Advanced Software Engineering (CSSE7023)

Assignment 2 — Semester 2, 2024

SCHOOL OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND

Due October 15th 13:00 AEST

I hear and I forget, I see and I remember, I do and I understand.

— Confucian Aphorism

Revision 1.2.1

Overview This assignment builds on Individual Assignment 1 and will extend your practical experience developing a Java program, including a GUI. Additionally, you must develop and submit JUnit tests for some of the classes in the implementation. You are encouraged to write tests for *all* your classes and for the GUI part of your implementation as well, but you do not have to submit these. You will be assessed on your ability to

- implement a program that complies with the specification,
- develop JUnit tests that can detect bugs in class implementations,
- and develop code that conforms to the style conventions of the course.

Task - Advanced Software Engineering In this assignment, you will update, modify and extend code written by others. You will modify and extend a command-line version of the DemoWorld packages, written by other programmers (not your own versions) from Assignment 1, in order to extend the functionality to read and interpret (parse) a Character sheet and to provide a GUI for an interactive user to update the Character's state. This will not only involve developing new interfaces and classes, but will also require you to modify existing code written by others.

Your submitted implementation will read and interpret a Character sheet in a text file specifically named "demo.sheet". Your submission will be tested against a number of "demo.sheet" files, not only the one included in the provided code. You cannot change the file name for your submission. It is referenced from main, which is included in the provided code, and cannot be changed (provided code gets overwritten for every submission – modifying the provided code has no effect for assessment).

$$v1.2 - clarified$$

Your new code will be tested extensively against the JavaDoc specifications. Unlike for Assignment One, where many tests were applied on submission, you now know how to develop your own tests and you are expected to test your code yourself in Assignment Two. The existing Gradescope tests are only to confirm that your submission compiles – these tests are not part of the assessment. The assessment tests will be conducted after all assignment submissions are complete (including all late submissions). Your submissions will be tested to correctly parse a number of different character sheet inputs, not just the one supplied in the provided code. Your solution should be able to parse any character sheet that the provided Scribe. java can produce.

You will also write tests for some of the classes in the implementation and include these in your submission. Only the tests for specific classes are to be submitted. Any test you write for other aspects of the project are not to be included in your submission. The required tests that you have designed and submitted will be assessed for their effectiveness against correct implementations of the code, as well as a number of incorrect implementations specifically written by the staff to test your tests.

$$v1.2 - updated$$

The required tests are for the Value, Stat, Hitpoints, and Character classes. Your submitted test classes must be named ValueTest, StatTest, HitpointsTest, and CharacterTest. Each class may contain whatever tests you deem appropriate, but all the tests for each class must be in a single Java class file. Download the new bundle scripts to submit your complete assignment including your four test classes. You may also download the entire "provided1.2.zip" that includes the new bundle scripts and the updated JavaDoc, but realise that none of the provided Java code has changed – only the bundle scripts and the JavaDoc.

The specification is provided in the form of JavaDocs, which describe the classes and interfaces that your assignment must implement.

The Character class has not been included in the provided code. You must develop and submit a new Character class. You should start with the Character class from the Individual Assignment 1 solution and update, modify and refactor it to meet the requirements and use the new methods now described in the supplied specification in the Individual Assignment 2 JavaDoc. Don't forget to refactor the JavaDoc comments within your new Character class to match the provided Assignment 2 JavaDoc.

Common Mistakes Please carefully read Appendix A. It outlines common and critical mistakes which you must avoid to prevent a loss of grades. If at any point you are even slightly unsure, please check as soon as possible with course staff.

Plagiarism All work on this assignment is to be your own individual work. By submitting the assignment you are claiming it is entirely your own work. You *may* discuss the overall general design of the application with other students. Describing details of how you implement your design with another student is considered to be **collusion** and will be counted as plagiarism.

You may **not** copy fragments of code that you find on the Internet to use in your assignment. Code supplied by course staff (from *this* semester) is acceptable, but must be clearly acknowledged as described in the next paragraph.

You may find ideas of how to solve problems in the assignment through external resources (e.g. StackOverflow, textbooks, ChatGPT, CoPilot...). If you use these ideas in designing your solution you *must* cite them. To cite a resource, provide the full bibliographic reference for the resource in file called refs.md. The refs.md file must be in the root folder of your project. For example:

```
> cat refs.md
1
    [1] E. W. Dijkstra, "Go To Statement Considered Harmful," Communications of the ACM,
2
        vol 11 no. 3, pp 147-148, Mar. 1968. Accessed: Mar. 6, 2024. [Online]. Available:
3
        https://www.cs.utexas.edu/users/EWD/transcriptions/EWD02xx/EWD215.html
4
    [2] B. Liskov and J. V. Guttag, Program development in Java: abstraction,
5
        specification, and object-oriented design. Boston: Addison-Wesley, 2001.
6
    [3] T. Hawtin, "String concatenation: concat() vs '+' operator," stackoverflow.com,
7
        Sep. 6, 2008. Accessed: Mar. 8, 2024. Available:
        https://stackoverflow.com/questions/47605/string-concatenation-concat-vs-operator
9
    [4] ChatGPT-4, OpenAI, "How do I use invokeLater in Java Swing?" (no code copied),
10
        Accessed on: Sep. 19, 2024. [Online]. Available: https://chat.openai.com/
11
   >
12
```

In the code where you use the idea, cite the reference in a comment. For example:

```
1
     * What method1 does.
2
     * [1] Used a method to avoid gotos in my logic.
3
     * [2] Algorithm based on section 6.4.
5
    public void method1() ...
6
     * What method2 does.
9
     */
10
    public void method2() {
11
       System.out.println("Some " + "content.") // [3] String concatenation using + operator.
12
13
```

You must be familiar with the university's policy on plagiarism.

```
https://uq.mu/r1553
```

If you have questions about what is acceptable, please ask course staff.

Generative Artificial Intelligence You are required to implement your solution on your own, *without* using code from generative artificial intelligence (AI) tools, the Internet, or *any* source other than the supplied code for the assignment. This is a learning exercise and you will harm your learning if you use AI tools inappropriately. Remember, you will be required to write code, by hand, in the final exam.

SPECIFICATION

The specification document is provided in the form of JavaDocs.

- Implement the classes and interfaces **exactly** as described in the JavaDocs.
- Details not described in the JavaDocs may be implemented as you see fit to produce the desired outcome.
- Read the JavaDocs carefully and understand the specification **before** programming.
- Do not change the public specification in *any* way, *including* changing the names of, or adding additional, public classes, interfaces, methods, or fields.
- You are encouraged to add additional *private* members, classes, or interfaces as you see fit.
- JUnit 4 test cases that you submit must only test the public and protected methods as specified in the JavaDocs. They must not rely on any other members, classes, or interfaces you may have added to the classes being tested. You may create private members and other classes in your test code.

You can download the JavaDoc specification from BlackBoard (Assessment \rightarrow Individual Assignment 2) or access it at the link below.

https://csse7023.uqcloud.net/assessment/assign2/docs/

GETTING STARTED

To get started, download the provided code from BlackBoard (Assessment \rightarrow Individual Assignment 2). Extract the archive in a directory and open it with IntelliJ.

Grading

Five aspects of your solution will be considered in grading your submission:

- 1. Automated functionality test: the classes that you must implement will have a number of JUnit unit tests associated with them that we will use to test your implementation. The percentage of test cases that pass will be used as part of your grade calculation. Classes may be weighted differently depending on their complexity.
- 2. JUnit test cases: your JUnit test cases will be assessed by testing both correct and faulty implementations. The percentage of implementations that are appropriately identified as correct or faulty will be used as part of your grade calculation.
- 3. Manual functionality test: to ensure that the look and feel of your GUI implementation is the same as the original implementation, and to ensure that the Save As and Open menu options are properly invoked by the GUI, a small scenario with a number of steps in it will be manually executed by course staff. The faults identified during these steps will be used as part of your grade calculation.
- 4. Automated style check: as for Assignment 1, style checking on your code will be performed using the Checkstyle tool¹. The number of style violations identified by the Checkstyle tool will be used to apply a percentage **penalty** to your Automated functionality test score. **Note:** There is a plug-in available for IntelliJ that will highlight style violations in your code. Instructions for installing this plug-in are available in the Java Programming Style Guide on BlackBoard (Learning Resources → Guides). If you correctly use the plug-in and follow the style requirements, it should be relatively straightforward to do well for this part of the assessment.
- 5. Manal style check: as for Assignment 1, the style and structure of your code will also be assessed by course staff. Your performance on these criteria will also be used as part of your grade calculation. It is therefore critically important that you read and understand the feedback for this part on Assignment 1, as soon as it is made available, so that you can address any issues in this assignment. See Appendix B for criteria that will be used to assess the readability of your code.

Appendix B shows how the above are combined to determine your grade for the assignment.

¹The latest version of the course *Checkstyle* configuration can be found at http://csse7023.uqcloud.net/checkstyle.xml. See the *Style Guide* on BlackBoard for instructions on how to use it in *IntelliJ*.

AUTOMATED ASPECTS OF THE ASSESSMENT

Three aspects of assessment will performed automatically in a Linux environment: execution of JUnit test cases, running your JUnit test cases on correct and faulty implementations, and automated style check using Checkstyle. The environment will not be running Windows, and neither IntelliJ nor Eclipse (or any other IDE) will be involved. OpenJDK 21 with the JUnit 4 library will be used to compile and execute your code and tests. To prevent infinite loops, or malicious code, from slowing down Gradescope, any test that takes longer than 10 seconds to execute will be killed and identified as failing. All tests should execute in a small fraction of a second. Any test taking longer than a second to execute indicates faulty logic or malicious code. Similarly, any of your JUnit test cases that take longer than 20 seconds to execute on one of the correct/faulty implementations, or that consume more memory than is reasonable, will be stopped.

IDEs like *IntelliJ* provide code completion hints. When importing Java libraries they may suggest libraries that are not part of the standard library. These will **not** be available in the test environment and your code will **not** compile. When uploading your assignment to Gradescope, **ensure** that Gradescope says that your submission was compiled successfully.

Your code must compile. If your submission does not compile, **you will receive no marks**.

SUBMISSION

Submission is via Gradescope. Submit your code to Gradescope early and often. Gradescope will give you some *limited* feedback on your code. Most importantly, it confirms that your code compiles and runs. It will not do extensive testing before assessment, and it is **not** a substitute for testing your code yourself!

What to Submit Your submission *must* have the following internal structure:

src/ Folders (packages) and .java files for classes that you modified or created for this assignment.
 test/ Folders (packages) and .java files for the JUnit tests that are required for this assignment.
 refs.md File containing the references for any citations in your code.

Included in the root directory of the provided code are the files bundle.sh and bundle.cmd. For MacOS and Unix users, run the \$bash ./bundle.sh file to execute it. For Windows users, double-click or run the .\bundle.cmd file to execute it. This will create a submission.zip file for you to upload to Gradescope.

You can create the submission zip file yourself using a zip utility. If you do this, *ensure* that you do not *miss* any files or directories. Also *ensure* that you do not *add* any extra files. We recommend using the provided bundle scripts.

Ensure that your classes and interfaces *correctly* declare the package they are within. For example, Character.java should declare package demoworld.model;.

Only submit the src and test folders and the refs.md file in the root directory of your project. Do not submit any other files (e.g. no .class files or IDE files).

Provided tests A small number of unit tests will be provided in Gradescope to show your code compiled and can be tested. These are meant to provide you with an opportunity to receive feedback on whether the very basic functionality of your code works or not. Passing the provided unit tests does **not** guarantee that you will pass all the tests used for functionality grading.

Assessment Policy

Late Submission You must submit your code *before* the deadline. Code that is submitted after the deadline will receive a late penalty as described in the course profile. The submission time is determined by the time recorded on the Gradescope server. A submission is not recorded as being received until uploading your files completes. Attempting to submit at the last minute may result in a late submission.

You may submit your assignment to Gradescope as many times as you wish before the due date. If a misconduct case is raised about your submission, a history of regular submissions to Gradescope, which demonstrate progress

on your solution, could support your argument that the work was your own.

However, that history may also show when a submission is plagiarised and subsequently changed to attempt to hide the plagiarism.

You are *strongly* encouraged to submit your assignment on time, or by the revised deadline if you have an extension. Experience has demonstrated that most students who submit their assignments late lose more grades due to the late penalties than they gain by making improvements to their work.

Extensions If an unavoidable disruption occurs (e.g. illness, family crisis, etc.) you should consider applying for an extension. Please refer to the following page for further information.

https://uq.mu/rl551

All requests for extensions must be made via my.UQ, *before* the submission deadline. Do not email the course coordinator or other course staff to request an extension.

Re-Grading If an *administrative error* has been made in the grading of your assignment, please contact the course coordinator (csse7023@uq.edu.au) to request this be fixed. For all other cases, please refer to the following page for further information.

https://uq.mu/r1552

Change Log Revision: 1.2.1

If it becomes necessary to correct or clarify the task sheet or JavaDoc, a new version will be issued and an announcement will be made on the course Blackboard site. All changes will be listed in this section of the task sheet.

REVISION 1.1.0

- JavaDoc only. No changes to the specification.
- Assignment 2 JavaDoc v1.1 has the same JavaDoc changes from Assignment 1 included in the Assignment 2 JavaDoc.

REVISION 1.2.0

- Added more details on the tests to be assessed to the specification.
- Added the assessed test classes to the bundle.sh and bundle.cmd and published on Blackboard.
- Added more screenshots on Blackboard at students request (originally in the Ed Discussions and a second tranche with the "Screens" details as requested in the lecture).
- Assignment 2 JavaDoc version 1.2 has *numerous* clarifications over JavaDoc v1.1, and also added various missing details (also requested in the lecture) *NOTE especially* the additional detail updating the DiceRollers (to avoid always throwing only doubles all the time).
- Also fixed minor typos in the JavaDoc.
- Added the new bundle.sh and bundle.cmd to provided1.2.zip. You may also download the entire "provided1.2.zip" that includes the new bundle scripts, but realise that none of the provided Java code has changed only the bundle scripts and the JavaDoc.

REVISION 1.2.1

- REMOVED the attack() method stub from Character. It is normal to have "stubs" (declared but does nothing) in project development, but having the attack() stub added no value to Assignment 2 and was causing too much confusion. As a result JavaDoc v1.2.1 does not include the attack() method stub.
- You may download the "javadoc1.2.1.zip", or simply use the JavaDoc v1.2.1 on uqcloud.
- This does mean those who have implemented the attack() method stub, must now delete it from their Character.java.

A Critical Mistakes

THINGS YOU MUST AVOID

This is being heavily emphasised here because these are critical mistakes which **must** be avoided.

Code may run fine locally on your own computer in *IntelliJ*, but it is *required* that it also builds and runs correctly when it is executed by the automated grading tool in Gradescope. Your solution needs to conform to the specification for this to occur.

- Files must be in the correct directories (exactly) as specified by the JavaDoc. If files are in incorrect directories (even slightly wrong), you may lose grades for functionality in these files because the implementation does not conform to the specification.
- Files must have the correct package declaration at the top of every file. If files have incorrect package declarations (even slightly wrong, such as incorrect capitalisation), you may lose grades for functionality in these files because the implementation does not conform to the specification.
- You must implement the public and protected members *exactly* as described in the supplied documentation (*no extra public/protected members or classes*). Creating public or protected data members in a class when it is not specified will result in loss of grades, because the implementation does not conform to the specification.
 - You are *encouraged* to create private members as you see fit to implement the required functionality or improve the design of your solution.
- Do not change any of the provided code. Your submission must work with the provided code, as supplied. When you submit, any provided code is overwritten with a clean copy. If you accidentally change provided code in your working copy, you may find that your code only works on your machine and doesn't work when submitted.
- Do not import the org.junit.jupiter.api package. This is from JUnit 5 and may cause the JUnit tests to fail.
- Do not use *any* version of Java other than 21 when writing your solution. If you accidentally use Java features which are different in a version older than 21, then your submission may fail functionality tests. If you accidentally use Java features which are only present in a version newer than 21, then your submission may fail to compile.

B Grading

OVERALL GRADE

Your overall grade will be calculated as a weighted percentage based on five components:

- Automated functionality testing will be performed by JUnit and count for 40%.
- Automated style checking will be performed using the *Checkstyle* tool and deduct a percentage *penalty* to the automated functionality score, as described in Automated Testing & Style Checking, below.
- JUnit test marking will be performed by running your JUnit test cases against correct and faulty implementations and count for 20%.
- Manual functional testing will be performed by course staff and count for 20%.
- Manual Code Style and Structure testing will be performed by course staff, as described below, under Code Style and Structure, and count for 20%.

AUTOMATED TESTING & STYLE CHECKING

Functionality and style checking are assessed via automated JUnit tests and the Checkstyle tool. Your marks will be based on the percentage of unit tests you pass, LESS the number of style violations identified. The following table shows the effect of style violations.

Style Violations	≤ 2	3	4	5	6	7-8	≥ 9
Effect	No change	- 10%	- 20%	- 30%	- 50%	- 60%	- 80%

v1.2 - updated

JUNIT TESTS

You will also write tests for some of the classes in the implementation and include these in your submission. Only the tests for specific classes are to be submitted. Any test you write for other aspects of the project are not to be included in your submission. The required tests that you have designed and submitted will be assessed for their effectiveness against correct implementations of the code, as well as a number of incorrect implementations specifically written by the staff to test your tests.

The required tests are for the Value, Stat, Hitpoints, and Character classes. Your submitted test classes must be named ValueTest, StatTest, HitpointsTest, and CharacterTest. Each class may contain whatever tests you deem appropriate, but all the tests for each class must be in a single Java class file. Download the new bundle scripts to submit your complete assignment including your four test classes. You may also download the entire "provided1.2.zip" that includes the new bundle scripts and the updated JavaDoc, but realise that none of the provided Java code has changed – only the bundle scripts and the JavaDoc.

Each of your four test classes is worth 5%. If your tests detect all of the staff-generated faulty code AND do not find issue with the correct solution, you will receive the full 5% for that test class. If your tests only detect some of the faulty code AND do not find issue with the correct solution, you will receive a matching proportion of the 5% for that test class. However, to ensure that student tests are valid (and don't try to get points by simply failing any code), if your tests do find issue with the correct solution, you will not receive any of the 5% for that test class.

CODE STYLE AND STRUCTURE

The style and structure of your code will be assessed by course staff. Style and structure will be graded according to the provided rubric. The key consideration in grading your code style is whether the code is easy to understand. Code style will be assessed against the following criteria.

Readability

- Program Structure: Layout of code makes it easier to read and follow its logic. This includes using whitespace to highlight blocks of logic.
- Descriptive Identifier Names: Variable, constant, function, class and method names clearly describe what they represent in the program's logic. Do **not** use what is called the *Hungarian Notation* for identifiers. In short, this means do not include the identifier's type in its name (e.g. item_list), rather make the name meaningful. (e.g. Use items, where plural informs the reader it is a collection of items and it can easily be changed to be some other collection and not a list.)
- Named Constants: All non-trivial fixed values (literal constants) in the code are represented by descriptive named (symbolic) constants.

Documentation

- Comment Clarity: Comments provide meaningful descriptions of the code. They should not repeat what is already obvious by reading the code (e.g. # Setting variable to 0.). Comments should not be verbose or excessive, as this can make it difficult to follow the code.
- Informative JavaDoc: Every class, method and member variable4 should have a JavaDoc comment that explains its purpose. This includes describing parameters, return values, and potentially thrown exceptions so that others can understand how to use the method correctly.
- Description of Logic: All significant blocks of code should have a comment to explain how the logic works. For a small method, the logic should usually be clear from the code and JavaDoc. For long or complex methods, each logical block should have an in-line comment describing its logic.

Design & Logic

- Single Instance of Logic: Blocks of code should not be duplicated in your program. Any code that needs to be used multiple times should be implemented as a method.
- Variable Scope: Variables should be declared locally in the method in which they are needed. Class variables are avoided, except where they simplify program logic.
- Control Structures: Logic is structured simply and clearly through good use of control structures (e.g. well-designed loops and conditional statements).
- Encapsulation: Classes are designed as self-contained entities with state and behaviour. Methods only directly access the state of the object on which they were invoked. Methods never update the state of another object.

Criteria	Criteria Standard						
Readability	Advanced	Proficient	Developing				
Program	Whitespace & comments highlight all blocks	Whitespace & comments highlight some blocks	Whitespace & comments are not used well,				
Structure	of logic, making it easy to follow.	of logic, decreasing readability at times.	decreasing readability in several places.				
Identifier Names	All identifier names are informative and well	Most identifier names are informative,	Several identifier names are not informative,				
Identifier Names	chosen, increasing readability of the code.	aiding code readability to some extent.	detracting from code readability.				
Symbolic	All, non-trivial, constant values are inform-	Most, non-trivial, constant values are inform-	Only some, non-trivial, constant values are				
Constants	ative and well named, symbolic constants.	ative, symbolic constants.	informative, symbolic constants.				
Documentation							
	Almost all comments enhance the compre-	A few comments are unnecessary to code	Many comments are unnecessary to code				
Comment	hensibility of the code. Comments never	comprehension. Or, a few comments are overly	comprehension. Or, some comments are overly				
Clarity	repeat information already apparent in the	verbose, reducing the ease with which code	verbose, reducing the ease with which code				
	code, nor are they verbose.	can be understood.	can be understood.				
Informative JavaDoc	All provided JavaDoc is replicated or improved.	All provided JavaDoc is at least replicated.					
	Accurate & informative JavaDoc is provided	Accurate & informative JavaDoc is provided	Some JavaDoc is inaccurate, unclear or absent.				
	for all new methods & member variables.	for most new methods & member variables.					
Description of Logic	All important or complex blocks of logic are	Most important or complex blocks of logic are	Some blocks of logic are poorly explained or				
	clearly explained or summarised. No stating	clearly explained or summarised. Almost no	summarised. Or, some descriptions are				
of Logic	of the obvious.	stating of the obvious.	verbose or confusing.				
Design & Logic							
Single Instance	Almost no duplicate code. Additional	Some code has been duplicated. You have	Large amounts of code are duplicated.				
of Logic	well designed methods modularise your code.	added some methods to modularise your code.					
Variable Scope	All member variables are necessary parts of	A few member variables should be local	Some member variables are unnecessary or should be local variables. Class variables have been used like modular global variables.				
	the class' abstraction. None should have been	variables. Or, there are a few unnecessary					
	local to methods. Class variables have been	local variables in methods. Class variables					
	avoided or simplify logic.	have been avoided.					
Control	Logic is simple and clear through good use of	A small number of control structures are	Some poorly designed control structures (e.g.				
Structures	control structures.	unnecessarily complex.	excessive nesting or branching, overly complex				
		_	logic, multiple unnecessary exit points,).				
Encapsulation	All classes are independent entities with	Most classes are are independent entities with	Some classes have non-private member variables. Some methods directly access or modify other objects' state.				
	private state and public behaviour. Methods	private state and public behaviour. Methods					
	only directly access their own object's state,	rarely directly access or modify another					
	never modifying another's.	object's state.					