

SOVEREIGNISTS vs.GLOBALISTS

@adrianbrink | Co-Founder | Devcon 2024



TO BE SOVEREIGN



SINGLE DECENTRALIZED NETWORK

CENTRALIZED



A SINGLE DECENTRALIZED NETWORK IS CENTRALIZED

- From a physical perspective: won't survive WW3
- From a social perspective: can be captured
- Shouldn't design blockchains like a single 1-world government



DIFFERENT PEOPLE WANT...

DIFFERENT SECURITY MODELS



DIFFERENT PEOPLE WANT DIFFERENT SECURITY MODELS

- 1. Different security models:
 - My parents → Local government
 - Argentinians → Global security independent instances + roaming
 - Myself → Ethereum Mainnet
- 2. Maintain composability + allow applications to roam
 - Don't split the UX
 - Real-world example: Phones



SCALE-FREE NETWORKS



IT'S ALL ABOUT STATE?

- 1. State should be colocated when it's valuable together
 - Not all under the same security
 - Only when culturally close
- 2. Colocated state is very expensive
 - Opt in to colocation only when needed to safe on cost
- 3. Users and applications can roam between instances
- 4. Example: Financial transactions in Tokyo and Bangkok



SCALE-FREE NETWORKS - BE YOUR OWN CHAIN

- 1. Every instance are the controller of the state it creates
 - Controllers can change over time
 - Controllers route state
- 2. Individuals are the controllers of their own state
 - 1/1 instances
 - No weird abstraction between users and instances EVERYTHING IS AN INSTANCE
- 3. Example: Asset routing

THE GOAL OF THIS SPACE IS... TOBUILD WW3-RESILIENT INFRA



THE GOAL OF THIS SPACE IS TO BUILD WW3-RESILIENT INFRA

- 1. Needs to be replacement/upgrade for existing coordination infrastructure
 - pax-Americana galore infra won't survive a dedicated attack
- 2. On day 1 of WW3 global connectivity is immediately lost
 - VISA stops working and so will most global systems
 - Fibre gets cut and satellites get blasted
- 3. Privacy is needed for community defense



THE GOAL OF THIS SPACE IS TO BUILD WW3-RESILIENT INFRA

- 1. Coordination protocols (aka blockchains) can interoperate as much as the physical network allows
 - Scale-free networks are about being as local as required and as global as possible
 - Independent security models follow from this need for physical locality
- 2. Local instances in Bangkok must still work regardless of global state
- 3. Example: Run everything over LAN



THE GOAL OF THIS SPACE IS TO BUILD WW3-RESILIENT INFRA

- 1. Local infrastructure first
 - You can't rely on a global RPC service or even Github
- 2. Every community must run their own infra that is fully decoupled from global state
- 3. Self-host infra in your own home DC if you're in this room, then you're the best bet for WW3 resilient infra
- 4. Example: HAM radios in Zug





TL;DR

- 1. A single decentralized network = centralized
- 2. A single global security model is terrifying \rightarrow ability to extract rent and harm users
- 3. Different users have different preferences for their own security
- 4. We need many independent security models
- 5. Build Scale-Free Networks with Homogeneous Architectures and Heterogeneous Security
 - Individual sovereign instances must compose → Connectivity
 - Applications should be capable of roaming
 - State co-location is only necessary when states are valuable when co-located
 - Privacy matters
 - Run your own nodes and front ends → provide infra for your community



TAKEAWAYS

- A. Learn from the Internet (protocol)
- B. The goal of this space is to build WW3-resilient infrastructure
 - Architectures/Protocols must work even when there is no global connectivity



SOVEREIGNISTS vs.GLOBALISTS

@adrianbrink | Co-Founder | Devcon 2024