In <u>JSR 315: Java Servlet 3.0 Specification</u>, web-fragment.xml is introduced for pluggability of library jars which are packaged under WEB-INF/lib. The content of web.xml and web-fragment.xml are almost the same. One can define servlets, filters and listeners there. One can also specify metadata-complete=true in a given web-fragment.xml. In the latter case, the annotation processing of classes in that jar would be skipped. With web-fragment.xml, library jars can be self-contained and provide web related metadata information.

The basic differences of web.xml and web-fragment.xml are summarized in the following table:

	web.xml	web-fragment.xml
Location	WEB-INF of the war file	META-INF directory of JAR file inside WAR file's WEB-INF/lib
Ordering related element	<absolute- ordering></absolute- 	<ordering></ordering>

Ordering of web fragments

If there are more than one web-fragment jars, then one may like to specify the order of processing web-fragment.xml and annotations. This is important. For instance, filters will be executed in the order specified in web.xml. Similary for listeners. In Servlet 3.0, <absolute-ordering> is introduced in web-fragment.xml. The ordering of web-fragments is specified in the following priority:

- from <absolute-ordering> in web.xml if it exists
- from <ordering> for each web-fragment.xml if it exists
- otherwise unspecified

absolute-ordering in web.xml

 $\label{lem:condering} The < {\tt absolute-ordering} > in \verb|web.xml|| provides a way to specify the ordering of loading \verb|web-fragment.xml|| and annotation processing of web fragment. For instance,$

In the above example, the web fragment A would be processed first and web fragment B would be processed last. Note the name A and B are specified in name element of web-fragment.xml (see examples below).

ordering in web-fragment.xml

If there is no <absolute-ordering> in web.xml, then one would look at <ordering> in web-fragment.xml. The details are described in section 8.2.3 of Servlet 3.0 spec. Let us look at some examples.

• There is only one jar having <ordering> in web-fragment.xml.

```
</ordering>
</web-fragment>
```

In this case, web-fragment A would be processed first.

• There are two jars having <ordering> in web-fragment.xml, namely web-fragment A:

```
<web-fragment>
    <name>A</name>
    <ordering>
        <before>
            <others/>
        </before>
    </ordering>
</web-fragment>
web-fragment B:
      <web-fragment>
          <name>B</name>
          <ordering>
               <before>
                   <others/>
               </before>
          </ordering>
      </web-fragment>
```

Both web-fragment A and B would like to be processed first. In this case, one only guarantee that both A and B are processed before other web-fragments. But the ordering of A and B are not determined, that is arbitrary in this case.

• There are two jars having <ordering> in web-fragment.xml, namely web-fragment A:

In this case, A would be processed first, then followed by B, and then other web-fragments.

If one would like to have a deterministic ordering, then I would recommend to use ${\tt absolute-ordering}$ in web.xml.