CSE 6740 CDA Class Notes

Cole Welch

9/15/2025

1 Lecture 8: Naive Bayes, KNNs, & Logistic Regression

- \rightarrow Topics covered in this lecture:
 - Bayes & Naive Bayes
 - K Nearest Neighbors
 - Logistic regression

Classification

- → Given dataset, can we learn classifier (as mapping from features to labels)?
 - In general \rightarrow need to construct decision boundary
 - Will cover how to do so w/ density estimation methods

Bayes' Rule

 \rightarrow Recall: use

$$P(y|x) = \frac{P(x|y)P(y)}{P(x)} = \frac{P(x,y)}{\sum_{y'} P(x,y')}$$

where

P(x|y) = posterior (harder to measure)

P(x|y) = likelihood

P(y) = prior (easier to measure)

P(x) = normalization constant

 \rightarrow E.g., under Gaussian assumption:

$$P(x|y) = N(x; \mu_y, \Sigma_y)$$

$$P(y|x) = \frac{P(y)N(x; \mu_y, \Sigma_y)}{\sum_{y'} P(y')N(x; \mu_{y'}, \Sigma_{y'})}$$

- W/ known covariance, can get posterior
- Estimate likelihood

Bayes' Decision Rule

 \rightarrow Repeat above \forall classes (labels denoted by i):

$$q_i(y) = P(y = i|x) = \frac{P(x|y = i)P(y = i)}{P(x)}$$

- \rightarrow (e.g., $y \in \{0, 1\}$)
- \rightarrow Compute likelihood ratio (> 1 or < 1) to make decision:

$$g(x) = \frac{q_1(x)}{q_0(x)} = \frac{P(x|y=1) \cdot P(y=1)}{P(x|y=0) \cdot P(y=0)}$$

- $g(x) > 1 \Rightarrow y = 1$
- Otherwise y = 0
- \rightarrow Repeat, find i w/ smallest largest g(x)
- \rightarrow Decision boundary is curve satisfying g(x) = 1

Pros	Cons
• Simple	• DE difficult
	for higher dimen-
	sional spaces

1. Naive Bayes Classifier

- \rightarrow Easier than pure Bayes in practice
- \rightarrow Differences:
 - Assume all features $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_d)$ fully independent (gets around P(x|y) estimation difficulty for high-dimensional data)
 - Decision boundary: $y = \arg\max_{y} P(y) \prod_{i=1}^{d} P(x_i|y)$

Ex: Stolen Car Prediction:

$$\begin{split} P(\text{stolen}|\text{red, suv, domestic}) &\propto P(\text{red, suv, domestic}|\text{stolen})P(\text{stolen}) \\ &= P(\text{red}|\text{stolen})P(\text{suv}|\text{stolen})P(\text{domestic}|\text{stolen})P(\text{stolen}) \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)\left(\frac{2}{5}\right)\left(\frac{5}{10}\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{105} \end{split}$$

2. K Nearest Neighbors

- $\overline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Geometric intuition nearby data points have similar labels
- \rightarrow Definition of Nearest Neighbors (NN):
 - Assign X some label as closest training point $x_i \forall x$
 - (Defines Voronoi partition of space)
- \rightarrow NN Characteristics:
 - Nonparametric
 - Nonlinear Classifier
 - Easy to Memorize
- \rightarrow Generalize NNs: to K Nearest Neighbors Classifier (kNN) for $k \geq 1$
- \rightarrow Definition:
 - $\bullet\,$ Now, assign each x a label by majority rate over k training points closest to x
 - Mathematical Definition:
 - $-I_k(x) := \text{index of } i = 1 \to k \text{th nearest training points to } x$
 - Letting $y_i = i$, can define classifier by

$$f_k(x) := \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{i \in I_k(x)} y_i\right)$$

- \rightarrow Choosing k
 - Modeling choice—no definitive way to choose it
 - \bullet k too small makes every point its own island
 - \bullet k too large classifies everything the same; gives no info

- k chosen to have smallest training error a bad idea—k=1 always 100% accurate, always the result
 - Can split into training & validation sets to solve this
- \rightarrow Computational KNN
 - Similar to KDE—no training / learning phase
 - Resource usage:
 - Memory: O(nd) where n = # data points, d = dimension
 - Training compute: $O(n \log n)$
 - Testing compute: $O(\log n)$
 - Need smart data structure usage to achieve this!
 - (E.g., K-D tree or ball tree)

3. Logistic Regression

- \rightarrow Precursor of neural networks
- \to Directly tries to use decision boundary w/ only decision boundary $h(x) = \log \frac{q_1(x)}{q_0(x)}$
 - Do NOT estimate Bayes' rule parts $\rightarrow P(x|y) \& P(y)$
- \rightarrow Model h(x), P(y=1|x) as function of x
 - No probabilistic meaning
 - So can't be used to sample data
- \rightarrow Characteristics
 - Discriminative classifier
 - Avoids difficult density estimation
 - But actually achieves better empirical results than Naive Bayes
- \rightarrow Def: Use logistic function; e.g.,

$$P(y = 1|x, \theta) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}} = f(u) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-u}}$$

- Approaches 1 as $\theta^T x = u$ gets larger
- \rightarrow Finding $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$ w/ gradient of $l(\theta)$:

• Define

$$l(\theta) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(y_i|x_i, \theta)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - 1)\theta^T x_i - \log(1 + e^{-\theta^T x_i})$$
$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - 1)x_i + \frac{x_i e^{-\theta^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x_i}}$$

- No closed form solution to this
- Must use gradient descent:
 - Update iteratively by going along direction of negative gradient at current point
 - Update rule:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \gamma_k \nabla_x f(x_k)$$

Where

$$\gamma_k = \text{step size (or } learning \ rate)$$

- Algorithm:
 - 1. Initialize parameter θ^0
 - 2. Do:

$$\theta^{t+1} = \theta^t + \eta \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - 1)x_i + \frac{x_i e^{-\theta^T x_i}}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x_i}}$$

while
$$||\theta^{t+1} - \theta^t|| > \epsilon$$

- Likely to need multiple initializations to navigate optimization landscape!
- Will cover gradient descent in detail later