrow \ell_{11} +_t \ell_{12}) <_\ell (\omega \uparrow \ell_{21} +_t \ell_{22})$   
 $\quad | <_{\ell_3} t \ t' \quad :: \ell_{11} \equiv \ell_{21} \rightarrow \ell_{21} <_\ell \ell_{22} \rightarrow (\omega \uparrow \ell_{11} +_t \ell_{12}) <_\ell (\omega \uparrow \ell_{21} +_t \ell_{22})$   
 $\quad | \text{case}_{<_\ell} t \text{ of} \quad \{-\# \text{ OPTIONS --undecidable-type-checking \#-}\}$   
 $\quad \quad <_{\ell_1} \rightarrow t_1$   
 $\quad \quad <_{\ell_2} t \rightarrow t_2$   
 $\quad \quad <_{\ell_2} t \ t' \rightarrow t_3$   
 $\quad | \text{Level}_\ell$   
 $\quad | \ell,_\ell t$   
 $\quad | \text{proj}_\ell t$   
 $\quad | \text{proj}_{<_\ell} t$   
 $\quad | \text{Set}_\ell$   
 $\quad | \text{Set}_{\varepsilon_0+i} \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}$

We write **Set** for  $\mathbf{Set}_0$ .

We also write  $\ell_1 \leq_\ell \ell_2$  as shorthand for  $\ell_1 <_\ell \ell_2 \uplus \ell_1 \equiv \ell_2$ .

We might omit the proof  $t$  that  $\uparrow \ell_2 \leq \ell_1$  in the constructor  $\omega \uparrow \ell_1 +_t \ell_2$  if it follows from context.

By an abuse of notation we may write  $\mathbf{f} : \forall(\ell : \mathbf{Level}_{\ell'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \ell$  and  $\mathbf{f} \ell \{ \ell < \ell' \}$  instead of  $\mathbf{f} : \forall(\ell : \mathbf{Level}_{\ell'}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} \ (\mathbf{proj}_\ell \ell)$  and  $\mathbf{f}(\ell, \ell \{ \ell < \ell' \})$  which is closer to what we believe should be implemented.

Note that  $\mathbf{suc} \ell$  and  $\uparrow \ell$  are essentially just definitions possible when `{-# OPTIONS --undecidable-type-checking #-}` is enabled. We could implement them in an manually checked unsafe module and mark them for the compiler.

- All syntax constructs marked with `{-# OPTIONS --undecidable-type-checking #-}` should only be visible to the compiler / some manually checked prelude module that included the least definitions introduced above.
- Note that in the case of level quantification the user *sees*  $\_ <_\ell \_$  *indirectly* in a secure way.
- IDEA: Can we allow  $\_ <_\ell \_$  to appear in the *return type* of a function without breaking decidability of typechecking? Further: We could allow *fully generalized*  $\ell_1 <_\ell \ell_2$  as argument.
- Enabling the option for use in any other module, enables the user to break decidability of typechecking but also allows to add custom laws.

## 0.2 Laws

Idempotence:  $\ell \sqcup \ell \equiv \ell$

Associativity:  $(\ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2) \sqcup \ell_3 \equiv \ell_1 \sqcup (\ell_2 \sqcup \ell_3)$

Commutativity:  $\ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2 \equiv \ell_2 \sqcup \ell_1$

Distributivity<sub>1</sub>:  $\mathbf{suc} (\ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2) \equiv \mathbf{suc} \ell_1 \sqcup \mathbf{suc} \ell_2$

Distributivity<sub>2</sub>:  $\omega \uparrow \ell +_t (\ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2) \equiv \omega \uparrow \ell +_{t_1} \ell_1 \sqcup \omega \uparrow \ell +_{t_2} \ell_2$

Distributivity<sub>3</sub>:  $\uparrow (\ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2) \equiv \uparrow \ell_1 \sqcup \uparrow \ell_2$

Neutrality:  $\ell \sqcup 0 \equiv \ell$

Subsumption<sub>1</sub>:  $\ell \sqcup \mathbf{suc}^n \ell \equiv \mathbf{suc}^n \ell$

Subsumption<sub>2</sub>:  $\ell \sqcup \omega \uparrow \ell_1 + .. + \omega \uparrow \ell_n + \mathbf{suc}^n \ell \equiv \omega \uparrow \ell_1 + .. + \omega \uparrow \ell_n + \mathbf{suc}^n \ell$

Subsumption<sub>3</sub>:  $\ell \sqcup \uparrow \ell \equiv \ell$

- All laws should be provable when `{-# OPTIONS --undecidable-type-checking #-}` is enabled
- With `{-# OPTIONS --undecidable-type-checking #-}` enabled you can add more reduction rules (either applying them explicitly or by using `{-# REWRITE #-}`)
- The rewrite system is ‘best effort’, i.e. *not* complete..
- .. it might be confluent though (it probably even *needs* to be?)

We should probably also include a library of manually checked equations enabling inequality-reasoning that make use of `{-# OPTIONS --undecidable-type-checking #-}` (those laws should not be automatically applied by the compiler, but they could be?)

Transitivity:  $\ell_1 <_\ell \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2 <_\ell \ell_3 \rightarrow \ell_1 <_\ell \ell_3$   
 Subsumption:  $\ell_1 <_\ell \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_1 <_\ell \ell_2 \sqcup \ell_3$

### 0.3 Typing

$$\frac{(x : T) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : T} \text{ T-Var}$$

todo: add context well formedness(?)

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B \quad \Gamma \vdash A : \mathbf{Set}_\ell}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : A) \rightarrow t : \forall(x : A) \rightarrow B} \text{ T-Abs}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \forall(x : A) \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : A}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : B[x/t_2]} \text{ T-App}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \mathbf{Set}_{\ell_1} \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : \mathbf{Set}_{\ell_2}}{\Gamma \vdash \forall(x : A) \rightarrow B : \mathbf{Set}_{\ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2}} \text{ T-All}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_2 : A_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash A_1 = A_2 : \mathbf{Set}_\ell}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : A_1} \text{ T-Conv}$$

todo: add definitional equality rules(?)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \ell : \mathbf{Level}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{Set}_\ell : \mathbf{Set}_{\text{succ } \ell}} \text{ T-Set}$$

todo: add context well formedness(?)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : A \quad \Gamma \vdash A : \mathbf{Set}_\ell}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \equiv_A t_2 : \mathbf{Set}_\ell} \text{ T-Eq}$$

$A \uplus B, \text{inj}_1, \text{inj}_2, \text{case\_of\_}$  missing

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{Level} : \mathbf{Set}_{\varepsilon_0}} \text{ T-Level}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 0 : \mathbf{Level}} \text{ T-Zero}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \ell_1 : \mathbf{Level} \quad \Gamma \vdash \ell_2 : \mathbf{Level} \quad \Gamma \vdash t : \uparrow \ell_2 \leq_\ell \ell_1}{\Gamma \vdash \omega \uparrow \ell_1 +_t \ell_2 : \mathbf{Level}} \text{ T-CNF}$$

$\text{case}_\ell \text{ of\_}$  missing

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \ell : \mathbf{Level}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{succ } \ell : \mathbf{Level}} \text{ T-Suc}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \ell : \mathbf{Level}}{\Gamma \vdash \uparrow \ell : \mathbf{Level}} \text{ T-Exp}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \ell_1 : \mathbf{Level} \quad \Gamma \vdash \ell_2 : \mathbf{Level}}{\Gamma \vdash \ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2 : \mathbf{Level}} \quad \text{T-LUB} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \ell_1 : \mathbf{Level} \quad \Gamma \vdash \ell_2 : \mathbf{Level}}{\Gamma \vdash \ell_1 <_{\ell} \ell_2 : \mathbf{Set}} \quad \text{T-LT} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \ell_1 : \mathbf{Level} \quad \Gamma \vdash \ell_2 : \mathbf{Level} \quad \Gamma \vdash t : \uparrow \ell_2 \leq_{\ell} \ell_1}{\Gamma \vdash <_{\ell_1} : 0 <_{\ell} \omega \uparrow \ell_1 +_t \ell_2} \quad \text{T-LTZero} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \ell_{1..4} : \mathbf{Level} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \uparrow \ell_2 \leq_{\ell} \ell_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \uparrow \ell_4 \leq_{\ell} \ell_3 \quad \Gamma \vdash t : \ell_1 <_{\ell} \ell_3}{\Gamma \vdash <_{\ell_1} t : \omega \uparrow \ell_1 +_{t_1} \ell_2 <_{\ell} \omega \uparrow \ell_3 +_{t_2} \ell_4} \quad \text{T-LTExp} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \ell_{1..4} : \mathbf{Level} \quad \Gamma \vdash t_1 : \uparrow \ell_2 \leq_{\ell} \ell_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \uparrow \ell_4 \leq_{\ell} \ell_3 \quad \Gamma \vdash t : \ell_1 \equiv \ell_3 \quad \Gamma \vdash t' : \ell_2 <_{\ell} \ell_4}{\Gamma \vdash <_{\ell_1} tt' : \omega \uparrow \ell_1 +_{t_1} \ell_2 <_{\ell} \omega \uparrow \ell_3 +_{t_2} \ell_4} \quad \text{T-LTCons} \\
\text{case}_{<_{\ell}}\text{-of\_missing}
\end{array}$$

#### 0.4 Semantics

$$\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{suc} \ 0 \hookrightarrow \omega \uparrow 0 + 0 \\
\mathbf{suc} \ \omega \uparrow \ell_1 + \ell_2 \hookrightarrow \omega \uparrow \ell_1 + \mathbf{suc} \ \ell_2 \\
\uparrow 0 \hookrightarrow 0 \\
\uparrow (\omega \uparrow \ell_1 + \ell_2) \hookrightarrow \ell_1
\end{array}$$