



Analytic complexity decreases in a workflow for ecosystem functioning analysis

Claas-Thido Pfaff¹, Karin Nadrowski¹

Affiliation: 1 University of Leipzig, Department of Special Botany and Functional Biodiversity

Keywords: Kepler, Scientific Workflows, Open science

Abstract

Introduction

Material And Methods

Results

Discussion

Acknowledgements

References

- Altintas, I. et al. (2004). “Kepler: an extensible system for design and execution of scientific workflows”. In: *Proceedings. 16th International Conference on Scientific and Statistical Database Management, 2004*. Pp. 423–424.
- Auslander, M., E. Nevo, and M. Inbar (Nov. 2003). “The effects of slope orientation on plant growth, developmental instability and susceptibility to herbivores”. In: *Journal of Arid Environments* 55.3, pp. 405–416.
- Barker, S, J.a. Higgins, and H Elderfield (2003). “The future of the carbon cycle: review, calcification response, ballast and feedback on atmospheric {CO₂}”. In: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 361.1810, pp. 1977–1999.
- Berkley, Chad, Shawn Bowers, and M Jones (2005). “Incorporating semantics in scientific workflow authoring”. In: *conference on Scientific*.
- Berkley, C et al. (2009). “Improving Data Discovery for Metadata Repositories through Semantic Search”. In: *International Conference on Complex, Intelligent and Software Intensive Systems, 2009. {CISIS} '09*. IEEE, pp. 1152–1159.
- Bowers, Shawn (2003). “Towards a generic framework for semantic registration of scientific data”. In: *Searching and Retrieving Scientific Data*.
- (2004). “An ontology-driven framework for data transformation in scientific workflows”. In: *Data Integration in the Life Sciences* 0225676, pp. 1–16.
- (2005). “Towards automatic generation of semantic types in scientific workflows”. In: pp. 207–216.
- (2006). “A calculus for propagating semantic annotations through scientific workflow queries”. In: pp. 1–12.
- Bowers, Shawn and Lois Delcambre (Dec. 2006). “Using the uni-level description (ULD) to support data-model interoperability”. In: *Data & Knowledge Engineering* 59.3, pp. 511–533.
- Bowers, Shawn and Kai Lin (2004). “On integrating scientific resources through semantic registration”. In: *Scientific and Statistical 2*.
- Bowers, Shawn, B Ludascher, and AHH Ngu (2006). “Enabling scientific workflow reuse through structured composition of dataflow and control-flow”. In: *Data Engineering*.
- Bowers, Shawn and Bertram Ludäscher (2005). “Actor-Oriented Design of Scientific Workflows”. In: *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 3716. Ed. by Lois Delcambre et al., pp. 369–384.
- Bowers, Shawn, David Thau, and Rich Williams (2005). “Data procurement for enabling scientific workflows: On exploring inter-ant parasitism”. In: *Semantic Web and* 0225674.
- Bruehlheide, H, M Böhnke, and S Both (2011). “Community assembly during secondary forest succession in a Chinese subtropical forest”. In: *Ecological ...* 81.November 2009, pp. 25–41.
- Bruehlheide, Helge (2010). *The role of tree and shrub diversity for production, erosion control, element*

- cycling, and species conservation in Chinese sub-tropical forest ecosystems.*
- Buccella, Agustina, Alejandra Cechich, and Pablo Fillostrani (Apr. 2009). “Ontology-driven geographic information integration: A survey of current approaches”. In: *Computers & Geosciences* 35.4, pp. 710–723.
- Catapano, Terry et al. (2001). “Recommendations for the Use of Knowledge Organisation Systems by GBIF”. In: *Copenhagen: Global.*
- Chandrasekaran, B, J R Josephson, and V R Benjamins (1999). “What are ontologies, and why do we need them?” In: *{IEEE} Intelligent Systems and their Applications* 14.1, pp. 20–26.
- Chave, J et al. (Aug. 2005). “Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance in tropical forests.” In: *Oecologia* 145.1, pp. 87–99.
- Collins, Scott L. et al. (Oct. 2006). “New opportunities in ecological sensing using wireless sensor networks”. In: *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 4.8, pp. 402–407.
- Delaney, Matt, S Brown, and AE Lugo (1998). “The Quantity and Turnover of Dead Wood in Permanent Forest Plots in Six Life Zones of Venezuela¹”. In: *Biotropica* 30.1, pp. 2–11.
- Dixon, RK K et al. (1994). “Carbon Pools and Flux of Global Forest Ecosystems”. In: *Science* 263.5144, pp. 185–190.
- Fegraus, Eric H et al. (2005). “Maximizing the Value of Ecological Data with Structured Metadata: An Introduction to Ecological Metadata Language {(EML)} and Principles for Metadata Creation”. In: *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America* 86.3, pp. 158–168.
- Garten, Charles T., Aimée T. Classen, and Richard J. Norby (Dec. 2008). “Soil moisture surpasses elevated CO₂ and temperature as a control on soil carbon dynamics in a multi-factor climate change experiment”. In: *Plant and Soil* 319.1-2, pp. 85–94.
- Gauch, Susan, Jason Chaffee, and Alexander Pretschner. “Ontology-Based User Profiles for Search and Browsing”. In:
- Heidorn, P B (2009). “Shedding light on the dark data in the long tail of science”. In: *Library Trends* 57.2, pp. 280–299.
- Hey, Tony, Stewart Tansley, and Kristing Tolle (2009). *The Fourth Paradigm*. Ed. by Tony Hey, Stewart Tansley, and Kristing Tolle. Redmond, Washington: Microsoft Research.
- Hodge, G (2000). *Systems of knowledge organization for digital libraries*. The digital library federation, council on library and information resources.
- Horrocks, Ian, Peter F Patel-Schneider, and Frank van Harmelen (2003). “From {SHIQ} and {RDF} to {OWL:} the making of a Web Ontology Language”. In: *Web Semantics: Science, Services and Agents on the World Wide Web* 1.1, pp. 7–26.
- Jaiswal, Pankaj et al. (Jan. 2005). “Plant Ontology (PO): a Controlled Vocabulary of Plant Structures and Growth Stages.” In: *Comparative and functional genomics* 6.7-8, pp. 388–97.
- Jones, M B and C Gries (2011). “Environmental Information Management Conference 2011 (EIM 2011)”. In: *Management* 2011.Eim.
- Jones, Matthew B. et al. (Dec. 2006). “The New Bioinformatics: Integrating Ecological Data from the Gene to the Biosphere”. In: *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 37.1, pp. 519–544.
- Ketterings, Quirine M et al. (June 2001). “Reducing uncertainty in the use of allometric biomass equations for predicting above-ground tree biomass in mixed secondary forests”. In: *Forest Ecology and Management* 146.1-3, pp. 199–209.
- Leinfelder, Ben et al. “Using Semantic Metadata for Discovery and Integration of Heterogeneous Ecological Data”. In: *Language*, pp. 92–97.
- Lotz, Thomas et al. (Jan. 2012). “Diverse or uniform? - Intercomparison of two major German project databases for interdisciplinary collaborative functional biodiversity research”. In: *Ecological Informatics* 2012.
- Madin, Joshua et al. (Oct. 2007). “An ontology for describing and synthesizing ecological observation data”. In: *Ecological Informatics* 2.3, pp. 279–296.
- Martin, Adam R and Sean C Thomas (2011). “A Re-assessment of Carbon Content in Tropical Trees”. In: *{PLoS} {ONE}* 6.8, e23533.
- Materials, Course Reading (2004). “OWL Web Ontology Language Overview”. In: pp. 1–22.
- Michener, William K and Matthew B Jones (2012). “Ecoinformatics: supporting ecology as a data-intensive science”. In: *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 27.2, pp. 85–93.
- Morris, Robert A et al. (2012). “Semantic Annotation of Mutable Data”. In: *submitted for publication*, pp. 1–29.
- Nadrowski, Karin et al. (2012). “The BEFdata portal (working title)”.
- Noss, Reed F. (June 2001). “Beyond Kyoto: Forest Management in a Time of Rapid Climate Change”. In: *Conservation Biology* 15.3, pp. 578–590.
- Noy, N F et al. (2009). “BioPortal: ontologies and integrated data resources at the click of a mouse”. In: *Nucleic acids research* 37.suppl 2, W170–W173.
- Palace, Michael et al. (Jan. 2007). “Necromass in undisturbed and logged forests in the Brazilian

- Amazon". In: *Forest Ecology and Management* 238.1-3, pp. 309–318.
- Porter, John et al. (2011). "12. A Controlled Vocabulary for LTER Data Keywords". In: *Proceedings of the Environmental Information Management Conference (EIM 2011)*, pp. 168–169.
- Pretzsch, Hans and Gerhard Schütze (June 2008). "Transgressive overyielding in mixed compared with pure stands of Norway spruce and European beech in Central Europe: evidence on stand level and explanation on individual tree level". In: *European Journal of Forest Research* 128.2, pp. 183–204.
- Reichman, O J, Matthew B Jones, and Mark P Schildhauer (Feb. 2011). "Challenges and opportunities of open data in ecology." In: *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 331.6018, pp. 703–5.
- Rien, Aerts (1999). "Interspecific competition in natural plant communities: mechanisms, trade-offs and plant–soil feedbacks". In: *Journal of Experimental Botany* 50.330, pp. 29–37.
- Saner, Philippe et al. (2012). "Carbon Stocks and Fluxes in Tropical Lowland Dipterocarp Rainforests in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo". In: *{PLOS} {ONE}* 7.1, e29642.
- Schmid, B. et al. (May 2008). "Biodiversity effects and transgressive overyielding". In: *Journal of Plant Ecology* 1.2, pp. 95–102.
- Schulze, Ernst-Detlef, Christian Wirth, and Martin Heimann (Sept. 2000). "Managing Forests After Kyoto". en. In: *Science* 289.5487, pp. 2058–2059.
- Souza, Renato Rocha, Douglas Tudhope, and Mauricio Barcellos Almeida (2009). "The KOS spectra:" in: *Knowledge Organization*.
- Stoll, P and D Prati (2001). "Intraspecific aggregation alters competitive interactions in experimental plant communities". In: *Ecology* 82.2, pp. 319–327.
- Technologies, Emerging (2005). "E p a r t m e n t s". In: *Language*.