


ITEA2 Project Call 6 11025 2012 - 2015

Work-Package 1: "Management"

Project Quality Assurance Plan

Izaskun de la Torre September 11, 2013



Funded by:















This page is intentionally left blank

Work-Package 1: "Management"

OETCS/WP1/D1.3.1 September 11, 2013

Project Quality Assurance Plan

Izaskun de la Torre SQS Avenida Zugazarte 8

48930 Getxo, Spain

Description of work

Prepared for openETCS@ITEA2 Project

Disclaimer: This work is licensed under the "openETCS Open License Terms" (oOLT) dual Licensing: European Union Public Licence (EUPL v.1.1+) AND Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 – (cc by-sa 3.0)

THE WORK IS PROVIDED UNDER OPENETCS OPEN LICENSE TERMS (OOLT) WHICH IS A DUAL LICENSE AGREEMENT INCLUDING THE TERMS OF THE EUROPEAN UNION PUBLIC LICENSE (VERSION 1.1 OR ANY LATER VERSION) AND THE TERMS OF THE CREATIVE COMMONS PUBLIC LICENSE ("CCPL"). THE WORK IS PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT AND/OR OTHER APPLICABLE LAW. ANY USE OF THE WORK OTHER THAN AS AUTHORIZED UNDER THIS OLT LICENSE OR COPYRIGHT LAW IS PROHIBITED.

BY EXERCISING ANY RIGHTS TO THE WORK PROVIDED HERE, YOU ACCEPT AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY THE TERMS OF THIS LICENSE. TO THE EXTENT THIS LICENSE MAY BE CONSIDERED TO BE A CONTRACT, THE LICENSOR GRANTS YOU THE RIGHTS CONTAINED HERE IN CONSIDERATION OF YOUR ACCEPTANCE OF SUCH TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/ http://joinup.ec.europa.eu/software/page/eupl/licence-eupl

Contents

Doc	ument l	History	6
1	Introd	uction	8
	1.1	Purpose	8
	1.2	Goals of the openETCS project	8
	1.3	Intended Audience	
	1.4	Evolution	9
	1.5	References, Guidelines and Standards	9
	1.6	openETCS Terminology	
2		ct Organization	
	2.1	openETCS project organisation	
	2.2	Committers assignment and responsibilities	
	2.3	Project QA Management	
3		ycle	
	3.1	Project Life Cycle	
	3.2	Product Life Cycle	
	3.3	QA Management	
4			
	4.1	OpenETCS Roles	
	4.2	Roles within the Development process of the openETCS Software	
	4.3	Roles within the Development process of the openETCS Tools Chain	
_	4.4	QA Activities.	. 22
5		ods, measures and tools for quality assurance (product + open European Train Control m (ETCS) software + Tools chain)	
	5.1	Methods, measures and tools for quality assurance OpenETCS Application Software	
	5.2	Methods, measures and tools for quality assurance openETCS Tools chain	
	5.3	Quality Control and Monitoring Activities	
6	Docur	nentation	
	6.1	Documentation Structure within the development process of the openETCS Software	
	6.2	Documentation Structure within the development process of the openETCS Tools chain	
	6.3	Quality Control and Monitoring Activities	
7	Docur	mentation Control	
	7.1	Documentation Control within the Development process of the openETCS Sotware	
	7.2	Documentation Control within the Development process of the openETCS Tools chain	
	7.3	Quality Control and Monitoring Activities	
8	Tracki	ng and tracing of deviation	
	8.1	Traceability (openETCS software + Tools chain)	
	8.2	Configuration Management	
	8.3	Fault Management	
	8.4	Grievance Handling	
	8.5	Modification and change control	
9		ier Control	
10		hing Guideline	
11		IXES	
	11.1	ANNEX A -CAT1: Open Source Development Process Roles and Competence Matrix	
	11.2	ANNEX B -CAT2: SCRUM Roles and Competence Matrix-	. 37

11.3	ANNEX C -CAI3: CENELEC Roles and Competence Matrix for OpenETCS software product-	. 38
11.4	ANNEX D -CAT3: CENELEC Roles and Competence Matrix for OpenETCS Tool Chain	
	product	46
11.5	ANNEX E - Methods & Tools for Application Software	54
References		62

Figures and Tables

Figures

Figure 1. OpenETCS Project Structure	15
Figure 2. The publishing process as BPMN diagram	32
Tables	
Table 1. Documentation History	6
Table 2. Intended Audience	9
Table 3. Standards	10
Table 4. References	10
Table 5. Procedures	11
Table 6. Guidelines	11
Table 7. Templates	11
Table 10. Referenced deliverables	23
Table 11. Documentation Structure	25
Table 12. CAT1: Open Source Development Process Roles/Competences	
Table 13. CAT2: SCRUM Roles/Competences	37
Table 14. CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project	38
Table 15. CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product	46
Table 16. Software Requirements Specification Phase	54
Table 17. Software Architecture Phase	54
Table 18. Software Design and Implementation Phase	56
Table 19. Verification and Testing Phase	57
Table 20. Integration Phase	58
Table 21. Overall Software Testing Phase	59
Table 22. Software Analysis Techniques Phase	59
Table 23. Software Quality Assurance Phase	60
Table 24. Software Maintenance Phase	60
Table 25. Data Preparation Techniques Phase	61
Table 26. Quality mechanisms for Safe deployment	61

Document History

Table 1. Documentation History

Version	Date	Chapters modified	Reason	Name
0.0.0	15.11.2012	All	First Steps on frame evaluation	Rico Kaseroni (DB) Peyman Farhangi (DB)
0.1.0	27.11.2012	All	First Steps on Content	Rico Kaseroni (DB) Jan Welte (TUBS) Peyman Farhangi (DB) Matthias Kuhn (DB)
0.1.1	29.11.2012	All	Optimaziation of document structure, Revision of Chapters according to EN 50128, Merging with project specific tasks	Stephan Jagusch (AEbt) Rico Kaseroni (DB) Cyril Cornu (All4tec)
0.2.0	30.11.2012	Baseline Require- ments for certification	Extention of Chapter according to EN 50128	Jan Welte (TUBS) Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.3.0	19.12.2012	All	Extention of Chapter 0, 1, 2, 3	All4Tech, DB, SQS
0.4.0	11.01.2013	All	Extention to existing and further Chapters	All4Tech, DB, SQS
0.6.0	28.01.2013	All	intellectual property (IP) Clean	Rico Kaseroni (DB) Cyril Cornu (All4tec)
0.6.1	29.01.2013	Scrum	Contribution	Bernd Hekele (DB)
0.7.0	01.02.2013	All	More Content	Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.8.0	02.02.2013	All	Jungle Content -> Smooth	Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.9.0	06.02.2013	All	Review on 0.8.0 Version	Dr. Hase (DB)
0.9.1	07.02.2013	Scrum	Optimization	Bernd Hekele (DB)
0.9.2	07.02.2013	All	Restructuring	Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.9.3	11.02.2013	1-, 2-, Last Chapter An- nex A and C	Graphic Figure 1, Definition of openETCS Process IP clean Job	Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.9.4	12.02.2013	All	Optimization	Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.9.4.5	15.02.2013	Chapter2	System Testing	Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.9.4.6	15.02.2013	ALL	Optimization	Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.9.5	22.02.2013	ALL	Restructuring & Optimization	Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.9.5.1	01.03.2013	ALL	LaTeX conversion	Peter Mahlmann (DB)
0.9.5.2	04.03.2013	ALL	LaTeX Optimization	Rico Kaseroni (DB)
0.9.5.3	10.04.2013	ALL	New Structure	Izaskun de la Torre (SQS)

Table 1 – continued from previous page

Version	Date	Chapters modified	Reason	Name
0.9.5.4	20.04.2013	Chapter 1, 2, 4 and annexes to chapter 4& 5	New Content	Izaskun de la Torre (SQS)
0.9.5.5	23.08.2013	Chapter 1	Terminology	Jan Welte (TUBS)

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of the QA Plan is to define the processes, methods and tools that will be used to develop the OpenETCS project meeting ITEA requirements, following Open Source principles and practices and applying the SCRUM Methodology. Besides, two of the project outcomes, the OpenETCS software, the OpenETCS Tool Chain, will have to comply with CENELEC requirements.

Due to the nature of the OpenETCS project (Research and Development (R & D) EU project with a complex list of project outcomes and deliverables), the QA Plan is specifically designed to provide a complete, consistent and integrated view of the development process at both project and product level (i.e. the development life-cycle is described partially in two different deliverables, the QA plan should manage to provide an integrated view).

The QA Plan also describes the activities to monitor and manage quality in all aspects of the project:

- Defining and ensuring that all processes and products are compliant with the corresponding standard and requirements, according to the required system/software safety integrity level
- Identifying nonconformances
- Providing timely quality status feedback to management and affected personnel
- Ensuring noncompliance issues are addressed

Therefore, it describes the QA functions, responsibilities and specific monitoring and control activities.

1.2 Goals of the openETCS project

The main goals and deliverables of the OpenETCS project are:

- Creating a formal specification of the ETCS on-board unit (OBU) functionality according to UNISIG Subset 026
- 2. An executable software package generated from the formal specification and a non-vital implementation of that software for laboratory test, simulation and reference purposes
- 3. A tools chain supporting both previous bullet points including code, test case and document generation meeting CENELEC EN50128:2011 (T3) requirements and certifiable for SIL4 software applications for signalling equipment (Certification itself is not part of the project)

1.3 Intended Audience

The QA Plan addresses all the stakeholders who are in the position to interact with OpenETCS project

Audience	Use	Role
OpenETCS Consortium Members	It provides information and access to the QA procedures and guidelines to be followed/applied during the different phases of the project development life-cycle. It provides a consistent and integrated view of the development process followed.	Consultation Reviewer Contributor or Committer
OpenETCS Quality Manager	It contains the quality targets to be achieved and the corresponding QA activities to be implemented and monitored.	Author
CENELEC Assessors	It shows the SQA strategy conceived and the one effectively implemented	To assess whether the project results comply to CEN- ELEC standards
Open Source Community (Users, Adopters, Contributors, Committers)	Provision of information and access to the QA related procedures and guidelines implemented. Provision of information on the on-going projects Provision of guidelines on how to participate to any of the projects	For consultation and/or engagement
ITEA Representa-	The QA Plan constitutes a Project Deliverable	For evaluation

Table 2. Intended Audience

1.4 Evolution

The first version of the document, prepared at the beginning of the project, will be updated regularly with the evolution of the OpenETCS project. The methods and tools to be applied during the development of the OpenETCS software products will be decided based upon the results of the research activities carried out during the project.

The QA Plan document will incorporate such decisions as they are taken with a proper justification of their appropriateness to meet the quality targets. The QA manager will guarantee the document is up to date.

The QA Plan document has been conceived as a reference document. This means that detailed descriptions of procedures, guidelines, methods and/or tools will not necessarily be included in the document but adequately referenced (*chapter 1.5*). The authors of such documents and/or Wiki pages will be responsible for keeping them updated. The QA manager will monitor such activities and will guarantee changes are appropriately reflected in the QA Plan, when appropriate.

The QA Manager will maintain the QA Plan backlog [4] [Wiki].

Major revisions of the QA Plan will be accomplished by the Committers to the Management Project. Minor review process will be done with the participation of the external community, following procedure [8]

1.5 References, Guidelines and Standards

	Standards				
Internal Code	Name	Version/ Edition/ Date	Repository	Responsible	
[1]	EN 50128		governance	CENELEC	
[7]	ISO 9001		governance	International Organization for Standardization	
[5]	SUBSET-023	3.0.0	SSRS	UNISIG	
[6]	SUBSET-026	3.3.0	SSRS	UNISIG	

Table 3. Standards

	References				
Internal Code	Name	Version/ Edition/ Date		Responsible	
[20]	Full Project Proposal (FPP)	3.0	management	Klaus-Rüdiger Hase	
[21]	Software Configuration Management Plan		governance	Jürgen Weiss	
[14]	Project Co-operation Agreement	02e	management	Bernd Hekele	
[13]	OpenECTS IP Policy	0.1	ecosystem	Bernd Hekele	
[12]	OpenETCS Internal Assessment Plan		internal- assessment	Cyril Cornu	
[18]	OpenETCS Validation & Verification Plan	01	validation	Marc Behrens Hardi Hungar	
[4]	QA Plan Backlog	0.1.0	governance	Izaskun de la Torre	

Table 4. References

	Procedures				
Internal Code	Name	Version/ Edition/ Date	Repository	Responsible	
[8]	Review Process	0.2.1	governance	Ainhoa Gracia	
[9]	Revision Process	0.2.1	governance	Ainhoa Gracia	
[3]	Change/Problem Management Process	0.1.0	governance	Izaskun de la Torre	
[11]	Grieving Handling Process		governance	Bernd Hekele	
[16]	Committer Approvement Process		ecosystem	Jonas Helming	
[17]	openETCS Development Process		ecosystem	Jonas Helming	
[24]	Training Process		governance	To be defined	

Table 5. Procedures

	Guidelines				
Internal Code	Name	Version/ Edition/ Date	Repository	Responsible	
[10]	Contribution guidelines	01	ecosystem	Bernd Hekele	
[15]	Committer Election Guideline		ecosystem	Jonas Helming	
[19]	openETCS Publishing Guideline (see also Sct. 10)		Dissemination	Stefan Rieger	
[?]	Expert Election Guideline		governance	To be defined	

Table 6. Guidelines

	Templates				
Internal Code	Name	Version/ Edition/ Date	Repository	Responsible	
[22]	Competence Matrix Template		governance	To be defined	
[23]	Expert database Template		governance	To be defined	

Table 7. Templates

1.6 openETCS Terminology

The openETCS project deals with topics from different domains like railway vehicles, signaling systems, formal methods and tool development. As every of these domains has their specific terminology, the identification of all relevant terms and abbreviations is an important part of the openETCS development process. Respectively a terminology process has been defined which collects, defines, analyses and distributes the relevant terminology for all parts of the openETCS project.

1.6.1 Terminology Process

The openETCS terminology process is based on the main openETCS development environement mainly github and Latex. In addition the iglos (https://www.iglos.de/iglos/) environment is used to model and manage terminology relations. The overall process contains the following steps:

- 1. Term proposals with definition, source and relation proposals via https://github.com/openETCS/glossary/issues or through extraction from project documents
- 2. Inclusion of term, definition, source and relation proposals into iglos, to manage the terminology work and allow analysis of the terminology (e.g. for consistency)
- 3. Export of terms and abbreviations and their information as definitions, sources and relations from iglos using a csv-file
- 4. Transformation of the csv-file into a latex glossary (https://github.com/openETCS/glossary/blob/master/Latex_Glossary/openETCS-Latex-Glossary.tex)for all project documents
- 5. All glossary files and their documentation is provided in the github glossary repository and continuously updates

Depending on the needs further extractions from the iglos database can be created providing terminology for specific openETCS activities.

The glossary and the list of abbreviations respectively acronyms is then added to any latex document by using the glossaries package. The latex commands to do this can be found in the *User Manual for glossaries.sty v3.07* or in the short description in the glossary wiki at https://github.com/openETCS/glossary.

The following subsections list the important glossary terms and the abbreviations used in this document.

1.6.2 Glossary

assessor	person or agent appointed to carry out the assessment.
designer	
formal methods	Formal methods are system design techniques that use rigorously specified mathematical models to build software and hardware systems
integrator	
intellectual property	

on-board unit on-board equipment for ETCS and the ETCS-

related GSM-R..

validator person or agent appointed to carry out validation.

1.6.3 Abbreviations

ASR assessor.

DES designer.

ETCS European Train Control System.

HR Highly Recommended.

IMP implementer.

INT integrator.

IP intellectual property.

ISTQB International Software Testing Qualifications

Board.

OBU on-board unit.

R & D Research and Development.

SCMP System Configuration Management Plan.

SIL safety integrity level.

SW software.

TSI Technical Specification for Interoperability.

TST tester.

V & V Verification and Validation.

VAL validator.

VER verifier.

WP Work Package.

2 Project Organization

OpenETCS is a cooperative European-ITEA project. The project plan (objectives, work plan schedule, role of the partners, project organization) is described in the [20] FPP document, which is updated regularly (at least yearly). The project is accomplished according to the Project Co-operation Agreement (PCA) [14] signed by the partners.

The organization of the project has to meet the following constraints and challenges to succeed:

- 1. As an ITEA project, the project has to meet requirements imposed by the ITEA Office that affect both the organization and the outcomes of the project.
- 2. As an ITEA project, the effective involvement of the partners is sometimes hampered by external constraints (i.e. local financing, local approvals) so mechanisms to guarantee the "required competence" is available when needed are to be implemented. Besides, OpenETCS operates in a regulated environment where demonstrating the competence of the personnel assigned to the different activities is required.
- 3. Some of the results (software & tool chain) have to be certifiable; CENELEC SIL4 requirement [6] have to be followed and the corresponding evidence provided.
- 4. As an open source project, Open Source principles will be respected; high degrees of engagement from the community are intended.
- 5. As it is the intention to apply SCRUM, the appropriate responsibilities and mechanisms have to be implemented

The following chapters shows the mechanisms implemented at organizational level to guarantee the above mentioned objectives are achieved.

2.1 openETCS project organisation



Figure 1. OpenETCS Project Structure

2.1.1 Compliance with ITEA Requirements

ITEA rules are documented in the ITEA2 Frame Agreement [XX].

Compliance to ITEA Requirements is achieved by means of:

- The appointment of a Project Coordinator (DB, WP1, supported by the Project Office) who leads the project and is responsible for the communications with the ITEA representatives.
- The appointment of a Local Coordinator per country, National Cluster Leader, who reports to the corresponding National Authorities of the progress of the local partners
- A signed PCA where cooperation rules and principles and working structures are agreed by all the partners.
- An OpenETCS Foundation NV which guarantees sustainability of the project results once the project is finished.

2.1.2 Compliance with Open Source Principles

Compliance to the Open Source Principles and related objectives is achieved by means of:

- An OpenETCS IP Policy and Procedures [13]
- An OpenETCS Development Process [17] [Wiki] based in the Eclipse Development Process [2], designed to promote dynamism in the development and openness. All the guidelines are maintained and available at the OpenETCS Ecosystem project [XX]:

 The OpenETCS project is conceived as a project of projects organized in a hierarchical manner, where the WorkPackages, as defined within the WorkProgramme [20], are considered Top-Level Projects with their own charter. The so-called Tasks are projects, sub-projects of the corresponding Top-Level Project.

- Anyhow, new projects can be launched, if needed and approved; existing projects can
 be archived, if they become inactive. Therefore the final structure of the OpenETCS
 project will very much depend on its evolution.
- The list of OpenETCS projects with information on their status is available in [XX]
- Any project (independently to its position in the hierarchy, and type) has its project leader, scope and maintains its own resources. The project leader is not only responsible to guarantee progress towards the scope of the project but to promote that the most appropriate community is engaged in the project life-cycle with openness and transparency. This community includes committers, contributors, users and adopters.
- Every Top-project/Work Package (WP) has its own repository under the responsibility
 of the Top-Project/WP Leader. Agreements and principles on the repository structure
 and content can be found in [XX]
- The PMB (Project Management Board) is responsible for maintaining and assuring the implementation of the OpenETCS Development Process and for ensuring the required "coordination" among the projects.
- The Mentoring board (composed of XXX) is responsible for mentoring projects and advising.
- The Project Office is responsible for the administrative tasks around the OpenETCS
 Development Process and maintains the OpenETCS Ecosystem project [XX]
- The tools to support the OpenETCS Development Process are open source tools. A relation of the tools approved by the consortium is [XX]
- The engagement of the OpenETCS Advisory Group will not only provide valuable technical insights but visibility of the project within the railway community.

2.1.3 Compliance with SCRUM Requirements

Agile Project Management has been introduced to software projects in the 90-ties and is now a de-fact industry standard well documented in publications as e.g., in [XX].

Compliance to SCRUM Requirements is achieved by means of

- Each Work Package/Top-Project Leader is the SCRUM Product Owner of the corresponding WP/Top-Project results and maintains the corresponding backlog
- Each Project/Task Leader is the SCRUM Product Owner of the corresponding Tasks results and maintains the corresponding backlog
- The Project Coordinator is the SCRUM Product Owner of the project results and maintains the project results backlog.
- Weekly meetings are maintained to find and report on impediments, assess progress, promote cross-collaboration, plan next steps and therefore, maintain the corresponding backlog.
 - Weekly Scrum meetings are per definition open meetings, e.g., everybody from the teams can participate and contribute to the meeting.

- The weekly meetings are strictly time-boxed.
- At WP/Project level, the registered committers, contributors, users and adopters are invited to participate
- At Open ETCS project level, the components of the PMB(Project Management Board) are invited.
- The work-packages resp. tasks need to organize there scrum teams according to practical needs
- Teams are typically distributed in geography and in organisation (i.e., participating companies).
- Scrum teams typically have to provide several development roles (according to CENELEC and according to Eclipse). Guidance on the possible mixtrues of CENELEC roles into a Scrum team is documented in the annex section of this guideline.
- To be able to be successful in Agile Development we need to set special focus to the role of the "User" of a product.
 - In general, the user of a product in openETCS should representratives of the project openETCS consuming the result of a scrum team.
 - The workpackage leader of the WP using an outcome of the team is the first candidate.
 - Representatives of partners making use of the openETCS result in long term are also natural users of a team result.
 - Partners in the openETCS project need to agree on the Users before the task when planning the interfaces.
- Each team has to select a scrum master. Scrum training is mandatory.
- A SCRUM master (WP1) is responsible for supporting the teams.

2.1.4 Compliance with software management and organisation according to EN50128:2011

In principle, two of the OpenETCS project results (Software and Tool Chain) are to be CENELEC safety integrity level (SIL) 4 certifiable. These are two of the results from WP3 and WP7. The following mechanisms, at organizational level, will help the corresponding project leader to provide evidence of compliance with chapters 5.1 and 5.2. Anyhow, evidence that requirements imposed are met will have to be provided for each of the two software projects on a project by project basis.

- Every partner in the consortium is ISO9001 Certified or will be in the position to provide evidence of a quality management process is accordance to ISO9001
- Every partner maintains an updated CV of the staff/experts involved in OpenETCS
- A Required Competence Matrix (RCM) per role and project will be maintained (Chapter 4).
- A database with the participants per role and task/project will be maintained by the task/project leader.
- Overall, the independence required to develop certifiable results is promoted by the Work Programme which is structured into the following "independent" WorkPackages/Top-Projects, each lead by a different organization.

- WP2, focused on Requirements Specification is led by SNCF.
- WP3, focused on the Software Implementation taking as input WP2 and WP7 results is led by Alstom France.
- WP4 focused on the specification of the Verification and Validation (V & V) structure, is led by DLR
- WP5 focused on demonstrating applicability/validity of WP3 and WP7 results is led by ERSA
- WP7 focused on the development of the Tool Chain is led by DLR taking as input WP2 and WP4 inputs
- For the purpose of validating/adapting technical approaches, tools and concepts before they are taken into consideration, three Use Cases will be engaged.
- The Open Development Process facilitates the creation of the necessary projects required to achieve the OpenETCS project results.
- For each assessable result, CENELEC required software roles will be covered by experts from different WPs. Incompatibilities can be controlled and monitored as active participation to the different projects has to be granted, accepted and is appropriately registered (*Chapter 2.2*). Evidence of competence can be provided by comparing the CV of each expert with the RCM for the role assigned.
- For each assessable result, if possible, the role of the assessor will be selected from the external community of the project. Meanwhile, an internal independent assessor will be appointed. The role and profile of this assessor is detailed in OpenETCS/internal-assessment [12] [wiki pages]

One of the mechanisms to guarantee the availability of competence staff when needed will be the design and implementation of a training programme. The training programme will be managed by the Project Office. The identification of needs will be performed by the project leaders, the PMB and the Quality Manager. The training process is detailed in [XX]

2.2 Committers assignment and responsibilities

Each Top-Project/WP leader is responsible for establishing and publishing the specific required competence matrix for the Top-Project/WP (*Chapter 4*). This matrix will be updated in response to the demands imposed by the evolution of the project. The competence matrix template [22]is provided in [XX]

Each Top-Project/WP leader is responsible for developing the most appropriate communities of users, adopters, contributors and committers as required by the Top-Project/WP. A database will be maintained and assessed periodically by the Top-Project/WP Leader. This database will contain the coordinates of the expert, his/her role in the project and a basic explanation of adequacy. The expert database template is provided in [XX]

The required core competences as well as the expected contribution of each of the identified communities are described in Chapter 4.

Only committers have write-access to the project resources. Becoming a committer requires of the acceptance of the project leader and of the rest of the project committers. Guidelines on how to become a committer can be found in [ecosystem wiki pages].

• It is the responsibility of the Project Leader to make sure the required competence to develop a task is covered by the engaged committers.

• It is the responsibility of the Open ETCS Project Leader to guarantee the required competence for the project is covered by the effective committers.

Contributors have read-access to the project resources, and acceptance is not required. Guidelines on how to become a contributor can be found in [ecosystem wiki pages].

An expert can contribute to different projects with different roles. The data from different project will be integrated and analysed to detect potential incompatibilities, if applicable. This activity will be done by the QA Manager. The guideline on how to select expert is detailed in [XX].

2.3 Project QA Management

QA activities will be under the responsibility of the QA Manager, who reports to the Project Coordinator.

The QA Manager will be responsible for the identification, supervision and control of all the processes, methods and tools required to meet the quality targets of the project. It is also the responsibility of the QA manager to provide the necessary evidence that such activities have been developed.

The activities of the QA Manager will be:

- To maintain the OA Plan and associated procedures and guidelines.
- A QA Plan Backlog will be maintained, implemented and published
- To participate in the OpenETCS Ecosystem project in cooperation with the Project Office
- To perform periodical audits of the maturity of the different on-going projects; propose improvement actions, if necessary.
- To participate in the review processes of the different work products.
- To collaborate with the Project Office in the identification of gaps and in the development of the corresponding Training Programme.
- To perform quantitative and qualitative analysis at process and product levels. To maintain a set of metrics for all the processes.
- To produce and publish the corresponding quality reports.

3 Life Cycle

The openETCs project itself is a R & D project running over 3 years which has the goal to deliver products such as the on-board specification model and the corresponding tool chain to generate source code based on this model. While the project life cycle is limited through the project time span, the products shall be used and also developed further after the end of the openETCS project. Respectively, the project only presents the firth development part of the product life cycle.

3.1 Project Life Cycle

The project Life Cycle is implemented through a set of WPs broken down into Tasks. In response of the nature of the project, these WPs are grouped into three purpose driven categories. The first category (WP2, WP4) addresses the specification of the work to be developed and the validation of the results to be obtained; the second category (WP3, WP7, WP5) addresses the development itself and the demonstration of the software and the tools chain developed and the third category (WP1, WP6) addresses the project management, the quality assurance and the dissemination of the project. This structure permits both the development and the integration of conceptual (R & D) and implementation activities to achieve innovative, validated and fit-for-purpose results. The detailed description of the Work Package description and overview plan is covered by [FPP].

3.2 Product Life Cycle

As the OpenETCS project products shall be part of the train development the reference for their life cycles are the CENELEC standard phases defined in the EN50126. But as the products are in general R & D results, their life cycles do not include any certification or acceptance activities at the moment. The main OpenETCS products are the OpenETCS Software model and the OpenETCS tools chain development, which have their own life cycles. For both parts the main development, verification and validation activities are done during the OpenETCS project. For the software only the demonstrator implementation is part of the OpenETCS project, while any kind of implementation on a target hardware is out of the project. For the OpenETCS tools chain the basic implementation is part of the project, but all further steps from qualification on are out of the project. In general long time maintenance is a key concept of these products but it can not be established in the project time span.

3.2.1 Life Cycle of the OpenETCS Software

The software development life-cycle of the OpenETCS project should be complied with CEN50128. Requirements imposed by the standard are analyzed and shown in detail in D2.2, while the software development life cycle applied in this project is described in Deliverable 2.3 and D2.4. The Test and Validation activities are presented in D4.2. The integration, the assessment and any maintenance is only defined in relation to the demonstrator implementation as no further phase can are planed in depth at this point.

3.2.2 Life Cycle of the OpenETCS Tools chain

The development of the Tool Chain has to comply with EN50128. Requirements imposed by the standard are analyzed and shown in detail in D2.2. The tools chain development life cycle is described in D7.3. As the tools chain is a combination and improvement of already existing tools, which have a specific life-cycle, the tools chain life cycle mainly consists of integration and maintenance activities.

3.3 QA Management

Guidance: Refer to the procedures to implement the QA activities identified within the above mentioned development life-cycle.

CC: The parts \$2.3 (Project QA management) and 3.3 (QA management) could be both in the same part (2 or 3). For us, the Quality Assurance has to refer to both project life-cycle and Software life-cycle.

IT: In chapter 2.2, the idea is to introduce the QA Organisation Roles (both project and software, as you say). In chapter 3.3 we will explain the QA activities

4 Roles

4.1 OpenETCS Roles

In view of the nature of the project, roles are grouped into three independent categories:

• CAT1: Open Source Development Process Roles

CAT2: SCRUM Roles

• CAT3: CENELEC Roles

Therefore, any participant will always adopt a role within CAT1, a role within CAT2 and if he/she is involved in the development of a CENELEC assessable product, a third role in CAT3.

As already mentioned, OpenETCS is a project of projects. An expert can participate to different projects with different roles. Therefore an expert will have a CAT1, CAT2 and/or CAT3 role per project.

In the Annexes A, B, C and D, the responsibilities and the core competences required by each role are detailed. It is the responsibility of the QA Manager to keep them updated

In the case of CAT 1 roles, specific technical competence will be required depending on the scope of the project. For this reason a new column has been added. In this column, specific technical competences for each project and role are to be included. It is the responsibility of each project leader to provide this information.

According to the open development process followed by Open ETCS, the QA process is also a project. For this reason the QA Manager will have to meet the competences of a Project Leader and the specific competences imposed by CENELEC and the OpenETCS project to the Quality Manager activities. When needed, specific responsibilities imposed by a project to a role will be detailed too.

As project results affected by CENELEC are already identified, both core and specific required competence per CAT 3 role are included in Annexes C and D.

4.2 Roles within the Development process of the openETCS Software

The responsibilities and competences for every role specific to the openETCS Software development are listed in Annex C. The independence of different roles is the core concept of the quality

assurance strategy required be CENELEC standards. As openETCS is a collective project by various independent partners, the project organization already ensures full independence between the roles administrated by experts from different partners.

4.3 Roles within the Development process of the openETCS Tools Chain

See Annex D

4.4 QA Activities

The QA Manager will be in charge of:

- Maintaining the Requirements Competence Matrices updated in response to the evolution of the OpenETCS project
- Performing periodical audits of the participants' database per project; trace database with the RCM (Required Competence Matrix) for such project
- Identify training needs and provide the required support to the Project Office in the definition and organization of the corresponding training activities.
- In the case of CENELEC related project, provide the necessary evidence of competence and independency between roles. If this is not possible, propose the necessary solutions and support the projects in its implementation

5 Methods, measures and tools for quality assurance (product + open ETCS software + Tools chain)

Selection of methods and tools used in each phase of the OpenETCS process is a part of the WP7 activities. This selection is based on the state of art established by WP2 (D2.1 and D2.2), the set of requirements defined by WP2 (D2.6-9) and the process definition (D2.3, D2.4, D4.1, D4.2.3).

Results of the selection of methods and tools are given in the D7.1 and D7.2 deliverables. Conformance of the methods and tools are going to be discussed in D7.3.

The following table give details of all this deliverables.

Deliverable	Content of Relevance for this Chapter
D2.1: Report on existing methodolo-	State of the art on methods and tools
gies	02 4.0 4.0 5.1 110 4.0 5.0 1.0
D2.2: Report on CENELEC Standards	CENELEC requirements to be fulfilled and the approach followed by the project to provide evidence
D2.3: Process definition	OpenETCS process definition
D2.4: Report on Methods definition	Description of methods and tools to use to follow the OpenETCS process
D2.6-9: Set of requirements for the OpenETCS project	Definition of the requirements that the selected methods and tools shall follow
D4.1: Report on V & V Plan & Methodology	Detailed description of the V & V process and how are used the methods and tools to cover V & V artifacts
D4.2.3: Safety Plan	Detailed requirements on methods and tools to be used during the process to obtain a SIL4 development of on-board unit
D7.1: Report on the final choice(s)	
for the primary tool chain (means of de-	Selected methods and tools to be used during the specification and design part of the OpenETCS process
scription, tool and platform)	
D7.2: Report on all aspects of secondary tooling (results of T7.2)	1 1
D7.3: Tool chain qualification process description	This report describe how the selected methods and tools fit the qualification requirements according CENELEC standard

Table 10. Referenced deliverables

5.1 Methods, measures and tools for quality assurance OpenETCS Application Software

It is assumed that the OpenETCS application software will be SIL4 compliant. Therefore, the methods, techniques and tools shall be suitable to SIL 4.

MPD(Systerel): It is not totally exact: one of the aim of the project is to provide a tool chain which allows to develop SIL4 software, the OpenETCS application software produced during the project has not as objective to be SIL4 compliant.

MPD(Systerel): The current OpenETCS process, and the selection of methods and tools, do not cover only software development but also system phases as described in EN50129 or EN50126.

5.2 Methods, measures and tools for quality assurance openETCS Tools chain

The Tool Chain will be composed of a set of tools with different levels of interaction. The document D7.3 provides a description of the Tool Chain architecture, jointly with a description

of the constituent tools. Following CENELEC criteria, each tool belongs to one of the following classes: T1, T2 and T3. Class 3 and Class 2 Tools are obliged to follow specific development methods, techniques and tools.

5.3 Quality Control and Monitoring Activities

Guidance: Describe the measures to monitor the appropriate implementation of the selected methods and tools.

JW: This is a broad topic, the main issues will be covered by the verification, validation and safety plan. This aspect should introduce the general principals and tools and then reference those documents.

IT: OK. I think there is an error in the template. Instead of QA Activities, it is Quality Control and Monitoring Activities.

6 Documentation

The documentation structure of the OpenETCS project is composed of:

- Deliverables, which constitute the official outcomes of the different Top-Projects/WPs
 - The relation and scope of the deliverables to be produced along OpenETCS can be found in the FPP [].
 - The updated status of development of each Deliverable can be found in [State-of-Deliverables Wiki].
 - The approved and therefore valid version of each Deliverables can be found in the repository of the Top-Project/WP it belongs to.
- Contractual documents, with the Commission and among the project partners
 - The status of development of each contractual document can be found under the repository of Management (WP1).
 - The last approved and therefore valid version of each contractual document can be found under the repository of Management (WP1).
- Periodic Progress Reports, to show progress to ITEA and EC representatives.
 - The state of each Periodic Report can be found under repository of Management (WP1).
 - The last approved and therefore valid version of each Periodic Progress Report can be found under the repository of Management (WP1).
- Supporting Documents, in the form of Templates and Procedures
 - The procedures and templates applicable to a specific Top-Project/WP can be found in the repository of the corresponding TP/WP.
 - The procedures and templates applicable to the whole project can be found in the repository of Governance.
- Internal Reports, in the form of Meeting Minutes
 - The minutes of the weekly scrum meetings are found in the repository of Governance.

The nomenclature used for the naming of the different documents is provided in [XX-to be developed].

For each TP/WP the relation of existing documents is provided in the form of a list [Wiki]. This list includes a direct access to the valid version of each document.

6.1 Documentation Structure within the development process of the openETCS Software

As a SIL4 software, the documentation structure has to comply with CENELEC requirements. The following table shows the document structure required by CENELEC for a SIL4 development and the corresponding documents produced in the OpenETCS project.

Table 11. Documentation Structure

Document	tation S	tructure within the development process of t	the openETC	S Software
Phase	SIL4	Document	WP/Task	Link
Planning	Highly Rec- om- mended (HR)	Software Quality Assurance Plan Software Quality Assurance Verification Report Software Configuration Management Plan Software Verification and Validation Plan	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
Software Requirements	HR	Software Requirements Specification Software Requirements Test Specification Software Requirements Verification Report	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
Architecture and design	HR	Software Architecture Specification Software Design Specification Software Interface Specification Software Integration Test Specification Software/Hardware Integration Test Specification Software Architecture and design verification report	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
Component Design	HR	Software Component design specification Software Component Test Specification Software Component design verification report	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
Component Implemen- tation and Testing	HR	Software source code and supporting documentation Software source code verification report Software Component Test Report	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
			Continue	d on next page

Table 11 – continued from previous page

Document	tation S	tructure within the development process of t	he openETC	S Software
Phase	SIL4	Document	WP/Task	Link
Integration	HR	Software Integration Test Report Software/Hardware Integration Test Report Software Integration Verification Report	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
Overall Soft- ware Test- ing/Final validation	HR	Overall Software Test Report Software Validation Report Tools Validation Report Release Note	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
Systems configured by Application Data/algorithr	HR ns	Application Requirements Specification Application Preparation Plan Application Test Specification Application Architecture and Design Application Preparation Verification Report Application Test Report Source Code of Application Data/Algorithms Application Data/Algorithms Verification Report	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
Software Deployment	HR	Software Release and Deployment Plan Software Deployment Manual Release Notes Deployment Records Deployment Verification Report	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
Software Maintenance	HR	Software Maintenance Plan Software Change Records Software Maintenance Records Software Maintenance Verification Report	(to be defined)	(to be defined)
Software Assessment	HR	Software Assessment Plan Software Assessment Report	(to be defined)	(to be defined)

6.2 Documentation Structure within the development process of the openETCS Tools chain

Guidance: See Chapter 6.1

6.3 Quality Control and Monitoring Activities

Guidance: Describe the methods to review the documentation structure

JW: For me this should not be the review of the documentation structure, but the documentation quality control activities. These are looked at in detail over the next to chapters, therefore this should be a general overview.

T: OK

7 Documentation Control

Guidance: Refer to Control Process Document where the function develop by authors, reviewers is provider

JW: This sentence is hard to understand. From my point of view the three section make no sense since there should be the same process for all kinds of documents. This section should name the main control activities (review, approval, dissemination, archiving) and the main tools used for this. Then it should refer do the respective documents (like the great review process).

IT: OK, we will clarify this sentence.

7.1 Documentation Control within the Development process of the openETCS Sotware

Guidance: Refer to the list of active documents of the openETCS software

7.2 Documentation Control within the Development process of the openETCS Tools chain

Guidance: Refer to the list of active documents of the openETCS tools chain

7.3 Quality Control and Monitoring Activities

Guidance: Describe the methods to monitor both the control and process

8 Tracking and tracing of deviation

8.1 Traceability (openETCS software + Tools chain)

Guidance: Provide a description of traceability requirements, as well as how the traceability will be achieved, implement, maintained and verified. At this stage, exceptions if they exist should be justified.

8.2 Configuration Management

Guidance: Refer to System Configuration Management Plan (SCMP) (System Configuration Management Plan). Overview table with the summary of main features of SCMP.

JW: SCMP has to be written. This mainly includes an explanation of the proper github working processes.

IT: OK, it will be included in the backlog we are preparing.

Describe the QA activities

8.3 Fault Management

International Software Testing Qualifications Board (ISTQB) define a defect as "a flaw in a component or system that can cause the component or system to fail to perform its required function". A defect can be random or systematic. A defect, if encountered during execution, may cause a failure of the component or system". From the ISTQ glossary bug, fault and problem are defined to be the same as a defect.

A failure is a deviation of the component or system from its expected delivery, service or result. A failure is the consequence of a fault or error in a system but not all faults result in failures.

Faults, failures and errors encountered during the review activities (QA. Verification, Validation, Assessment) planned in the software development life-cycle, problems reported by users and customers as well as change requests initiated by any of the system stakeholders will be reported and managed following the Change/Problem Management Process [3] detailed in [governance] and through the Change/Problem Management Tool. This tool will be integrated with the Configuration management tool *GIT* and will be configured to implement and record all the information generated during the process.

The integration with the Configuration management tool GIT will permit:

- Traceability between Change/Problem Requests and the configuration items where the problem was located.
- Traceability between the configuration items modified and the corresponding Change/problem request.

The implementation of the workflow will permit:

• A complete history trail of the Change Request/Problem Report

The purpose of the Change/Problems Management implementation at OpenETCS project is to ensure that standardized methods and procedures are used for efficient and prompt handling of all changes/problems associated with the OpenETCS products, in order to minimize the number and impact of any related changes/problems. Changes/problems in the products may arise reactively in response to incidents, or proactively from seeking improved efficiency and effectiveness, as well as to enable or reflect OpenETCS initiatives, or products improvements.

The QA Manager will be in charge of:

- perform periodical audits and quality assessments of the bugs received
 - Audits to verify the process itself
 - Quality Assessments to verify the evolution of the product quality
- Assist in determining QA impacts
- Support Problem owner in analysis

8.4 Grievance Handling

Guidance: Refer to the specific procedure.

Describe the QA activities

BH: section is based on eclipse process and adopted to openETCS needs

It is a good culture to solve concerns as close as possible to the root cause of an problem or a misunderstanding. This means, the team where a problem is seen first is empowered to search for a solution of the problem first.

If the partners cannot agreed on a solution, the impediment is escalated to the next level in the project hierarchy.

When a member of the openETCS community has a concern about a Project, the member will raise that concern with the Project's Leadership (e.g., task leader in openETCS). If the member is not satisfied with the result, the member can raise the concern with the parent Project's Leadership, typically the workpackage leader.

The Member can continue appeals up the Project Leadership Chain and, if still not satisfied, thence to the project management board PMB, then the openETCS project lead, and finally to the project co-operation committee (PCC). All appeals and discussions will abide by the Guiding Principles of being open, transparent, and public.

Member concerns may include:

- Out of Scope. It is alleged that a Project is exceeding its approved scope.
- Dysfunctional. It is alleged that a Project is not functioning correctly or is in violation of one or more requirements of the Development Process.
- Contributor Appeal. It is alleged that a Contributor who desires to be a Committer is not being treated fairly.
- Invalid Veto. It is alleged that a -1 vote on a Review is not in the interests of the Project and/or of Eclipse.

A variety of grievance resolutions are available to the PMB up to, and including, rebooting or restarting a project with new Committers and leadership.

The issues seen during a sprint shall be taken to the sprint retrospective in order to help the team find an easy way in the future.

8.5 Modification and change control

A change is the addition, modification, or removal of a configuration item (CI), product, or product component, and/or its associated elements

The change requests initiated by any of the system stakeholders will be reported and managed following the Change/Problem Management Process [3] detailed in [governance] and through the Change/Problem Management Tool.

The Change/problem Management process aims to evaluate and plan the change/problem process to ensure that, if a change is made, it is done in the most efficient way possible, following the established procedures and ensuring the quality and continuity of the OpenETCS project and products at all times.

The QA Manager will be in charge of:

- perform periodical audits and quality assessments of the change request received
 - Audits to verify the process itself
 - Quality Assessments to verify the evolution of the product quality
- Assist in determining QA impacts
- Support Change owner in analysis

9 Supplier Control

First draft.

This section describes what openETCS consortium expects its suppliers to do to ensure that all openETCS products' requirements and expectations are met.

This Supplier control applies to all Suppliers providing openETCS project with materials, products, processing, and related services.

At following, the expected Suppliers' general requirements are listed:

- Supplier shall ensure the confidentiality of openETCS project and products under development, and related product information, as well as intellectual property shared as a result of the working relationship.
- Suppliers are expected to have an effective quality system that ensures conforming product is delivered.
 - Suppliers shall maintain a Quality Management System suitable to the products and services provided to openETCS, that is certified by an accredited third-party certification body, i.e. ISO9001. This letter of accreditation should be provided to the respective QA personnel
 - In the absence of third-party certification, depending on the product, its application, value, and criticality, the OpenETCS community and Quality Assurance Manager may authorize the acceptance of other evidence of compliance
- Supplier should assure that all performance, endurance, maintenance, safety and warning requirements are met.
- The Supplier shall maintain documented procedures for identification of product from receipt
 and during processes of production and delivery. When traceability is a specified requirement,
 the Supplier shall establish and maintain a documented procedure for unique identification of
 individual product or batches

• The supplier shall provide and maintain suitable gauges, measuring instruments and test equipment to measure/test all material for conformance to OpenETCS requirements.

- Copies of quality conformance inspection data pertinent to material inspection must be provided by the supplier if required for each shipment or retained at Suppliers premises for future verification.
- The Supplier shall provide evidence that the following verifications required by the design record and control plan have been completed and that results indicate compliance with specified requirements
- Suppliers will be responsible for corrective action when changes to product specifications without prior notification to QA result in non- conformity to product or processes.
- OpenETCS requires all Suppliers to be approved prior to the issuance of contracts

Supplier Approval Process

• **Registration:** New suppliers must complete a registration form. This form initiates the approval

• Evaluation:

- Ensure Supplier Risk Assessment considers both:
 - * Quality risk
 - · Finished Device Quality implications
 - * Supply risk
 - · Including implications of supplier going out of business
- Evaluate Suppliers using Questionnaires, Self surveys and Audits techniques
- Supplier's grading based upon evaluation results and assigned an evaluation status:
 Approved, conditional or not approved
- Certification: Classify Suppliers based on both QUALITY Risk and SUPPLY Risk.

The QA Manager will be in charge of:

- inspect records/evidence of a supplier's quality management systems at their facility
- monitoring and feedback processes: Include periodic review of critical product/process data
- documents problem issues and requirements for the supplier
- analyses the supplier operating conditions,
- Establish Minimal performance for Quality and Delivery
- When a supplier provides a product/part, apply supplemental controls to further mitigate risk
 - Product Acceptance Activities
 - Supplier Performance and Monitoring: augmented frequency of reviews
- creates a corrective development profile together with the supplier,




Figure 2. The publishing process as BPMN diagram

JW: Im missing sections for the Quality Assurance during the product maintenance and the deployment of the software and the tool chain.

IT: Product Maintenance and deployment phased will be covered in Chapter 3.2

10 Publishing Guideline

When publishing in the context of the openETCS project authors shall adhere to this guideline. Figure 2 depicts the steps as graphical BPMN process. The individual steps are described in detail in the following.

- 1. It must be ensured that the *project*, the *funding authority* and the *grant number* is mentioned in the paper/presentation. The following acknowledgements can be used:
 - **Germany** This work was funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Grant No. 01IS12021) in the context of the ITEA2 project openETCS.
 - **Belgium/Brussels region** This work was funded by the Région de Bruxelles-Capitale / Brussels Hoofdstedelijk Gewest (Grant No. RBC/12 R 11) in the context of the ITEA2 project openETCS.
 - **Belgium/Walloon region** This work was funded by the Walloon Region (DG06) (Grant No. 6921) in the context of the ITEA2 project openETCS.
 - **France** This work was funded by the "Direction Générale de la compétitivité, de l'industrie et des services" (DGCIS) (Grant No. 112930309) in the context of the ITEA2 project openETCS.
 - to be completed Spain still missing
- 2. Publications potentially affecting project contributions of other partners require explicit approval. A request for approval shall be accompanied with a reasonable deadline (e.g., two weeks). Please consider a joint publication with the involved partners.

3. An entry with the details of the publication should be added to the Zotero group *openETCS Publications* by using the Zotero tool or the website zotero.org. A how-to regarding the use of Zotero in openETCS is provided here. A link to an official and public webpage where the publication can be obtained/purchased should be included.

- 4. The final document should be uploaded to GitHub to one of the following directories:
 - To Dissemination-Management/ProjectPublications if legal restrictions apply for publication.
 - To Dissemination/ProjectPublications if it can be published freely under the openETCS Open License.

ANNEXES

11.1 ANNEX A -CAT1: Open Source Development Process Roles and Competence Matrix-

Table 12. CAT1: Open Source Development Process Roles/Competences

		- C FELC		
		CALT: Open Source Developm	Source Development Process Roles/Competences	S
Code	Role	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Core Competences	Specific Competences /Responsibilities per project
OPL	OpenETCS project Leader	Responsible to guarantee progress Promote that the most appropriate community is engaged in the project life-cycle Ensure that all personnel involved in all phases of the software, tool chain (products) and project life-cycle, including management activities, have the appropriate training, experience and qualifications	(To be fulfilled)	(To be fulfilled per project)
WPL	WP Leader/Top- level project leader	Make sure the required competence to develop a task is covered by the engaged committers WP To ensure that all personnel who have responsibilities for the software are competent to discharge those level project responsibilities Intervel project responsibilities Ensure that the parties involved throughout the product life-cycle are independent, to the extent required by the software safety integrity level, in accordance with cenelec	(To be fulfilled)	(To be fulfilled per project)
				Continued on next page

Table 12 – continued from previous page

Role Responsibilities (To be revised) Core Competences Specific Competences / Responsibilities per project
Maintains the corresponding backlog Not Applicable Reuse of the frameworks (within the companies that are contributing to the tools (within the companies that are are lease of the tools (within the companies that are contributing to the tools (within the companies that are lease of the tools (within the companies that are leased the tools (within the companies that are leased the tools (with
Responsibilities (To be revised) Maintains the corresponding backlog Not Applicable Reuse of the frameworks (within the companies that are contributing to the project and outside of the project), Reuse of the tools (within the companies that are

Table 12 - continued from previous page

SC	Specific Competences /Responsibilities per project	(To be fulfilled per project)
CAT1: Open Source Development Process Roles/Competences	Core Competences	(To be fulfilled)
CAT1: Open Source Develop	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Have the exclusive right to elect new Committers to their Project—no other group, including a parent Project, can force a Project to accept a new Committer. Monitor and contribute to the mailing lists Proactively report problems in the task tracking system, and annotating problem reports with status information, explanations, clarifications, or requests for more information from the submitter
	Role	CMT Committer
	Code	CMT

11.2 ANNEX B -CAT2: SCRUM Roles and Competence Matrix-

Code

POw

Core Competences (To be fulfilled) (To be fulfilled) (To be fulfilled) Identify and eliminate obstacles that prevent the team from achieving their Ensure clear communication among everyone involved in the project Table 13. CAT2: SCRUM Roles/Competences CAT2: SCRUM Roles/Competences Enables close cooperation across all roles and functions Ensures that the team is fully functional and productive Responsibilities (To be revised) Identify obstacles and informing the Scrum Master Managing and prioritizing the Product Backlog Self organizing (organizes itself and its work) Development to achieve sprint goals. Software and Tool chain acceptance Understand the value of the project Unit and initial Acceptance testing Manage the development process Prioritizing the sprint backlog Prepare Burndown charts Implementing test cases Planning the release Planning the Sprints **Feam leader** Role Product Owner Scrum Master Scrum Team

ScM

ScT

11.3 ANNEX C -CAT3: CENELEC Roles and Competence Matrix for OpenETCS software product-

Table 14. CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project

		CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project	plication software project
Code	Role	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Competences
PM	OpenETCS software Project Manager	Identify which roles are needed for the project Verify that at least one person fulfills an identified project role Guarantee the required competence for the project is covered by the effective committers Initialize the distribution of roles between partners to ensure independence of the roles OpenETCS Ensure compliance with the quality management system Software Project Responsible to guarantee progress according to scheduled plans Responsible for the delivery and implementation of the software Ensure the compliance and the delivery of safety requirements Approve full and partial products to be delivered by the development process Ensure that records and traceability are maintained throughout the decision making and project Ensure appropriate validation for the project through project partners	Understand requirements of software development process Understand quality, competencies, organizational and management requirements according to relevant standards Understand the requirements of the verification, validation and safety process Able to evaluated the impact of different options for the performance concerning implementation, validation and safety
			Continued on next page

Table 14 – continued from previous page

			47
oplication software project	Competences	experience in railways sector and safety attributes in the railway domain experience with requirements management process and tools knowledges of Technical Specification for Interoperability (TSI) and related CENELEC requirements	Continued on next page
CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Responsible for the software model and source code requirement specification Establishes and maintain traceability to and from the system-level requirements Ensure that software and derived specifications requirements are under system configuration and changes management control. Ensure consistency and completeness of the software requirements specification Develop and maintain documents related to software requirements	
	Role	Requirement manager	
	Code	RQM	

Table 14 - continued from previous page

		CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project	lication software project
Code	Role	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Competences
designer (DES)	designer (DES)	Transform software requirements on acceptable solutions Derive the requirements for the system and software architecture Identify the key design issues that must be resolved to support successful development of the software Allocate the software and derived requirements and interfaces Maintain requirement traceability for the software architecture's Maintain requirements, and to and from software requirements Maintain requirements that address the effectiveness and testing Identify suitable derived requirements are under system configuration and peration Develop and maintain design documentation Ensure that the design methods and support tools Apply principles and suitable design standards Develop component specifications if it is applicable	Competent in software development in the railway domain Competent in safety design principles Familiarity with methods and tools for design analysis and design testing Ability to work with design constraints for safety relevant software in On-Board systems Understanding of the system constraints created through the TSI Understanding of the relevant parts of EN 50128 like design methods
			Continued on next page

Table 14 - continued from previous page

		CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project	ication software project
Code	Role	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Competences
implem (IMP)	implementer (IMP)	Transform design solutions in data, models, source code and finally executable code for the demonstrator Apply safety design principles Competent in safety embedded systems Competent in the im Capable of applying programming styles Understanding of the On-Board hardware Understanding of the Configuration and changes management control.	Competent in safety relevant software implementation for embedded systems Competent in the implementation language and supporting tools Capable of applying the specified coding standards and programming styles Understanding of the system constraints created through the On-Board hardware respectively the demonstrator Understanding of the relevant parts of EN 50128 like design methods
tester (TST)	Tester	Ensure the test activities planning Develop tests specification (goals and cases) Ensure traceability of test objectives to specified software requirements Ensure traceability of test cases to the specified tests objectives Ensure that the planned tests are implemented and performed Identify deviations from the expected results and record in the test reports Communicate deviation to the authority in charge of the changes management for evaluation and decision making Record the results reports Select the equipment for testing the software	Competent in ETCS specification, used means of description (model/ source code), used train and track parameter and other application data source emented and performed results and record in the test (Competent in various test approaches/methods to identify to identify the most appropriate method or combination of methods for every aspect of an artifact (Capable of deriving test cases from TSI (specifically Subset 26) and the specification model Understanding of the relevant parts of EN 50128 like test methods
			Continued on next page

Table 14 - continued from previous page

		CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project	lication software project
Code	Role	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Competences
verifier (VER)	Verifier	Develop a software (SW) Verification Plan Check the documented test suitability (completeness, coherency, relevance, traceability) with the verification objectives Identify anomalies, evaluate in terms of the risk, record them and communicate them to the authority in charge of the changes management for evaluation and decision making Manage the verification process (revision, integration and testing) and ensure the independence of the activities as needed Develop a verification report with the results of the verification activities	Competent in ETCS specification, used means of description (model/ source code), used train and track parameter and other application data source Competent in various verification approaches/methods to identify the most appropriate method or combination of methods for every aspect of an artifact Capable of deriving verification procedures from TSI (specifically Subset 26) and the specification model Understanding of the relevant parts of EN 50128 like verification methods
integrato (INT)	integrator (INT)	Manage the integration process using software baselines Develop sw and sw /hw integration test specification for sw components based on the specifications and on the designer's components architecture Develop and maintain records of the integration activities Identify integration anomalies; record them and communicate them to the authority in charge of the changes management for evaluation and decision making Develop a report of components and the overall system integration covering the integration results	Competent in ETCS specification, used programming language, used API and demonstrator hardware Competent in various integration approaches/methods to identify the most appropriate method or combination of methods for the demonstrator implementation Understanding the design and functionality requirements for intermediated development levels Capable of deriving integrator tests from the set of integrated functions Understanding of the relevant parts of EN 50128 like integration tests
			Continued on next page

previous page
from
continued
Table 14 –

		CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project	lication software project
Code	Role	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Competences
validator (VAL)	idator	Develop a Validation Plan specifying the main tasks and activities for the sw validation Agree on the Validation Plan with the assessor Review Sw requirements in relation to their intended use/environment Ensure sw fulfill all sw requirements Evaluate the assessment of the software process and of the software according to CENELEC requirements and the assigned SIL Review the verification and tests correctness, consistency and suitability Check the correctness, consistency and suitability of the test cases and executed tests Ensure that all validation plan activities are carried out Review and classify deviations, evaluate in terms of the risk, record them and communicate them to the authority in charge of the changes management for evaluation and decision making Provide recommendation about sw suitability Record Validation Plan deviations Conduct audits, inspections or reviews of the overall project at various stages of development as may be appropriate Review and analyse validation reports of the previous sw Check whether the developed solutions are traceable to the sw requirements Ensure that all dangerous situations are appropriately resolved Bensure that all dangerous situations are appropriately resolved Express their agreement or disagreement about the sw version	Competent in ETCS On-Board units Experience in safety attributes for train control systems Competent in various validation approaches/methods to identify the most appropriate method or combination of methods for the demonstrator implementation Capable of deriving types of validation evidence required for the TSI with respect to the train control functionality Capable to combine different sources and types of evidence and synthesize an overall view about fitness for purpose or constraints and limitations of the On-Board application Overall software understanding and perspective including the general railway environment Understanding the requirements of EN 50128
			Continued on next page

ده
500
æ
page
2
\equiv
previous
>
9
Ħ
2
=
from
ظ
7
7
7
nued
7
tinued
7
tinued
tinued
tinued
tinued
- continued
4 – continued
4 – continued
4 – continued

		Table 14 – continued from previous page	page
		CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project	olication software project
Code	Role	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Competences
		and client organization Evaluate the assessment of the software process and of the software	
		Assess the project team and the organization competences for the sw development	Competences in the railway domain and technology specifically concerning On-Board systems
		Evaluate the Verification & Validation activities and the supporting evidences	Acceptance/License from a recognized safety authority Continually gained sufficient level of experience in the safety
		Evaluate quality management systems adopted for the sw development Evaluate the changes management and the Configuration Management Systems and their use	principles and the application of these principles within the railway domain Competence to evaluated that a suitable method or combination of
		Identify and assess risk in terms of any deviation from the sw requirements in the evaluation report	methods in a given context have been applied Understanding the relevant safety, human resource, technical and
assessor (ASR)	Assessor	Performs independent checks of: The development process (audits) and EN 50128 the products safety functions (spot checks) during different development Competence in assessment approaches/ methods phases.	Capable to combine different sources and types of evidence and
		Should perform audits, based on the Safety plan, of the Quality and Safety management systems of the Supplier, the Infrastructure owner and the Operator and be convinced that these systems works The Assessor can also perform spot checks on detailed technical issues	synthesize an overall view about fitness for purpose or constraints and limitations of the On-Board application Overall software understanding and perspective including the general railway environment
		to see that safety functions are correctly implemented. The safety functions key documentation (Hazard Log, Safety Requirements and Safety Case) should be examined too.	Ability to judge the adequacy of all development processes (like quality management, configuration management, validation and verification processes)
		Give an opinion on the validity of sw developed for its intended use detailing any constraints, application conditions and observations for risk control appropriate Develop an assessment report and maintain records about the assessment process	Understanding the requirements of EN 50128
	_		Continued on next page

Table 14 - continued from previous page

plication software project	Competences	Competences in software configuration management Understanding the requirements of EN 50128	
CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS application software project	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Responsible for the configuration management plan [21] System configuration management owner Establish that all sw components are clearly identified and have independent versions within the system configuration management Prepare the published release notes mentioning incompatible versions of	sw components
	Role	Configuration Manager	
	Code	CM	

11.4 ANNEX D -CAT3: CENELEC Roles and Competence Matrix for OpenETCS Tool Chain product-

Continued on next page Competences Table 15. CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product (To be fulfilled) devote sufficient resources to perform the task, including security tasks Guarantee the required competence for the project is covered by the provide enough time for proper implementation and enforcement of approve full and partial products to be delivered by the development ensure that it has appointed an appropriate validator for the project ensure that records and traceability are maintained throughout the Verify that at least one person has been identified per project role Responsible to guarantee progress according to scheduled plans project Manager | ensure the compliance and the delivery of security requirements responsible for the delivery and implementation of the software ensure the independence of the roles according to CENELEC ensure compliance with the quality management system Responsibilities (To be revised) Identify which roles are needed for the project decision making and project effective committers according to cenelec security tasks OpenETCS Role Code PM

Table 15 - continued from previous page

			-
I Chain product	Competences	(To be fulfilled)	Continued on next page
S Too			
CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Responsible for the Software requirement specification Establishes and maintain traceability to and from the system-level requirements ensure that tool chain and derived specifications requirements are under system configuration and changes management control. ensure consistency and completeness of the tool chain requirements specification develop and maintain documents related to tool chain requirements	
	Role	Requirement manager	
	Code	RQM I	

Table 15 - continued from previous page

Code	Role	CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product Responsibilities (To be revised)	Tool Chain product Competences
DES	Designer	Transform software requirements on acceptable solutions Derive the requirements for the system and software architecture Identify the key design issues that must be resolved to support successful development of the software Allocate the tool chain and derived requirements to the chosen architecture components and interfaces Maintain requirement traceability for the software architecture's requirements, and to and from software requirements Identify suitable derived requirements that address the effectiveness and cost of life-cycle phases following development, such as production and operation Develop and maintain design documentation Ensure that the design documents are under system configuration and changes management control. Design or select design methods and support tools Apply principles and suitable design standards Develop component specifications if it is applicable	To be fulfilled)
			Continued on next page

Table 15 - continued from previous page

)1.3				
S Tool Chain product	Competences	(To be fulfilled)	(To be fulfilled)	Continued on next page
CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Transform design solutions in data, source code, models and / or other design representations Apply design principles Apply specific rules for data preparation/codification Perform analysis to verify intermediate results Develop and maintain implementing documents comprising the methods, types of data, models and listings applied Maintain traceability to and from the design Maintain the generated or modified data/codes/models under system configuration and changes management control.	Ensure the test activities planning Develop tests specification (goals and cases) Ensure traceability of test objectives to specified software requirements Ensure traceability of test cases to the specified tests objectives Ensure that the planned tests are implemented and performed Identify deviations from the expected results and record in the test reports Communicate deviation to the authority in charge of the changes management for evaluation and decision making Record the results reports Select the equipment for testing the software	
	Role	Implementer	Tester	
	Code	IMP	TST	

Table 15 - continued from previous page

x	Competences			Continued on next page
S Tool Chain produc		(To be fulfilled)	(To be fulfilled)	
CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Manage the integration process using software baselines Develop sw and sw /hw integration test specification for sw components based on the specifications and on the designer's components architecture Develop and maintain records of the integration activities Identify integration anomalies; record them and communicate them to the authority in charge of the changes management for evaluation and decision making Develop a report of components and the overall system integration covering the integration results	Develop a SW Verification Plan Check the documented test suitability (completeness, coherency, relevance, traceability) with the verification objectives Identify anomalies, evaluate in terms of the risk, record them and communicate them to the authority in charge of the changes management for evaluation and decision making Manage the verification process (revision, integration and testing) and ensure the independence of the activities as needed Develop a verification report with the results of the verification activities	
	Role	Integrator	Verifier	
	Code	INT	VER	

previous page
from
7
continue
-
15
e
7
7

	CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product	Tool Chain product
Role	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Competences
Validator R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R	Develop a Validation Plan specifying the main tasks and activities for the sw validation Agree on the Validation Plan with the assessor Review Sw requirements in relation to their intended use/environment Ensure sw fulfil all sw requirements Evaluate the assessment of the software process and of the software according to CENELEC requirements and the assigned SIL Review the verification and tests correctness, consistency and suitability Check the correctness, consistency and suitability Review and classify deviations, evaluate in terms of the risk, record them and communicate them to the authority in charge of the changes management for evaluation and decision making Provide recommendation about sw suitability Record Validation Plan deviations Conduct audits, inspections or reviews of the overall project at various stages of development as may be appropriate Review and analyse validation reports of the previous sw Check whether the developed solutions are traceable to the sw requirements Ensure that records associated hazardous situations and nonconformances are reviewed Ensure that all dangerous situations are appropriately resolved Develop a Validation Report Express their agreement or disagreement about the sw version	(To be fulfilled)
		Continued on next page

previous page
from
continued
ı
15
4)
aple
-60

		•	0
		CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product	Tool Chain product
Code	Role	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Competences
ASR	Assessor	Develop an evaluation Plan Evaluate the assessment of the software according to CENELEC requirements and the assigned SIL Assess the project team and the organization competences for the sw development Evaluate the Verification & Validation activities and the supporting evidences Evaluate quality management systems adopted for the sw development Evaluate quality management systems adopted for the sw development Systems and their use Identify and assess risk in terms of any deviation from the sw requirements in the evaluation report Ensure the evaluation Plan is implemented Performs independent checks of: The development process (audits) and the products safety functions (spot checks) during different development phases. Should perform audits, based on the Safety plan, of the Quality and Safety management systems of the Supplier, the Infrastructure owner and the Operator and be convinced that these systems works The Assessor can also perform spot checks on detailed technical issues to see that safety functions are correctly implemented. The safety functions key documentation (Hazard Log, Safety Requirements and Safety Case) should be examined too. Give an opinion on the validity of sw developed for its intended use Develop an evaluation report and maintain records about the evaluation process	To be fulfilled)
			Continued on next page

Table 15 - continued from previous page

duct	Competences	
S Tool Chain pro		(To be fulfilled)
CAT3: CENELEC Roles/Competences for OpenETCS Tool Chain product	Responsibilities (To be revised)	Responsible for the configuration management plan [21] System configuration management owner Establish that all sw components are clearly identified and have independent versions within the system configuration management Prepare the published release notes mentioning incompatible versions of sw components
	Role	Configuration Manager
	Code	СМ

11.5 ANNEX E - Methods & Tools for Application Software

Software Requirements Specification Phase							
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References			
1	Formal Methods	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary			
2 Modelling HR Yes Include details and references to external documents when if necessary							
3	Structured Methodology	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary			
4	essary						
Justification: (To be fulfilled)							
Justific	ation how Methods & Techniques	are com	pliance with C	CENELEC			

Table 16. Software Requirements Specification Phase

Table 17. Software Architecture Phase

	Software Architecture Phase						
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References			
1	Defensive Programming	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary			
2	Fault Detection & Diagnosis	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary			
3	Error Correcting Codes	-	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary			
4	Error Detecting Codes	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary			
5	Assertion Programming	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary			
6	Safety Bag Techniques	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary			
7	Diverse Programming	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary			
				Continued on next page			

Table 17 – continued from previous page

Software Architecture Phase						
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References		
8	Recovery Block	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
9	Backward Recovery	NR	No	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
10	Forward Recovery	NR	No	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
11	Re-try Fault Recovery Mechanisms	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
12	Memorising Executed Cases	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
13	Artificial Intelligence - Fault Correction	NR	No	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
14	Dynamic Reconfiguration of software	NR	No	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
15	Software Error Effect Analysis	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
16	Fault Tree Analysis	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
17	Information Hiding	-	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
18	Information Encapsulation	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
19	Fully Defined Interface	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
20	Formal Methods	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary		
				Continued on next page		

Table 17 – continued from previous page

	Software Architecture Phase				
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
21	Modelling	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
22	Structured Methodology	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
Modelling supported by computer aided design and specification tools Modelling supported by computer aided design and specification tools Include details and reference external documents when if essary					
Justification: (To be fulfilled)					
Justific	ation how Methods & Techniques	are com	pliance with CENE	TLEC	

Table 18. Software Design and Implementation Phase

	Software Design and Implementation Phase				
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
1	Formal Methods	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
2	Modelling	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
3	Structured Methodology	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
4	Modular Approach	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
5	Components	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
6	Design and Coding Standards	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
7	Analysable Programs	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
	Continued on next page				

Table 18 – continued from previous page

	Software Design and Implementation Phase				
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
8	Strongly Typed Programming Language	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
9	Structured Programming	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
10	Programming Language	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
11	Language Subset	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
12	Object Oriented Programming	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
13	Procedural Programming	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
14	Metaprogramming	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
Justific	Justification: (To be fulfilled)				
Justific	Justification how Methods & Techniques are compliance with CENELEC				

Table 19. Verification and Testing Phase

	Verification and Testing Phase				
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
1	Formal Proof	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
2	Static Analysis	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
3	Dynamic Analysis and Testing	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
Continued on next page					

Table 19 – continued from previous page

	Verification and Testing Phase				
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
4	Metrics	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
5	Traceability	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
6	Software Error Effect Analysis	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
7	Test Coverage for code	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
8	Functional/ Black-box Testing	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
9	Performance Testing	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
10	Interface Testing	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
Justific	Justification: (To be fulfilled)				
Justific	Justification how Methods & Techniques are compliance with CENELEC				

Table 20. Integration Phase

	Integration Phase				
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
1	Functional and Black-box Test-ing	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
2	Performance Testing	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
Justification: (To be fulfilled)					
Justific	Justification how Methods & Techniques are compliance with CENELEC				

Table 21. Overall Software Testing Phase

	Overall Software Testing Phase				
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
1	Performance Testing	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
2	Functional and Black-box Testing	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
3 Modelling R Yes Include details and references external documents when if no essary					
Justification: (To be fulfilled)					
Justific	Justification how Methods & Techniques are compliance with CENELEC				

Table 22. Software Analysis Techniques Phase

	Software Analysis Techniques Phase				
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
1	Static Software Analysis	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
2	Dynamic Software Analysis	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
3	Cause Consequence Diagrams	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
4	Event Tree Analysis	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
5	Software Error Effect Analysis	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
Justification: (To be fulfilled)					
Justific	ation how Methods & Techniques	are com	pliance with CENE	ELEC	

Table 23. Software Quality Assurance Phase

	Software Quality Assurance Phase				
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
1	Accredited to EN ISO 9001	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
2	Compliant with EN ISO 9001	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
3	Compliant with ISO/IEC 90003	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
4	Company Quality System	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
5	Software Configuration Management	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
6	Checklists	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
7	Traceability	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
8	Data Recording and Analysis	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
Justific	Justification: (To be fulfilled)				
Justific	Justification how Methods & Techniques are compliance with CENELEC				

Table 24. Software Maintenance Phase

	Table 2 it Software Plantechance I have				
	Softv	ware Ma	intenance Phase		
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References	
1	Impact Analysis	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
2	Data Recording and Analysis	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary	
Justification: (To be fulfilled)					
Justific	Justification how Methods & Techniques are compliance with CENELEC				

Table 25. Data Preparation Techniques Phase

	Data Preparation Techniques Phase			
Code	Method/Technique	SIL 4	Applied (Yes/No)	Details and References
1	Tabular Specification Methods	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary
2	Application specific language	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary
3	Simulation	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary
4	Functional testing	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary
5	Checklists	M	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary
6	Fagan inspection	R	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary
7	Formal design reviews	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary
8	Formal proof of correctness (of data)	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary
9	Walkthrough	HR	Yes	Include details and references to external documents when if necessary
Justific	ation: (To be fulfilled)			
Justification how Methods & Techniques are compliance with CENELEC				

Table 26. Quality mechanisms for Safe deployment

Quality mechanisms for Safe deployment	Technique & Approach
Software Self-identification Mechanisms (9.1.4.11)	(To be fulfilled)

Table 26 – continued from previous page

Quality mechanisms for Safe deployment	Technique & Approach
Error detection and/or avoidance mechanisms during deployment process (store, transfer, transmission and/or duplication of code operations) (9.1.4.20)	(To be fulfilled)
Automatic detection and safe management of incompatible components/versions (9.1.4.8, 9.1.4.9)	(To be fulfilled)
Provision of appropriate and accurate diagnostic information	(To be fulfilled)
Safe Roll back capabilities	(To be fulfilled)

References

- [1] CENELEC. EN50128 railway applications communication, signalling and processing systems software for railway control and protection systems, June 2011.
- [2] Eclipse Community. Eclipse development process.
- [3] Izaskun de la Torre. Change/problem management process.
- [4] Izaskun de la Torre. Qa plan backlog.
- [5] ERTMS. UNISIG subset 023, glossary of terms and abbreviations.
- [6] ERTMS. UNISIG subset 026, system requirements specification baseline 3, January 2010.
- [7] International Organization for Standardization.
- [8] Ainhoa Gracia. Review process.
- [9] Ainhoa Gracia. Revision process.
- [10] Bernd Hekele. Contribution guidelines.
- [11] Bernd Hekele. Grieving handling process.
- [12] Bernd Hekele. openetcs internal assessment.
- [13] Bernd Hekele. Openetcs ip policy.
- [14] Bernd Hekele. Project co-operation agreement.
- [15] Jonas Helming. Commiter election guideline.
- [16] Jonas Helming. Committer approvement process.
- [17] Jonas Helming. openetcs development process.

- [18] Hardi Hungar Marc Behrens. Openetcs validation & verification plan.
- [19] Stefan Rieger. openETCS publishing guideline.
- [20] openETCS Projekt Team. openETCS full project proposal, 2012.
- [21] Jürgen Weiss. Software configuration management plan.
- [22] xxx. Competence matriz template.
- [23] xxx. Expert database template.
- [24] xxx. Training process.