

### Simple Regression 2

Lecture 6

**STA 371G** 

•  $\beta = 1$  rises and falls with the market as a whole.

- $\beta = 1$  rises and falls with the market as a whole.
- $\beta > 1$  is **more** volatile than the market as a whole.

- $\beta = 1$  rises and falls with the market as a whole.
- $\beta > 1$  is **more** volatile than the market as a whole.
- $\beta$  < 1 is **less** volatile than the market as a whole.

- $\beta = 1$  rises and falls with the market as a whole.
- $\beta > 1$  is **more** volatile than the market as a whole.
- $\beta$  < 1 is **less** volatile than the market as a whole.

## In finance, the $oldsymbol{eta}$ of an asset indicates its volatility relative to the

•  $\beta = 1$  rises and falls with the market as a whole.


market. An asset with:

- $\beta > 1$  is **more** volatile than the market as a whole.
- $\beta$  < 1 is **less** volatile than the market as a whole.

 $\beta$  is just the slope of the regression line (i.e.  $\hat{\beta}_1$ ) when we regress the asset's weekly returns against the weekly returns of a market index.

#### W5000 (Wilshire 5000, a broad market index)

```
> hist(stock_market_returns$W5000, col='green',
+ main='', xlab='W5000 return as a % of previous week close')
```




W5000 return as a % of previous week close



#### W5000 (Wilshire 5000, a broad market index)

```
> hist(stock_market_returns$W5000, col='green',
+ main='', xlab='W5000 return as a % of previous week close')
```





W5000 return as a % of previous week close



#### Amazon (AMZN)

```
> plot(AMZN ~ W5000, data=stock_market_returns,
+ pch=16, col='cyan')
```





The regression line is

$$\widehat{AMZN} = 0.4 + 1.13 \cdot W5000$$
,

with  $R^2 = 0.22$  and  $p = 7.8 \times 10^{-16}$ .



•  $\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.13$  (" $\beta$ ") is the predicted increase in returns for AMZN when W5000 returns increase by 1 percentage point—since this is > 1 AMZN will swing more than the market as a whole

- $\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.13$  (" $\beta$ ") is the predicted increase in returns for AMZN when W5000 returns increase by 1 percentage point—since this is > 1 AMZN will swing more than the market as a whole
- $R^2 = 0.22$  indicates how closely AMZN tracks W5000 (the market as a whole)

- $\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.13$  (" $\beta$ ") is the predicted increase in returns for AMZN when W5000 returns increase by 1 percentage point—since this is > 1 AMZN will swing more than the market as a whole
- $R^2 = 0.22$  indicates how closely AMZN tracks W5000 (the market as a whole)
- $p = 7.8 \times 10^{-16}$  tells us whether we can reject the null hypothesis that AMZN does not move with the market at all

- $\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.13$  (" $\beta$ ") is the predicted increase in returns for AMZN when W5000 returns increase by 1 percentage point—since this is > 1 AMZN will swing more than the market as a whole
- $R^2 = 0.22$  indicates how closely AMZN tracks W5000 (the market as a whole)
- $p = 7.8 \times 10^{-16}$  tells us whether we can reject the null hypothesis that AMZN does not move with the market at all

- $\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.13$  (" $\beta$ ") is the predicted increase in returns for AMZN when W5000 returns increase by 1 percentage point—since this is > 1 AMZN will swing more than the market as a whole
- $R^2 = 0.22$  indicates how closely AMZN tracks W5000 (the market as a whole)
- $p = 7.8 \times 10^{-16}$  tells us whether we can reject the null hypothesis that AMZN does not move with the market at all (we can! since p is small)

We need four things to be true for statistical inference (i.e., hypothesis tests, *p*-values, confidence intervals) to work for regression:

We need four things to be true for statistical inference (i.e., hypothesis tests, *p*-values, confidence intervals) to work for regression:

- 1. The errors are independent.
- 2. Y is a linear function of X (except for the errors).
- 3. The errors are normally distributed.
- 4. The variance of Y is the same for any value of X ("homoscedasticity").

#### Assumption 1: Independence

Independence means that knowing the value of a variable for one case doesn't tell you anything about another case.

• From last time: Knowing how much Bob drinks doesn't give us any suggestion as to how much Lisa drinks.

#### Assumption 1: Independence

Independence means that knowing the value of a variable for one case doesn't tell you anything about another case.

- From last time: Knowing how much Bob drinks doesn't give us any suggestion as to how much Lisa drinks.
- From today: Knowing the return this week doesn't tell us anything about the return next week, if we believe the efficient market hypothesis.

#### Assumption 1: Independence

Independence means that knowing the value of a variable for one case doesn't tell you anything about another case.


- From last time: Knowing how much Bob drinks doesn't give us any suggestion as to how much Lisa drinks.
- From today: Knowing the return this week doesn't tell us anything about the return next week, if we believe the efficient market hypothesis.
- But: Time-series data often violates the independence assumption!

We need four things to be true for statistical inference (i.e., hypothesis tests, *p*-values, confidence intervals) to work for regression:

- 1. The errors are independent.  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$
- 2. Y is a linear function of X (except for the errors).
- 3. The errors are normally distributed.
- 4. The variance of Y is the same for any value of X ("homoscedasticity").


#### **Assumption 2: Linearity**

Step 1: Visually examine to ensure a line is a good fit for the data:



#### **Assumption 2: Linearity**


Each point has a **residual**  $(Y - \hat{Y})$ ; this is the over/under-prediction of the model (red lines).



#### **Assumption 2: Linearity**

A **residual plot** (of residuals vs *X*) helps us ensure that there is not subtle nonlinearity. We want to see **no trend** in this plot:

```
> model <- lm(AMZN ~ W5000, data=stock_market_returns)
> plot(stock_market_returns$W5000, resid(model),
+ pch=16, col='green', xlab='W5000', ylab='Residuals')
```




We need four things to be true for statistical inference (i.e., hypothesis tests, *p*-values, confidence intervals) to work for regression:

- 1. The errors are independent.  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$
- 2. Y is a linear function of X (except for the errors).  $\sqrt{}$
- 3. The errors are normally distributed.
- 4. The variance of Y is the same for any value of X ("homoscedasticity").

#### Assumption 3: Errors are normally distributed

Step 1: Look at a histogram of the residuals and ensure they are approximately normally distributed:


```
> hist(resid(model), col='darkred',
+ xlab='Residuals', main='')
```



#### Assumption 3: Errors are normally distributed

Step 2: Look at a Q-Q plot of the residuals and look for an approximately straight line:

> qqnorm(resid(model), main='')




We need four things to be true for statistical inference (i.e., hypothesis tests, *p*-values, confidence intervals) to work for regression:

- 1. The errors are independent. ✓
- 2. Y is a linear function of X (except for the errors).  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$
- 3. The errors are normally distributed.  $\checkmark$
- 4. The variance of Y is the same for any value of X ("homoscedasticity").

# Assumption 4: The variance of Y is the same for any value of X

Look for the residual plot to have roughly equal vertical spread all the way across:



We need four things to be true for statistical inference (i.e., hypothesis tests, p-values, confidence intervals) to work for regression:

- 1. The errors are independent.  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$
- 2. Y is a linear function of X (except for the errors).  $\sqrt{}$
- 3. The errors are normally distributed.  $\checkmark$
- The variance of Y is the same for any value of X
   ("homoscedasticity"). √



We need four things to be true for statistical inference (i.e., hypothesis tests, *p*-values, confidence intervals) to work for regression:


- 1. The errors are independent.  $\sqrt{}$
- 2. Y is a linear function of X (except for the errors).  $\sqrt{}$
- 3. The errors are normally distributed.  $\checkmark$
- The variance of Y is the same for any value of X
   ("homoscedasticity"). √

We always need to check these assumptions before interpreting *p*-values or confidence intervals!



#### An example where an assumption fails

This is a data set of social worker salaries based on years of experience. Which assumption might be violated here?



#### An example where an assumption fails

