# Image Captioning - Evaluation layer

Core metrics (what they measure & when to use)

## • BLEU-1/2/3/4 (n-gram precision)

- Use when: You want quick, legacy comparability (older papers, small ablations).
- Insight: Precision-oriented; penalizes missing common n-grams; weak on synonyms/paraphrases.

## METEOR (unigram F, stem/synonym matching)

- Use when: You care about recall and soft-matching (morphology, WordNet synonyms).
- *Insight:* More tolerant to paraphrase than BLEU; historically correlates better with humans than BLEU.

## ROUGE-L (longest common subsequence)

- Use when: You want order-aware recall; often reported alongside BLEU/METEOR.
- o Insight: Captures sequence overlap without strict n-gram windows.

## • CIDEr / CIDEr-D (tf-idf weighted n-grams, consensus-based)

- Use when: Primary COCO leaderboard metric; multiple references available.
- Insight: Rewards phrases common among human captions; down-weights generic words.

#### • SPICE (scene-graph F1)

- *Use when:* You want **semantic quality** (objects, attributes, relations).
- *Insight*: High correlation with human judgment on semantics; slower to compute.

#### SPIDEr (SPICE + CIDEr average)

- Use when: Balanced single score mixing semantic (SPICE) and consensus fluency (CIDEr).
- *Insight*: Good overall selection metric for model picking.

### • BERTScore (semantic similarity via contextual embeddings)

- Use when: Paraphrases are common; domain captions vary lexically.
- Insight: Token-level cosine similarity; robust to wording differences.

# • CLIPScore / RefCLIPScore (reference-free / reference-aware)

• *Use when:* You need **reference-light** evaluation or to detect image-caption alignment.

 Insight: Measures vision-text alignment in a joint embedding space; complements text-only metrics.

# • Diversity/Novelty (Distinct-n, Self-BLEU)

- *Use when:* Checking mode collapse or repetitive outputs across a dataset.
- Insight: Higher distinct-n → more lexical diversity; low Self-BLEU across corpus → diverse set.

#### Rule of thumb

Report CIDEr, SPICE (or SPIDEr) as primary; include BLEU-4, METEOR, ROUGE-L for comparability; add BERTScore/CLIPScore for semantic/alignment checks.
Always evaluate against all references per image.

Visualization methods (to understand *why* a caption was produced)

- Grad-CAM / Grad-CAM++ on the vision encoder
  - What: Localizes image regions influencing the encoder output.
  - Why: Verify that nouns/attributes mentioned are grounded in visual evidence.
- Attention rollouts / cross-attention maps (encoder-decoder or Q-Former)
  - What: Aggregate attention to visualize token ↔ region focus.
  - Why: Inspect which image patches support each generated word.
- Token-level relevance overlays
  - What: Show heatmap per generated token (e.g., "dog", "red ball").
  - Why: Helpful for error analysis (hallucinations vs grounded mentions).

# • Corpus-level dashboards

- What: Length histograms, novelty (distinct-n), per-category CIDEr (COCO categories), and hard-set breakdowns (e.g., NoCaps in/near/out-of-domain).
- Why: Exposes brittleness and domain shift.