\equiv Q (https://profile.intra.42.fr/

mekundur

(https:// profile.intra. 42.fr)

searches)

SCALE FOR PROJECT PIPEX (/PROJECTS/PIPEX)

You should evaluate 1 student in this team



Git repository

git@vogsphere.42berlin.de:vogsphere/intra-uuid-bd06dd8a-f



Introduction

Please comply with the following rules:

- Remain polite, courteous, respectful, and constructive throughout the evaluation process. The well-being of the community depends on it.
- Identify with the student or group whose work is evaluated the possible dysfunctions in their project. Take the time to discuss and debate the problems that may have been identified.
- You must consider that there might be some differences in how your peers might have understood the project's instructions and the scope of its functionalities. Always keep an open mind and grade them as honestly as possible. The pedagogy is useful only and only if the peer-evaluation is done seriously.

Guidelines

- Only grade the work that was turned in the Git repository of the evaluated student or group.
- Double-check that the Git repository belongs to the student(s). Ensure that the project is the one expected. Also, check that "git clone" is used in an empty folder.
- Check carefully that no malicious aliases were used to fool you and make you evaluate something that is not the content of the official repository.
- To avoid any surprises and if applicable, review together any scripts used

to facilitate the grading (scripts for testing or automation).

- If you have not completed the assignment you are going to evaluate, you have to read the entire subject before starting the evaluation process.
- Use the available flags to report an empty repository, a non-functioning program, a Norm error, cheating, and so forth.

 In these cases, the evaluation process ends and the final grade is 0, or -42 in case of cheating. However, except for cheating, student are strongly encouraged to review together the work that was turned in, in order to identify any mistakes that shouldn't be repeated in the future.

Attachments

subject.pdf (https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/118145/en.subject.pdf)

Preliminary tests

If cheating is suspected, the evaluation stops here. Use the "Cheat" flag to report it. Take this decision calmly, wisely, and please, use this button with caution.

Prerequisites

- Defense can only happen if the evaluated student or group is present. This way everybody learns by sharing knowledge.
- If no work has been submitted (or wrong files, wrong directory, or wrong filenames), the grade is 0, and the evaluation process ends.
- No empty repository (= nothing in Git repository).
- No Norm error.
- Cheating (= -42).
- No compilation error. Also, the Makefile must not re-link.

If all of these requirements are passed, check 'Yes' and continue the evaluation process. Otherwise, use the appropriate flag at the end of the scale!



 \times No

General instructions

General instructions

• If a crash or unexpected error occurs (segmentation fault, bus error, nonsense display, and so forth), use the flag 'Crash'!

- The Makefile compiles the executable with the required options.
- The executable is named 'pipex'.
- No forbidden function is used.

✓ Yes

 \times No

Mandatory part

The command './pipex file1 cmd1 cmd2 file2' must behave like this command: '< file1 cmd1 | cmd2 > file2'

Error and arguments management

- The program takes 4 arguments, no more, no less (except for bonus part) and only in the required order.
- Error management is correct: (un)existing files, files rights, (un)existing command binary, and so forth.

If these points are successfully passed, check 'Yes' and continue the evaluation process. Otherwise, the evaluation is over. Use 'Incomplete work' or any other appropriate flag.

✓ Yes

 \times No

The program

The program does what the subject requires and doesn't display more information/steps than the shell command it should replicate.

Run your own tests and compare the program results against the original shell output. Take a look at the subject examples if you need to.

If no error happens, check 'Yes' and continue. Otherwise, the evaluation process ends now.

✓ Yes

 \times No

Bonus part

Evaluate the bonus part if, and only if, the mandatory part has been entirely and perfectly done, and the error management handles unexpected or bad usage. In case all the mandatory points were not passed during the defense, bonus points must be totally ignored.

Multiple pipes

The program manages the usage of several pipes one after another. As for the mandatory part, test with shell commands then compare with program output.

	⊗ Yes			×No		
<< and >> with	here_doc paran	neter				
The program rep	licates the use of <<	< and >>.				
Test multiple time 'CMD << STOP_	s something like: VALUE CMD1 >>	≻file1'				
	⊗ Yes			×N₀		
Ratings	;					
Don't forget to cl	heck the flag corres	ponding to the defense	e			
✓ Ok			★ Outstanding project			
Empty work A Concerning	Incomplete wor			● Can't support / ex	T Crash	
▲ Concerning	situation	Leaks O Forbio				
▲ Concerning	situation •	Leaks O Forbio	dden function			