# Methodology

This project employed a text analysis approach to assess the degree of thematic alignment between selected sessions of the **Global Solutions Summit (GSS) 2025** and the **T20 Communiqué**. Specifically, we compared the content of action points derived from four sustainability-focused GSS sessions with policy recommendations articulated in the T20 document. The analysis proceeded through a multi-step methodology grounded in natural language processing (NLP) and semantic similarity techniques.

## 1. Document Collection and Preparation

Two primary source documents were analyzed: (i) a summary of action points from the GSS 2025, and (ii) the final version of the T20 Communiqué. Both files were provided in .docx format and parsed using the python-docx library to extract the full text.

## 2. Text Segmentation

The extracted documents were segmented into analytically relevant units:

- From the GSS document, **action points** were extracted using a regular expression pattern that identified items marked with checkboxes ([]).
- From the T20 Communiqué, **recommendation blocks** were extracted using a pattern based on numerical section headings (e.g., 1.1.), capturing complete recommendation texts.

Both sets of texts were further segmented at the sentence level using the sent\_tokenize function from the Natural Language Toolkit (NLTK), resulting in a corpus of individual sentences for fine-grained analysis.

### 3. Text Preprocessing

Each sentence was preprocessed to standardize and normalize the content:

- All characters were converted to lowercase.
- Punctuation, special characters, and line breaks were removed using regular expressions.

### 4. Sentence Embedding

To generate dense semantic representations, each preprocessed sentence was embedded using the **Sentence-BERT** model (all-MiniLM-L6-v2) implemented via the sentence-transformers library. This model is optimized for capturing sentence-level meaning and enables effective comparison of short texts in vector space.

### 5. Semantic Similarity Computation

We computed **pairwise cosine similarity** scores between all action sentences and recommendation sentences using the cosine\_similarity function from scikit-learn. This produced a similarity matrix in which each cell represents the semantic proximity between an action point sentence and a T20 recommendation sentence.

### 6. Sentence Matching and Scoring

For each action sentence, the most semantically similar recommendation sentence was identified based on the highest cosine similarity score. The resulting matches were compiled into a structured table that included: the original action sentence, the corresponding recommendation sentence, their respective document indices, and the computed similarity score (rounded to three decimal places).

## 8. Output and Visualization

The final dataset was organized as a pandas DataFrame, sorted in descending order by similarity score. Results were exported in both Excel (.xlsx) and HTML formats to facilitate review, sharing, and further quantitative or qualitative analysis.

#### **Tools**

The analysis was conducted in Python, using the following libraries and tools:

• Text processing: nltk, re, spacy, docx

• Semantic modeling: sentence-transformers (Sentence-BERT)

• Similarity computation: scikit-learn

Data handling and export: pandas, numpy