Marine Sensitivity

Marine Sensitivity Documentation

Ben Best

2023-12-01

Table of contents

Pr	Preface		
1	Introduction	4	
I	Components	7	
2	Server 2.1 Setup 2.2 Services	8 8	
3	Workflows 3.1 Get Descriptions	10	
4	Libraries	12	
5	API	13	
6	Apps	14	
7	Docs	15	
8	Summary	16	
Re	eferences	17	
Α _Ι	ppendices	18	
GI	Ossary (Ross et al. 2023)	18 19 19 19 20 20	
	(Power et al. 2022)	22	

Preface

This is a Quarto book.

1 Introduction

$$V = f(E, S, A)$$

The term vulnerability combination of exposure and sensitivity (V) is a function of exposure The magnitude of the change in the environment (E), sensitivity The magnitude of response to the change (S) and adaptive capacity The capacity of the ecosystem or organism to improve and reorganise in response to stress such as climate change through phenotypic plasticity (acclimation, acclimatisation) or adaptation, distributional shifts, and rapid evolution of traits suited to new conditions. Source: Ross et al. (2023) (A).

$$cell_S = \sum_{spp} p * w \tag{1.1}$$

The raster of sensitivity (S) contains cells representing a sum across species (spp) of presence (p) multiplied by the sensitivity weight (w) (Equation 1.1).




Figure 1.1: Diagram of data preparation for generating marine species sensitivities.




Figure 1.2: Overview of process.

Part I Components

2 Server

The server is for serving up any web services outside those of Github (e.g., website, docs and R package msens) using Docker (see the docker-compose.yml; with reverse proxying from subdomains to ports by Caddy).

2.1 Setup

For instructions on launching an Amazon instance and installing the server software, see Server Setup · MarineSensitivity/server Wiki.

2.2 Services

The server is running the following services:

• rstudio

integrated development environment (IDE) to code and debug directly on the server More info..

• shiny

interactive applications e.g., **shiny**.marinesensitivity.org/**map**

More info..

• pgadmin

PostGreSQL database administration interface

More info..

• api

custom API: using R plumber

More info..

• swagger

 $generic\ database\ API:\ using\ PostGREST$

More info..

• tile

 $spatial\ database\ API:\ using\ pg_tileserv\ for\ serving\ vector\ tiles$

More info..

3 Workflows

```
librarian::shelf(
  dplyr, gh, glue, knitr, tidyjson,
  quiet = T)
# renv::dependencies(); renv::snapshot()
library(dplyr); library(gh); library(glue); library(knitr); library(tidyjson)

org <- "MarineSensitivity"</pre>
```

3.1 Get Descriptions

```
gh(glue("GET /orgs/{org}/repos")) |>
  spread_all() |>
  as_tibble() |>
  select(name, description) |>
  arrange(name) |>
  kable()
```

name	description			
MarineSensitivity.githulefoult website				
api	application programming interface (API) using R Plumber package			
apps	Shiny applications			
docs	documentation for BOEM's offshore environmental sensitivity index products			
manuscripts	Manuscripts with review of sensitivities by industry and receptors (species, habitats, human uses)			
msens	R library of functions for mapping marine sensitivities, sponsored by BOEM			
objectives	repository for issues spanning multiple repositories and doing big picture roadmapping			
server	server setup for R Shiny apps, RStudio IDE, R Plumber API, PostGIS database, pg_tileserv			

name	description
workflows	scripts for testing data analytics and visualization as well as production workflows

4 Libraries

or maybe later Python module

5 API

There are actually three APIs, each used for different purposes:

1. **api**

custom API: using R plumber source: MarineSensitivity/api

2. swagger

generic database API: using PostGREST source: Postgres database, non-spatial

3. tile

spatial database API: using pg_tileserv for serving vector tiles source: Postgres database, spatial

6 Apps

7 Docs

8 Summary

In summary, this book has no content whatsoever.

1 + 1

[1] 2

References

- Boyce, Daniel G., Derek P. Tittensor, Cristina Garilao, Stephanie Henson, Kristin Kaschner, Kathleen Kesner-Reyes, Alex Pigot, et al. 2022. "A Climate Risk Index for Marine Life." *Nature Climate Change* 12 (9): 854–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01437-y.
- Jones, Miranda C., and William W. L. Cheung. 2018. "Using Fuzzy Logic to Determine the Vulnerability of Marine Species to Climate Change." *Global Change Biology* 24 (2): e719–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13869.
- Ross, Pauline M., Elliot Scanes, Maria Byrne, Tracy D. Ainsworth, Jennifer M. Donelson, Shawna A. Foo, Pat Hutchings, Vengatesen Thiyagarajan, and Laura M. Parker. 2023. "Surviving the Anthropocene: The Resilience of Marine Animals to Climate Change." In. CRC Press.
- Weis, Shawn W. Margles, Vera N. Agostini, Lynnette M. Roth, Ben Gilmer, Steven R. Schill, John English Knowles, and Ruth Blyther. 2016. "Assessing Vulnerability: An Integrated Approach for Mapping Adaptive Capacity, Sensitivity, and Exposure." *Climatic Change* 136 (3-4): 615–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-016-1642-0.
- Zacharias, Mark A., and Edward J. Gregr. 2005. "Sensitivity and Vulnerability in Marine Environments: An Approach to Identifying Vulnerable Marine Areas." Conservation Biology 19 (1): 86–97. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00148.x.

Glossary

term definition

acclimationadjustment of an organism to experimental conditions in the laboratory without an adjustment in their genetics. Acclimation has been used to describe phenotypically plastic responses of organisms generated under controlled laboratory and experimental manipulations when the factor of interest can be isolated.

Acclimational distribution of an organism to experimental conditions in the laboratory without an adjustment in their genetics. Acclimation has been used to describe phenotypically plastic responses of organisms generated under controlled laboratory and experimental manipulations when the factor of interest can be isolated. Source: Ross et al. (2023)

Acclimatisatify numbers of an organism to environmental conditions in the field or environment rather than the laboratory without an adjustment in their genetics. Acclimatisation has been used to describe phenotypically plastic responses in natural conditions. Source: Ross et al. (2023)

adaptathenability to change in response to the change

Adapta I mevolutionary mechanism where natural selection of traits is genetically passed on, typically over many generations, to create an organism suited to the environment. Source: Ross et al. (2023)

AdaptiFe capacity of the ecosystem or organism to improve and reorganise in response to castress such as climate change through phenotypic plasticity (acclimation,

pacacclimatisation) or adaptation, distributional shifts, and rapid evolution of traits ity suited to new conditions. Source: Ross et al. (2023)

Epigen**Plies**modification of phenotype plasticity of an organism through altered gene expression without an alteration to the DNA sequence. 'Epi' means above the DNA and includes DNA methylation, modification of histones, and non-coding RNA. Source: Ross et al. (2023)

exposute magnitude of the change in the environment

Exposure magnitude of the change in the environment

FecundIthe maximum physiological potential reproductive output of an organism to produce offspring (reproductive output). This differs from fertility, which is the number of offspring born. Source: Ross et al. (2023)

MBONMarine Biodiversity Observation Network; see MarineBON.org resilientdee ability to recover from the change

term definition

Resiliefide capacity of an ecosystem, society, or organism to absorb disturbance and reorganise while undergoing change so as to retain essentially the same function, structure, identity, and feedbacks. Resilience reflects the degree to which a complex adaptive system is determined by its capacity to reorganise and adapt in order to avoid being disturbed again. Source: Ross et al. (2023)

sensitivity magnitude of response to the change Sensitivity magnitude of response to the change stressorhe stimulus that causes stress to an organism Stressorhe stimulus that causes stress to an organism vulnerability nation of exposure and sensitivity Vulnerability nation of exposure and sensitivity

(Ross et al. 2023)

Glossary.

(Weis et al. 2016)

$$V = f(E, S, A)$$

Vulnerability (V) is a function of exposure (E), sensitivity (S) and adaptive capacity (A).

(Jones and Cheung 2018)

Such vulnerability assessments recognize that a species' vulnerability to climate change depends on an interaction between its intrinsic biological or ecological characteristics (sensitivity and adaptive capacity) and the extrinsic threats or stimuli (exposure and hazard) (Figure 1). In this study, we adopted the climate vulnerability and risk assessment framework used by the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (Field et al. (2014).

Sensitivity of a species, referred to here as the susceptibility to impacts from climate change, is affected by species' biological and ecological traits. Species' sensitivity may be moderated by their

adaptive capacity, which reflects a species' ability to adapt and thus cope with, or avoid, the impacts of climate change.

As the unit of assessment is an individual species, we consider a species' ability to shift in distribution to avoid or minimize negative impacts from changing habitat conditions on its

viability as an adaptive response to climate change. Specifically, in this study, we focus on characteristics that determine a species ability to show this response, within its current distribution. Thus, the spatial response of a distribution shift may itself be influenced by adaptive characteristics included here. The combination of a species' sensitivity and (lack of) adaptive capacity determines its vulnerability to climate change. Ultimately, the risk of impacts of climate change on the species is determined by its vulnerability as well as the potential occurrence of climate-related ocean changes (i.e., hazards such as warming, ocean acidification) and the degree of exposure to such event (i.e., exposure) (Figure 1).




Figure 1: Framework for assessing climate change vulnerability and risk adopted by the fifth assessment report of the IPCC (Field et al., 2014)

(Zacharias and Gregr 2005)

Definitions of Sensitivity and Vulnerability

Sensitivity and vulnerability are central concepts in the protection of marine ecosystems, yet the marine literature provides few explicit definitions (Holt et al. 1997; Tyler-Walters & Jackson 1999). Consequently, their meaning often relies on the context in which they are used. To avoid context-dependent definitions of these terms, we took the following value-neutral approach to defining sensitivity and vulnerability.

It is axiomatic that all marine features have either evolved (in the case of biotic features) or been formed (in the case of abiotic features) within a certain range of environmental conditions. We define *stress* as a deviation of these environmental conditions beyond the expected range. *Sensitivity* is the degree to which marine features respond to such stress. Specifically, sensitivity is measured using one or more indicators (of species, communities, and habitats) that respond to one or more natural or anthropogenic stressors. These responses are potentially nonlinear and are likely to include interactions between stressors. In this context, sensitivity does not inherently assume the characteristics of fragility or intolerance with which it is often associated. There is no implied judgement that an increased association between the indicator and the

stressor reduces a feature's probability of *persistence*. Nevertheless, as exposure to a chronic perturbation or stress increases, the persistence of that feature is diminished.

Vulnerability is the probability that a feature will be exposed to a **stressor** to which it is **sensitive**. In other words, vulnerability is the likelihood of **exposure** to a relevant external stress factor (sensu Tyler-Walters & Jackson 1999), combined in some way with the **exposure** (duration, magnitude, rate of change) to that stress.

Subsumed under the concepts of sensitivity and vulnerability are the concepts of *stability* and *fragility*. Although these terms lack general consensus on their definitions, Holling (1986) states that

stability is the tendency of a system to attain or retain an equilibrium condition of steady state or stable oscillation.

Resilience is the ability of a system to maintain its structure and behavioral patterns when subjected to *disturbance*. A feature, therefore, that is stable or resilient in the presence of a stressor is not sensitive to that stress as we have defined it. Also, a feature that is sensitive to a stressor for which it has a low probability of exposure is not vulnerable.

Our definitions of sensitivity and vulnerability are consistent with the ESI approach used for oil spill response and countermeasures (Gundlach & Hayes 1978). Under the ESI approach a resource is defined as sensitive to oil if it would be harmed by physical contact with oil or concentrations of oil in water. A resource is defined as vulnerable if it is likely that it would be exposed to oil or high concentrations of oil for long enough periods for the oil to affect it. Vulnerability in terms of oil spills on shorelines, therefore, is a function of duration of exposure, recognizing that certain resources are vulnerable to oiling regardless of duration.

Our definition of a VMA, which incorporates the concepts of sensitivity and vulnerability, is a geographically definable area containing features that are sensitive to natural and/or anthropogenic stressors they are likely to encounter. Features may be biotic (species, communities) or abiotic (habitats) structures or processes.

We caution against equating VMAs as we have defined them (and their sensitivity and vulnerability components) with terms such as priority areas, biodiversity hotspots, critical habitat, environmental significance, and areas of interest, which are often used to identify areas of special concern or areas requiring management attention. Although certain VMAs may also represent priority areas or hotspots, depending on how these terms are defined and applied, an area may be sensitive or vulnerable but not meet criteria commonly used (e.g., species diversity) to identify these areas. In addition, although our definitions of sensitivity and vulnerability may contribute to measures or assessments of ecological (or ecosystem) integrity, these concepts are again different. Lastly, identification of an area as sensitive or vulnerable does not suggest that an area should be recommended as a marine protected area (MPA) or marine reserve or that MPAs are the only management tool applicable to the management and conservation of VMAs.

(Boyce et al. 2022)

climate change vulnerability assessments (CCVAs)




Figure 2: **a**–**e**, Within each grid cell (1° × 1° here) across the native geographic distribution of a species (**a**), 12 standardized climate indices are calculated (**b**) and used to define the three dimensions of climate vulnerability (**c**): present-day sensitivity (blue), projected future exposure (red) and innate adaptivity (yellow). The dimensions are used to calculate the species' climate vulnerability (**d**), and the relative vulnerability scores are translated into absolute climate risk categories (**e**). **f**, Species maps are superimposed to assess the climate vulnerability and risk for marine ecosystems. Basemaps in **a**,**c**–**e** from Natural Earth.

- Distribution (xy) of species
- Indices (n=12): species weights
 - Exposure (current)
 - * Thermal safety margin
 - * Vertical habitat use
 - * Anthropogenic stressors
 - * Conservation status
 - Sensitivity (future)

- * Ecosystem disruption
- * Time of climate emergence
- * Thermal habitat loss
- * Climate velocity
- Adaptivity (innate)
 - * Thermal habitat variability
 - * Geographic range extent
 - * Maximum body length
 - * Habitat fragmentation
- Dimensions (D)
 - Exposure (E)
 - Sensitivity (S)
 - Adaptivity (A)
- (Climate) Vulnerability

$$V = E * S * A$$

- (Climate) Risk (R)
 - Species (sp)
 - Ecosystem

$$R_{eco} = \sum sp$$

From the supplement:

Following an early IPCC definition 7 and subsequent broad adoption (4-6,8-10), species' climate

vulnerability has been defined by three dimensions: their sensitivity, exposure, and adaptive capacity (adaptivity) to climate change.

Sensitivity refers to the propensity for a species to be adversely affected by its exposure to climate change.

Exposure refers to the extent to which species will be subjected to hazardous climate changes, including the magnitude of the effects.

Adaptivity refers to the potential of species to adapt to any adverse exposure to climate change.

These dimensions have close analogies in other disciplines, including community ecology and dynamic complex systems theory (11–13). For example, sensitivity is analogous to the ecological concept of *resistance*, exposure is analogous to *reactivity*, and adaptivity is analogous to *resilience* (14–16).